can you break down the following into arguments of the following structure "evidence 1,..., evidence N, deduction, likelihood". Note that some deductions can be evidence of other deductions: 1. Minister of Information Michael Makuei stated that elections could be held with or without the full implementation of the R-ARCCS. Currently, South Sudan is not on track to meet its commitments outlined by the R-ARCSS before elections are held in Dec 2024. Comment: The SPLM-IO Parliamentary Caucus issued a statement in which they highlighted continuous violations' of the Revitalized Agreement by the Sudan People's Liberation Movement (SPLM). These included the lack of fiscal transparency in the disbursement of oil revenues, the lack of political and civic space, and the state sponsored violence encountered in the constituencies that had incentivized the defections. These violations are corroborated by other reported and previous assessment. Comment Ends Assessment: It is unlikely that UNMISS will associate themselves with these elections given the limited progress made in implementing R-ARCCS. Moreover, this lack of progress makes countrywide violence related to the elections highly likely during Q4 2024. Assessment Ends.

**ChatGPT said:**

Thought for 15 seconds

**Argument 1**

* **Evidence 1** – Minister of Information Michael Makuei said elections could be run “with or without” full R-ARCSS implementation.
* **Evidence 2** – South Sudan is not on track to meet its R-ARCSS commitments before the December 2024 poll.
* **Deduction** – The government intends to push ahead with elections even if key provisions of the peace agreement remain unfulfilled.
* **Likelihood** – High.

**Argument 2**

* **Evidence 1** – The SPLM-IO Parliamentary Caucus lists persistent SPLM violations: opaque oil-revenue spending, restricted political/civic space, and state-sponsored violence that spurs defections.
* **Evidence 2** – These violations are corroborated by other reports and previous assessments.
* **Deduction** – Systemic breaches of the Revitalised Agreement are ongoing and eroding the integrity of the electoral environment.
* **Likelihood** – High.

**Argument 3**

* **Evidence 1** – Limited overall progress on R-ARCSS implementation (see Argument 1, Evidence 2).
* **Evidence 2** – Documented, continuing violations of the Agreement (Argument 2, Deduction).
* **Deduction** – UNMISS is unlikely to align itself with or provide formal support to the December 2024 elections.
* **Likelihood** – Low probability of UNMISS association.

**Argument 4**

* **Evidence 1** – Elections will proceed without full implementation of safeguards and reforms (Argument 1, Deduction).
* **Evidence 2** – Persistent Agreement violations create grievances and tensions (Argument 2, Deduction).
* **Evidence 3** – A probable absence of UNMISS endorsement reduces external restraint (Argument 3, Deduction).
* **Deduction** – Country-wide, election-related violence is expected during Q4 2024.
* **Likelihood** – Highly likely.

**You said:**

can you put it in a json format that I can give to a graphing algorithm. Please only provide likelihood statements if they're given and if they ar efrom this list: >0% - ≈5%: Remote Chance ≈10% - ≈20%: Highly Unlikely ≈25% - ≈35%: Unlikely ≈40% - <50%: Realistic Possibility ≈55% - ≈75%: Likely or Probable ≈80% - ≈90%: Highly Likely ≈95% - <100%: Almost Certain
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CopyEdit

[

{

"id": "A1",

"evidence": [

"Minister of Information Michael Makuei stated that elections could be held with or without full R-ARCSS implementation.",

"South Sudan is not on track to meet its R-ARCSS commitments before the December 2024 elections."

],

"deduction": "The government intends to proceed with elections even if key provisions of the peace agreement remain unfulfilled."

},

{

"id": "A2",

"evidence": [

"The SPLM-IO Parliamentary Caucus reported SPLM violations—opaque oil-revenue disbursement, restricted political and civic space, and state-sponsored violence incentivising defections.",

"These violations are corroborated by other reports and previous assessments."

],

"deduction": "Systemic breaches of the Revitalised Agreement are ongoing and eroding the integrity of the electoral environment."

},

{

"id": "A3",

"evidence": [

"Limited overall progress on R-ARCSS implementation.",

"Documented, continuing violations of the Agreement."

],

"deduction": "UNMISS will not associate itself with the December 2024 elections.",

"likelihood": "Unlikely"

},

{

"id": "A4",

"evidence": [

"Elections are expected to proceed without full implementation of safeguards and reforms.",

"Persistent Agreement violations create grievances and tensions.",

"A probable absence of UNMISS endorsement reduces external restraint."

],

"deduction": "Country-wide election-related violence will occur during Q4 2024.",

"likelihood": "Highly Likely"

}

]

**You said:**

what about where the deductions form the evidence for another argument?
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[

{

"id": "A1",

"evidence": [

"Minister of Information Michael Makuei stated that elections could be held with or without full R-ARCSS implementation.",

"South Sudan is not on track to meet its R-ARCSS commitments before the December 2024 elections."

],

"deduction": "The government intends to proceed with elections even if key provisions of the peace agreement remain unfulfilled."

},

{

"id": "A2",

"evidence": [

"The SPLM-IO Parliamentary Caucus reported SPLM violations—opaque oil-revenue disbursement, restricted political and civic space, and state-sponsored violence incentivising defections.",

"These violations are corroborated by other reports and previous assessments."

],

"deduction": "Systemic breaches of the Revitalised Agreement are ongoing and eroding the integrity of the electoral environment."

},

{

"id": "A3",

"evidence": [

{ "argument": "A1", "source": "deduction" },

{ "argument": "A2", "source": "deduction" }

],

"deduction": "UNMISS will not associate itself with the December 2024 elections.",

"likelihood": "Unlikely"

},

{

"id": "A4",

"evidence": [

{ "argument": "A1", "source": "deduction" },

{ "argument": "A2", "source": "deduction" },

{ "argument": "A3", "source": "deduction" }

],

"deduction": "Country-wide election-related violence will occur during Q4 2024.",

"likelihood": "Highly Likely"

}

]