

INFO-F-514 - Course Report

Secure computation

Université Libre de Bruxelles

Hakim Boulahya

June 9, 2018

1 Introduction

In this paper we will propose formal definitions of secure computation, also referred as secure multiparty computation. We will also give known examples in the literature that are defined following the logic of secure computation. We will then recall state of the art techniques and protocols that allow to resolve secure computations.

2 Secure computation

A secure multi-part computation problem, is a problem where a computation, or a result, must be computed but the input that each party must use is confidential and not shared between all parties. Such problem can be defined as a function $f(\cdot)$, that takes n parameters. The idea is to be able to compute the function $f(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ where the input x_i can only be accessed by the party i . The final result is accessible to everyone.

cite f(.)

3 Problems

There exist multiple problems that use the secure computation definition. For example the millionaires problem [Yao82], is the problem that for two millionaires they both want to know which one of them is the richer, but they don't want to know the difference. In this problem, the computation function is the usual comparison $<$, and the inputs are the incomes of the individuals.

Oblivious Transfer Another problem is the Oblivious Transfer introduced by Rabin during in 1982 [Rab]. The Oblivious Transfer has many applications and has been first introduced has a protocol to resolve the Exchange Of Secret problem. In the context of secure computation, The 1-out-of-2 Oblivious Transfer (OT_2^1), another approach to the original Oblivious Transfer, is the problem that for a sender and a receiver, one of two messages must be sent from the receiver to the sender. The message received can be chosen by the receiver. Two constraints are that the sender must never know which message has been chosen, and the receiver must not know the content of the other message.

1-out-of- n Oblivious Transfer (OT_n^1) is an extension of OT_2^1 , where the sender has n messages to send and the receiver must choose one of them. Those two protocols are theoretically equivalent has proven in [Cre88, Cac98].

4 Original Oblivious Transfer protocol

As mentioned in the previous section,

5 1-out-of-2 Oblivious Transfer protocol

One possible protocol for the OT_2^1 problem is by using a pair of keys using the RSA protocol, first proposed in [EGL85]. Let Alice be the sender and Bob the receiver. Alice has two messages m_0, m_1 , and in addition to that a public RSA key (e, d, n) . The protocol is a multi-step communication between the two parties using the RSA public key of Alice.

The first step is for Alice to send the public key and two random values, that is the public key (e, n) and two random values x_0, x_1 contains in the domain $[1, n - 1]$. Now that Bob has those inputs, he will generate on his side two other random values. The first one is the bit b which value is either 0 or 1, and is used to choose which random inputs received from Alice, that is x_b would be either x_0 or x_1 . The second generated random value of Bob is a value k in the domain $[1, n - 1]$.

The second step is for Bob to return his response. Since we don't want Alice to know which value has been chosen, Bob will encrypt the value x_b by blinding it using the random value k that he generated. That is Bob will send to Alice the value $v = (x_b + k^e) \bmod n$. Upon receipt of v , Alice will decrypt v two times, by removing the random values. That is, Alice will have two values k_0, k_1 where $k_i = (v - x_i)^d \bmod n$.

Finally, the last step is for Alice to send back the real message. Since v was blinded by Bob with the value k , Alice doesn't know which random x_i has been chosen. By computing the two k_i based on both values, one of them will be identical to the k value of Bob. The last inputs that Alice will send to Bob are the two messages m'_0, m'_1 where $m'_i = m_i + k_i$. Upon receipt, Bob will have to decrypt the message with k , that is $m_b = m'_b - k$. Since Bob only has the k_i value associated to his message, he will not be able to decrypt the other message.

6 Secure computation with OT_2^1

References

- [Cac98] Christian Cachin. On the foundations of oblivious transfer. In Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, Jan van Leeuwen, and Kaisa Nyberg, editors, *Advances in Cryptology — EUROCRYPT'98*, volume 1403, pages 361–374. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [Cre88] Claude Crepeau. Equivalence Between Two Flavours of Oblivious Transfers. In G. Goos, J. Hartmanis, D. Barstow, W. Brauer, P. Brinch Hansen, D. Gries, D. Luckham, C. Moler, A. Pnueli, G. Seegmüller, J. Stoer, N. Wirth, and Carl Pomerance, editors, *Advances in Cryptology — CRYPTO '87*, volume 293, pages 350–354. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1988.
- [EGL85] Shimon Even, Oded Goldreich, and Abraham Lempel. A randomized protocol for signing contracts. *Communications of the ACM*, 28(6):637–647, June 1985.
- [Rab] Michael O. Rabin. How to Exchange Secrets with Oblivious Transfer.
- [Yao82] Andrew C. Yao. Protocols for secure computations. pages 160–164. IEEE, November 1982.

Give
proposer
def of
RSA pub-
lic key

explain
rsa key
pair (add
a re-
minder
section)

OT12 vs
OT equiv-
alence
(check ar-
ticle that
OT12 \nleftrightarrow
OT but
not OT
OT12)

why sec-
ond step
of Bob we
have k^e ?

Proof of
OT12 et
OT equiv-
alence
Crepeau
page 351
et Even
page 640