(Pre)Thesis draft

Hakim Boulahya

May 8, 2018

Contents

C	onter	ats	1
1	Intr 1.1 1.2	Motivation	5 5 5
2	Dat	a Structures	7
	2.1	Binary relations	7
	2.2	Partially ordered set	8
	2.3	Antichains and pseudo-antichains	8
3	Imp	plementation	11
	3.1	Summary of objectives	11
	3.2	Existing implementation	11
	3.3	Motivation and objective	11
	3.4	Notions	12
	3.5	Questions	12
Bi	bliog	graphy	13

Todo list

cite the original papers of those problems (w/ complexity)	5
Talk about the problems, complexity and alternative (Safra vs antichain)	5
This small paragraph is an open discussion	5
is this Total def correct?	7
Include definition of least upper bound	8
Meaning of — vs . vs : in set definition?	8
Includes limitation of Java built-in and different possible solution for	
antichains found on stack overflow	11
Is this total order affirmation correct?	11
Everything below is a fast/draft notes	11

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Automata theory is used in various field in computer science and has shown to be an interesting way to resolve important problems, such as synthesis of computer systems or the universality problem. It has been proved that those problems are PSPACE-complete [?, DWRLH06].

More efficient algorithms to resolve those problems have been implemented using antichain based-algorithms. Antichains are data structures that allow to represent a partially ordered set, in a more compact way.

The goal of this thesis is to provide an efficient implementation of different data structures that allow to compactly represent partially ordered sets, specifically antichains and pseudo-antichains. The first step is to implement in Java, classes that will be provided to the Owl library [?]. Owl is a LTL to deterministic automata translations tool-set written in Java. A second step will be to implement antichain-based algorithms using the new antichains implementation and study the performance.

1.2 Related work

AaPAL library is a that was implemented in the context of Aaron Bohy's PhD thesis [Boh14b] to provide an antichain library. It is implemented in C.

As we mainly focus on efficiency, it could be interesting to use a C implementation such as AaPAL, and provide bindings to Java. We could try this method as an alternative to a pure Java implementation and compare performances.

cite the original papers of those problems (w/ complexity)

Talk about the problems, complexity and alternative (Safra vs antichain)

This small paragraph is an open discussion

Chapter 2

Data Structures

In this section, we will provide formal definitions of the data structures that we will implement. We recall the notion of binary relations and important propreties of such relations. We then define partially ordered set, totally order set and closed set. Finally we give a formal definition for antichains and pseudo-antichains.

The definitions and examples for this section are based on [Boh14b].

2.1 Binary relations

A binary relation for an arbitrary set S is a set of pair $R \subseteq S \times S$. There are five important properties: reflexitivity, transitivity, symmetry, antisymmetry and total.

A relation R on S is said to be:

- Reflexive: iff $\forall s \in S$ it holds that $(s, s) \in R$
- Transitive: iff $\forall s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$, if $(s_1, s_2) \in R$ and $(s_2, s_3) \in R$ then it holds that $(s_1, s_3) \in R$
- Symmetric: iff $(s_1, s_2) \in R$ then $(s_2, s_1) \in R$.
- Antisymmetric: iff $(s_1, s_2) \in R$ and $(s_2, s_1) \in R$ then $s_1 = s_2$
- Total: iff $\forall s_1, s_2 \in S$ then $(s_1, s_2) \in R$ or $(s_2, s_1) \in R$

is this Total def correct?

Orders A partial order is a binary relation that is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric. We note a partial order relation by R. We note s_1Rs_2 to show the belonging of a binary relation to a partial order, which is equivalent to $(s_1, s_2) \in R$. A total order is a partial order that is total.

2.2 Partially ordered set

An arbitrary set S associated with a partial order \leq is called a partially ordered set or poset. It is denoted by the pair $\langle S, \leq \rangle$.

Comparable Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $\langle S, \preceq \rangle$ a poset. The two elementes s_1 and s_2 are called *comparable* if either $s_1 \preceq s_2$ or $s_2 \preceq s_1$. If neither of those two comparaisons are correct, then s_1 and s_2 are called *uncomparable*.

Bounds Let $\langle S, \preceq \rangle$ a partially ordered set. We denote the *greatest lower bound* of the two elements $s_1, s_2 \in S$ by $s_1 \sqcap s_2 \in S$. The greatest lower bound is defined as follow: $s_1 \sqcap s_2 \preceq s_1$, $s_1 \sqcap s_2 \preceq s_2$ and for all $s' \in S$ we have that if $s' \preceq s_1$ and $s' \preceq s_2$ then $s' \preceq s_1 \sqcap s_2$.

Include definition of least upper bound

Lattices A lower semilattice is a poset $\langle S, \preceq \rangle$ where for all pair of elements $s_1, s_2 \in S$, we have that the greatest lower bound $s_1 \sqcap s_2$ exists.

2.3 Antichains and pseudo-antichains

Closed sets

A closed set is a set $L \subseteq S$ of a lower semilattice $\langle S, \preceq \rangle$ where $\forall l \in L$ we have that $\forall s \in S$ such that $s \preceq l$, then $s \in L$.

Note that for two closed sets $L_1, L_2 \subseteq S$, we have that $L_1 \cup L_2$ and $L_1 \cap L_2$ are also closed sets, but $L_1 \setminus L_2$ does not result necessarily to a closed set.

Meaning of — vs . vs : in set definition?

Maximal/minimal elements We denote by $\lceil L \rceil$ the set of maximal elements of a closed set L which correspond to $\lceil L \rceil = \{l \in L | \forall l' \in L : l \leq l' \Rightarrow l = l'\}$. Alternatively, to represent the set of minimal elements, the noation $\lfloor L \rfloor$ is used which has the following semantic $|L| = \{l \in L | \forall l' \in L : l' \leq l \Rightarrow l = l'\}$.

Closure A lower closure of a set L on S noted $\downarrow L$ is the set of all elements of S that are smaller or equal to an element of L i.e. $\downarrow L = \{s \in S \mid \exists l \in L \cdot s \leq l\}$. Note that for a closed set L we have that $\downarrow L = L$.

Antichains

An antichain of a poset $\langle S, \preceq \rangle$ is a set $\alpha \subseteq S$ where all element of α are uncomparable with respect to the partial order \preceq . Antichains allow to represent closed set in a more compact way. For a closed set $L \subseteq S$ we can retrieve all elements of L by using the antichain $\alpha = \lceil L \rceil$. With respect to the definition of the lower closure we have that $\downarrow \alpha = L$.

Operations Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \subseteq S$ two antichains and $s \in S$:

- $s \in \downarrow \alpha_1$ iff $\exists a \in \alpha_1$ s.t. $\leq a$
- $\downarrow \alpha_1 \subseteq \downarrow \alpha_2$ iff $\forall a_1 \in \alpha_1, \exists a_2 \in \alpha_2 \text{ s.t. } a_1 \preceq a_2$
- $\downarrow \alpha_1 \cup \downarrow \alpha_2 = \downarrow \lceil \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \rceil$
- $\bullet \downarrow \alpha_1 \cap \downarrow \alpha_2 = \downarrow \lceil \alpha_1 \sqcap \alpha_2 \rceil$

Chapter 3

Implementation

Java already provide built-in implementation for Set.

In this thesis we are more interested in partial ordered sets as total order sets can be easily implemented as lists.

Includes limitation of Java built-in and different possible solution for antichains found on stack overflow

Is this total order affirmation correct?

3.1 Summary of objectives

The main focus of the thesis is to be able to provide an efficient implementation of antichains and pseudo-antichains in Java. The first step is to provide an interface for the different operations that can be applied to antichains. We then give a description of the implementation. Antichains provide a way to represent in a compact way partially ordered set that are closed. Pseudo-antichains are an extension of antichains and provide a compact way to represent partially ordered sets. Pseudo-antichains does not specifically require closed set.

3.2 Existing implementation

Everything below is a fast/draft notes

3.3 Motivation and objective

For the moment, the implementation to represent the data strutures in Acacia+ is specifically designed for a specific set. The idea is to propose a new library implementation to provide an API that will implement important data structures that are used in syntesis algorithms.

The objective of the thesis is to provide an efficient library to represent antichain data structures and implement different operation. An final goal is to be able to use this library within Aaron/Acacia.

- * Impl. data strcture antichain in Java * Theoritacal context: synthesis, unverversality and automata theory known problem * Practical context: Owl, Acacia + and AaPAL
 - * Why interesting: More efficient than CTL symbolic with BDD

Related Work

* Java Built-in IMPL * AaPAL * Stackoverflow * Acacia ?

3.4 Notions

Model checking and synthesis

Model checking is the process to verify a software model with specifications.

Synthesis is the process to derive the system from specifications.

[?]

[FJR09]

Data structures

Binary Decision Diagram

Antichain BDD vs antichain Antichain vs pseudo-antichain

3.5 Questions

- * Maastricht library? [BBFR] [Boh14a] * How to references? * What to impl (about operations)?
 - * Is implementing LTL Rea. or Universality a final goal of the thesis ?

Bibliography

- [BBFR] Aaron Bohy, Véronique Bruyère, Emmanuel Filiot, and Jean-François Raskin. Acacia+ website http://lit2.ulb.ac.be/acaciaplus.
- [Boh14a] Aaron Bohy. Aapal website http://lit2.ulb.ac.be/aapal, 2014.
- [Boh14b] Aaron Bohy. Antichain based algorithms for the synthesis of reactive systems. PhD thesis, Université de Mons, 2014.
- [DWRLH06] Martin De Wulf, Jean-François Raskin, Doyen Laurent, and Thomas A. Henzinger. Antichains: A new algorithm for checking universality of finite automata. 2006.
- [FJR09] Emmanuel Filiot, Naiyong Jin, and Jean-François Raskin. An antichain algorithm for ltl realizability. 2009.