

UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

DÉPARTMENT D'INFORMATIQUE



ELEC-H473

MICROPROCESSOR ARCHITECTURES

---

## **Lab 3 - RiSC16: internal processor behaviour**

---

*Authors:*

Hakim BOULAHYA

Jacky TRINH

Yasin ARSLAN

Youcef BOUHARAOUA

## 1 Question 1 : Tests

We were asked for the assignment to choose an operator among:  $<$ ,  $>$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$ .

We choose the  $<$  operator. For the Question 2 we were asked to write a code for the operators, and for this first question a determination of a set of test vectors and a justification of our choice was necessary.

A vector is just a binary representation of a number.

Our algorithm compares the MSB of each vector; if there's a match, it checks the next one. If the bits are different, we have the solution.

To test the correctness of our algorithm, we decided to test some extreme cases of the representation :

- a positive number with a negative one.
  - 1000000000000000 (-32768)
  - 0000000000000001 (1)
- zero with a negative number.
  - 0000000000000000 (0)
  - 1000000000000000 (-32768)
- zero with a positive number.
  - 0000000000000000 (0)
  - 0000000000000001 (1)
- Two numbers which are equal.
  - 0111111111111111 (32767)
  - 0111111111111111 (32767)
- Two positive numbers.
  - 0000000000100010 (34)
  - 0000000001000010 (66)
- Two negative numbers.
  - 111111111010110 (-42)
  - 1111111110011100 (-100)

We also tested those cases in the other sens.

## 2 Question 2 : Solution Register

### 2.1 2.1

The solution is stored in Register 3 ( 1 if reg 1 ; reg 2, else : 0 ).

## 2.2 2.2

The solution is stored in Register 3 ( 1 if reg 1 ; reg 2, else : 0 ).

### 3 Question 3.3 : Conclusion

With the new instructions of IS[1], it allowed us to simplify the multiplication. We mainly gained a lot of process time to check for overflow because of the new ADD instruction that allows to detect the overflow. It saved a lot of instruction since the main optimisation had to be done in the overflow process. We also use less memory, because we saved a register to store a counter.

With IS[2] it was even easier because the work was already done for us with the MUL instruction. We only had to find and use it.

In this case, the new instructions lowered the complexity of the algorithm. IS[2] is more optimised than IS[1] which is more optimised than the standard RiSC16's instructions.