1. The names of all members of your project group.
Gladwin Thomas, Hariharan Rajaraman, Trung Ha, Thomas Delahodde

2. The list of user stories that you completed in the third iteration.
- backward compatibility: should work on Gingerbread, even if UI degrades
  - don't need full functionality or full user experience
  - 2 points
- if you long-press a space, a user should be able to reserve already without going to the info space
  - 1 point
- a user should be able to filter by reservable/non-reservable
  - .5 point
- remove Foursquare option on detail tab and meme
  - .5 point
- help screen is outdated
  - have text at home screen that briefly explains what filtering by--maybe a ?
  - 2 points
- information about a pin should be a dialogue box with options to "go to map", "go to details". Box itself should give information about the location, including how many rooms are available. A user should also be able to click "view rooms" and go to the list results after clicking on a specific building.
  - 2 points

  Total = 8 points.

3. Calculation of your project velocity. If it is less than three points per person, explain why.

We did 2 points per person given this iteration was 2 weeks long. There were no issues.

4. For user stories that were planned but not finished, explain why.
All planned stories were finished.

5. Describe any known bugs in the application.
User can click on details => maps => details => map => details... and create a stack of activities that will eventually crash the app. But this is a very rare case and we don't foresee that the user will 'loop' for this long.

6. For any untested code, explain why.
One of the major parts was using a third-party library to style non-ICS phones to look 'modern' and be compatible with action bars. Since this is a visual enhancement, our tests were manual. We also didn't test activities that we removed (4Square, Meme, etc)

7. Describe any additional features that you would add if you had more time. These can be things that the customer asked for but did not get implemented; or, things that you thought of on your own as you were implementing the product.

-Map pops up a dialog and should also have a navigate button to the building. This button could even take them to the maps app.

-We don't validate searches. For example user can set **From** to 8PM and **To** to 6PM and have no results. A better way would be to set the from date, then the duration of their meeting or study session, and the app can do the math internally.

-The known bug above, although rare and not high priority, should be on the plate.

-Reserve a space from within the app, instead of going to the link. This will be a huge benefit and take the app from just a glorified list to completely usable. But it will also take a lot of effort, specifically on the server side.

8. If you could go back in time and start this project over again, what would you do differently? Were there mistakes that you made along the way? Or parts of the implementation that you underestimated?

-We would have split up the work in a more fair way. There was a discrepancy in work hours in each iteration. It would have eventually evened out, but not within 3 iterations.

9. Would you recommend working with this particular customer in a future offering of CIS 350? If not, explain why. Don't worry, I will keep it a secret.

Yeah, he was great.

10. Because you are not employees of the University, your group has copyright ownership of your code. So you are not obligated to release it to the customer. However, if you would like to do so, I am sure they would very much appreciate it. Please indicate in your report if it is okay to release the code to the customer.

This is okay by all means.