# Exclusive Group Lasso

Coleman Zhang

May 10, 2019

### 1 Introduction

Assume design matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , response  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us consider penalized linear regression, where we are minimizing the objective:

$$\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \mathbf{\Omega} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , and  $\Omega(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_+$  defined as

$$\mathbf{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \left( \sum_{j \in g} |\beta_j| \right)^2$$
(2)

is the exclusive group lasso norm. The group weights should be a positive vector, i.e.,

$$\omega_g \ge 0 \ \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$$

A convention introduced in the group lasso is to pick the group weight to be proportional to the group size: e.g.  $\omega_g := n_g$ . In addition, we limit group assignments to be mutually exclusive, and collectively exhaustive, i.e.,

$$g_k \cap g_l = \emptyset \ \forall k \neq l, \ \bigcup_k g_k = \{1, \dots, p\} = [p]$$

In this case one could prove that  $\Omega(\cdot)$  is a norm. In addition, our objective is convex, but not differentiable at  $\beta_i = 0$  for some i.

**Definition 1.** (Vector Norm) Let  $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then  $\|\cdot\|$  is norm if  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ 

- $x \neq 0 \implies ||x|| > 0$  (positive definite);
- $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$  (homogeneous);
- $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$  (triangle inequality).

#### 2 Literature

This work relies on extensive results from convex analysis and optimization theory. For a review, see Boyd & Vandenberghe [BV04, V20], Beck [B17], and Tibshirani's lecture notes [T18]. In particular, the ability to

derive the dual program using the KKT conditions, taking the Fenchel conjugate of functions, and computing the dual norm is especially important.

Kong et. al [KF14, KL16] provided an iterative re-weighted least square algorithm. Sun et. al [SC20] built on this work and provided a bisection algorithm that solves the lasso problem at each iteration. Campbell & Allen [CA15] provided a coordinate descent method and extensive theoretical analysis including a result for the dual norm of  $\Omega(\cdot)$ .

However, none of these work provides an algorithm that adopts both the state-of-the-art coordinate descent, and convergence checks based on strong duality. Xiang et. al [XW14] and Fercoq et. al [FG15], for example, provided screening rules to safely set coefficients to 0 using duality of the lasso. Ndiaye et. al [NF16,NF17, WY14] generalizes the "duality gap safe" screening rule first to the sparse group lasso [SF13], and finally to a general sparsity-enforcing penalty. We follow the methodologies of the previously mentioned work for the following reasons. First, (block) coordinate descent achieves the state-of-the-art performance in terms of speed of convergence. Second, we could check if the algorithm converges and early stop by computing the duality gap.

## 3 Primal, Dual, & Optimality

Let  $P_{\lambda}(\beta)$  be the primal objective,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ P_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \mathbf{\Omega} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$$

This is equivalent to,

(P) 
$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1^2$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  (3)

The Lagrangian dual of this problem is,

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{g} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{g}\|_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{u}^{T} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{z} + \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \lambda \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \omega_{g} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{g}\|_{1}^{2} - \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} - \lambda \max_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{u}}{\lambda}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} - \lambda \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{u}}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$(4)$$

where  $\Omega^*$  is the Fenchel conjugate of  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.** (Conjugate functions) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $f^*: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f^*(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{x} \in domf} (\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{x} - f(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

is called the conjugate of function f.

**Property.** The conjugate function has the following calculus rules:

• (Scaling) Let  $f: \mathbb{E} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ . The conjugate of  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{x})$  is given by

$$g^*(\mathbf{y}) = \alpha f^*(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\alpha})$$

• (Separable functions) Let  $g : \mathbb{E}_1 \times \mathbb{E}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{E}_p \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be given by  $g(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , where  $f_i : \mathbb{E}_i \to (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper function for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then

$$g^*(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p f_i^*(\mathbf{y}_i)$$

**Definition 3.** (Dual norm) Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The associated dual norm, denoted  $\|\cdot\|_*$ , is defined as

$$\|\pmb{z}\|_* = \sup_{\|\pmb{x}\| \leq 1} \pmb{z}^T \pmb{x}$$

From the definition we have the following Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbf{z}^T\mathbf{x} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{z}\|_*$$

**Example.** (Norm squared). Now consider the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{x}||^2$ , where  $||\cdot||$  is a norm, with dual norm  $||\cdot||_*$ . From Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|\|\mathbf{y}\|_* - \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}$ . The righthand side is a quadratic function of  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ , which has maximum  $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|_*^2$ . Therefore for all  $\mathbf{x}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|_*^2$$

which shows that  $f^*(\mathbf{y}) \leq \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{y}||_*^2$ .

To show the other inequality, pick  $\mathbf{x}$  to be such that  $\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{y}\|_*\|\mathbf{x}\|$ , scaled so that  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|_*$ . Then, from definition and by construction,

$$f^*(\mathbf{y}) \ge \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_*^2.$$

In conclusion, it must be that  $f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{y}||_*^2$ 

Proposition 1. Let

$$\mathbf{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \left(\sum_{j \in g} |\beta_j|\right)^2$$

Then the conjugate of  $\Omega$  is

$$\Omega^*\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_g\|_{\infty}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \left( \max_{j \in g} |\xi_j| \right)^2$$

Proof. First by observation  $\Omega$  is group separable. Define  $\Omega(\beta) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Omega_g \left(\beta_g\right) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \omega_g \|\beta_g\|_1^2$ , then  $\Omega^*(\xi) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Omega_g^* \left(\xi_g\right)$ . Define  $f(\beta_g) = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta_g\|_1^2$ , then  $\Omega_g(\beta_g) = \omega_g f(\beta_g)$ . By the previous example and the calculus rule for scaling, we have  $\Omega_g^* \left(\xi_g\right) = \frac{1}{2} \omega_g \|\frac{\xi_g}{\omega_g}\|_{\infty}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \|\xi_g\|_{\infty}^2$ . Hence  $\Omega^* \left(\xi\right) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Omega_g^* \left(\xi_g\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \|\xi_g\|_{\infty}^2$ .

From the above illustration, the dual formulation is given by,

(D) 
$$\max_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \|\mathbf{X}_g^T \mathbf{u}\|_{\infty}^2 =: D_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{u})$$
 (5)

By Slater's condition, strong duality applies. If  $\beta^*$  and  $u^*$  are both primal and dual optimal, then there is no duality gap, i.e.,  $P_{\lambda}(\beta^*) = D_{\lambda}(u^*)$ .

### 4 Subgradient, KKT conditions

We establish the following optimality condition based on subgradient:

**Proposition 2.** (Fermat's Rule) (see (Bauschke and Combettes, 2011, Proposition 26.1) for a more general result) For any convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$x^* \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) \implies 0 \in \partial f(x^*)$$

From the sufficient conditions for optimality, if  $\beta^*$  and  $u^*$  satisfy KKT conditions (Fermat's Rule + primal dual feasible), then they are primal and dual optimal, which implies zero duality gap. In the following section, we derive the subgradient equations for the exclusive lasso problem.

Recall,

(P) 
$$\min_{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{g} \left( \sum_{j \in g} |\beta_{j}| \right)^{2}$$

The subgradient equation for a particular  $\beta_i$  satisfies:

$$\mathbf{X}_{i}^{T}\left(\mathbf{r}_{-i} - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta_{i}\right) = \lambda\omega_{g}\left(\sum_{k \in g \setminus i} |\beta_{k}| + |\beta_{i}|\right) \partial|\beta_{i}|$$
(6)

where

$$oldsymbol{r}_{-i} = oldsymbol{y} - \sum_{j 
eq i} oldsymbol{X}_j eta_j$$

is the partial residual, and subgradient of  $\ell_1$  norm

$$\partial |\beta_i| = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta_i < 0\\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } \beta_i = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \beta_i > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (7)

For the lasso problem, the subgradient equations are crucial for deriving the soft-threshold operator used in coordinate-wise descent. For a brief review, please see Tibshirani's lecture notes. Here it is possible to derive a closed form solution. Define the soft-threshold operator (at level  $\tau \geq 0$ ) for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as  $[S_{\tau}(\mathbf{x})]_j = \text{sign}(x_j)(|x_j| - \tau)_+$ ,

$$\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\lambda \omega_g \sum_{k \in g \setminus i} |\beta_k|}{\lambda \omega_g + \|\mathbf{X}_i\|_2^2}$$

$$\tilde{z} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{r}_{-i}}{\lambda \omega_g + \|\mathbf{X}_i\|_2^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_i = \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{z})$$
(8)

# 5 Stopping criterion

We stop according the following criterion,

$$P_{\lambda}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) - D_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}) < \epsilon$$

which reflects the tolerance on the duality gap.

### 6 Bonus: Sparse Exclusive Group Lasso

Consider the penalty

$$\Omega(\beta) = \Omega_1^{1-\alpha}(\beta) + \Omega_2^{\alpha}(\beta) 
= \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \|\beta_g\|_1^2 + \alpha \|\beta\|_1$$
(9)

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 1.** (conjugate of sum). Let  $h_1 : \mathbb{E} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex function and  $h_2 : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued convex function. Then

$$(h_1 + h_2)^* = h_1^* \square h_2^*$$

where  $\square$  denotes the infinal convolution operator, defined as

$$(f_1\square f_2)(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\mathbf{u}\in\mathbb{E}} f_1(\mathbf{u}) + f_2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})$$

We know that,

$$(\mathbf{\Omega}_{1}^{1-\alpha})^{*}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\omega_{g}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{g}\|_{\infty}^{2}$$

$$(\mathbf{\Omega}_{2}^{\alpha})^{*}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}/\alpha) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{g}/\alpha)$$
(10)

By definition we have,

$$\Omega^* (\boldsymbol{\xi}) = ((\Omega_1^{1-\alpha})^* \square (\Omega_2^{\alpha})^*)(\boldsymbol{\xi}) 
= \inf_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} (\Omega_1^{1-\alpha})^* (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) + (\Omega_2^{\alpha})^* (\boldsymbol{\eta}) 
= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \inf_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_g} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\omega_g} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_g - \boldsymbol{\eta}_g\|_{\infty}^2 + \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_g/\alpha) 
= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \inf_{\|\boldsymbol{\eta}_g\|_{\infty} \le \alpha} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\omega_g} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_g - \boldsymbol{\eta}_g\|_{\infty}^2$$
(11)

which is equivalent to solving

$$\nu_g^* = \min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}_g} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_g - \boldsymbol{\eta}_g\|_{\infty}$$
s.t.  $\|\boldsymbol{\eta}_g\|_{\infty} \le \alpha$  (12)

We can see that  $\eta_q^*(\xi_q)$  is indeed the projection of  $\xi$  on  $\alpha \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ , which admits closed form solution:

$$\left[ \boldsymbol{\eta}_{g}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{g}) \right]_{i} = \left[ \mathbf{P}_{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{\infty}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{g}) \right]_{i} = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{g} \right]_{i} > \alpha \\ \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{g} \right]_{i} & \text{if } \left| \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{g} \right]_{i} \right| \leq \alpha \\ -\alpha & \text{if } \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{g} \right]_{i} < -\alpha \end{cases}$$
 (13)

Hence (12) can be solved as

$$\nu_g^* = \|\mathcal{S}_\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_g\right)\|_{\infty}$$

$$\Omega^*\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\omega_g} \|\mathcal{S}_\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_g\right)\|_{\infty}^2$$
(14)

The soft-threshold operator for the sparse exclusive group lasso problem becomes:

$$\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)\omega_g \sum_{k \in g \setminus i} |\beta_k| + \lambda\alpha}{\lambda(1-\alpha)\omega_g + \|\mathbf{X}_i\|_2^2}$$

$$\tilde{z} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{r}_{-i}}{\lambda(1-\alpha)\omega_g + \|\mathbf{X}_i\|_2^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_i = \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\tau}}(\tilde{z})$$
(15)

Design choice: not choosing

$$\|oldsymbol{eta}\|_{1,2} = \sqrt{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_g \|oldsymbol{eta}_g\|_1^2}$$

with dual norm [B17]

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1,2}^D = \sqrt{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\omega_g} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_{\infty}^2}$$

since the penalty is not coordinate-wise separable, so it might be problematic to apply coordinate descent algorithm.

Question: What is  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ? How to determine the dual scaling constant to find the dual feasible point?

### 6.1 Group Level & Feature Level Screening

For  $\lambda$  large enough,  $\mathbf{0} \in \partial P_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ . Using first-order conditions, we can determine  $\lambda_{\max}$ . For a particular lambda, we can determine when a particular  $\beta_i = 0$  or  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_g = 0$ .

#### Feature level screening:

$$\mathbf{X}_{j}^{T} (\mathbf{r}_{-j} - \mathbf{X}_{j} \beta_{j}) = \lambda \alpha \partial |\beta_{j}| + \lambda (1 - \alpha) \omega_{g} ||\beta_{g}||_{1} \partial |\beta_{j}|$$

$$\forall j \in g, \ |\mathbf{X}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{-j}| < \lambda \left( \alpha + (1 - \alpha) \omega_{g} \sum_{k \in g \setminus j} |\beta_{k}| \right) \implies \hat{\beta}_{j} = 0.$$

Group level screening:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_g^T \left( \mathbf{r}_{-g} - \mathbf{X}_g \boldsymbol{\beta}_g \right) &= \lambda \alpha \partial \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1 + \lambda (1 - \alpha) \omega_g \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1 \partial \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_g\|_1 \\ \forall g \in \mathcal{G}, \ \|\mathbf{X}_g^T \mathbf{r}_{-g}\|_{\infty} < \lambda \alpha \implies \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_g = 0. \\ \lambda_{\max} &= \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|\mathbf{X}_g^T \mathbf{r}_{-g}\|_{\infty} / \alpha \\ \forall \lambda > \lambda_{\max}, \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

#### References

- [BV04] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe "Convex Optimization," Cambridge University Press, 2004.
  - [B17] A. Beck "First-Order Methods in Optimization," Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2017.
  - [T18] R. TIBSHIRANI "Convex Optimization Fall 2018," https://www.stat.cmu.edu/~ryantibs/ convexopt-F18, 2018.
- [V20] L. VANDENBERGHE "ECE236C Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Systems," http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~vandenbe/ee236c.html, 2020.
- [KF14] D. Kong and R. Fujimaki and J. Liu and F. Nie and C. Chris "Exclusive Feature Learning on Arbitrary Structures via  $\ell_{1,2}$ -norm," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27, 2014.
- [KL16] D. Kong and J. Liu and B. Liu and X. Bao "Uncorrelated Group LASSO," AAAI, 2016.
- [SC20] Y. Sun and B. Chain and S. Kaski and J. Shawe-Taylor "Correlated Feature Selection with Extended Exclusive Group Lasso," 2020.
- [CA15] F. CAMPBELL and G. Allen "Within Group Variable Selection through the Exclusive Lasso," Electronic Journal of Statistics, 2015.
- [FG15] O. Fercoq and A. Gramfort and J. Salmon "Mind the duality gap: safer rules for the Lasso," Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 2015.
- [NF16] E. NDIAYE and O. FERCOQ and A. GRAMFORT and J. SALMON "GAP Safe Screening Rules for Sparse-Group Lasso," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29, 2016.
- [NF17] E. NDIAYE and O. FERCOQ and A. GRAMFORT and J. SALMON "Gap Safe Screening Rules for Sparsity Enforcing Penalties," Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2017.
- [WY14] J. Wang and J. Ye "Two-Layer Feature Reduction for Sparse-Group Lasso via Decomposition of Convex Sets," Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2014.
- [XW14] Z. XIANG and Y. WANG and P. RAMADGE "Screening Tests for Lasso Problems," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2014.
- [SF13] N. Simon and J. Friedman and T. Hastie and R. Tibshirani "A sparse-group lasso," Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 2013.