

# Consensus mechanism of HRD chain based on perpetual combinatorial auctions

## Section one. Introduction

*NFT* (or heterogeneous token) is an encryption tool that can be used to token unique digital items. *NFT* is irreplaceable, which means that they can not be replaced by the same goods, and it can be proved that they are scarce, which makes them have intrinsic value.

As *NFTs* become more and more financialized, they will also need new trading platforms, lending agreements and derivatives. Therefore, the price discovery of *NFT* will be the next problem to be solved. Efficient price discovery mechanism in the capital market will enable participants to trade more quickly, improve liquidity through token, allow *NFT* to become collateral without order book, and create rich derivatives with *NFT* as the target. In other words, price discovery will make the financialization of *NFT* asset classes possible. Nowadays, there are only several ways to find the price of *NFT*, such as historical transaction pricing, auction pricing, fragmented pricing and so on. At present, most sellers and buyers in the market prefer to buy *NFT* through auction and price it. Async.art uses perpetual auctions in their website galleries, and so does superrare. Bepple's auction, with a total turnover of 3.5 million US dollars, proved that although the auction is still in the development stage, it is strong enough. It is worth mentioning that the auction is very helpful for the sale of artworks, because the intrinsic value of *NFT* assets is often more subjective, and there will be more people in the wait-and-see state. Therefore, we propose the perpetual combinatorial auction model to solve the pricing problem of *NFT*, which allows the continuous addition of new *NFT*s in the ore pool. At the same time, we propose the POA (Proof of Auction) mechanism, which transforms the auction value of *NFT* into the mineral value generated by the block chain mining, and realizes the standardization of *NFT* assets, so as to solve the two basic problems of difficult circulation and pricing of *NFT* assets.

## Section two. *NFT* pricing in perpetual combinatorial auctions

$S_i$	Mine price on the $i$ -th day
$N_{ij}$	The maximum ore output of the $j$ -th <i>NFT</i> single mining on the $i$ -th day
$Q_{ij}$	The ore output of the $j$ -th <i>NFT</i> combined mining on the $i$ -th day
$V_{ij}$	The value of the $j$ -th <i>NFT</i> on the $i$ -th day
$Q_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}$	The ore output of these $n$ <i>NFT</i> $j_1, \dots, j_n$ combinations combined mining on the $i$ -th day ( $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n$ )
$V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}$	The value of these $n$ <i>NFT</i> $j_1, \dots, j_n$ combinations on the $i$ -th day ( $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n$ )
$k_i$	Number of <i>NFT</i> on the $i$ -th day
$P_{ij}$	The $j$ -th <i>NFT</i> auction price on the $i$ -th day
$P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}$	The price of these $n$ <i>NFT</i> $j_1, \dots, j_n$ combinations on the $i$ -th day ( $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n$ )
$Q$	Total ore output per day
$r$	Fixed daily discount rate

Variation of *NFT* in ore pool:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} NFT_{11} \\ NFT_{12} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{1k_1} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} NFT_{21} \\ NFT_{22} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{2k_1} \\ NFT_{2(k_1+1)} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{2k_2} \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} NFT_{i1} \\ NFT_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{ik_1} \\ NFT_{i(k_1+1)} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{ik_2} \\ NFT_{i(k_2+1)} \\ \vdots \\ NFT_{ik_j} \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \\
 \text{Day 1} & \text{Day 2} & \dots & \text{Day } i & \dots & 
 \end{array}$$

The initial price of the  $j$ -th *NFT* digital asset on the  $i$ -th day is the auction price  $P_{ij}$ , which allows the *NFT* digital asset to mine in the public chain. According to the initial price  $P_{ij}$  of the  $j$ -th *NFT* digital asset, the calculation force is anchored, and according to the current mine price  $S_i$ , the output  $N_{ij}$  of the *NFT* digital asset can be determined. The daily output  $Q$  of the mine pool is constant. As time goes on, as shown in the figure above, there are new *NFT* digital assets

in the mine pool, so the weight of each *NFT* digital asset is decreasing, and the output  $Q_{ij}$  of the mine is also decreasing. When the output of a *NFT* digital asset is less than a certain threshold, the *NFT* digital asset exits the mine pool. The price of the mine  $S_i$  is changing in real time, so the price  $V_{ij}$  of each *NFT* digital asset is not constant. In the following two sections, the pricing of *NFT* digital assets with auction portfolio and without auction portfolio under the perpetual combinatorial auction model is given in two cases: without discount and with known fixed discount rate  $r$ .

## 1. *NFT* pricing without discounting

### (1) There is no auction portfolio

Theorem 1.1: 
$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , k_i < j \end{cases}.$$

Proof: When  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ , the proportion of the  $j$ -th in the total *NFT* on the  $i$ -th day is

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} P_{ij}} = \frac{\frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}} = \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}}.$$

When  $k_i < j$ ,  $Q_{ij} = 0$ ,

so

$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , k_i < j \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 1.2: 
$$V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , k_i < j \end{cases}$$

Proof: We know from the theorem 1.1

$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad k_i < j \end{cases}$$

so

$$V_{ij} = Q_{ij} S_i = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad k_i < j \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 1.3:**  $V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j)$ , where  $1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n] \\ 0, & j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n] \end{cases}$ ,  $k_0 = 0$

Proof: We know from the theorem 1.2

$$V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad k_i < j \end{cases}$$

when  $j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n]$ ,

$$V_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{ij} = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij},$$

when  $j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n]$ ,

$$V_j = 0,$$

so

$$V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j),$$

where  $1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n] \\ 0, & j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n] \end{cases}$ .

**Theorem 1.4:**  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{ij} = 0$ .

Proof: Because  $N_i = \frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}$ , and because  $P_{ij}$  is a bounded quantity and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = +\infty$ ,

so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{ij} = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.5:**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$

Proof: We know from the theorem 1.4 and the definition of limit,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists I = I(j, \varepsilon)$ , when  $i > I$ , we have

$$N_{ij} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 1.6:** Set threshold  $\varepsilon > 0$ , when  $N_{ij} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j$ -th *NFT* exits the ore pool at this time, let  $\min\{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} = T(j, \varepsilon)$ , then

$$V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j)$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: When  $N_{ij} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j$ -th *NFT* exits the ore pool at this time,

here  $N_{ij} = 0$ .

when  $i > T(j, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_j &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j, \varepsilon)} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) \end{aligned}$$

(2) There is an auction portfolio

$$\text{Theorem 2.1: } Q_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

Proof: When  $1 \leq j_n \leq k_i$ , and  $j_1 \dots j_n$  Combinatorial auction,  $P_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} < \sum_{k=1}^n P_{ij_k}$ , so the proportion of

corresponding  $NFT_{j_1 \dots j_n}$  in total ore production  $Q$  on day  $i$  is

$$\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}} = \frac{\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i}}{\frac{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}}{S_i}} = \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}}$$

when  $j_n > k_i$ ,  $j_1 \dots j_n$  does not exist at this time, so  $\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}} = 0$

$$\text{Hence } Q_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Theorem 2.2: } V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

Proof: We know from the theorem 2.1

$$Q_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q & , 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Hence } V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = Q_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} S_i = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3:

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{m-1}, k_m]}(j_n)$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: We know from the theorem 2.2

$$V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i & , \quad 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

so

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{m-1}, k_m]}(j_n)$$

**Theorem 2.4:**  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = 0$

Proof: Because

$$N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i}$$

And because  $P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}$  is a bounded quantity and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = +\infty \rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_i} = 0,$$

so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i} = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5:**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof: We know from the theorem 1.3 and the definition of limit,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists I = I(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , when  $i > I$ , we have

$$N_{ij} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 2.6:** Set threshold  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\min\{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} = T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , when  $N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  NFT exits the ore pool at this time, then

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{m-1}, k_m]}(j_n)$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: when  $N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  NFT exits the ore pool at this time,

here  $N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = 0$ , when  $i > T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{j_1 \dots j_n} &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n)
\end{aligned}$$

## 2. *NFT* pricing with discount

(1) There is no auction portfolio

Theorem 3.1: 
$$V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir} & , \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad k_i < j \end{cases}$$

Proof: When  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ , the proportion of the  $j$ -th in the total *NFT* on the  $i$ -th day is

$$\frac{\frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}} = \frac{\frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}}{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} P_{ij}}{S_i}} = \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} .$$

When  $k_i < j$ ,  $V_{ij} = 0$ ,

so

$$V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir} & , \quad 1 < j \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad k_i < j \end{cases}$$



**Theorem 3.2:**  $V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir}$ , where  $1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n] \\ 0, & j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n] \end{cases}$ ,  $k_0 = 0$

Proof: We know from the theorem 3.1

$$V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir}, & 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0, & k_i < j \end{cases}$$

when  $j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n]$ ,

$$V_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{ij} = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij},$$

when  $j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n]$ ,

$$V_j = 0,$$

so

$$V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir},$$

where  $1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in (k_{n-1}, k_n] \\ 0, & j \notin (k_{n-1}, k_n] \end{cases}$ .

**Theorem 3.3:**  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{ij} = 0$ .

Proof: Because  $N_i = \frac{P_{ij}}{S_i}$ , and because  $P_{ij}$  is a bounded quantity and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = +\infty$ ,

so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{ij} = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.4:**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof: We know from the theorem 3.3 and the definition of limit,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists I = I(j, \varepsilon)$ , when  $i > I$ , we have

$$N_{ij} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 3.5:** Set threshold  $\varepsilon > 0$ , when  $N_{ij} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j$ -th NFT exits the

ore pool at this time, let  $\min\{i \mid N_{ij} < \varepsilon\} = T(j, \varepsilon)$ , then

$$V_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j,\varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir}$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: When  $N_{ij} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j$ -th *NFT* exits the ore pool at this time,

here  $N_{ij} = 0$ .

when  $i > T(j, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_j &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j,\varepsilon)} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j,\varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} V_{ij} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j,\varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j,\varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j,\varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j,\varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j,\varepsilon)} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j) e^{-ir}. \end{aligned}$$

## (2) There is an auction portfolio

$$\text{Theorem 4.1: } V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir} & , \quad 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

Proof: When  $1 \leq j_n \leq k_i$ , and  $j_1 \dots j_n$  Combinatorial auction,  $P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \sum_{k=1}^n P_{j_k}$ , so the proportion of

corresponding  $NFT_{j_1 \dots j_n}$  in total ore production  $Q$  on day  $i$  is

$$\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}} = \frac{\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i}}{\frac{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}}{S_i}} = \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}}$$

when  $j_n > k_i$ ,  $j_1 \dots j_n$  does not exist at this time, so  $\frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} p_{ij}} = 0$ .

$$\text{Hence } V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir} & , \quad 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.2:**

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{m-1}, k_m]}(j_n) e^{-ir}$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: We know from the theorem 4.1

$$V_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i e^{-ir} & , \quad 1 \leq j_n \leq k_i \\ 0 & , \quad j_n > k_i \end{cases}$$

so

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{m-1}, k_m]}(j_n) e^{-ir}$$

**Theorem 4.3:**  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = 0$

Proof: Because

$$N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i}$$

And because  $P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}$  is a bounded quantity and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = +\infty \rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_i} = 0,$$

so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{i,j_1 \dots j_n}}{S_i} = 0.$$

**Theorem 4.4:**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$

Proof: We know from the theorem 4.3 and the definition of limit,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists I = I(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , when  $i > I$ , we have

$$N_{ij} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 4.5:** Set threshold  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\min\{i \mid N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon\} = T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , when  $N_{i,j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon$ , it is

considered that the  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  *NFT* exits the ore pool at this time, then

$$V_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq j_1 \dots j_n}}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir}$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ .

Proof: when  $N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} < \varepsilon$ , it is considered that the  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  *NFT* exits the ore pool at this time,

here  $N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} = 0$ , when  $i > T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{j_1 \dots j_n} &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} V_{i, j_1 \dots j_n} 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{T(j_1 \dots j_n, \varepsilon)} \frac{N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{i, j_1 \dots j_n}} Q S_i 1_{(k_{n-1}, k_n]}(j_n) e^{-ir}. \end{aligned}$$

### Section three. POA consensus mechanism(Proof of Aution)

In the HRD pool, the *NFT* asset value is used as the anchor of pool calculation force, the amount of HRD produced per day is constant, which is halved with the block height. Each *NFT* asset

is priced in the perpetual combinatorial auction, and the weight  $\frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} (1 \leq j \leq k_i)$  in the HRD

pool is obtained from the price. As long as the mortgage is successful, HRD  $Q_{ij} (= \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N_{ij}} Q)$  can

be produced according to the weight. In this way, *NFT* assets can be standardized through the output HDR, and users can replace *NFT* assets through HDR.

## Section four. Conclusion

In this paper, under the model of perpetual combinatorial auction, we give the *NFT* pricing formula with and without auction portfolio without discount. Furthermore, we give the *NFT* pricing formula with and with auction portfolio with discount, it solves the problem of *NFT* assets pricing. We further abstract the consensus mechanism of POA to realize the standardized pricing of *NFT* assets with HDR. Thus, the two problems of *NFT* assets circulation and pricing are well solved.