# CS421 Unit Project: Prolog in OCaml

Haoyu Wang

Xiaohong Chen

December 13, 2016

### 1 Overview

This project fulfills our extra-credit workload in CS421 – Programming Languages and Compilers. Among all other projects listed in Elsa's website, we found this one the most interesting and meaningful.

We aim for a Prolog implementation in OCaml. The Prolog that we implemented in this project is a fragment of the real Prolog language, so we refer it to SimProlog. SimProlog is able to reason with facts and rules about (potentially nested) Prolog terms, but do not support reasoning with data (such as integers and booleans) and data structures (such as lists and trees).

## 2 Implementation

The SimProlog implementation contains four important aspects.

- 1. A lexer (lexer.mll)
- 2. A parser (parser.mly)
- 3. Main functionality of SimProlog (main.ml) including
  - Abstract data structures
  - Unification and substitution
  - Backtracking
- 4. An interactive interface (simp.ml)

We fully covered all aspects that were proposed in our original proposal (which we enclosed in Appendix for reference). In addition, we provide an interactive interface for users to teach SimProlog engine facts and rules and ask her questions.

### 2.1 Backtracking

We find it necessary to provide more explanation in our backtracking algorithm that uses unification and finds all solutions for a given inquiry.

```
let rec solve (qs: term list)
                   (rs: rule list)
                   (rs_togo: rule list)
                  (s: substitution)
                   (sols: substitution list)
                   (k: substitution list -> 'a) =
     match qs with
    | [] -> k(s::sols)
     q1::remq -> (match rs_togo with
9
                 | [] -> k(sols)
                 | r1::remr ->
11
                   let fresh_r = fresh_rule r1 in
12
                     (match unify [(q1, fst fresh_r)] with
13
                    | None -> solve qs rs remr s sols k
14
                    | Some sigma ->
15
                      solve (lift_subst_term_list sigma (remq @ (snd fresh_r)))
16
                             rs
17
                             rs
18
                            (subst_compose sigma s)
19
20
                            (fun sols' -> solve qs rs remr s sols' k)))
21
```

We enclose our words as in-line comments below.

- line 3 In the effort to solve the first question in qs, we try rules that we have not tried yet in rs\_togo, a subset of rs.
- **line 4** We record the current substitution, and hope it would become a new solution.
- line 5 All solutions that we have found so far.
- line 8 If no more question to solve, then pass the current solution together with the ones that we have found s::sols to the continuation k.
- line 10 If there is some question q1 to solve but no rule to apply (in rs\_togo), then we fail to find a new solution, and can only pass sols to the continuation.
- line 14 If the first rule cannot resolve the first question, we try the rest of rules by calling solve recursively over the same problem but with rs\_togo replaced by remr.

line 16 Otherwise we resolve the first question and replace it by (if there is any) newly generated questions to the question list, before we recursively call solve with a new continuation k' that whenever it receives the solution sol' for the sub-problem, calls solve to restore the previous state, pretty much the same as line 14 except that sols are updated with sols'.

## 3 Examples

#### 3.1 Ordering reasoning

A finite lattice (see below for an example) can be represented by a number of facts (of the form a < b) and the reflexivity and transitivity rules.

For all twelve <'s we define twelve rules (facts) as follows.

```
true .

bleq(a, b) .

bleq(b, c) .

bleq(c, d) .

bleq(d, e) .

bleq(b, x) .

bleq(x, y) .

bleq(y, z) .

bleq(y, m) .

bleq(m, n) .

bleq(n, p) .

bleq(p, q) .
```

And two additional (conditional) rules for reflexivity and transitivity.

```
leq(X, X) :- true.
leq(X, Y) :- bleq(X, Z) , leq(Z, Y) .

Then we can ask SimProlog
?- leq(x, What) .
  It shall answer
```

"I found 8 solutions."

 $\{What \rightarrow x\}$ 

```
{What -> y}
{What -> z}
{What -> w}
{What -> m}
{What -> n}
{What -> p}
{What -> q}
```

### 3.2 Peano arithmetic reasoning

SimProlog is able to reason about Peano arithmetic system, including a successor function and a predicate addeq(x,y,z) which holds iff x + y = z.

```
true .
addeq(X, zero, X) :- true .
addeq(X, s(Y), s(Z)) :- addeq(X, Y, Z) .
```

One important thing when one using SimProlog is to make sure his/her rules guarantee termination. SimProlog does not check termination, and it falls into the pitfall of infinite loops if rules are badly designed.

```
?- addeq(s(zero), s(s(zero)), What) .
"OK. I am thinking ..."
"I found 1 solution."
{What -> s(s(s(zero)))}
?- addeq(What, s(zero), s(s(s(zero)))) .
"OK. I am thinking ..."
"I found 1 solution."
{What -> s(s(zero))}
```

Notice how the second argument s(zero) helps prevent SimProlog from non-termination.

# **Appendix**

#### Original proposal

We propose to implement a simple version of Prolog in OCaml. A program of such a simple version of Prolog language that we concern in this unit project, as SimProlog we call it, consists of the following components.

- (1) A number of \*declarations\* that declare predicates.
- (2) A number of \*base clauses\* that state fact.
- (3) One inquiry where free variables are allowed to show up.

A sample of such a SimProlog language looks the follows.

```
----- SimProlog Program Sample Begins -----
% This is a simple SimProlog program.
% Everything following '%' is a comment.
mother(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y), femail(X)
parent(john, bill)
parent(jane, bill)
femail(jane)
| ?- mother(M, bill)
 ----- SimProlog Program Sample Ends -----
Our unit project will consist of five basic building blocks,
which are divided into either syntax category or semantics
category. Each of us will be responsible for one category.
The five basic building blocks are (where [xc] stands for
Xiaohong and [wh] stands for Haoyu):
(1)[xc] A grammar for SimProlog language.
(2)[xc] A lexer that consumes streams of characters and recognizes
(3)[xc] A parser that consumes streams of tokens and build
(4)[wh] An evaluator that takes ASTs, understands predicate
declarations and facts, and answers the inquiry. This may
include: a unification process and a backtracking mechanism.
```

#### Code

```
File lexer.mll
{ open Parser (* The type token is defined in parser.mli *)
 exception Eof
             = ['0'-'9']
let digit
              = ['a'-'z']
let lcase
             = ['A'-'Z']
let ucase
let wchar
             = digit | lcase | ucase | '_'
let lword
              = lcase wchar*
let uword
             = ucase wchar*
let space
             = [' ' '\t' '\n']
rule token = parse
| space { token lexbuf } (* skip over whitespace *)
1 ":-"
             { CDASH }
```

```
| "?-"
              { QDASH }
| '('
              { LPAREN }
| ')'
              { RPAREN }
1 1.1
              { DOT }
              { COMMA }
| ','
| lword as w { LWORD w }
| uword as w { UWORD w }
                    { raise Eof }
| eof
File parser.mly
%{ open Main %}
%token <string> LWORD
%token <string> UWORD
%token COMMA CDASH QDASH LPAREN RPAREN DOT
%start main
%type <Main.command> main
%%
main:
 | rule DOT
                                    { $1 }
  | inquery DOT
                                     { $1 }
rule:
                                     { Rule($1, []) }
  | term
                                    { Rule($1, $3) }
  | term CDASH term_list
inquery:
                                     { Inquery $2 }
  | QDASH term
term:
  | lword
                                     { ConstTerm $1 }
  uword
                                     { VarTerm $1 }
  | lword LPAREN RPAREN
                                     { ComplexTerm($1, []) }
  | lword LPAREN term_list RPAREN
                                     { ComplexTerm($1, $3) }
term_list:
                                     { [$1] }
  | term
  | term COMMA term_list
                                     { $1::$3 }
lword:
                                     { Const $1 }
  | LWORD
uword:
```

File main.ml For unification, see line 60. For backtracking, see line 136.

```
open Pervasives
   open List
   (* Abstract Data Structures *)
   type constant = Const of string
   type variable = Var of string
   type term = ConstTerm of constant
             | VarTerm of variable
             | ComplexTerm of constant * term list
   type rule = term * term list
10
   type command = Rule of term * term list
                | Inquery of term
12
                | ClearComm
                 | ShowComm
14
   (* Unification and Substitution *)
   type substitution = (variable * term) list
   let identity_subst : substitution = []
19
   (* helper functions *)
20
   let rec occur (varname: string) (t: term) : bool =
   match t with
   | ConstTerm _ -> false
   | VarTerm (Var s) -> varname = s
   | ComplexTerm (Const s, ts) -> exists (occur varname) ts
25
27
   let rec subst_fun (subst: substitution) (varname: string) =
    match subst with
   | [] ->
     VarTerm (Var varname)
   | (Var varname', t) :: substs ->
     if varname' = varname then t else subst_fun substs varname
   ;;
34
   let rec lift_subst_term (subst: substitution) (t: term) =
     match t with
   | ConstTerm (Const s) -> t
   | VarTerm (Var varname) -> subst_fun subst varname
   | ComplexTerm (Const s, ts) ->
     ComplexTerm (Const s, map (lift_subst_term subst) ts)
  , ,
42
```

```
let lift_subst_term_list subst (ts:term list) = map (lift_subst_term subst) ts;;
45
    (* Cited from MPs and MLs. *)
   let subst_compose (s2: substitution) (s1: substitution) : substitution =
47
      (filter (fun (tv,_) -> not(mem_assoc tv s1)) s2) @
      (map (fun (tv,residue) -> (tv, lift_subst_term s2 residue)) s1)
49
    (* Pick the subset of substitution @s that contains variables in @vars. *)
51
   let rec pick_subst (s: substitution) (vars: variable list) : substitution =
     match s with
   | [] -> []
   | (v, t) :: rem ->
     if mem v vars then (v, t) :: pick_subst rem vars
     else pick_subst rem vars
57
58
   (* Unification *)
   let rec unify (eqlst: (term * term) list) =
60
   let rec addNewEqs ls1 ls2 acc =
     match 1s1, 1s2 with
62
   | [], [] -> Some acc
   | (t1 :: t11), (t2 :: t12) \rightarrow addNewEqs t11 t12 ((t1, t2) :: acc)
   | _ -> None
   in match eqlst with
66
           | [] -> Some([])
67
           | (s,t) :: eqs \rightarrow if s = t then unify eqs
68
             else (match (s, t) with
           (ComplexTerm ((Const c1), t1), ComplexTerm ((Const c2), t2)) ->
70
             if c1 = c2 then (match (addNewEqs t1 t2 eqs) with
71
             | None -> None
72
             | Some 1 -> unify 1)
73
             else None
           (ComplexTerm (c, t), VarTerm (Var v)) ->
75
             unify ((VarTerm (Var v), ComplexTerm (c, t)) :: eqs)
           | (ConstTerm (Const s), VarTerm (Var v)) ->
             unify ((VarTerm (Var v), ConstTerm (Const s)) :: eqs)
           | (VarTerm (Var v), t) -> if (occur v t) then None
79
                                      else let eqs' =
              map (fun (t1, t2) ->
81
              (lift_subst_term [(Var v, t)] t1, lift_subst_term [(Var v, t)] t2))
              eqs
                                      in (match (unify eqs') with
                                        | None -> None
85
                                        | Some phi ->
              Some (subst_compose [(Var v, lift_subst_term phi t)] phi))
87
                                        | _ -> None)
```

```
; ;
89
91
    (* Backtracking *)
93
    (* generating fresh variables and rules *)
94
    let (fresh, reset) =
      let nxt = ref 0 in
97
      let f () = (let r = Var("$" ^ string_of_int !nxt)
      in let _{-} = nxt := !nxt + 1 in r) in
      let r() = nxt := 0 in (f, r)
100
    (* de-duplicate a list *)
102
    let rec ddup 1 =
103
      match 1 with
    | [] -> []
    | x::xs -> let dxs = ddup xs in
106
      if mem x dxs then dxs else x::dxs
108
    let rec collect_variables_in_term (t: term) : variable list=
      match t
110
    with ConstTerm _ -> []
    | VarTerm v -> [v]
    ComplexTerm(c, ts) -> collect_variables_in_term_list (ts: term list)
    and collect_variables_in_term_list (ts: term list) : variable list =
     match ts
    with [] -> []
116
    t::ts -> ddup ((collect_variables_in_term t) @
                      (collect_variables_in_term_list ts))
118
119
    (* generating a fresh instance of an implicit quantified rule by
    replacing all variables by fresh variables *)
121
    (* this prevents incorrect variable capturing *)
    let rec fresh_rule (t,ts) : rule =
123
      let bound_variables = collect_variables_in_term_list (t::ts) in
124
      let subst = map (fun (v:variable) -> (v, VarTerm(fresh())))
125
                       bound_variables in
      (lift_subst_term subst t, lift_subst_term_list subst ts)
127
129
    (* find all solutions for a list of inquery @qs using backtracking.
    * @rs_togo is the list of rules that haven't been tried to resolve
131
    * the first inquery. Os is the substitution on-the-fly so fat and
    * @sols contains all found solutions.
133
    * Ok is the continuation: yes, I use CPS style.
```

```
*)
135
    let rec solve (qs: term list)
                   (rs: rule list)
137
                   (rs_togo: rule list)
                   (s: substitution)
139
                   (sols: substitution list)
140
                   (k: substitution list -> 'a) =
141
      match qs with
142
    \mid [] -> k(s::sols) (* no more question to solve: done and @s is the last solution. *)
143
    | q1::remq -> (match rs_togo with
      | [] \rightarrow k(sols) (* no more rules to go: done and abandon @s. *)
145
      | r1::remr -> let fresh_r = fresh_rule r1 in
146
                     (match unify [(q1, fst fresh_r)] with
147
         (* cannot use the first rule_to_go: try the rest rules_to_go. *)
148
         | None -> solve qs rs remr s sols k
149
         (* solve the new sub-problems, and after that ... *)
150
         | Some sigma -> solve (lift_subst_term_list sigma (remq @ (snd fresh_r)))
151
                                rs
152
                                rs
153
                                (subst_compose sigma s)
154
                                sols
155
                                (fun nsols -> solve qs rs remr s nsols k)))
156
     (* collect solutions and continue solving the current problem *)
157
```