6 points

Synthesis Essay

Mapping software and Global Positioning System (GPS) devices are used regularly by travelers and commuters. Since these devices and apps are updated in real time with user-supplied information, they have become associated with a number of problems. While commuters attempt to avoid traffic on highways, they often create traffic on smaller, local roads that are less able to handle this new burden. Users also lose a sense of their geographical surroundings as they rely more on mapping software or GPS devices for navigation.

Carefully read the following six sources, including the introductory information for each source. Write an essay that synthesizes material from at least three of the sources and develops your position on the value, if any, of mapping software and devices.

Source A (Foderaro article)

Source B (National Research Council book)

Source C (graph from He)

Source D (Grabar article)

Source E (chart from BuildFire)

Source F (Paulas article)

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support your line of reasoning. Indicate clearly the sources used through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. Sources may be cited as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the description in parentheses.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting	Scoring Criteria				
Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row A	0 points	1 point			
Thesis	For any of the following:	Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.			
(0-1 points)	There is no defensible thesis.				
	The intended thesis only restates the prompt.				
	The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.				
	There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.				
	Decision R	ules and Scoring Notes			
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:			
	Only restate the prompt.	Respond to the prompt by developing a position on the value, if any, of mapping			
	Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred.	software and devices, rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. Clearly take a			
	• Equivocate or summarize other's arguments but not the student's (e.g., some people say it's good, some people say it's bad).	position rather than just stating there are pros/cons.			
	State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense.				
	Examples that do not earn this point:	Examples that earn this point: Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt			
	Restate the prompt				
	"Many people use GPS to get around because information can be updated by users to provide real-time traffic updates."	"GPS is valuable because it helps people get where they need to go as efficiently as possible."			
	Address the topic of the prompt but do not take a position				
	"There are some advantages and some disadvantages to using GPS."	 "Mapping apps can be a valuable tool to help people keep from getting lost. It can also make their lives easier but only if people use them when absolutely needed." 			
	Address the topic of the prompt but state an obvious fact as a claim	"Although GPS may seem like a good thing, the harm that it does to local roads and			
	"If you rely on GPS to get everywhere, you will be able to see many different neighborhoods as you navigate to your destination."	the way it affects your understanding of your surroundings mean that its disadvantages ultimately outweigh its value."			
	Additional Nator				
	 Additional Notes: The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. 				
	The thesis may be anywhere within the response.				
	 For a thesis to be defensible, the sources must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point. 				
	The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point.				
	 A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 				
	The state of the state of the state of the form of the feet of the response successfully supported that fille of reasonings				

orting egory	Scoring Criteria				
Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)	O points Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or references fewer than two of the provided sources.	1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least two of the provided sources. AND COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least three of the provided sources. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.
	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes Typical responses that earn Typical responses that e				
	O points: Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	Tend to focus on summary or description of sources rather than specific details.	 2 points: Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. 	 3 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. 	4 points: Uniformly offer evidence is support claims. Focus on the importance is specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row C	0 points	1 point			
Sophistication	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the			
(0-1 points)		rhetorical situation.			
	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes				
Responses that do not earn this point: Responses that e		Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a			
	 Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time"). 	 complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following: Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions across the sources. 			
	 Only hint at or suggest other arguments ("While some may argue that" OR "Some people say"). 	2. Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student's argument or arguments conveyed in the sources) by situating it within a broader			
Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the argument.	 context. 3. Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student's argument throughout the response. 4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. 				
	Additional Notes: This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a phrase or reference.				