An introduction to Greenfox, a schema language describing file system contents

A tutorial held at "Declarative Amsterdam", 2020-10-08.

Abstract

This document gives an introduction to Greenfox, a schema language for validating file system contents. The first part explains the main concepts, the second part describes the available constraint types.

Part 1 – concepts

What is file system validation?

The term **file system validation** is used for an evaluation of a file system tree, defined as a selected root folder and all files and folders directly or indirectly contained. The evaluation is controlled by a **Greenfox schema**, which is a set of **constraints**. The primary outcome of validation is a set of **validation results**, one result per validation of a single resource against a single constraint. The validation result is structured information which identifies the resource and the constraint, asserts **conformance** or violation and includes details about a possible violation. The validation results are mapped to a **validation report**, which is a list of results or some derived representation, namely statistical information.

And why might you care?

We are used to and appreciate declarative validation of files, using well-known schema languages like XSD, RelaxNG, JSON Schema, SHACL. Our true interest, however, is often broader — we want systems to be valid, and an important aspect of systems is file system trees — trees of folders and files. Such trees may, for example, accommodate ...

- a product to be shipped
- applications in use
- components of infrastructure
- data sources and assets
- test results
- a mixture of the aforementioned

In all cases we cannot help caring about *whether everything is as expected* - important processes depend on conformance of file system contents to expectations.

Greenfox is a proposal how to validate file system contents declaratively. It is still in an early stage, but at the end of its first year of development I believe the conceptual framework to have reached a certain maturity, capable of guiding future development. If the current scope of functionality addresses at least some of your requirements, you may obtain within hours what otherwise would cost you large developmental effort leading to lots of complex code. Using Greenfox you invest in an executable description of your expectations, rather than code. This characterization may be a slightly idealizing one, as the description may involve complex expressions which can be regarded as a sort of code; but by and large I think the statement is true. And I invite you to put it to a test.

The outline for this tutorial is this:

- A guided tour, to give you an impression of the scope, look and feel of Greenfox
- "Big picture" concepts & major features
- Overview of the available constraint types

Guided tour

Please see folder:

```
$greenfox/declarative-amsterdam-2020/tutorial
```

The material consists of eight schemas, each one adding complexity to the previous one:

```
airXY.gfox.xml (XQ = 01 ... 08)
```

For each schema, there is also a pruned variant consisting only of what has been added in the last step:

```
airXY.step.gfox.xml
```

Each schema is accompanied by a text document, giving explanations:

```
EXPLAIN-airXY.txt
```

Big picture

A "big picture" is all important for an understanding of Greenfox. Consider this analogy – how to learn the XQuery language?

(1) Learn concepts and principles; (2) Study the catalog of expressions (syntax + semantics)

A similar situation applies to Greenfox:

(1) Learn concepts and principles; (2) Study the catalog of constraints (syntax + semantics)

Once you have understood concepts and principles, it is easy to extend your knowledge iteratively, familiarizing yourself with the various types of constraints, one at a time.

Seven things

The big picture which I propose is a collection of seven concepts:

- Resources
- Constraints
- Shapes
- Target declarations
- Link definitions
- Results
- Reports

We'll deal with them one by one, and then we're done.

Resources

There are two kinds of resources – folders and files.

Constraints

A constraint is a logical function mapping a single resource to a validation result. A constraint has parameters, syntax and semantics. The syntax describes the representation of the constraint and its parameters. The semantics define how the validation result is determined. The guided tour showed you various examples, as a reminder here some further examples:

There are many kinds of constraints. The kind can be decomposed into a **type** and an optional subtype, called a **facet**. Examples: FileSizeEq, ValueLength, ValueDistinct, ValuePairCount1, ValuePairMinCount2. Constraints are represented by the content of **constraint elements**. A constraint element has a name equal to a constraint type, and attributes and child elements declaring one or several constraints of this type, each one with a different facets. Nodes can be shared by some or all constraints. In the following example, each attribute represents a constraint parameter used by one or more constraints:

Constraint element: <valuePair>

Constraint facet Constraint parameters

ValuePairCount1 @expr1XP, @expr2XQ, @count1
ValuePairMinCount2 @expr1XP, @expr2XQ, @minCount2
ValuePairLe @expr1XP, @expr2XQ, @useDatatype

Parameters are usually atomic, but there are also complex parameters, called record parameters. Example: ignoreValue parameters of a docSimilar constraint, represented by a child element with attributes:

Shapes

A shape is a container for two things: a set of constraints and a target declaration:

- The shape is represented by a <file> or <folder> element
- The constraints are represented by child elements (or descendants) of the element
- The target declaration is represented by attributes of the shape element.

Example:

```
<file uri="airports.xml">
  <fileDate gt="2020-01-01"/>
  <links exprXP="//@href"/>
```

```
</file>
```

In this example, the shape is represented by the <file> element, the target declaration by the @uri attribute and the constraints by the child elements of <file>.

Target declaration

The target declaration may take several different forms – the most common ones being a relative URI (@uri) and a Foxpath expression (@navigateFOX). Here is a different example:

```
<file hrefXP="/*/(xs:include, xs:import)/@schemaLocation"
          recursive="true">
          <value exprXP="/xs:schema/xs:redefine" empty="empty"/>
</file>
```

This target declaration is expressed by attributes @hrefXP and @recursive. It selects documents by recursively resolving URIs found in the nodes selected by an XPath expression. Independent of the kind of target declaration, the basic principle is that the *target declaration is evaluated repeatedly*, once for each resource selected by the parent shape and treating that resource as evaluation context. Consider:

Follow the trail of selection:

- The target declaration of the folder shape selects all folders xsd-* under the domain folder.
- In each of these folders, all files * .xsd are selected.
- For each of these files, all directly or indirectly imported or included XSDs are selected.

The innermost <file> shape is a child of another <file> shape. There is nothing surprising about that. A parent/child relationship between shapes does not mean that their target resources have a parent/child relationship; it means that the target declaration of the child shape is evaluated once for each resource from the target of the parent shape, treating that resource as evaluation context.

The analogy with path expression as defined by the XPath language should be noted. A path expression

E1/E2/E3

is evaluated as follows:

- Evaluate E1
- For each item in the value of E1: evaluate E2, treating that item as evaluation context
- For each item in the value of E2: evaluated E3, treating that item as evaluation context
- The items obtained are the value of the path expression

Similarly, nested shapes like

```
<domain uri="...">
     <folder TD1="...">
```

are evaluated as follows (note that attributes @TD* are placeholder for other attributes expressing a target declaration, e.g. @uri or @navigateFOX):

- Evaluate targetDeclaration TD1, treating the domain folder as evaluation context
- For each folder in the value of TD1: evaluate TD2, treating that folder as evaluation context
- For each file in the value of TD2: evaluate TD3, treating that file as evaluation context
- The files obtained are the target of the innermost file shape

Link definitions

Target declarations are mappings – they map a resource from the target of the parent shape to a set of resources added to the target of the current shape. Such mappings are also required by **binary constraints** – constraint types designed to be applied to a pair of resources. An example is the DocSimilar constraint type. Consider:

A DocSimilar constraint checks whether a given resource has content which is similar to the content of certain other resources. More precisely, the target resource is validated by comparing its contents to the contents of other resources selected by a **link definition**. What is a link definition? It specifies the mapping of a given resource called the **context resource** to a set of other resources called **link target resource**. (Distinguish between the terms *link target resource* and *shape target resource*, the latter often simply called *target resource*.)

Binary constraints use a link definition in order to determine the pairs of resources to which the checks must be applied. Resource shapes use a link definition in order to determine the resource target. Although the goals are different, the means are the same, which is supplying a link definition. As the syntactic means for specifying a target declaration (attributes and child elements) are independent of how the link targets are used, resource shapes and binary constraints support the same set of attributes and child elements available for link definition. For instance, attributes @uri, @navigateFOX and @uriXP are available in <file> elements as well as in binary constraint elements like <docSimilar> or <valueCompared>.

A link definition may be **local** – defined by attributes and child elements on the element in need of link targets; or it can be referenced by name. The schema may contain **global link defintions** which can be referenced by name. Example:

Results and Reports

What is the outcome of Greenfox validation? The validation of a file system tree against a Greenfox schema is a processing which is composed of a fundamental building block - validation of a *single resource* against a *single constraint*. The execution of such a constraint validation produces an element called a **validation result**,:

```
resource + constraint = validation-result
```

In the typical case, the validation of a single resource against a single constraint produces a single validation result. In some well-defined cases, more results can be produced. This is always the case when a binary constraint has more than one link target resource.

The validation result is an element named after a **colour** which signals conformance – red, yellow, green. In the very special case that a validation is only performed in order to assist another validation – e.g. for checking a condition defined by a Conditional constraint – this subordinate role is signaled by a composite colour - whitered, whiteyellow and whitegreen results.

The primary outcome of validating a file system tree against a Greenfox schema is a collection of validation results, which is mapped to a validation report:

```
file-system-tree + Greenfox-schema = validation-result+
validation-result+ + call-parameters = validation-report
```

Currently, the default report is statistical – it does not expose the validation results themselves. Example:

```
G reen f o x report summary
greenfox: C:/tt/greenfox/declarative-amsterdam-2020/schema/air03.gfox.xml
domain: C:/tt/greenfox/declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air
#red: 2 (2 resources)
#green: 41 (4 resources)
```

Constraint Comp	#red	#green	1
			-
FileSizeEq	_	1	-
FolderContentClosed	_	1	
FolderContentMemberFile	-	1	-
FolderContentMemberFiles	_	1 6	
FolderContentMinCount	-	1	-
TargetCount	_	1	
TargetMinCount	_	2	
ValueDatatype	_	3	
ValueEq	_	3	
ValueItemsDistinct	1	2	-
ValueLt	1	1 2	
ValueMatches	_	3	-
ValueMinCount	_	15	

Red resources:

```
F C:/declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air/airports/index/airports-denmark.xml (ValueItemsDistinct)
```

There are two red results – in order to see these red elements, repeat the call with option -r (for "red"), a report type providing all red validation results, grouped by resource:

```
<gx:validationReport ... reportType="red" reportMediatype="application/xml">
 <gx:redResources count="2">
*** C:/tt/greenfox/declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air/airports/index/airports-denmark.xml
   <gx:redResource file="C: /declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air/airports/index/airports-denmark.xml">
      <qx:red msq="IDs not distinct"</pre>
             constraintComp="ValueItemsDistinct"
             constraintPath="gx:values[1]/gx:value[5]/@distinct"
              resourceShapePath="/gx:greenfox[1]/gx:domain[1]/gx:folder[1]/gx:file[1]"
             resourceShapeID="file_2" distinct="true" valueCount="31" exprLang="xpath"
              expr="//airport/@id" quantifier="all">
       <gx:value nodePath="/airportsForCountry[1]/airport[1]/@id">607</gx:value>
       <gx:value nodePath="/airportsForCountry[1]/airport[2]/@id">607
     </gx:red>
   </gx:redResource>
   <!--
*** C:/ declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air/airports/index/airports-ireland.xml
   <gx:redResource file="C:/declarative-amsterdam-2020/data/air/airports/index/airports-ireland.xml">
      <gx:red msg="Airport too high"
             constraintComp="ValueLt"
             constraintPath="gx:values[1]/gx:value[2]/@lt"
             resourceShapePath="/gx:greenfox[1]/gx:domain[1]/gx:folder[1]/gx:file[1]"
             resourceShapeID="file 2" lt="1000"
               useDatatype="integer"
               valueCount="3"
               exprLang="xpath"
               expr="//altitude"
               quantifier="all">
       <gx:value nodePath="/airportsForCountry[1]/airport[6]/geo[1]/altitude[1]">1319/gx:value>
       <gx:value nodePath="/airportsForCountry[1]/airport[16]/geo[1]/altitude[1]">1001/gx:value>
     </gx:red>
   </gx:redResource>
 </gx:redResources>
</gx:validationReport>
```

A glance suffices to understand that validation results are very fine-grained structured information. A key goal of Greenfox is to ensure access to finest-grained information about the state of the system under investigation.

The use of validation reports may be facilitated by requesting filtered results: use options to filter by constraint type (-F) or by resource name (-R). Selection can be very fine-grained, using inclusive and exclusive name filters. The following invocation

```
gfox ... -C "value* ~*count*" -R "*ireland* *finland*"
```

filters validation results: include only results for particular constraints (matching *value*, but not matching *count*) and particular resources (matching *ireland* or *finland*).

Further important topics

Striving for a basic understanding of Greenfox, you have two main tasks:

- Familiarize yourself with key concepts and principles
- Get a cursory overview of the available constraint types

You already got an overview of the basic building blocks of validation input and output: resources, constraints, shapes, target declarations, link definitions, results and reports. The next step is learning about a set of concepts also required for understanding the logic of Greenfox validation:

- Available expression languages
- The evaluation context
- The dealing with non-XML mediatypes

- The context element of a Greenfox schema
- Link model and link resolution
- A basic syntax rule

Expression languages

These expression languages are supported:

- Foxpath
- XPath
- NodePath
- LinesPath

Foxpath

Foxpath is an extended version of XPath 3.0, supporting file system navigation, node tree navigation and mixing both within an expression. This makes it a tool for solving tasks of file system navigation with the ease and elegance you are used to from XPath. As Foxpath supports both, file system and node tree navigation, it uses two step separators, the slash (separating steps of conventional path expressions) and backslash – separating steps of file system navigation. A few examples give you an impression. You can try out the examples yourself using the fox command-line tool, found in the bin folder of the Greenfox project. Pass the Foxpath expression to the fox script. In the following example expressions, \$da2020 should be replaced with the absolute or relative path of the declarative-amsterdam-2020 folder. Any linefeeds in the examples below have been added for readability and must not be used on the command-line. Using option –b, the separators for file system and node tree navigation are backslash and slash, respectively. Without option –b, their roles are swapped.

```
fox -b "$da2020\data\air\airports\index\*"

Result: the file paths of all files and folders in the index folder
```

fox -b "\$da2020\data\\airport-*.xml\ancestor~::*[parent~::countries]\file-name()"

Result: the names of folders containing airport XML files. Note the use of reverse navigation axes

(ancestor~::, parent~::), the use of a predicate and a non-navigational path step.

Result: the file paths of XML files with a <airportsForCountry>root element and a latitude less than 10. Note the use of node tree navigation in a predicate of file system navigation.

Foxpath can deal with non-XML formats (JSON, CSV, HTML) as if they were XML, parsing them into node trees:

```
fox -b "$da2020\data\\airport-*.json[jdoc()//timezone = 0] => count()"
Result: The number of JSON airport documents containing a timezone equal 0.
fox -b "$da2020\data\air\resources\openflights\*.csv
```

[csv-doc()/csv/record[*[4] = 'Papua New Guinea']]"
Result: CSV documents with a record which holds in the fourth column the value "Papua New Guinea"

Using CSV files, parameters are available for dealing with non-comma separators and headlines. Example:

```
fox -b "$da2020\data\resources\geo\cow.csv\csv-doc(., 'semicolon', 'yes')
    /csv/record/ISOen_name[. ne ../ISOen_proper]
    /concat(., ';', ../ISOen_proper)"
```

Result: A sorted list of all pairs – ISOen_name; ISOen_proper – where the two are different (what is rare).

In Greenfox, you use Foxpath for various purposes:

- As target declaration, selecting the target resources of a shape
- As **link definition**, selecting the link target resources of a link definition
- As resource value to be checked against constraints (e.g. Foxvalue constraints)

SYNTAX RULE:

Foxpath expressions are contained by attributes with the name suffix FOX.

Examples: @navigateFOX, @exprFOX, @expr1FOX, @reflector2FOX.

XPath

XPath (version 3.1) is used for the following purposes:

- As **resource value** to be checked against constraints (e.g. Value constraints)
- As **focus node**, shifting the evaluation context to selected inner nodes
- As part of link definitions, e.g. selecting link context nodes or constructing URIs

SYNTAX RULE:

XPath expressions are contained by attributes with the name suffix XP.

Examples: @contextXP, @targetXP, @exprXP.

LinePath

LinePath expressions are XPath expressions evaluated in the context of a document obtained by representing the lines of a text file by <line> elements wrapped in a <lines> element. This enables the use of XPath expressions for evaluating text content which cannot be parsed into "normal" node trees. For example, the following LinePath expression selects a version number:

```
/lines/line[matches(.,"^{\text{version:"}}]/replace(.,"^{\text{version:}}s*(.+)\s*$", "$1")
```

SYNTAX RULE:

LinePath expressions are contained by attributes with the name suffix LP.

Examples: @exprLP, @expr1LP, @expr2LP

NodePath

NodePath is a deliberately simplistic navigation language which is used for describing document tree structure. The syntax is similar to XPath.

SYNTAX RULE:

NodePath expressions are contained by attributes with the name suffix NP.

Example: @locNP

Evaluation context

When expressions are evaluated, it is crucial to have a clear understanding of the evaluation context. The evaluation context comprises the *initial context item* and *variable bindings*.

Foxpath context

In Greenfox, the **context item** of a Foxpath expression is always a *resource URI*, not a node. As a rule, the resource is either a shape target resource (the resource which is currently validated), or a link target resource.

The choice of **context resource** (the resource providing the context item) depends on the attribute containing the expression. Ignoring a couple of exceptions (see <u>Table variable bindings</u>), the context resource is the ...

- Link target resource if the expression attribute is FoxvalueCompared/@expr2FOX
- Shape target resource otherwise

If existent, relevant nodes are made available via variable bindings:

- Nodes of the shape target resource (\$doc, \$focusNode, \$linkContext, \$lines)
- Nodes of the link target resource (\$targetDoc, \$targetNode)

The evaluation context also comprises further variables with atomic values: \$fileName, \$filePath, \$domain, as well as the name-value pairs defined by the <field> children of the <context> element. The variable bindings available in Foxpath and XPath expressions are summarized in Appendix A1: Variable bindings.

XPath context

In Greenfox, the **context item** of an XPath expression is usually a **document node** or a **focus node**. It is a focus node if the relevant constraint has a <focusNode> ancestor. A <focusNode> element selects nodes from the target documents of the containing shape. Consider this schematic example

A special rule applies if the expression is the value of attribute @expr2XP and has a sibling attribute @expr2Context with the value item. Example:

In this constellation, the expression in @expr2 is re-evaluated for each item from @expr1* and using that item as context item. In all other cases, the context item is the document node of the context resource.

The choice of **context resource** (shape target or link target) depends on the attribute containing the expression. The context resource is the ...

- Shape target resource otherwise

The same variable bindings are available as for Foxpath expressions. See Foxpath context for details.

LinePath context

The name **LinePath** is used for XPath expressions evaluated in the context of a "lines document", which is a lines> element with elements representing the lines of the file text content. The expressions are contained by attributes with a name *LP.

The evaluation context of expressions in most attributes @*LP is equal to the context of the XPath expression in an attribute with a matching name (trailing "LP" replaced by "XP"):

```
    @exprLP - same context as @exprXP
    @expr1LP - same context as @expr1XP
    @expr2LP - same context as @expr2XP
    @contextLP - same context as @contextXP
    @targetXP - same context as @targetXP
```

The context resource (shape target resource or link target resource) is however *represented by a lines document*, not by the node tree obtained by parsing the resource according to its mediatype.

Special rules apply to expressions in attributes @filter*LP and @map*LP:

- expressions in @filter*LP are re-evaluated in the context of each <line> element from the <lines> document
- expressions in @map*LP are re-evaluated in the context of each line> element selected
 by the accompanying @filter*LP

NodePath context

The **context item** of a NodePath expression is a node from the set of instance nodes represented by the parent element of the element containing the NodePath expression – or the root node of the shape target, if there is no such parent. Currently, no variable bindings are supported. Example:

Here, ...

- evaluation of expression //temporal uses as context item the root node of the target resource
- evaluation of the expressions timezone, timezoneTz and dst uses as context item a
 <temporal> element represented by the parent <node>

Dealing with non-XML mediatypes

Greenfox supports XPath-based evaluation of non-XML resources.

Node tree representations of non-XML resources

The following mediatypes are represented by node trees as returned by the corresponding parsing function of BaseX:

- JSON as returned by BaseX functions: json:parse, json:doc
- CSV as returned by BaseX functions: csv:parse, csv:doc
- HTML as returned by BaseX functions: html:parse, html:doc

For **text files** of any format (including the ones listed above) a **lines doc** representation is available, which can be navigated using **LinePath expressions**. A lines doc has a lines> root element with one child element e> per text line, containing the line as text content.

Example – the following text file (four lines):

```
createAt: 2020-10-03T20:32:31.665+02:00
status: active
version: 1.002
by: ABC/XX1
```

is represented by the following lines doc:

```
<lines>
    line>createAt: 2020-10-03T20:32:31.665+02:00</line>
    line>status: active</line>
    line>version: 1.002</line>
    line>by: ABC/XX1</line>
</lines>
```

It can be navigated using LinePath expressions (@*LP). For example, the following constraint checks the version:

```
<value exprLP="//line[starts-with(., 'version:')]/replace(., 'version:\s*', '')"
    count="1" countMsg="Missing value: timezoneZt"
    eq="1.002" eqMsg="Not the expected version"/>
```

Instead of a single LinePath expression, also a pair consisting of **line filter expression** and a **line map expression** can be used:

- (1) a **line filter** expression is an XPath expression evaluated in the context of each element
- (2) a **line map** expression is an XPath expression evaluated in the context of each element for which the line filter expression yields a true effective boolean value
- (3) the items returned by the repeated evaluation of the line map expression are combined into a value which is the value of the **line filter / line map** expression pair

Example:

```
<value filterLP="starts-with(., 'version:')"
    mapLP="replace(., 'version:\s*', '')"
    count="1" countMsg="Missing entry: version"
    eq="1.002" eqMsg="Not the expected version"/>
```

The lines doc is available for every file with text content – it is not necessary to annotate the shape element. This is different for the non-XML mediatypes parsed by BaseX functions as described above.

Shape target resource

Contrary to the generic (and somewhat primitive) LineDoc representation, specific node tree representations of non-XML mediatypes must be requested explicitly. The first approach is to "annotate" a shape as targeting resources of a particular mediatype, using attribute @mediatype with one of the values <code>json</code>, <code>csv</code>, <code>html</code>:

```
<file mediatype="json"...>...</file>
<file mediatype="csv"... >...</file>
<file mediatype="html"...>...</file>
```

Greenfox will automatically parse the target resources of the shape into a node tree representation. It is the implicit *evaluation context* for any XPath expression used in a constraint of the shape (excluding @expr2XP in binary constraints). Here comes an example where a shape targets JSON files and submits them to a value constraint based on an XPath expression:

In the case of mediatype CSV, further attributes are available for controlling the parsing of the CSV file into a node tree:

- @csv.separator the separator, identified by one of the tokens comma, semicolon, colon, tab, space, or a single character
- @csv.header a switch indicating whether the first line of the file contains column headers (value yes or no)
- @csv.format controls the representation of JSON names, if JSON names are used as XML element names (value direct) or provided by XML @name attributes (value attributes)

Link target resource

Like shape elements, also link definition elements have an optional attribute @mediatype, which may have one of the values xml, json, csv, html. When the attribute is used, link resolution includes a final step of parsing the link target into a node tree representation. If the parsing fails, link resolution as a whole is considered a failure.

A link definition has additional optional attributes controlling the parsing of CSV targets. These are the same attributes as allowed on a shape element(@csv.separator, @csv.header, @csv.format).

Expression context

The node tree representation of the current shape target resource is bound to a variable \$doc, available in any XPath or Foxpath expression used in a constraint of the shape, or in a link definition referenced by such a constraint (see Expression context). In the following example, the \$doc variable is referenced by the "second" expression of a ValueCompared constraint:

```
</file>
```

Note that @expr2XP is evaluated in the context of a *link target resource*, not the shape target resource, so that doc is required for access to data from the shape target resource. Also note that the link target resource is a CSV document, whereas the shape target resource is a JSON document. The constraint thus uses an expression evaluating JSON contents (@expr1XP) and a second expression evaluating CSV contents (@expr2XP), yet also accessing JSON contents (referencing doc).

Foxpath function calls

Independently of the current resource from the shape target, Foxpath expressions may contain function calls for parsing arbitrary non-XML resources into node trees:

- jdoc (\$uri) parses a JSON resource into a node tree
- hdoc(\$uri) parses an HTML resource into a node tree
- cdoc (\$uri) parses a CSV resource into a node tree

The function <code>cdoc()</code> has further optional parameters corresponding to the shape attributes controlling CSV parsing (@csv.separator, @csv.header and @csv.format):

```
cdoc($uri, $separator)
cdoc($uri, $separator, $headerFlag,
cdoc($uri, $separator, $headerFlag, $format)
```

The context element of a Greenfox schema

The <context> element can be used in order to define name/value pairs receiving their values from call parameters, passed in by the user requesting Greenfox validation. Before validation, the schema is modified by replacing textual variable references (syntax: \$ {varname}) with the variable values. References may be used in any attribute value. While this replacement is a purely textual operation, the evaluation context of expressions is also extended by corresponding variable bindings, enabling expressional variable references (syntax: \$varname) - references treated as subexpressions, exactly like references to other, built-in variables (see Evaluation context). As an example, when invoking the following schema

the caller controls validation by supplying a value for context variable maxDate:

```
gfox myschema.xml /a/b/c -v maxDate=2019-12-31
```

The variable is also added to the evaluation context of expressions. Here is a shape which targets files with a file date greater than the user supplied maxDate:

```
<file navigateFOX=".\\*[file-date() gt $maxDate]">...</file>
```

Note the syntactical difference between variable references evaluated as part of expressions (\$f00) and textual variable references indicating textual substitution (\$f00).

Variable names must be NCNames (names which might be used as XML names) and must not start with an underscore. A call supplying values for undefined context variables is rejected with an error message. Variable default values can be defined using a @value attribute on the <field> element:

```
<field name="maxDate" value="2019-06-30"/>
```

Values assignment can use literals (@value), Foxpath expressions (@valueFOX) or XPath expressions (@valueXP). The context item for a Foxpath expression is the URI of the schema document, the context item for an XPath expression is the root node of the schema document. For example, in the following context

```
<context>
     <field name="domain" valueFOX="ancestor~::decl*\data"/>
</context>
```

the variable value is the file path of the data folder contained by a folder matching name pattern decl* and reached by upward navigation starting at the schema document.

A call *must* provide values for all context variables without a default value. A call failing to do so is rejected with an error message. Variable references can also be used within the context. Example:

```
<context>
        <field name="extension" value="json"/>
        <field name="logFileName" value="log.msgs-${extension}.txt"
</context>
```

Several built-in context variables may be referenced as if they had been declared by the user:

domain — the resource URI of the domain folder

currentDate – the current date
 currentDateTime – the current date time

Link resolution

Speaking generally, a link definition is a function mapping a resource to other resources. This definition conveys the basic idea, but it leaves important details unclear, in particular the use of resource fragments. Greenfox is based on a detailed link model, which should be regarded as an elaboration of the basic idea, not as a replacement.

Abstract link model

A **link** is a directed association between two resources: a resource – called the link context – is mapped to another resource – called the link target. Details:

- The **link context** is either a complete resource or a resource fragment, understood as a single node from a node tree representation of resource contents.
- The **link target** is either a complete resource or a fragment, understood as a set of nodes from a node tree representation of resource contents.

A **link definition** is a mapping of input to output:

The input of a link definition is a resource URI, called the context resource URI.

- The output of a link definition is a set of links, each one described by a set of information items together called a **link resolution object**:
 - Context resource URI
 - Optionally: <u>context resource tree</u> (node tree representation of the context resource)
 - o Optionally: <u>context resource fragment</u> (a single node from the context resource tree)
 - Target resource URI
 - Optionally: <u>target resource tree</u> (node tree representation of the target resource)
 - o Optionally: <u>target resource fragment</u> (set of nodes from the target resource tree)

Note that a context resource fragment is a single node, whereas a target resource fragment is a set of nodes.

A link definition can be divided into three components:

- Context selector selection of context resource fragments (optional)
- Resource connector selection of target resources
- Target selector selection of target resource fragment (optional)

The optional **context selector** selects nodes from the link context resource. In the following example, the context selector is given by an XPath expression (@contextXP) selecting <airport> elements from the context resource:

Each selected <airport> is used as the link context of a distinct link. As the context selector is optional, the link context is determined by these rules:

- In the presence of a context selector, the link context is a node returned by the selector
- Otherwise, and if the context resource as represented by a node tree, the link context is a node from the resource tree the root node or a focus node
- Otherwise, it is the resource URI of the context resource

The mandatory **resource connector** maps the link context to target resources. In the example above, the connector is an XPath expression (@uriXP) constructing target URIs. The resource connector is re-evaluated for each link context – here, for each <airport> element returned by the context selector. Evaluation uses the link context – here, the <airport> element - as context item. The @iata attribute referenced by the expression is thus an attribute of the <airport> element. XPath and other expressions can also access the link context via variable binding \$linkContext.

If the link definition does not contain a context selector, the resource connector is evaluated only once. In this case, the context item used for XPath expressions used by the connector is the root node of the context resource, or a focus node from that resource. If the context resource cannot be parsed into a resource tree (e.g. because it is a folder), the resource connector must not use any XPath expressions requiring a context resource tree (@uriXP, @hrefXP, templateVar/@valueXP).

As the following link definition does not contain a context selector (there is no @selectXP):

the resource connector will be evaluated only once. Also here each <airport> element is mapped to a target resource URI, and therefore also here we get one link per <airport> element. These links (more precisely: these link resolution objects) do not identify a context resource fragment, thus

do not contain information about the individual <airport> element used in order to construct the target URI. When validating the target resources, dependencies on the triggering <airport> element can only be checked when using the first link definition, although both link definitions yield the same set of target resources.

The optional target selector specifies a final step of evaluation, which is applied to each resource obtained from the resource connector. Currently, the target selector can only be an XPath expression (@targetXP). The initial context item for its evaluation is the root node of a node tree representation of the target resource. Use of a target selector is therefore only possible if the target resource can be parsed into a node tree. As long as this is the case, a target selector can be added, changed or removed independently of the resource connector and the context selector, as the selection of a target fragment is a final step of evaluation without impact on any preceding step. Here comes an example how we can extend the previous link definition so that it maps each link context (<airport> element in the link context resource) to a target resource fragment which is a <geo> element:

Resource connectors

The resource connector is the key piece of a link defintion, as it maps the context resource to other resources. Such mappings may be defined in very different ways, e.g. locating target URIs in the content of the context resource, constructing target URIs from pieces of data found in the context resource contents, or evaluating a Foxpath expression. These different approaches are modeled as **connector types**: each connector type has a specific set of parameters. The current version of the Greenfox language supports six different connector types. Each connector type has a specific set of parameters, summarized in the following table. Future versions of the Greenfox language may support additional connector types, as well as additional parameters for the types currently included.

Table 1. Resource connector types and their parameters.

Connector Type	Parameter	Meaning	Note
uri	@uri	The URI of the link target.	The URI may be relative or absolute. When it is relative, it is evaluated relative to the context resource URI, however treating this context URI as if it had a trailing slash – e.g. uri="foo" selects the foo child of the context resource, not the foo sibling
uri-expression	@uriXP	XPath expression returning the URIs of the link targets.	When evaluating the XPath expression, the context item is a node from the context resource tree: a node returned by the context selector, if the link definition contains a context selector, or the root node or a focus

href-expression	@hrefXP	XPath expression returning nodes which	node, otherwise. Relative URIs are resolved against the context resource URI in the standard way (not assuming a trailing slash). Evaluation context item and resolving of relative URIs as
		contain the URIs of the link targets.	in the case of @uriXP.
uri-template	@uriTemplate	A template to be resolved to the URIs of the link targets; with placeholders for template variables defined by <templatevar> child elements</templatevar>	Template variable references are replaced by single items from the template variable values; each combination of value items yields a URI. Resolving of relative URIs as in the case of @uriXP
	templateVar/	Name of a template	Must be an NCName.
	@name templateVar/ @valueXP	xPath expression returning the variable value	Evaluation context item as in the case of @uriXP.
mirror	@reflector1URI @reflector1FOX	URI of reflector 1, or a Foxpath expression returning that URI; reflector1 is a folder containing the link context resource, which is mapped to a link target resource found at the same relative path under folder reflector2 as the context resource is found under reflector1.	When specified as a URI, it may be relative or absolute; resolving of a relative URI as in the case of @uri; when specified as a Foxpath expression, the context item is the context resource URI and the expression value must contain at most one item; URI and FOX variants may be combined with URI and FOX variants for reflector2.
	@reflector2URI @reflector2FOX	URI of reflector2, or a Foxpath expression returning that URI	A relative URI is resolved against the URI of reflector1; when specified as a Foxpath expression, the context item is the URI of reflector1; see parameter @reflector1URI or @reflector1FOX for more information.
	@reflected- -ReplaceSubstring	The URI obtained from the reflectors is modified by replacing this substring with a string supplied by @reflectedReplaceWith	Describes an optional editing of the preliminary target URI obtained from the reflectors
	@reflected- -ReplaceWith	The URI obtained from the reflectors is modified by replacing the substring supplied by @reflected-	Describes an optional editing of the preliminary target URI obtained from the reflectors

		ReplaceSubstring with this string	
foxpath	@navigateFOX	A Foxpath expression returning the link target resources.	Atomic value items are interpreted as link target URIs, and node items are interpreted as nodes from the node tree representation of a target resource

Resolving link definitions – pseudo code

The evaluation of a link definition can be described by pseudo-code (see listing below). The pseudo-code relies on four pseudo functions:

- node-tree (uri) parses a URI into a node tree;
- apply-expression(expr, context-item) evaluates an expression
- apply-connector (connector, context-point) evaluates a resource connector
- LRO(target-uri, target-tree, target-nodes, context-uri, context-tree, context-node) constructs a Link Resolution Object

Listing 1. Pseudo-code of the evaluation of a link definition. The link definition is represented by a Link Definition Object (\$LDO); evaluation output is a sequence of Link Resolution Objects (LROs).

```
LROs ($context-uri, $LDO):
  let $context-tree = null
  let $context-points :=
     if (exists($LDO.context-selector)):
       let $context-tree = node-tree($context-uri)
       apply-expression($LDO.context-selector, $context-tree)
     else:
       if ($LDO.resource-connector.requires-node-tree):
         node-tree($context-uri)
       else:
         $context-uri
  for each $context-point in $context-points:
     let $target-uris := apply-connector($LDO.connector, $context-point)
     for each $target-uri in $target-uris:
       if (exists($LDO.target-selector)):
         let $target-tree := node-tree($target-uri)
         let $target-fragment :=
             apply-expression($LDO.target-selector, $target-tree)
         LRO($target-uri, $target-tree, $target-fragment,
             $context-uri, $context-tree, $context-point)
       else:
           if ($LDO.expects-target-tree):
               let $target-tree := node-tree($target-uri)
               LRO ($target-uri, $target-tree, null,
               $context-uri, $context-tree, $context-point)
           else:
               LRO($target-uri, null, null,
               $context-uri, $context-tree, $context-point)
```

Recursive links

A link definition may be recursive (@recursive="true"). In this case the mapping of link context resource to link target resources is recursively applied to every link target returned, and the link definition maps a context resource to all target resources discovered. Example:

Link errors

The description of a link (Link Resolution Object) may include an error condition, as summarized by the following table.

Table 2. Link errors and their meaning.

Error code	Meaning
no_resource	No resource found at the link target URI.
no_text	Link target resource is binary, but a text resource was expected.
not_json	Link target resource not a well-formed JSON document.
not_xml	Link target resource not a well-formed XML document.
href_selection_not_nodes	A href selector expression yields non-node items.
no_uri	Failed to determine a link target URI.

Link constraints

A link definition may include constraints which define successful resolution of the link definition as a whole, rather than on the level of the individual links. Constraints are expressed by attributes of a <targetSize> child element, which is a child element of the link defining element. Example:

```
<linkDef
   name="airportLink"
   contextXP="//airport"
   uriXP="concat('http://example.com/airport/', @iata)">
   <targetSize
      resolvable="true"
      minCountTargetResources="10"
      countTargetResourcesPerContextPoint="1"/>
</linkDef>
```

Constraints built into a link definition are validated whenever the link definition is used. Available constraints are summarized in the <u>Table of link constraints</u>.

XML representation of a link definition

The XML representation of a link definition is a set of attributes and child elements in the content of a link defining element:

Optional context selector: @contextXP
 Optional target selector: @targetXP
 Mandatory connector: one of the following

- o @uri
- @navigateFOX
- @hrefXP

- o @uriXP
- URI template parameters:
 - @template
 - <templateVar> child elements with attributes @name and @valueXP
- Mirror parameters:
 - @reflector1URI or @reflector1FOX
 - @reflector2URI or @reflector2FOX
 - Optional: @replaceSubstring, @replaceWith
- Optional constraints: <targetSize> child element with attributes as described in the Table of link constraints

The element containing the link defining attributes and elements can be

- A linkDef> element representing a named, global link definition; the element has also a @name attribute declaring the name of the link definition
- Some other element with semantics requiring a link definition:
 - o <file>
 - o <folder>
 - o <docSimilar>
 - o <folderSimilar>
 - o <valueCompared>
 - o <foxvalueCompared>
 - o <hyperdocTree>

A syntax rule to remember

The Greenfox language supports several expression languages, and in some cases a particular detail can be expressed in alternative ways, using different languages. For example, the expression underlying a Value constraint may be expressed as an XPath expression (@exprXP), as a Foxpath expression (@exprFOX), as a LinePath expression (@exprLP) or as a pair of LinePath expressions (@filterLP, @mapLP). In other cases, increased flexibility by allowing a choice of expression languages is planned (e.g. for link context selectors). A simple naming rule should help you avoid confusion: attributes expecting an expression have a name suffix indicating the expression language:

suffix XP - value is an XPath expression
 suffix FOX - value is a Foxpath expression
 suffix LP - value is a LinePath expression
 suffix NP - value is a NodePath expression

The following table lists for each attribute name suffix the names of all attributes.

Table 3. Attribute suffixes indicating the expression language used by the value.

Attribute name	Meaning	Attribute names
suffix		
XP	Value is an XPath expression	contextXP
		expr1XP, expr2XP, exprXP
		hrefXP
		ifXP
		itemXP
		targetXP
		uriXP

		valueXP
FOX	Value is a Foxpath expression	expr1FOX, expr2FOX, exprFOX
		reflector1FOX, reflector2FOX
		valueFOX
		xsdFOX
LP	Value is a LinePath expression	expr1LP, expr2LP, exprLP
		filter1LP, filter2LP, filterLP
		map1LP, map2LP, mapLP
NP	Value is a NodePath expression	locNP

Part 2 - constraint types

Having acquired an idea of the basic concepts of Greenfox, the next thing to do is familiarize yourself with the major constraint types available (currently 19).

Overview

The following table summarizes these types, including information whether the constraint can be used for folders or files only, whether it considers the resource in isolation or in the context of other resources, and whether the constraint is concerned with resource properties or resource contents.

Table 4. The constraint types supported by Greenfox. For each constraint type, a varying number of constraint facets is available. A unary constraint is applied to single resources, a binary constraint is applied to pairs of resources. An open constraint allows impact by other resources than the one being validated and the second resource of a pair.

Constraint type	Element	File (F)	Unary/Binary (U B)	Resource
		or	1	properties (P)
		Folder (D)	Closed/Open (C O)	or content (C)
FileDate	<filedate></filedate>	F, D	U/C	Р
FileName	<filename></filename>	F, D	U/C	Р
FileSize	<filesize></filesize>	F, D	U/C	Р
FolderContent	<foldercontent></foldercontent>	D	U/C	С
Mediatype	<mediatype></mediatype>	F	U/C	С
DocTree	<doctree></doctree>	F	U/C	С
HyperdocTree	<hyperdoctree></hyperdoctree>	F, D	U/ <mark>O</mark>	С
XsdValid	<xsdvalid></xsdvalid>	F	U/C	С
Value	<value></value>	F	U/C	С
ValuePair	<valuepair></valuepair>	F	U/C	С
Foxvalue	<foxvalue></foxvalue>	F, D	U/ <mark>O</mark>	С
FoxvaluePair	<foxvaluepair></foxvaluepair>	F, D	U/ <mark>O</mark>	С
ValueCompared	<valuecompared></valuecompared>	F	B/C	С
FoxvalueCompared	<foxvaluecompared></foxvaluecompared>	F, D	B/O	С
DocSimilar	<docsimilar></docsimilar>	F	B/C	С
FolderSimilar	<foldersimilar></foldersimilar>	D	B/C	С
Link	ks>	F, D	U/O	(depends)
TargetSize	<targetsize></targetsize>	F, D	U/O	(depends)
Conditional	<conditional></conditional>	F, D	(depends)	(depends)

Constraint types - details

The section offers for each constraint type a description.

FileDate

FileDate constraints check the last-modified property of the target resource. Checks are comparisons with literal strings (greater than, equal to, etc.) or attempts to match the timestamp against string patterns or regular expressions.

Facets: eq, ne, lt, le, gt, ge, like, notLike, matches, notMatches.

Examples:

FileName

FileName constraints check the name of the target resource. Checks are comparisons with literal strings (greater than, equal to, etc.) or attempts to match the name against string patterns or regular expressions.

Facets: eq, ne, like, notLike, matches, notMatches.

Examples:

FileSize

FileSize constraints check the file size of the target resource, measured as number of bytes. Checks are comparisons with integer numbers.

Facets: eq, ne, lt, le, gt, ge.

Examples:

```
<fileSize gt="0" gtMsg="Empty files not allowed"
le="1000000" leMsg="Files larger 1MB not allowed"/>
<fileSize ne="1029920" neMsg="File size unchanged"/>
```

FolderContent

FolderContent constraints check the content of a folder – presence and absence of files and the number of files matching a name pattern. Checks may include file hash keys.

Facets: closed, minCount, maxCount, count, excludedMember

Example:

Mediatype

Mediatype constraints check the mediatype of the target resource. It is checked if the resource has one of the mediatypes XML, JSON or CSV. In case of CSV, optional additional constraints refer to the number of rows and the numbers of columns.

Facets: eq, csv.columnCount, csv.columnMinCount, csv.columnMaxCount, csv.rowCount, csv.rowMinCount, csv.rowMaxCount.

Examples:

DocTree

A DocTree constraint checks the tree structure of the target resource. It may describe the complete document tree, or any number of subtrees. The following example describes two subtrees, rooted in <temporal> and <geo> nodes found in the document tree:

A **tree descriptor** is a tree of model nodes representing:

- an instance node <node>
- a choice between alternatives- <oneOf>
- a group of nodes <nodeGroup>

The structure is fully recursive – any node may contain nodes of any kind, in any number and in any order. Note that the order of sibling nodes is irrelevant – tree structure is treated as unordered, irrespective of the mediatype (XML, JSON, ...). Every **node descriptor** (<node>) has a navigation path (@locNP) describing how instance nodes are reached from the instance nodes described by the parent node descriptor, or from the root node if there is no parent node descriptor. By default, every

node descriptor corresponds to exactly one instance node per instance node of the parent node descriptor. Different cardinality constraints can be expressed using attributes @count, @minCount and @maxCount.

Parent-child relationships within the tree descriptor are **logical**, not necessarily physical: the physical relationship is given by the navigation path. A logical parent-child relationship corresponds to a physical parent-child relationship if the navigation path has a single step along the child axis. In the following example, the logical parent-child relationships correspond to parent-child, element-attribute and more complex navigational relationships:

Tree descriptions may stop at any point, representing a complex node without its child nodes. For example, the node descriptor

```
<node locNP="temporal"/>
```

may describe a leaf node, but it may also describe an intermediate node the child nodes of which are left unspecified.

Any node descriptor is by default open, meaning that its instance nodes may contain child nodes unrelated to the tree descriptor. A node descriptor may be **closed** (@closed="true"), meaning that all child nodes of the instance nodes are described by the child node descriptors and their navigation paths. More precisely, each child node of an instance node must be matched by an initial (or only) navigation step along the child axis, occurring in the navigation path of a child node descriptor. In this example:

```
<node locNP="//airport" closed="true">
     <node locNP="country"/>
     <node locNP="geo/latitude"/>
      <node locNP="geo/longitude"/>
     </nodeGroup>
```

the instance nodes are expected to have two child nodes, <country> and <qeo>.

By default, the node names used in the path expressions are matched against the **local names** of the instance nodes. Names are interpreted as lexical QNames if <docTree> has attribute @withNamespaces equal true.

The following example shows a DocTree constraint describing two subtrees:

```
<node locNP="@latitude"/>
                <node locNP="@longitude"/>
                <node locNP="@href"/>
                <node locNP="@comment" minCount="0"/>
            </nodeGroup>
            <nodeGroup>
                <node locNP="name"/>
                <node locNP="city"/>
                <node locNP="country"/>
                <node locNP="geo/latitude"/>
                <node locNP="geo/longitude"/>
                <node locNP="geo/altitude"/>
                <node locNP="temporal"/>
                <node locNP="type"/>
                <node locNP="source"/>
                <node locNP="addInfo" minCount="0"/>
            </nodeGroup>
        </oneOf>
    </node>
</docTree>
```

HyperdocTree

A HyperdocTree constraint checks the tree structure of a virtual resource representing the *complete* target of an applied link definition: it has a <hyperdoc> root element containing one child element per link target resource, with a name and content identical to the node tree representation of that resource. In the following example, the link definition is provided by the @hrefXP node, and the hyperdoc tree describes the result of aggregating the link targets into a single document:

```
<hyperdocTree hrefXP="//@href" resolvable="true">
    <node locNP="/hyperdoc">
        <node locNP="airport" minCount="10" maxCount="unbounded">
           <node locNP="@iata"/>
            <node locNP="name"/>
            <node locNP="city"/>
            <node locNP="country"/>
            <node locNP="geo/latitude"/>
            <node locNP="geo/longitude"/>
            <node locNP="temporal">
                <node locNP="timezone"/>
                <node locNP="timezoneTz"/>
                <node locNP="dst"/>
            </node>
            <node locNP=".">
                <node locNP="addInfo/controlStartDate" minCount="0"/>
                <node locNP="addInfo/controlEndDate" minCount="0"/>
            </node>
        </node>
    </node>
</hyperdocTree>
```

XsdValid

An XsdValid constraint launches XSD validation of the target resource. It is not necessary to specify the exact XSD – one may provide a set of XSDs and leave selection of the appropriate XSD to the Greenfox processor. In the following example:

```
<xsdValid xsdFOX="$domain\data\air\resources\xsd\*.xsd"/>
```

a constraint parameter (@xsdFOX) selects all XSDs found in a particular folder. The Greenfox processor will use the appropriate schema (which matches the target root element), provided there is exactly one.

Validation may be applied to *subtrees*, rather than the whole document. Use the @selectXP parameter for selecting subtrees. For example, the following constraint,

validates all <airport> elements with child elements found in the target resource.

When the constraint element is child of a <focusNode> element, validation is applied to the focus nodes, rather than the document root element:

A selection of validation targets within the shape target may thus be achieved either via <focusNode> or/and using the constraint parameter @selectXP.

Value

A Value constraint evaluates an expression and checks the result against expectations. Checks are concerned with the number of items, their datatype, string value and string length and whether they are distinct. Options control whether all or at least one value item must conform to expectations and whether checks are applied to raw values or edited values, e.g. cast to a type or set to lowercase.

The expression is either an XPath expression or a LinePath expression. If the use of a Foxpath expression is desired, a different constraint type must be used (a Foxvalue constraint).

The following table compiles the facets supported by Value constraints.

Table 5. Check nodes available in Value constraints.

Check node	Expectation	Example	Notes
@count, @minCount,	Number of value	count="1"	There is no default –
@maxCount	items is eq/ge/le	minCount="1"	absence of count
	the attribute value	maxCount="3"	attributes means
			that the number of
			items is not
			constrained
@exists, @empty	If equal true:	exists="true"	Syntactic sugar
	equivalent to	empty="true"	
	@minCount="1"		
	and		
	@maxCount="0",		
	respectively		
@eq, @ne,	Value items	eq="airport"	Compares as strings,
@lt, @le,	eq/ne/lt/le/gt/ge	lt="1010"	unless option
@gt, @ge	the attribute value		@useDatatype is set
@like	Value items match	like="*/*"	Wildcards are * and
	the text pattern		?
@notLike	Value items do not	notLike="airport-*"	Wildcards are * and
	match the text		?
	pattern		

@matches	Value items matel	matches="^Z\d+\$"	The regay is not
@matches	Value items match	matches="^Z\d+\$" matches="-"	The regex is not
	the regex	matches= -	anchored – it may
Ou at Matakasa	Malua itawa alawat		describe a substring
@notMatches	Value items do not	notMatches="\s"	The regex is not
	match the regex	notMatches=",\S"	anchored – it may
			describe a substring
@length,	Value items have a	length="1"	Length is the number
@minLength,	string length	minLength="1"	of characters.
@maxLength	eq/ge/le the	maxLength="4"	
	attribute value		
@datatype	Value items can be	datatype="integer"	The attribute value
	cast to the	datatype="date"	must be the local
	datatype identified	datatype="boolean"	name of a datatype
	by the attribute		defined by the XSD
	value		specification
@distinct	Value items must	distinct="true"	Compares as strings,
	be distinct		unless option
			@useDatatype is set
in/eq	Value items must	<in></in>	Each child element
in/ne	match at least one	<eq>Active</eq>	of <in> defines an</in>
in/matches	of the elements	<eq>NonActive</eq>	alternative; the
in/notMatches		 <td>semantics of the</td>	semantics of the
in/like			elements is equal to
in/notLike			the semantics of an
			attribute with the
			same name and the
			same value
notin/eq	Value items must	<notin></notin>	Each child element
notin/ne	not match any of	ke>Test-*	of <notin> defines a</notin>
notin/matches	the elements		case which must not
notin/notMatches		<matches>\s</matches>	apply
notin/like			
notin/notLike		,	
contains/term	For every term	<contains></contains>	The expression value
	there must be a	<term>Summary<td>may contain also</td></term>	may contain also
	value item with	<term>References</term>	other items
	that value		other realis
sameTerms/term	For every item	<sameterms></sameterms>	The order of terms
Same remis/ term	there is an equal	<term>FRA</term>	and items may be
	term, and for every	<term>CGN</term>	different, and there
	term, and for every	<term>DUS</term>	may be different
	least one equal		numbers of
	item	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
doopEqual/torre		zdoonEquals	repetition
deepEqual/term	The n-th item is	<pre><deepequal> <torm> Fntrance </torm></deepequal></pre>	Corresponds to the
	equal to the n-th	<term>Entrance</term>	XPath function deep-
	term	<term>Exit></term>	equal()
		<term>Exit></term>	
	1		İ

The evaluation may be modified by several options – see following table.

Table 6. Option nodes available in Value constraints.

Option node	Semantics	Example	Notes
@quant	If used with the value some, conformance requires only at least one item to meet the expectation, not all	quant="some" quant="all"	Not evaluated in the case of the following checks: distinct, sameTerms, deepEqual
@useDatatype	Before comparing, value items are cast to the schema type identified by the attribute value	useDatatype="integer"	The attribute value must be the local name of a datatype defined by the XSD specification
@useString	Before comparing, value items are edited; available manipulations: Ic – set lower-case, uc – set upper-case, ns – normalize space, tr – trim leading/trailing WS sv – take string value	useString="Ic" useString="Ic ns"	The attribute value is a whitespace separated list of tokens identifying manipulations
@flags	The attribute value supplies flags used when evaluating regular expressions or string patterns	flags="i" flags="x"	Flag semantics as described in the XPath functions spec (XP flags); "x" can be useful when ignorable whitespace makes the regex more readible

The expression can be an XPath expression (@exprXP) or a LinePath expressions(@exprLP; @exprLP + @filterLP).

A few examples illustrate the use of Value constraints.

Example - check items using a text pattern:

```
<value exprXP="//temporal/timezoneTz"
    count="1" countMsg="Missing value: timezoneZt"
    like="*/*" likeMsg="timezoneTz should have */*."/>
```

Example – check items using a numerical comparison:

```
<value exprXP="//altitude"
    count="1" countMsg="Missing value: timezoneZt"
    lt="1100" likeMsg="Altitude expected to be lt 1000."
    useDatatype="integer"/>
```

Example – check items as edited values:

```
<value exprXP="//type"
    count="1" countMsg="Missing value: timezoneZt"
    eq="AIRPORT" eqMsg="Type must be AIRPORT."
    useString="uc"/>
```

Example – check items as edited values:

```
useString="uc"/>
```

Example – at least one value item must conform, rather than all items:

```
<value exprXP="//altitude"
    minCount="1" minCountMsg="Missing values: altitude"
    lt="10" ltMsg="Airport at altitude lt 10 expected."
    quant="some"
    useDatatype="integer"/>
```

Example – comparing value items with alternatives:

ValuePair

A ValuePair constraint evaluates two expressions and checks their relationship against expectations. Available checks include pair-wise and aggregated comparisons between the value items of both expressions, as well as comparisons between the value item counts. The following table compiles the facets supported by Value constraints.

Table 7. Check nodes available in ValuePair constraints.

Check node	Expectation	Example	Notes
Check node @cmp= eq ne It Ie gt ge	The items of the first expression value are eq/ne/lt/le/gt/ge the items of the second second expression value; (3) When the attitems of the first expression	Example cmp="eq" cmp="lt" cmp="le" cmp="gt" cmp="ge"	Notes Compares as strings, unless option @useDatatype is set
@cmp=in	value are Every item from the first expression value is equal to some item of the second expression value	cmp="in"	The value of the second expression may contain items not equal to any item from the first expression value
@cmp=notin	The expression values are disjunct – no item is found in both values	cmp="notin"	
@cmp=contains	Every item from the second expression value is equal to some item of the first expression value	cmp="contains"	The value of the first expression may contain items not equal to any item from the second expression value

@cmp=sameTerms @cmp=permutation	All items from the first expression value are found among the items of the second expression value, and the other way around Both expression	cmp="sameTerms" cmp="permutation"	Every item is found in both values, but order and the numbers of repetition may be different Similar to
	values contain the same distinct items with the same frequencies		"deepEqual", but allowing arbitrary order
@cmp=deepEqual	The n-th item of the first expression value is equal to the n-th item of the second expression value	cmp="deepEqual"	Corresponds to the XPath function deep-equal()
@cmpCount= eq ne lt le gt ge	The number of items of the first expression is eq/ne/lt/le/gt/ge the number of items of the second expression	cmpCount="eq" cmpCount="It" cmpCount="ge"	Compares the item counts, not the items themselves
@count1, @minCount1, @maxCount2	The number of value items of the first expression is eq/ge/le the attribute value	count1="1" minCount1="1" maxCount1="99"	There is no default – absence of count attributes means that the number of items of the first expression is not constrained
@count2, @minCount2, @maxCount2	The number of value items of the second expression is eq/ge/le the attribute value	count2="1" minCount2="1" maxCount2="99"	There is no default – absence of count attributes means that the number of items of the second expression is not constrained

The evaluation may be modified by several options – see following table.

Table 8. Option nodes available in ValuePair constraints.

Option node	Semantics	Example	Notes
@quant	If used with the value some,	quant="some"	Not evaluated in the
	conformance requires only	quant="someForEach"	case of the following
	at least one item of the first	quant="all"	@cmp values:
	expression value to meet		sameTerms,
	the expectation, not all.		deepEqual
	If used with the value		
	someForEach,		
	conformance requires for		
	each item of the first		
	expression at least one item		
	of the second expression to		

	meet the expectation. This variant can be useful when the second expression is reevaluated for each item of the first expresion (see below, option expr2Context)		
@useDatatype	Before comparing, value items are cast to the schema type identified by the attribute value	useDatatype="integer"	The attribute value must be the local name of a datatype defined by the XSD specification
@useString	Before comparing, value items are edited; available manipulations: Ic – set lower-case, uc – set upper-case, ns – normalize space, tr – trim leading/trailing WS sv – take string value	useString="Ic" useString="Ic ns"	The attribute value is a whitespace separated list of tokens identifying manipulations
@expr2Context	If used with the value item, the second expression is evaluated repeatedly, once per item of the first expression value and using that item as context item	expr2Context="item" expr2Context="context1"	If the value is context1, the second expression is evaluated only once, using the same context item as used for the first expression

The expressions can be <u>XPath</u> expressions (@expr1XP, @expr2XP), <u>LinePath</u> expressions (@expr1LP, @expr2LP; @filter1LP + @map1LP, @filter2LP + @map2LP) or a combination of both – e.g. @expr1XP, @expr2LP.

A few examples illustrate the use of Value constraints.

Example – the value items must all be equal:

Example – referenes must be a subset of IDs:

Example – the second expression is evaluated for each item of the first expression value:

Foxvalue

A Foxvalue constraint is very similar to a Value constraint: a resource is mapped to a value which is checked against expectations. The only difference is the kind of expression, which here is a Foxpath expression, rather than an XPath or LinePath expression. The constraints are nevertheless distinguished in order to emphasize a difference of meaning: while a Value constraint checks resource contents and is independent of other resources, a Foxvalue constraint checks a resource in terms of its environment, usually involving file system navigation and the inspection of other resources. A Foxvalue constraint is often used for checking folder contents, but it may also be applied to files, e.g. verifying that a resource is accompanied by another resource with particular content.

The check nodes and option nodes of a Foxvalue constraint are identical to the check nodes and option nodes of a Value constraint.

Example: check the distinctness of items found in folder contents.

Example: check integer values found in folder contents for a limit value:

FoxvaluePair

Like a ValuePair constraint, a FoxvaluePair constraint uses two expressions in order to map the resource to a couple of values and checks their relationship. Different from a ValuePair constraint, however, one or both expressions are Foxpath expressions, evaluated in the context of the resource URI, rather than a node from resource contents. Validation semantics are identical to the semantics of a ValuePair constraint - see checknodes of a ValuePair constraint.

A FoxvaluePair constraint can be used in order to verify folder contents in sophisticated ways, especially considering aggregated resource contents, rather than only resource names. The following example checks that aggregated XML resources contain the same IDs and associated frequencies as aggregated JSON resources:

A second example demonstrates the validation of a file resource against a FoxvaluePair constraint. The check is based on a combined use of a Foxpath and an XPath expression. The country names occurring in a file are the same as the country names occurring in all resources in the containing folder:

```
<foxvaluePair
  expr1XP="//@country" minCount1="5"
  expr2FOX="..\(*.xml//(@country, country), *.json\jdoc(.)//country)"</pre>
```

```
cmp="sameTerms"
cmpMsg="Countries and index file and index folder must be the same"/>
```

ValueCompared

A ValueCompared constraint is similar to a ValuePair constraint, as two expressions are evaluated and the relationship between their values is checked. But while the expressions of a ValuePair constraint are both evaluated in the context of the shape target, a ValueCompared constraint has one expression evaluated in the shape target and the other expression evaluated in the context of a second resource. A ValueCompared constraint thus checks a pair of resources, rather than a single resource.

The second resource is determined by evaluating a <u>Link definition</u>. The expression values are checked in exactly the same way as in a ValuePair constraint – see <u>checknodes of a ValuePair constraint</u>.

The link definition can be referenced by name (@linkName) or specified locally by <u>link defining</u> attributes.

Example: a resource pair is validated by checking different value pairs for equality:

```
<valuesCompared
    navigateFOX="..\..\json\fox-child(\fileName, '.xml\foots', '.json')"
    mediatype="json"
    countTargetResources="1">
    <valueCompared
    expr1XP="//latitude" count1="1"
    expr2XP="//latitude" count2="1"
    cmp="eq" cmpMsg="Latitude different in XML and JSON airports"/>
    <valueCompared
    expr1XP="/*/@icao" count1="1"
    expr2XP="//icao" count2="1"
    cmp="eq" cmpMsg="ICAO codes different in XML and JSON airports"/>
    </valueScompared>
```

The second resource is determined by a local link definition, defined by attributes (@navigateFOX, @mediatype) on <valuesCompared>. Alternatively, the link definition may be referenced by name (@linkName):

```
<linkDef
   name="myJSON"
   navigateFOX="..\..\json\fox-child(\fileName, '.xml\forall', '.json')"
   mediatype="json"/>
...
<valuesCompared
   linkName="myJSON"
   countTargetResources="1">
...
</valuesCompared>
```

When the link definition yields multiple link target, a binary constraint like ValueCompared is evaluated for each pair combining the shape target with one of the link targets:

FoxvalueCompared

A FoxvalueCompared constraint is similar to a ValueCompared constraint, as pairs of resources are checked by inspecting a pair of values produced by a pair of expressions. Different from a ValueCompared constraint, one or both expressions are Foxpath expressions, rather than XPath or LinePath expressions. Validation semantics are identical to the semantics of a FoxvaluePair or a ValuePair constraint - see checknodes of a ValuePair constraint.

A FoxvalueCompared constraint can validate a pair of folders by comparing aggregated contents. This enables highlevel check, roughly comparable with a checksum. In the following example, two folders are validated by making sure that the aggregated geo coordinates which they contain the same distinct values and associated frequencies:

DocSimilar

A DocSimilar constraint checks a file by comparing its content (node tree representation) to the content (node tree representation) of another file identified by a link definition. The link definition is supplied by attributes on the constraint element (e.g. @navigateFOX) or by referencing a global link definition (@linkName) (see <u>Link definitions</u>). When no document modifiers are used, conformance requires both documents to be deep-equal. Example:

Document modifiers define a manipulation applied to the documents before comparing them. Using modifiers, the definition of similarity can be controlled in a fine-grained way. In the following example, document comparison ignores differences between the values of @timestamp attributes:

Other document modifiers describe items to be altogether ignored, to be edited or to be reordered – see the table below.

Table 9. Document modifiers of DocSimilar constraints.

Modifier	Effect	Parameters	Parameter effect
element			
<ignorevalue></ignorevalue>	The string value of selected items is ignored.	#Item selectors: @kind, @localName @namespace	A set of parameters defining a selection of items; see table below for details
		@parentLocalName	

		@parentNamespace @ifXP @itemXP	
<skipvalue></skipvalue>	The existence, cardinality and content of selected items is ignored.	#Item selectors (see <ignorevalue>)</ignorevalue>	See <ignorevalue></ignorevalue>
<editvalue></editvalue>	The text content is edited.	Item selectors (see <ignorevalue>)</ignorevalue>	See <ignorevalue></ignorevalue>
		@useString	Whitespace-separated tokens encoding manipulations: lc = set to lowercase, uc = set to uppercase, ns = normalize whitespace, tr = trim leading and trailing whitespace
		@replaceSubstring @replaceWith	A substring to be replaced A substring replacing the substring specified by @replaceSubstring
sortDoc	Parts of the document are reordered	localNames	Whitespace-separated list of names or name patterns; if used, only elements with a matching local name are considered for reordering their contents
		orderBy	If equal localName, items are reordered by local name; if equal keyValue, items are reordered by a sort key identified by @keyValueName
		keySortedLocalName	Evaluated if \$orderBy is keyValue: the local name of the sibling elements to be reordered
		@keyLocalName	Evaluated if \$orderBy is keyValue: the local name of the element or attribute used as a sort key; if an attribute is used, the name is preceded by @.

Table 10. Item selectors used by document modifiers of DocSimilar constraints. The results of multiple selectors are intersected.

Selector attribute	Filter effect	Example
@kind	If equal attribute,	kind='attribute '
	selected items are	kind='element'

	attributes, otherwise they	
	are elements	
@localName	Local names of selected	localName='timestamp'
	items	localName='timestamp lastModified'
@namespace	Namespace URIs of	namespace='http://example.com'
	selected items	namespace='http://abc/ns http://xyz/ns'
@parentLocalName	The parent of selected	parentLocalName='airport station'
	nodes has one of these	
	local names	
@parentNamespace	The parent of selected	parentNamespace='http://example2.com'
	nodes have one of these	
	namespaces	
@ifXP	Evaluated in the context	ifXP='count(alterNames) gt 1 '
	of selected items, the	, , , ,
	XPath expression has a	
	true effective boolean	
	value	
@itemXP	XPath expression	itemXP='not(exists(preceding-
	returning selected items	sibling::alterNames))

A few examples illustrate the use of document modifiers.

Example – ignore the string value of selected items:

Example – ignore the existence, frequency and content of selected items:

Example – sort document contents alphabetically, and sort airport sibling elements by ID:

FolderSimilar

A FolderSimilar constraint checks a folder by comparing its contents to the contents of another folder identified by a link definition. The link definition is supplied by attributes on the constraint element (e.g. @navigateFOX) or by referencing a global link definition (@linkName) (see <u>Link definitions</u>).

Child elements of the constraint element can be used in order to exclude folder members from the comparison. When excluding, exclusion may be limited to the shape target folder (@where="here") or the link target folder (@where="there"). Exclusion may also be limited to files (<skipFiles>), limited to folders (<skipFolders>) or refer to files and folders alike (<skipMembers>).

Excluded members are identified by a whitespace separated list of names or name patterns. Example:

Note that "content" is here perceived as a list of file and folder names only – it does not consider the contents of folder members. Consider using a <u>FoxvalueCompared</u> constraint when you want to compare folders in a way which takes member contents into account.

Link

A Link constraint checks the result of applying to the shape target resource a link definition. Available checks include various cardinality constraints and whether URI references can be resolved to resources. Apart from these explicit constraints, a failure to parse the link target resource into the expected mediatype also causes a constraint violation. Note that an expected mediatype (@mediatype) is part of the link definition, not a constraint.

A Link constraint is represented by a links> element. The link definition is supplied by link defining attributes - e.g. @navigateFOX or @hrefXP - or by referencing a global link definition (@linkName; see Link definitions).

The checks are represented by constraint attributes of the <links> element (see table below). When the <links> element references a global link definition (via @linkName), any constraints built into the link definition (declared within the referenced <linkDef> element) are added to the referencing Link constraint. In case of conflict – global link definition and a referencing constraint element declare the same kind of check – the check declared by the constraint element overrides the check declared within the link definition. For instance, if link definition and constraint element both declare a minimum number of link target resources, the value from the constraint element overrides the value from the link definition.

Table 11. Constraints which may be included in a link definition. For each @count* constraint, there is also a corresponding @minCount* and a corresponding @maxCount* constraint. When no context selector is used (link definition without @contextXP), there is only one context point and therefore the constraints with suffix PerContextPoint are treated like the corresponding constraint without suffix.

Constraint attribute	Meaning
@resolvable	If true, the target URI must point to an existing
	resource
@countTargetResources	The number of target resources, per context resource
@countTargetResourcesPerContextPoint	The number of target resources, per context resource
	fragment (or per context resource, if no context
	selector has been defined)
@countTargetDocs	The number of target resources successfully parsed
	into a node tree, per context resource
@countTargetDocsPerContextPoint	The number of target resources successfully parsed
	into a node tree, per context resource fragment (or
	per context resource, if no context selector has been
	defined)

@countTargetNodes	The number of nodes contained in the target
	fragment, per context resource
@countTargetNodesPerContextPoint	The number of nodes contained in the target
	fragment, per context resource fragment (or per
	context resource, if no context selector has been
	defined)

A few examples illustrate the use of Link Constraints.

Example – a local link definition (supplied by @hrefXP) can be resolved to at least three target resources; when resolving, any target URI is found to point to an existent resource:

```
<links hrefXP="//*:href"
    resolvable="true" resolvableMsg="Link cannot be resolved"
    minCountTargetResources="3"
    minCountTargetResourcesMsg="More link targets expected."/>
```

Example – a global link definition (referenced by @linkName) can be resolved to exactly one target resource:

```
<links linkName="jsonDoc"
    resolvable="true" resolvableMsg="Link cannot be resolved"
    countTargetResources="1"
    countTargetResourcesMsg="Not exactly one JSON representation found."/>
```

Example – XSD include's and import's can be resolved recursively; while resolving, every schema location was found to point to an existent resource, and at least 10 schemas have been involved:

```
<links hrefXP="//(xs:include, xs:import)/@schemaLocation"
    recursive="true"
    resolvable="true" resolvableMsg="Dangling schema reference"
    minCountTargetResources="10"
    minCountTargetResourcesMsg="Expected gt 10 schemas included or imported"/>
```

TargetSize

A TargetSize constraint checks the outcome of selecting a target. A target is a set of resources or nodes to which validation shall be applied (shape target), or which are the result of applying a link definition to a resource (link target). The focus nodes selected from a shape target resource can also be regarded as a target. Accordingly, the constraint element (<targetSize>) can occur as child element of a shape element (<file> or <folder>), a link definition element (linkDef) or a focus declaration (<focusNode>).

The available constraint facets depend on whether the target is selected by applying a link definition or by evaluating an XPath expression. When a link definition is involved (shape target and link target), the available constraint facets are identical to the facets of a Link constraint (see Constraint nodes of a Link constraint). A target selected by an XPath expression (focus nodes), on the other hand, supports only @count, @minCount and @maxCount checks. The available constraint attributes of a <targetSize> element thus depend on the parent element: in the cases of <file>, <folder> and and hkDef>, it is the complete set of facets supported by a Link constraint; in the case of <focusNode> it is a small subset of these.

Example – a TargetSize constraint applied to a file shape:

When a <targetSize> constraint refers to the target of a shape (here: a file shape), the results of constraint validation refer to the target resource from the *parent* shape (here: a folder shape with a relative URI data/air) which was the evaluation context when determining the shape target.

Example – a TargetSize constraint applied to a folder shape:

The constraint is violated if the current folder does not have a "mirror folder", so that folder and mirror folder are found at the same relative path under the folders air and air.reference, respectively.

Example – a TargetSize constraint applied to a link definition:

In this example, the link definition is constrained in different ways:

- Every URI constructed by the expression in @uriXP must point to an existent resource
- Per context resource at least 10 target resources are found
- Exactly one target resource is found for each <airport> element used as a link context

When the link definition is referenced – for example by a binary constraint like a DocSimilar constraint – these "built-in" TargetSize constraints are checked and treated as if they were facets of the link referencing constraint.

Example – a TargetSize constraint applied to a focus node declaration:

A <targetSize> constraint which is child of a <focusNode> declaration cannot have other facets than @count, @minCount, @maxCount.

Conditional

A Conditional Constraint is a composite consisting of conditional constraints and effective constraints. *Conditional* constraints are evaluated in order to determine which (if any) constraints are evaluated in order to obtain validation results. These are called *effective* constraints. The minimal structure is illustrated by a simple example:

The <if> clause contains one or more constraints which are evaluated in order to determine if the associated <then> clause is effective. If none of the constraints in the <if> clause has a red result, the <then> clause is effective: its constraints are evaluated, and their results are the results of the ConditionalConstraint. If at least one constraint in the <if> clause has a red result, the constraints of the <then> clause are not evaluated.

In this simple example, the ConditionalConstraint does not produce results if the <if> clause has a red result. The constraint may, however, contain one or more <elseif> branches which are treated in the same way as the initial <if> clause: the result of the ConditionalConstraint is the result of the <then> branch associated with the first <if> or <elseif> branch without red results. There may also be an <else> clause containing constraints which are evaluated if none of the preceding <then> branches was evaluated. The general structure may thus be summarized schematically as follows:

Using the following shorthand:

<constraint/>+ - one or more constraint elements
 <elseif>* - zero or more <elseif> elements
 <else>? - zero or one <else> elements

Conditional constraints — all constraints enclosed by an <if> or <elseif> element — produce results which are treated in a special way:

- Green results are changed into whitegreen results and added to the overall results
- Red results are changed into whitered results and added to the overall results
- Yellow results are changed into whiteyellow results and added to the overall results

The content of a white* result is identical to the content of a corresponding non-white result – the only difference is the colour. These special colours allow to ignore or consider conditional results, yet never confuse them with normal results. By default, a validation report does not include any representation of white* results. Appropriate options can be used in order to have white* results included in the report.

Constraint types - examples

The folder \$greenfox/declarative-amsterdam-2020/demo-constraints contains for each constraint type one or several example schemas. Note that the examples are not meant to

give a comprehensive overview of the possibilites. Rather, they should give a feeling what can be achieved using that constraint type, and how using the constraint type looks.

Appendix A1: Variable bindings – reference

This appendix describes all variable bindings available in Foxpath and XPath expressions.

Table A1. Variable bindings available in Foxpath and XPath expressions. The first row (@*FOX, @*XP) applies to all expressions, remaining rows only to expressions in attributes with a name matching the first column and only if the conditions (last column) are satisfied.

Expression	Context	Variable name	Variable value	Conditions (C)
attribute	resource			or remark (R)
@*FOX	Shape or link	\$doc	Root node of	C: Shape target
@*XP	target resource		the shape	resource can be
			target resource	parsed into a node
(except				tree
field/@valueFOX,		\$focusNode	Current focus	C: Relevant
field/@valueXP)			node	constraint has a
				<focusnode></focusnode>
				parent
		\$lines	Node	-
			representation	
			of content lines	
			of the shape	
			target resource	
		\$fileName	File name of	-
			the shape	
			target resource	
		\$filePath	URI of the	-
			shape target	
			resource	
		\$domain	URI of the	-
			domain folder	
		Names (@name)	User-supplied	-
		of all <field></field>	value, if	
		elements in the	available;	
		<context></context>	otherwise the	
			alue from the	
			@value or the	
			expression	
			value from the	
			@valueFOX or	
			@valueXP	
			attribute on	
			the respective	
			<field></field>	
@ avv 250Y	Change	[and	element	D. If the country of
@expr2FOX	Shape or link	[see	[see	R: If the attribute
@expr2XP	target resource	@*FOX, @*XP]	@*FOX, @*XP]	belongs to a
				<pre><*Compared> element, the context</pre>
				resource is the link
				target, otherwise the shape target
				resource

	T	¢172 1110	Malua abtainad	D. The complete
		\$value	Value obtained from sibling attribute @expr1* (*=FOX XP LP)	R: The complete value, not a single item
		\$item	An item returned by @expr1* (*=FOX XP LP); can be a node or an atom	C: The attribute has a @expr2Context sibling with value item
		\$linkContext	Link context item (a content node, the root node or the URI)	C: The attribute belongs to a <*Compared> element (*= Foxvalue Value)
		\$targetDoc	Root node of link target resource	C: The attribute belongs to a <*Compared> constraint with a link target resource parsed as a node tree (*= Foxvalue Value)
		\$targetNode	A node from the link target resource	C: The attribute belongs to a <*Compared> constraint with a link definition containing a target selector (@targetXP) (*= Foxvalue Value)
@targetXP	Link target resource	[see @*FOX, @*XP]	[see @*FOX, @*XP]	[see @*FOX, @*XP]
		\$linkContext	Link context item (content node, root node or URI)	-
@reflector2FOX	Foxpath value of sibling attribute @reflector1FOX, or literal value of sibling attribute @reflector1URI	[see @*FOX, @*XP]	[see @*FOX, @*XP]	[see @*FOX, @*XP]
field/@valueFOX field/@valueXP	Containing Greenfox schema	Variable names (@name) of preceding <field> sibling elements</field>	For each <field> sibling element: user- supplied value, if available;</field>	-

otherwise the
value from the
@value or the
expression
value from the
@valueFOX or
@valueXP
attribute on
that <field></field>
element