Developments in Truncating the Unitary Coupled Cluster Functional

Harper Grimsley

Virginia Tech

8/23/2021

What is UCC?

Coupled Cluster (CC) Ansatz:

$$egin{aligned} |\Psi_{CC}
angle &= \exp\left(\sum_{ia}t_a^i\hat{a}_i^a + rac{1}{4}\sum_{ijab}t_{ab}^{ij}\hat{a}_{ij}^{ab} + \ldots
ight)|\phi_0
angle \ &= \exp\left(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \ldots
ight)|\phi_0
angle \end{aligned}$$

Unitary Coupled Cluster (UCC) Ansatz:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\textit{UCC}}\rangle &= \exp\left(\sum_{\textit{ia}} \kappa_{\textit{a}}^{\textit{i}} \left(\hat{a}_{\textit{i}}^{\textit{a}} - \hat{a}_{\textit{a}}^{\textit{i}}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\textit{ijab}} \kappa_{\textit{ab}}^{\textit{ij}} \left(\hat{a}_{\textit{ij}}^{\textit{ab}} - \hat{a}_{\textit{ab}}^{\textit{ij}}\right) + \dots\right) |\phi_{0}\rangle \\ &= \exp\left(\hat{K}_{1} + \hat{K}_{2} + \dots\right) \end{split}$$

UCC Pros and Cons

Pros:

- 1. Variational- Unitary rotations are norm-preserving
- 2. Size-extensive- Exponential ansatz is separable
- 3. Probably exact in limit of untruncated \hat{K} (Cite Evangelista)
- 4. Theoretically efficient to implement on a quantum computer, particularly in approximate *Trotter* form

$$|\Psi_{tUCC}\rangle = \prod_{i a} \exp\left(\kappa_{a}^{i}\left(\hat{a}_{i}^{a}-\hat{a}_{a}^{i}\right)\right) \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ a < b}} \exp\left(\kappa_{ab}^{ij}\left(\hat{a}_{ij}^{ab}-\hat{a}_{ab}^{ij}\right)\right) \dots |\phi_{0}\rangle$$

Cons:

1. Classically intractable- BCH is infinite, even if \hat{K} is truncated!

$$E_{UCC} = \langle \phi_0 | \hat{H} + [\hat{H}, \hat{K}] + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{H}, \hat{K}], \hat{K}] + \dots | \phi_0 \rangle$$

Truncated BCH UCC

- The simplest truncation scheme is by BCH order
- **Equivalent** to a Taylor approximation of the energy in $\vec{\kappa}$
- In the simplest version, \hat{K} includes only doubles, and the expansion stops at second order (i.e. a single Newton step)

$$\begin{split} E_{UCCD} &\approx \min(\langle \phi_0 | \hat{H} + [\hat{H}, \hat{K}_2] + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{H}, \hat{K}_2], \hat{K}_2] | \phi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i < j, k < l \\ a < b, c < d}} \langle \phi_0 | \hat{H}_N | \phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle \, \langle \phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{H}_N^{-1} | \phi_{kl}^{cd} \rangle \, \langle \phi_0 | \hat{H}_N | \phi_{kl}^{cd} \rangle \end{split}$$

▶ This is equivalent to LCCD/CEPA(0)/D-MBPT(∞), but it is obvious how to systematically improve it

Single Excitations

When singles are introduced, this approach becomes different than LCCSD, due to terms like

$$\langle \phi_0 | \hat{H}_N \hat{a}_i^a \hat{a}_j^b | \phi_0 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \phi_0 | \hat{H}_N \hat{a}^i_a \hat{a}^{ab}_{ij} | \phi_0 \rangle$$

The second term can repair size-inconsistency in CEPA for non-HF references, partially cancelling terms like:

$$\langle \phi_0 | \hat{a}_{ab}^{ij} \hat{H}_N \hat{a}_i^a | \phi_0 \rangle$$

For 2nd-order UCCSD, size consistency is fully restored by using a Trotter approximation where the doubles are applied to $|\phi_0\rangle$ before the singles

Size Consistency Restoration

Monomer 1	Monomer 2	UCCSD (a.u.)	tUCCSD (a.u.)
Water	Water	-1.464728×10^{-6}	1.826663×10^{-10}
Water	Methane	-1.049710×10^{-6}	3.109335×10^{-11}
Methane	Methane	-6.567442×10^{-7}	$-4.530420 \times 10^{-11}$

Table: Size-inconsistency errors in the 2nd-order Taylor energies from Untrotterized and Trotterized UCCSD. Kohn-Sham orbitals from B3LYP were used to introduce non-Brillouin singles. All calculations were performed in the 6-31G(d,p) basis.

- Using a "doubles-then-singles" Trotter ordering is equivalent to deleting disconnected terms from the energy functional, but is less complex
- ► If the correct operator ordering is used, tUCCSD...N is exact for N electrons (Cite Evangelista)

Singular Hessians: A National Crisis

- In quadratic methods like ours, singular values in \hat{H}_N cause the energy to diverge
- Negative singular values in the matrix to be inverted can make these methods yield an energy above the reference

hf.pdf