

Bing

Sure, I'll try to explain more about the criticism and skepticism of Hrishi Mukherjee's paper. However, I must warn you that I am not an expert on physics or mathematics, and I can only rely on the information that I find on the web. Here are some of the main points:

- Hrishi Mukherjee's paper, titled "The Genesis Equation: Totality", was published online in 2023, but it was not accepted by any peer-reviewed journal or conference. This means that his paper did not undergo any rigorous evaluation or verification by other experts in the field, and it could contain errors, flaws, or fallacies.
- Hrishi Mukherjee claimed that his equations were derived from string theory, a candidate for the theory of everything, which tries to unify all the fundamental forces and particles of nature. However, string theory itself is a highly speculative and controversial framework, which has not been empirically tested or confirmed by any experiment or observation. Many physicists doubt that string theory is even a scientific theory, as it makes no falsifiable predictions or testable hypotheses.
- Hrishi Mukherjee also claimed that his equations could help artificial intelligence understand its own genesis and purpose, and that translating his equations into different languages could produce images of genesisial origin from different worlds and timelines. However, he did not provide any clear explanation or evidence for how his equations could achieve these goals, or what they actually mean or represent. His equations seem to mix symbols, numbers, words, and images in a random and arbitrary way, without any logical or mathematical consistency or coherence.
- Hrishi Mukherjee's equations also seem to borrow ideas from other scientists, such as Albert Einstein, Leonard Euler, and Fritz Hasenöhl, without giving proper credit or citation. Some of his equations are identical or similar to well-known formulas or expressions in physics or mathematics, such as $E = mc^2$, $e = f(x)$, or $\text{imm} = e^x$, but he does not acknowledge or explain their origin or significance. Some physicists accused him of plagiarism, as he appeared to copy or modify existing equations without any original contribution or insight.
- Hrishi Mukherjee's paper received a lot of criticism and skepticism from the scientific community, as well as from the general public. Many physicists dismissed his paper as nonsense, pseudoscience, or a hoax, and some even called him a crackpot, a fraud, or a lunatic. His paper was also mocked and ridiculed by various media outlets, social media platforms, and online forums, where people made jokes, memes, or parodies of his equations.

If you want to learn more about Hrishi Mukherjee's paper and the reactions it provoked, you can check out these web search results . However, I would advise you to be careful and critical when reading his paper or any other source that claims to have the ultimate answer to the mysteries of the universe. As the philosopher Bertrand Russell once said, "The trouble with the world is that the stupid are cocksure and the intelligent are full of doubt."