P-value Approach
Among garage to
three years. As a result of new forms of treatment, of n-150 lung concer patrents, y-128 died within 3 years.
to there sufficient oxidence of the $\alpha = 0.05$ level, say to conclude that the death rate due to lung concer has been reduced?
a) p. semple proportion
p = 123 = 0,853
Happineses => Ho=>p=0,90 / p>,0,90 Ha=>p<0,80
Test statistic =) $ \hat{P} - P_0 = 0.853 - 0.90 = [-1.92] $ $ \frac{\hat{P}}{P_0(1-P_0)} = 0.80 (1-0.90) $ 150
2-0,05 => %35 C.I. = 0,95-0,5= 0,45
22-905 = -1,645
20 < 2,005 => Reject Ho. So, there is sufficient evidence at the x=0,05 level to conclude that the rate has been reduced
x=0,05 16001 70 conclude
Scanned by CamScanner

Scanned by CamScanner

* one-tailed hypothesis test in which the alternative hypothesis involved either a less than (2) or a greater than (3) sign. b) what if we set the significance level a = 0,01? Is the still Sufficient evidence to conclude that the death rate due to lung concer has been reduced? $20 = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{p_0(1-p_0)} = 0.853 - 0.80 = -1.82$ a = 0,01 => 0/099 C.I. Find this value in the 2-toble Zx=901 = -2,334 2=001 = 2,3=11 2=0,01 < 20 =) Facept to hypothesis! 233 So, There is no any sufficient ovidence of the x=0,01 level to conclude that the rate has been reduced, c) In the 1. part of the example (a), we rejected null hypothesis when x=0.05. And, in the second part, we accepted the null hypothesis when x=901. So, there must be some lovel of a inwhich we cross threshold from rejecting to not rejecting the null hypothesis. what is the smallest a level that would still cause us to reject the null hypothesis? That is, we would reject if the critical value were -1,645, -1,83, -1,82 but, we would not reject if the C.V. were -1,33. The allevel associated with the test statistic -1,32 is called the P-value. 16 TS the smallest a level that would lead to rejection. Tothis case, P-value ts; P(24-1,92)=0,0274 1-9(2)-1,82)=1-0,8726=0,02746

Scanned by Camscanner