

MTH201-1

1. THE REAL NUMBER SYSTEM

Some idea about the real number system and its properties.

Integers, denoted by \mathbb{Z} : set with operations $+, -, \times$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ $a \geq b$ if and only if $a - b \geq 0$.

Positive integers are denoted by \mathbb{N} .

Rational Numbers, denoted by \mathbb{Q} : set with operations $+, -, \times, \div$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a \geq b$ if and only if $a - b \geq 0$. New things emerge if we combine the operations with the rational numbers.

We denote the set of real numbers by \mathbb{R} . Recall a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

The set of real numbers \mathbb{R} has the following fundamental properties:

Algebraic properties: closed under addition and multiplication, commutative law, associative law and distributive property.

Order properties: for any two real numbers a, b , $a \geq b$ if and only if $a - b \geq 0$. Following are some of the properties:

- (i) $a \geq b \implies a + c \geq b + c$
- (ii) $a \geq b$ and $c \geq 0 \implies ac \geq bc$
- (iii) $a \geq b, c \leq 0 \implies ac \leq bc$

Recall that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

$|x|$ is called the absolute value of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Following are some properties of the absolute value:

- (i) $|a| = 0 \iff a = 0$
- (ii) $a \leq |a|$
- (iii) $|-a| = |a|$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- (iv) $|ab| = |a||b|$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$
- (v) if $r \geq 0$, then $|a| \leq r \iff -r \leq a \leq r$.
- (vi) Triangle inequality: $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$.

Proof. $a \leq |a|$, so $-|a| \leq a \leq |a|$. Similarly, $-|b| \leq b \leq |b|$. Adding up, $-(|a| + |b|) \leq a + b \leq |a| + |b|$. Hence, $|a + b| = -(a + b)$ or $(a + b)$, both of which are $\leq |a| + |b|$. \square

Order completeness property of \mathbb{R} : Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then A is *bounded above* if there is a real number x_0 such that $a \leq x_0$, for every element a of A . If there exists a real number y_0 such that $y_0 \leq a$ for all $a \in A$, then A is said to be *bounded below*. Further, if A has an upper bound, then the least upper bound or the smallest one among all the upper bounds is called the supremum of A , and denoted $\sup(A)$. Similarly, if A has a lower bound, then the greatest lower bound or the biggest one among all the lower bounds is called the infimum of A , and denoted $\inf(A)$.

The order completeness property of \mathbb{R} says that any non-empty bounded set of real numbers bounded above has a supremum. Similarly, any non-empty bounded set of real numbers bounded below has an infimum.

Example 2. (i) $P = \{\pm \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has upper bound 2, $3/2$, 4, 5 and many more.

It has lower bound -1, -2, -1.5, ..., $\sup P = 1$, $\inf P = -1$.

(ii) \mathbb{Z} is a subset of \mathbb{R} with no upper or lower bound.

(iii) \mathbb{N} has lower bound 1, but no upper bound.

Archimedean Property of \mathbb{R} :

Proposition 3. Let $a > 0, b > 0$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $na \geq b$.

Proof. If it fails then the set $S := \{na : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above by b . By the order completeness property, this implies that S has a supremum, say s_0 . Then, there exists s_0 such that $s_0 = \sup S$. Therefore, $s_0 - a$ is not an upper bound of S . This means that there is an element in S that is bigger than $s_0 - a$, i.e., there is a natural number n_0 , such that $s_0 - a \leq n_0 a$. This means that $s_0 \leq (n_0 + 1)a$. This is a contradiction as s_0 , being an upper bound is greater than or equal to every element of S . This contradiction proves the theorem. \square

Proposition 4. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \geq 0$. If $a < \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, then $a = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $a \neq 0$. Then $a > 0$. Therefore $a/2 > 0$, and since $a < \varepsilon$ for every positive ε , in particular $a < a/2$. Hence $a/2 < 0$ and $a < 0$. This contradicts that $a \geq 0$. This contradiction shows that our assumption is false and hence $a = 0$. \square

Definition 5. Let A, B be two sets. Then to every element of A , we can associate an element of B . For each element a of A , we denote the element associated to B by $f(a)$. (So far, more than two elements of B may be associated to a single element of A .) Then this association is called a function if

- (i) for every $a \in A$, we have $f(a) \in B$,
- (ii) If $x = y$ in A , then $f(x) = f(y)$ in B .

We then write that f is a function from A to B . If any of these conditions fail, then we say that the function is not well-defined.

Recall for yourself injective, surjective, bijective functions.

Also recall for yourself relations between two sets, as well as reflexive, symmetric and transitive relations, and equivalence relations and equivalence classes.