Combinatorics 2018 Fall

Taught by: Professor Xiande Zhang

2018.12.27

Key words: Deletion Method, Markov's Inequality, Random Graph

Recall. $cc(G) = \min \# \text{ cliques in a clique covering of } G$

Theorem. Let G be a graph on n vertices s.t. every vertex has at least one neighbor and at most d non-neighbors. Then

$$cc(G) \leqslant O(d^2 \ln n).$$

<u>proof:</u> Consider the following way of choosing cliques of G = (V, E). First, pick $v \in V$ independently with probability $p = \frac{1}{1+d}$ to get a set $W \subset V$. Then remove from W all vertices having at least one non-neighbor in W. Then we get a clique of G. Apply this way independently t times to get t cliques H_1, \dots, H_t .

Let X be the number of edges not covered by any H_i . Let X_{uw} be the indicator random variable of the event that $u \sim w$ is not covered by any H_i . Then $X = \sum_{u \sim w} X_{uw}$. Note that H_i covers $u \sim w$ if both u and w are chosen and none of

Note that H_i covers $u \sim w$ if both u and w are chosen and none of their $\leq 2d$ non-neighbors are chosen. So

$$\Pr[u \sim w \text{ is covered by } H_i] \geqslant p^2 (1-p)^{2d} = \frac{1}{(1+d)^2} \left(\frac{d}{1+d}\right)^{2d}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1+d)^2} \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{d})^{2d}} \geqslant \frac{1}{(1+d)^2 e^2}$$

So

$$\Pr[u \sim w \text{ is not covered by any } H_i] = \Pr[\bigcap_{i=1}^t \{u \sim w \text{ is not covered by } H_i\}]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^t \Pr[u \sim w \text{ is not covered by } H_i]$$

$$\leqslant (1 - \frac{1}{(1+d)^2 e^2})^t \leqslant e^{-\frac{t}{(1+d)^2 e^2}}$$

Then, by linearity of expectation,

$$E[X] = \sum_{u \sim w} E[X_{uw}] = \sum_{u \sim w} \Pr[u \sim w \text{ is not covered by any } H_i]$$

$$\leqslant \binom{n}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{(1+d)^2 e^2}} \leqslant \frac{e^{2\ln n}}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{(1+d)^2 e^2}}$$

Choose $t \ge 2 \ln n (1+d)^2 e^2$, $t = O(d^2 \ln n)$, we have E[X] < 1. Hence, there is at least one choice of $t = O(d^2 \ln n)$ cliques that form a clique covering of G. $\Longrightarrow cc(G) \le O(d^2 \ln n)$.

Markov's Inequality. Let $X \ge 0$ be a random variable, a > 0, then $\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{E[X]}{a}$.

proof: Let
$$I_{\{X\geqslant a\}}$$
 be the indicator random variable.
Then $aI_{\{X\geqslant a\}}\leqslant X. \Longrightarrow \mathrm{E}[aI_{\{X\geqslant a\}}]=a\mathrm{Pr}[X\geqslant a]\leqslant \mathrm{E}[X].$

Corollary. Let $X_n \geqslant 0$ be integer-valued random variables in (Ω_n, \Pr_n) , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $\mathrm{E}[X_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\Pr[X_n = 0] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

proof:
$$\Pr[X_n \geqslant 1] \leqslant E[X_n] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Definition. Let $X_n \ge 0$ be integer-valued random variables in (Ω_n, \Pr_n) , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $\Pr[X_n = 0] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we say $X_n = 0$ almost surely occur.

Definition. The random graph G(n, p) for $0 \le p \le 1$ is a graph with vertex set [n], where each of the potential $\binom{n}{2}$ edges appears with probability p independently.

Theorem. $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$ almost surely is NOT bipartite.

<u>proof:</u> Let A_n be the event that $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$ is bipartite. Then it suffices to show $\Pr[A_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

For $U \in 2^{[n]}$, let A_U be the event that all edges of G are between U and $[n] \setminus U$. Then $A_n = \bigcup_{U \in 2^{[n]}} A_U$.

$$\Pr[A_U] = (\frac{1}{2})^{\binom{|U|}{2}} (\frac{1}{2})^{\binom{n-|U|}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{|U|}{2} + \binom{n-|U|}{2}}} \le \frac{1}{2^{2\binom{n/2}{2}}} = 2^{-\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2}}$$

So

$$\Pr[A_n] \leqslant 2^n \cdot 2^{-\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} = 2^{-\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{3n}{2}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Theorem. G(n,p) for fixed $p \in (0,1)$, then

$$\Pr[\alpha(G) \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{2\ln n}{p} \right\rceil] \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

<u>proof:</u> Let $k = \left\lceil \frac{2 \ln n}{p} \right\rceil$. Let X_n be the number of independent sets of size k+1. For $\forall S \in {n \choose k+1}$, let $I_{\{S \text{ is independent}\}}$ be the indicator random variable, then $X_n = \sum_{S \in {n \choose k+1}} I_{\{S \text{ is independent}\}}$.

 $\mathrm{E}[I_{\{S \text{ is independent}\}}] = \Pr[S \text{ is independent}] = (1-p)^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$ So,

$$E[X_n] = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{k+1}} E[I_{\{S \text{ is independent}\}}] = \binom{n}{k+1} (1-p)^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{n^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{-p\binom{k+1}{2}} = \frac{(ne^{-p\frac{k}{2}})^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} < \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

By Corollary,
$$\Pr[X_n = 0] \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

 $\Longrightarrow \Pr[\alpha(G) \leq k] \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Definition. The girth of G, denoted by g(G), is the length of the shortest cycle in G.

Theorem. For $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists a graph G with $\chi(G) \geqslant k$ and $g(G) \geqslant k$.

<u>proof:</u> Consider G = G(n, p) where p will be determined later. <u>Let X</u> be the number of cycles of length less than k. Let X_i be the number of cycles of length i. Then $X = \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} X_i$.

$$E[X_i] = \sum_{(x_1 \cdots x_i)} E[I_{\{(x_1 \cdots x_i) \text{ is a cycle}\}}] = \sum_{(x_1 \cdots x_i)} \Pr[(x_1 \cdots x_i) \text{ is a cycle}]$$
$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{2i} p^i$$

So

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=3}^{k-1} \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)}{2i} p^{i} \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (np)^{i} = \frac{(np)^{k} - 1}{np - 1}.$$

By Markov's Inequality,

$$\Pr[X \geqslant \frac{n}{2}] \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{E}[X]}{\frac{n}{2}} \leqslant \frac{2[(np)^k - 1]}{n(np - 1)}.$$

Let
$$p = n^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}$$
, then $\Pr[X \geqslant \frac{n}{2}] \leqslant \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n^{\frac{1}{k}}-1)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Delete a vertex from each cycle of length less than k, we have a graph $G' \subset G$ with no cycle of length less than k.

Note that
$$|G'| \ge n - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2}$$
, $g(G') \ge k$.

Recall that
$$\chi(G) \geqslant \frac{|G|}{\alpha(G)}$$
, and $\Pr[\alpha(G) \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{2 \ln n}{p} \right\rceil] \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$.

So
$$\alpha(G') \leqslant \alpha(G) \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{2 \ln n}{p} \right\rceil \leqslant 3 \ln n \cdot n^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$$
.

$$\implies \chi(G') \geqslant \frac{|G'|}{\alpha(G')} \geqslant \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{3 \ln n \cdot n^{\frac{k-1}{k}}} = \frac{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}{6 \ln n} \gg k \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$