

An aerial photograph of Mexico City, Mexico, showing a dense urban landscape with numerous buildings, streets, and green spaces. In the foreground, the National Palace (Palacio Nacional) is visible with its distinctive yellow and orange domes. The word "MEXICO" is overlaid in large, white, 3D-style capital letters across the center of the image. In the background, the city extends to the base of the Sierra de Guadalupe mountains under a clear blue sky with some light clouds.

- ETL Project - Restaurant Data with Consumer Ratings

By Hari, Chadwick and Sam

Extraction

The public platform Kaggle lead us to the "Restaurant Data with Consumer Ratings" website which had 5 different datasets having following information:

User profile and their preferences	userprofile.csv
Restaurant Related Information	geoplaces2.csv
Restaurant Ratings	rating_final.csv
Restaurant Cuisine Speciality	chefmozcuisine.csv
Restaurant Parking Availability	chefmozparking.csv

The following is the source our datasets used:

<https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/restaurant-data-with-consumer-ratings/metadata>

ER Diagram

The real datasets had more number of fields however we choose to pick fields of interest:

www.quickdatabasediagrams.com



Observations

The following table illustrates the observations we would like to address:

Which restaurants have the best family ratings?
What restaurant is preferred by particular religion?
Which City has the most elevated no of restaurants with higher rating
Does having a Parking lot affect the restaurant rating
Does serving alcohol affect user rating
Number of restaurants based on cuisine type

Transformation

In order to transform the restaurant data and use it in our study we performed the following:

- Reviewed the Datasets and transformed into data frames

```
# Use Pandas to read data
userprofile_df = pd.read_csv(userprofile, encoding="ISO-8859-1")
userprofile_df.head()
```

- Selected the specific columns from Datasets with respect to observations

```
▶ # get list of all columns
userprofile_df.columns
```

```
3]: Index(['userID', 'latitude', 'longitude', 'smoker', 'drink_level',
         'dress_preference', 'ambience', 'transport', 'marital_status', 'hijos',
         'birth_year', 'interest', 'personality', 'religion', 'activity',
         'color', 'weight', 'budget', 'height'],
        dtype='object')
```

```
▶ # Select specific columns
userprofile_df = userprofile_df[["userID", "latitude", "longitude", "smoker", "drink_level", "ambience",
                                "transport", "religion"]]
userprofile_df.head()
```

Transformation

- Used Pandas functions to rename the columns to avoid data load failure with Postgres

```
# Rename UserID column to userid
userprofile_df = userprofile_df.rename(columns={"userID": "userid"})
```

- Used Pandas functions to catch Missing/Null values

```
# check all columns with any missing/null values
userprofile_df.isna().sum()
```

```
] 0: userid      0
    latitude    0
    longitude   0
    smoker      0
    drink_level 0
    ambience    0
    transport    0
    religion     0
    dtype: int64
```

- Some of the Datasets had "?" as opposed to Missing/Null values, we have replaced them with 'Not Recorded' to avoid Referential Integrity errors for Postgres.

```
# Replace the values having ? with Nan
userprofile_df = userprofile_df.replace('?', "Not Recorded")
userprofile_df.head()
```


Transformation

- Used Pandas functions to catch the duplicate values and drop them to get the clean Dataset

```
# check all duplicate rows
duplicate_rows_df = userprofile_df[userprofile_df.duplicated()]
print (f"Number of duplicate rows: {duplicate_rows_df.shape}")
```

Number of duplicate rows: (0, 8)

- City column from Geoplaces table had multiple variations for City names, we corrected those to get the clean dataset

```
# City coulm had lot of variations for "San Luis Potosi"
places_df["city"].unique()
```

```
.2]: array(['Cuernavaca', 's.l.p.', 'San Luis Potosi', 'victoria ', 'victoria',
          'Cd Victoria', 'Not Recorded', 'san luis potosi', 'Jiutepec',
          'cuernavaca', 'slp', 'Soledad', 'san luis potos',
          'san luis potosi ', 'Ciudad Victoria', 'Cd. Victoria', 's.l.p'],
          dtype=object)
```

```
# Replace the values 's.l.p.', 'san luis potosi', 'slp', 'san luis potos', 'san luis potosi ' and 's.l.p'
# with "San Luis Potosi" to get the correct data Loaded into Postgres for further analysis
places_df = places_df.replace(['s.l.p.', 'san luis potosi', 'slp', 'san luis potos', 'san luis potosi ', 's.l.p'], "San Luis Potosi")
places_df["city"].unique()
```

```
.3]: array(['Cuernavaca', 'San Luis Potosi', 'victoria ', 'victoria',
          'Cd Victoria', 'Not Recorded', 'Jiutepec', 'cuernavaca', 'Soledad',
          'Ciudad Victoria', 'Cd. Victoria'], dtype=object)
```

Transformation

- Removed few special characters from "City" names to have clean dataset

```
places_df = places_df.replace(["El Rincón de San Francisco"], "El Rincon de San Francisco")
places_df = places_df.replace(["vips"], "VIPS")

places_df["name"].unique()

]: array(['Kiku Cuernavaca', 'puesto de tacos', 'El Rincon de San Francisco',
        'little pizza Emilio Portes Gil', 'carnitas_mata',
        'Restaurant los Compadres', 'Taqueria EL amigo ', 'shi ro ie',
```

- Used SQLAlchemy to connect to Postgres Database

```
# connect to Postgres
engine = create_engine(f"postgresql://postgres:{password}@localhost/restaurant_rating_db")
conn = engine.connect()
```

- Used Pandas functions to load all 5 CSV Datasets into Postgres Database.

```
# Insert data into User_Profile table
userprofile_df.to_sql(name='user_profile', con=engine, if_exists='append', index=False)
```


Load

After we pulled in the CSV files and loaded them into the data frames, we did an initial connection to the Postgres database using PGAdmin to store our transformed data sets.

We used the quick database website to create the initial table schema that got loaded into the Postgres database that generated the first set of tables by maintaining the Referential integrity.

USER_PROFILE

Query Editor

Query History

1

2

3

SELECT * FROM USER_PROFILE;

Data Output

Explain

Messages

Notifications

	userid [PK] character varying (255)	latitude double precision	longitude double precision	smoker character varying (255)	drink_level character varying (255)	ambience character varying (255)	transport character varying (255)	religion character varying (255)
1	U1001	22.139997	-100.978803	false	abstemious	family	on foot	none
2	U1002	22.150087	-100.983325	false	abstemious	family	public	Catholic
3	U1003	22.119847	-100.946527	false	social drinker	family	public	Catholic
4	U1004	18.867	-99.18299999999999	false	abstemious	family	public	none
5	U1005	22.183477	-100.959891	false	abstemious	family	public	Catholic

Load

GEOPLACES

Query Editor

Query History

1

2

3

4

SELECT * FROM GEOPLACES;

Data Output

Explain

Messages

Notifications

	placeid [PK] integer	latitude double precision	longitude double precision	name character varying (255)	city character varying (255)	alcohol character varying (255)	smoking_area character varying (255)	accessibility character varying (255)	ambience character varying (255)
1	134999	18.915421	-99.184871	Kiku Cuernavaca	Cuernavaca	No_Alcohol_Served	none	no_accessibility	familiar
2	132825	22.1473922	-100.983092	puesto de tacos	San Luis Potosi	No_Alcohol_Served	none	completely	familiar
3	135106	22.149708800000003	-100.97609279999999	El Rincón de San Francisco	San Luis Potosi	Wine-Beer	only at bar	partially	familiar
4	132667	23.7526973	-99.1633594	little pizza Emilio Portes Gil	Ciudad Victoria	No_Alcohol_Served	none	completely	familiar
5	132613	23.7529035	-99.165076	carnitas_mata	Ciudad Victoria	No_Alcohol_Served	permitted	completely	familiar

RATING

Query Editor

Query History

1

2 SELECT * FROM RATING;

3

Data Output

Explain

Messages

Notifications

	userid character varying (255)	placeid integer	rating integer	food_rating integer	service_rating integer
1	U1077	135085	2	2	2
2	U1077	135038	2	2	1
3	U1077	132825	2	2	2
4	U1077	135060	1	2	2
5	U1068	135104	1	1	2

Load

CUISINE

Query Editor

Query History

1

2

3




SELECT * FROM CUISINE;

Data Output

Explain

Messages

Notifications

	 placeid integer		cuisine character varying (255)	
1	134999		Dutch-Belgian	
2	132825		Seafood	
3	135106		International	
4	132667		Seafood	
5	132613		French	

PARKING

Query Editor

Query History

1

2

3

SELECT * FROM PARKING;

Data Output

Explain

Messages

Notifica

placeid

integer

parking_lot

character varying (255)

1

134999

public

2

132825

none

3

135106

none

4

132667

street

5

132613

street

Summary

The time constraint and limited set of information were a portion of the primary imperatives which influenced our investigation of this ETL project. But, we were still managed to come up with below observations which should provide sufficient thought about the dataset what we are dealing herewith -

Which restaurants have the best family ratings?

Overall, there was not much of a difference between the different rating criteria. The places that did well in food and service rating, correlated with the overall rating, and vice versa.

```
1 -- Getting the 5 best family restaurants based on overall rating
2 SELECT geoplaces.placeid, geoplaces.name, geoplaces.ambience, rating.placeid, SUM(rating.rating) AS "Overall Rating Sum"
3 FROM rating
4 INNER JOIN geoplaces ON
5   geoplaces.placeid = rating.placeid
6 WHERE geoplaces.ambience = 'familiar'
7 GROUP BY geoplaces.placeid, rating.placeid
8 ORDER BY SUM(rating.rating) DESC
9 LIMIT 5;
```

	placeid integer	name character varying (255)	ambience character varying (255)	placeid integer	Overall Rating Sum bigint
1	135085	Tortas Locas Hipocampo	familiar	135085	48
2	132825	puesto de tacos	familiar	132825	41
3	135032	Cafeteria y Restaurant El Paci...	familiar	135032	33
4	135052	La Cantina Restaurante	familiar	135052	32
5	135038	Restaurant la Chalita	familiar	135038	29

Summary

What restaurant is preferred by particular religion?

Based on results, **"Tortas Locas Hipocampo"** restaurant is top choice for Catholic people while other religion's don't have any preference to specific restaurant.

	religion character varying (255)	name character varying (255)	cnt bigint	max_cnt bigint
1	Catholic	Tortas Locas Hipocampo	28	28

	religion character varying (255)	name character varying (255)	cnt bigint	max_cnt bigint
2	Christian	Mariscos Tia Licha	2	2
3	Christian	Taqueria EL amigo	2	2
4	Christian	palomo tec	2	2

	religion character varying (255)	name character varying (255)	cnt bigint	max_cnt bigint
20	Mormon	Cafeteria y Restaurant El Pacifico	1	1
21	Mormon	Gorditas Doa Gloria	1	1
22	Mormon	Unicols Pizza	1	1
23	Mormon	Tortas Locas Hipocampo	1	1

	religion character varying (255)	name character varying (255)	cnt bigint	max_cnt bigint
12	Jewish	carnitas_mata	1	1
13	Jewish	Gorditas Dona Tota	1	1
14	Jewish	Little Cesarz	1	1
15	Jewish	little pizza Emilio Portes Gil	1	1

```

73 SELECT SRC.RELIGION,SRC.NAME,SRC.CNT,SRC.MAX_CNT
74 FROM
75 (
76     SELECT UP.RELIGION,G.NAME,COUNT(G.NAME) AS CNT,
77     MAX(COUNT(G.NAME)) OVER (PARTITION BY UP.RELIGION) AS MAX_CNT
78     FROM USER_PROFILE UP,
79     RATING R,
80     GEOPLACES G
81     WHERE UP.USERID = R.USERID
82     AND R.PLACEID = G.PLACEID
83     AND UP.RELIGION != 'none'
84     GROUP BY UP.RELIGION,G.NAME
85     ORDER BY COUNT(G.NAME) DESC
86 ) SRC
87 WHERE SRC.CNT = SRC.MAX_CNT

```

Summary

Which City has the most elevated no of restaurants with higher rating?

The city “**San Luis Potosi**” has one of the best rated restaurants in Mexico. The highest number of better rated restaurants makes it ideal destination for tourism.

```
31 -- Which City has highest no of restaurants with higher rating
32 SELECT COUNT(R.RATING),G.CITY
33 FROM USER_PROFILE UP,
34 RATING R,
35 GEOPLACES G
36 WHERE UP.USERID = R.USERID
37 AND R.PLACEID = G.PLACEID
38 AND G.CITY != 'Not Recorded'
39 GROUP BY G.CITY
40 HAVING MAX(R.RATING) = 2 --- 2 being the highest rating
41 ORDER BY COUNT(R.RATING) DESC;
42
```





Data Output Explain Messages Notifications

	count bigint	city character varying (255)	
1	834	San Luis Potosi	
2	89	Cuernavaca	
3	88	Ciudad Victoria	
4	19	Jiutepec	
5	17	Soledad	

Summary

```
23 SELECT P.PARKING_LOT,R.RATING, COUNT(R.RATING) AS "TOTAL RATING"
24 FROM USER_PROFILE UP,
25 RATING R,
26 GEOPLACES G,
27 PARKING P
28 WHERE UP.USERID = R.USERID
29 AND R.PLACEID = G.PLACEID
30 AND G.PLACEID = P.PLACEID
31 GROUP BY P.PARKING_LOT,R.RATING
32 ORDER BY COUNT(R.RATING) DESC
33 LIMIT 5;
34
```

Data Output Explain Messages Notifications

	 parking_lot character varying (255)	 rating integer	 TOTAL RATING bigint	
1	yes	2	182	
2	none	2	177	
3	yes	1	163	
4	none	1	144	
5	yes	0	100	

Does having a Parking lot affect the restaurant rating?

When it comes to overall rating of restaurants, users doesn't seems to care much about availability of parking. The second record shows even though parking is unavailable still users has given higher rating.

Summary

Does serving alcohol affect user rating?

Alcohol was broken down into 3 categories and based on the highest rated restaurants, serving alcohol does not affect the total rating.

```
12 SELECT g.alcohol, r.rating, COUNT(r.rating) AS "Total Rating"
13 FROM rating r, geoplaces g
14 WHERE r.placeid = g.placeid
15 GROUP BY g.alcohol, r.rating
16 HAVING MAX(r.rating) = 2 --- 2 being the highest rating
17 ORDER BY COUNT(r.rating) DESC;
```

	Data Output	Explain	Notifications	Messages
	alcohol character varying (255)	rating integer	Total Rating bigint	
1	No_Alcohol_Served	2	303	
2	Wine-Beer	2	146	
3	Full_Bar	2	37	

Summary

Number of restaurants based on cuisine type.

Among the cities in the dataset, restaurants that serve Mexican cuisine are more popular followed by International, Dutch, American and Italian cuisine.

```
18 SELECT c.cuisine, COUNT(g.name)
19 FROM geoplaces g, cuisine c
20 WHERE g.placeid = c.placeid
21 AND g.city != 'Not Recorded'
22 GROUP BY c.cuisine
23 ORDER BY COUNT(g.name) DESC
24 LIMIT 5;
25
```

Data Output Explain Notifications Messages

	cuisine character varying (255)	count bigint	
1	Mexican	101	
2	International	48	
3	Dutch-Belgian	44	
4	American	35	
5	Italian	31	