



EP3260: Machine Learning Over Networks
Homework Assignment 2
Due Date: February 15, 2023

Group 2

Siva Satya Sri Ganesh Seeram,
Hansi Abeynanda,
Eren Berk Kama,
Irshad Ahmed Meer,
Zinat Behdad

Problem 2.1

Consider Human Activity Recognition Using Smartphones dataset $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i \in [N]}$, with inputs defined as the accelerometer and gyroscope sensors, and outputs defined as moving (e.g., walking, running, dancing) or not (sitting or standing). Consider the logistic ridge regression loss function

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2,$$

where $f_i(\mathbf{w}) = \log(1 + \exp\{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i\})$.

Then, address the following questions:

- (a) Is f Lipschitz continuous? If so, find a small B ?
- (b) Is f_i smooth? If so, find a small L for f_i ? What about f ?
- (c) Is f strongly convex? If so, find a high μ ?

(a) f is Lipschitz continuous if

Lipschitz continuity (bounded gradients)

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq D \Rightarrow \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w})\|_2 \leq B$$

$$\textcircled{I} \text{ or } \|\mathbf{w}_1\|_2, \|\mathbf{w}_2\|_2 \leq D \Rightarrow |f(\mathbf{w}_2) - f(\mathbf{w}_1)| \leq B \|\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1\|_2$$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \sigma f_i(\mathbf{w}) + 2 \lambda \mathbf{w}$$

$$\nabla f_i(\omega) = \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega^T x_i}} = \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}} < e$$

$$\|\nabla f_i(\omega)\| = \left\| \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}} \right\| \leq |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|$$

$$\|\nabla f(\omega)\|_2 = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} \nabla f_i(\omega) + 2\lambda \omega \right\|_2 \leq B$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} \underbrace{\|\nabla f_i(\omega)\|}_{\leq |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|} + 2\lambda \underbrace{\|\omega\|}_{\leq D}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|}_{B} + 2\lambda D$$

$$(b) \quad \| \nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1) \|_2 =$$

$$= \left\| \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega_2^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega_2^T x_i}} - \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega_1^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega_1^T x_i}} \right\|$$

$$= \|y_i\| \cdot \|x_i\| \left\| \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega_2^T x_i}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega_1^T x_i}} \right\| \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Let } h(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}}$$

$h(\omega)$ is continuous and differentiable

\therefore By mean value theorem, $\exists z \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ s.t

$$h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1) = \nabla h(z)^T (\omega_2 - \omega_1)$$

$$\|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| \leq \|\nabla h(z)\| \cdot \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \quad (2)$$

$$\text{where } \nabla h(\omega) = \frac{-y_i x_i e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i})^2}$$

$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| &\leq \|y_i x_i\| \underbrace{\left\| \frac{e^{y_i z^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i z^T x_i})^2} \right\|}_{\leq 1} \cdot \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \\ &\leq \|y_i\| \|x_i\| \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \textcircled{1} \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)\| &= |y_i| \|x_i\| \|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2}_L \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|\end{aligned}$$

\therefore a small L for f_i is $|y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2$

About f :

∇f is also Lipschitz continuous

Proof:

$$\|\nabla f(\omega_2) - \nabla f(\omega_1)\| =$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} (\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)) + 2\lambda (\omega_2 - \omega_1) \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)\| + 2\lambda \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} |y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2 + 2\lambda \right]}_{L_1} \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is L_1 -smooth

(C) f is strongly convex, because
 $\lambda \|\omega\|^2$ is strongly convex and
 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} f_i(\omega)$ is convex.

$$\text{Let } g(\omega) = \lambda \|\omega\|^2 = \lambda \omega^T \omega$$

$$\nabla g(\omega) = 2\lambda\omega \implies \nabla^2 g(\omega) = 2\lambda I$$
$$\implies g \text{ is strongly convex, with } \boxed{\mu = 2\lambda}$$

$\therefore f$ is also 2λ -strongly convex

Problem 2.2

Let us assume that there exist scalars $c_0 \geq c > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)^T \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k} [g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)] \geq c \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2, \quad (1a)$$

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k} [g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)]\|_2 \leq c_0 \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2. \quad (1b)$$

Furthermore, let us assume that there exist scalars $M \geq 0$ and $M_V \geq 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Var}_{\zeta_k} [g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)] \leq M + M_V \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2. \quad (2)$$

For the convergence proof of SGD with an L-smooth convex objective function (see slides), prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_k} [\|g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\|_2^2] \leq \alpha + \beta \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2.$$

We want to find a relationship between (1a), (1b) and (2) and give relation and prove that it holds.

Using the definition of variance:

$$\text{Var}_{\zeta_k} [g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)] = \mathbb{E} \left\{ [g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k) - \mathbb{E} \{g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\}]^2 \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \|g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\|_2^2 - \mathbb{E} \{g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\}^T g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k) \right.$$

$$\left. - g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)^T \mathbb{E} \{g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\} + \|\mathbb{E} \{g(\mathbf{w}_k; \zeta_k)\}\|^2 \right\}$$

$$\leq M + M_V \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2$$

Therefore :

$$2 \|\mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \} \|^2$$

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \|g(\omega_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2 \} \leq \underbrace{2 \mathbb{E} \{ \mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \}^T g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \}}_{= \|\mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \} \|^2} + M + M_n \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2$$

$$= \underbrace{\|\mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \} \|^2}_{= \|\mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \} \|^2} + M + M_n \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2$$

$$\text{using (1b)} \quad : \left(\|\mathbb{E} \{ g(\omega_k, \xi_k) \} \|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(C_0 \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2 \right)^2$$

taking square of (1b), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \|g(\omega_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2 \} \leq M + (C_0^2 + M_n) \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2$$

Therefore, we have $\alpha = M$, $\beta = C_0^2 + M_n$ and the relation is satisfied

Problem 2.3

For the SGD with non-convex objective function, prove that with square summable but not summable step-size, we have for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2 \right] < \infty \quad (3)$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k} \sum_{k \in [K]} \alpha_k \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2 \right] \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (4)$$

3-) We want to prove the given relation for SGD with non-convex objective function and square summable but not summable step-size.

As step size is square summable, $\{\alpha_k\} \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, we may take $\alpha_k L.M.G. \leq \mu \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

We use the approach in references and look at,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}) \} - \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{w}_k) \} &\leq - \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k L.M.G. \right) \alpha_k \mathbb{E} \{ \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2 \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 L.M. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq - \frac{1}{2} \mu \alpha_k \mathbb{E} \{ \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_k)\|_2^2 \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 L.M. \end{aligned}$$

As in p 3.22. of lecture notes, we sum the relations, and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\inf} - \mathbb{E}\{f(w_1)\} &\leq \mathbb{E}\{f(w_{K+1})\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(w_1)\} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\mu \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \mathbb{E}\{\|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2\} + \frac{1}{2}LM \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Further using algebraic manipulations,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \mathbb{E}\{\|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2\} &\leq \frac{2(\mathbb{E}\{f(w_1)\} - f_{\inf})}{\mu} \\ &\quad + \frac{LM}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

as α_k are square summable, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 < \infty$$

It converges to a finite limit as k increases.
Which shows that the first relation holds.

As α_k is not summable,

$$\sum_{k=1}^k \alpha_k = \infty$$

Tends to infinity. Therefore,

$$E \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^k \alpha_k} \right) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^k \alpha_k \|\nabla f(m_k)\|_2^2 \right\} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

As the summation is in denominator.