



EP3260: Machine Learning Over Networks
Homework Assignment 2
Due Date: February 15, 2023

Group 2

Siva Satya Sri Ganesh Seeram,
Hansi Abeynanda,
Eren Berk Kama,
Irshad Ahmed Meer,
Zinat Behdad

Problem 2.1

Consider Human Activity Recognition Using Smartphones dataset $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i \in [N]}$, with inputs defined as the accelerometer and gyroscope sensors, and outputs defined as moving (e.g., walking, running, dancing) or not (sitting or standing). Consider the logistic ridge regression loss function

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2,$$

where $f_i(\mathbf{w}) = \log(1 + \exp\{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i\})$.

Then, address the following questions:

- (a) Is f Lipschitz continuous? If so, find a small B ?
- (b) Is f_i smooth? If so, find a small L for f_i ? What about f ?
- (c) Is f strongly convex? If so, find a high μ ?

complete

(a) f is Lipschitz continuous if

Lipschitz continuity (bounded gradients)

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq D \Rightarrow \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w})\|_2 \leq B$$

$$\textcircled{I} \text{ or } \|\mathbf{w}_1\|_2, \|\mathbf{w}_2\|_2 \leq D \Rightarrow |f(\mathbf{w}_2) - f(\mathbf{w}_1)| \leq B \|\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1\|_2$$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \nabla f_i(\mathbf{w}) + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}$$

$$\nabla f_i(\omega) = \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega^T x_i}} = \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}} < e$$

$$\|\nabla f_i(\omega)\| = \left\| \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}} \right\| \leq |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|$$

$$\|\nabla f(\omega)\|_2 = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \nabla f_i(\omega) + 2\lambda \omega \right\|_2 \leq B$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \underbrace{\|\nabla f_i(\omega)\|}_{\leq |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|} + 2\lambda \underbrace{\|\omega\|}_{\leq D}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum |y_i| \cdot \|x_i\|}_{B} + 2\lambda D$$

$$(b) \quad \| \nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1) \|_2 =$$

$$= \left\| \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega_2^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega_2^T x_i}} - \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i \omega_1^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i \omega_1^T x_i}} \right\|$$

$$= \|y_i\| \cdot \|x_i\| \left\| \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega_2^T x_i}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega_1^T x_i}} \right\| \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Let } h(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}}$$

$h(\omega)$ is continuous and differentiable

\therefore By mean value theorem, $\exists z \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ s.t

$$h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1) = \nabla h(z)^T (\omega_2 - \omega_1)$$

$$\|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| \leq \|\nabla h(z)\| \cdot \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \quad (2)$$

$$\text{where } \nabla h(\omega) = \frac{-y_i x_i e^{y_i \omega^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i \omega^T x_i})^2}$$

$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| &\leq \|y_i x_i\| \underbrace{\left\| \frac{e^{y_i z^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i z^T x_i})^2} \right\|}_{\leq 1} \cdot \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \\ &\leq \|y_i\| \|x_i\| \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\| \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \textcircled{1} \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)\| &= |y_i| \|x_i\| \|h(\omega_2) - h(\omega_1)\| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2}_L \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|\end{aligned}$$

\therefore a small L for f_i is $|y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2$

About f :

∇f is also Lipschitz continuous

Proof:

$$\|\nabla f(\omega_2) - \nabla f(\omega_1)\| =$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} (\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)) + 2\lambda (\omega_2 - \omega_1) \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|\nabla f_i(\omega_2) - \nabla f_i(\omega_1)\| + 2\lambda \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} |y_i|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2 + 2\lambda \right]}_{L_1} \|\omega_2 - \omega_1\|$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is L_1 -smooth

(C) f is strongly convex, because
 $\lambda \|\omega\|^2$ is strongly convex and
 $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} f_i(\omega)$ is convex.

$$\text{Let } g(\omega) = \lambda \|\omega\|^2 = \lambda \omega^T \omega$$

$$\nabla g(\omega) = 2\lambda\omega \implies \nabla^2 g(\omega) = 2\lambda I$$
$$\implies g \text{ is strongly convex, with } \boxed{\mu = 2\lambda}$$

$\therefore f$ is also 2λ -strongly convex