

HW3.1 For $f^*(y) = \max_x y^T x - f(x)$
 There is: $\partial f^*(y) = x^* = \arg \max_x y^T x - f(x)$
 $= \arg \min_x f(x) - y^T x. \quad \textcircled{1}$

For a closed and convex f , it can be proved that:
 $\partial g(\lambda) = A^T \partial f^*(-A^T \lambda) - b$ (affine transformations of domain)

According to $\textcircled{1}$ with $y = -A^T \lambda$, there is:
 $\partial f^*(-A^T \lambda) = \arg \min_w [f(w) + \lambda^T A w]$
 $= \arg \min_w [f(w) + \lambda^T A w - \lambda^T b]$
 $= w^*$

$\therefore A w - b \in \partial g(\lambda). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$

H3.2. Here we first prove that, f is μ -strongly convex $\Leftrightarrow f^*$ is $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth.
 Then according to ~~$f^{**} = f$~~ , f is L -smooth $\Leftrightarrow f^*$ is $\frac{1}{L}$ -strongly convex.
 Finally for the $\frac{1}{L}$ -strongly convex and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth f^* , χ convergence of dual ascent.
 prove the.

① Prove f is μ -strongly convex $\Leftrightarrow f^*$ is $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{L} = f - y^T x$, so \mathcal{L} is also μ -strongly convex.

of " \Rightarrow " : Defining $x_u = \nabla f(u)$, $x_v = \nabla f^*(v)$, For a minimizer x^* , there are:
 ~~$\mathcal{L}(x) \geq \mathcal{L}(x^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x^*\|_2^2$~~ since $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x^*) = 0$.

$$\text{So: } \begin{cases} f(x_v) - u^T x_v \geq f(x_u) - u^T x_u + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_u - x_v\|_2^2 \\ f(x_u) - v^T x_u \geq f(x_v) - v^T x_v + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_u - x_v\|_2^2 \end{cases}$$

Adding them together: $(u^T - v^T)(x_u - x_v) \geq \mu \|x_u - x_v\|_2^2$

With Cauchy-Schwartz: $(u^T - v^T)(x_u - x_v) \leq \|u^T - v^T\| \|x_u - x_v\|$

So $\|x_u - x_v\| \leq \|u - v\| / \mu$

$\Rightarrow \nabla f^*$ is Lipschitz with $\frac{1}{\mu} \Rightarrow f^*$ is $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth.

Proof of " \Leftarrow ": For $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth f^* , ~~there is: let $g_x(y) = f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)^T y$~~
~~let $g_x(y) = f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)^T y$~~

$$\text{so } g_x(y) \leq g_x(y) + \nabla g_x(y)^T (y - y) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|y - y\|_2^2.$$

Minimizing each side over y , and rearranging, there is:

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(y)\|_2^2 \leq f^*(y) - f^*(x) + \nabla f^*(x)^T (x - y).$$

Exchange x and y : $\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(y)\|_2^2 \leq f^*(x) - f^*(y) + \nabla f^*(y)^T (y - x)$

Adding together: $\mu \|\nabla f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(y)\|_2^2 \leq [\nabla f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(y)]^T (x - y)$

Let $u = \nabla f^*(x)$, $v = \nabla f^*(y)$, so $(x - y)^T (u - v) \geq \mu \|u - v\|_2^2$

With the proof of " \Leftarrow " and " \Rightarrow ", Q.E.D.

② Study the convergence of dual ascent.

For $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -smooth f^* , a fixed step $\alpha = 1/\mu = \mu$ is often selected.

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \mu \nabla f^*(x_k)$$

$$\therefore f^*(y) \leq f^*(x) + \nabla f^*(x)(y-x) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|y-x\|_2^2$$

$$f^*(x_{k+1}) \leq f^*(x_k) + \frac{3\mu}{2} \|\nabla f^*(x_k)\|_2^2 \quad ①$$

$$\Rightarrow f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*) \leq \frac{3\mu}{2k} \|x_0 - x^*\|_2^2$$

to guarantee $f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*) \leq \epsilon$, there should be $k \geq \frac{3\mu}{2\epsilon} \|x_0 - x^*\|_2^2$
 $\therefore k \sim O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ sublinear.

For $\frac{1}{L}$ -strongly convex f^* , there are: ~~sublinear~~

$$f^*(y) \geq f^*(x) + \nabla f^*(x)(y-x) + \frac{1}{2L} \|y-x\|_2^2.$$

Minimize this lower bound by taking the gradient w.r.t y and setting it to 0:
 $\nabla f^*(x) + \frac{1}{L}(y-x) = 0.$

$$\text{So the lower bound is: } f^*(y) \geq f^*(x) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2 \\ = f^*(x) - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \|\nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2 \geq \frac{2}{L} [f^*(x) - f^*(y)]$$

let $y = x^*$ and combined with ①:

$$f^*(x_{k+1}) - f^*(x^*) \leq f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*) + \frac{3\mu}{2} [f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*)] \\ = (1 + \frac{3\mu}{2}) [f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*)].$$

$$\therefore f^*(x_k) - f^*(x^*) \leq (1 + \frac{3\mu}{2})^k [f^*(x_0) - f^*(x^*)] \leq \epsilon \\ \Rightarrow k \geq \frac{\log[(f^*(x_0) - f^*(x^*))/\epsilon]}{\log[(2+3\mu)/2]} \sim O(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$$

linear.

However, the solution is not guaranteed to be primal feasible, since while the dual variables converge to a solution, the primal variables may not satisfy the constraints of the original problem.

HW 3.3

We have the following problem:

$$(P2): \text{minimize } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(w_i)$$

$$\text{s.t. } w_i = w_j \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{N}_i$$

Primal method:

$$\bar{w}_i^k = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij} w_j^k \quad (\text{consensus})$$

$$w_i^{k+1} = \bar{w}_i^k + \alpha_k g_i(\bar{w}_i^k)$$

Dual method:

$$w_i^{k+1} = \underset{w_i}{\text{argmin}} \mathcal{L}_i(w_i, \lambda_i^k) \quad \text{where } \mathcal{L}_i(w_i, \lambda_i^k) = f_i(w_i) + \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \lambda_j^k (w_i - w_j)$$

$$\lambda_i^{k+1} = \lambda_i^k + \alpha_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} (w_j^{k+1} - w_i^{k+1}) \right) \quad (\text{consensus})$$

Communication cost: Since both the primal and dual method are decentralized with w_i^k being the only variable to be shared, their communication cost per iteration is the same. More specifically, if $w_i^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and there is N nodes in \mathcal{N}_i for $i=1, \dots, N$, then the communication cost per iteration is $O(N^2 n)$ in both methods.

Convergence rate: Assuming f is strongly convex with parameter m & L -Lipschitz continuous (A1). Let $f(w_{\text{best}}^k) = \min_{i=1, \dots, k} f(w^i)$, $w^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w^k$, $R = \|w^0 - w^*\|_2$ and $f^* = f(w^*)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Primal method: } \|w^k - w^*\|_2^2 &\leq \|w^{k-1} - w^*\|_2^2 - 2\alpha_k (f(w^{k-1}) - f(w^*)) + \alpha_k^2 \|g(w^{k-1})\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|w^0 - w^*\|_2^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (f(w^{i-1}) - f(w^*)) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 \|g(w^{i-1})\|_2^2 \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq \|w^k - w^*\|_2^2 \leq R^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (f(w^{i-1}) - f(w^*)) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 \|g(w^{i-1})\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Under assumption A1: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(w_{\text{best}}^k) \leq f(w^*) + L^2 \alpha / 2$

$$\Rightarrow f(w_{\text{best}}^k) - f(w^*) \leq \frac{R^2 + L^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i}$$

Next page

HW 3.3 continued.

For simplicity, let $\alpha_k = \alpha$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$. Then:

$$f(w_{\text{best}}^*) - f(w^*) \leq \frac{R^2 + L^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i} = \frac{R^2 + L^2 k \alpha^2}{2k\alpha} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{when} \quad \frac{R^2 + L^2 k \alpha^2}{2k\alpha} \leq \epsilon$$

If we choose α so that $R^2 = L^2 k \alpha^2$, then the above holds when $\frac{R^2}{2k\alpha} = \frac{L^2 \alpha}{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \leq \frac{\epsilon}{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad k \geq \frac{R^2}{\alpha \epsilon} = \frac{R^2 L^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

Hence: The primal method has convergence rate $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$

Let now f^* be the conjugate function of f and $w_x = \nabla f^*(x)$

Under assumption A1, we have: $f(w_x) \geq f(w_y) + \frac{m}{2} \|w_x - w_y\|_2^2$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(w_x) - y^T w_x \geq f(w_y) - y^T w_y + \frac{m}{2} \|w_x - w_y\|_2^2 \\ f(w_y) - x^T w_y \geq f(w_x) - x^T w_x + \frac{m}{2} \|w_y - w_x\|_2^2 \end{cases}$$

Adding these gives:

$$\Rightarrow \|\nabla f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(y)\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{m} \|x - y\|_2 = L \|x - y\|_2 \quad \text{if} \quad L = \frac{1}{m}$$

By applying the properties of gradient descent and the fact that the dual method is about solving the dual problem by minimizing the Lagrange function corresponding to maximizing $-f^*(\cdot)$, we see thus that the convergence rate of the dual method is $O(\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}))$ if we choose $\alpha = \frac{2}{(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{L})}$.

This shows that the dual method has a faster convergence rate than the primal method.