

①

## Assignment 2

2.1

$$\min_w f(w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(w) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2,$$

a)

$$\text{Where } f_i(w) = \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^T x_i))$$

a)  $f$  is not Lipschitz continuous, because the term  $\|w\|_2^2$  is not Lipschitz continuous.

To further clarify, let

$$g(w) = \|w\|_2^2 \\ = w^T w$$

Then;  $\nabla g = 2w \Rightarrow \|\nabla g\|$  is not bounded.

~~$\therefore g(w) = \|w\|_2^2$  is not Lipschitz continuous.~~

~~Thus  $f(w)$  is not Lipschitz~~

Thus  $f$  is not Lipschitz continuous.

$$b) \|\nabla f_i(w_2) - \nabla f_i(w_1)\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{-y_i x_i e^{-y_i w_2^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i w_2^T x_i}} + \frac{y_i x_i e^{-y_i w_1^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i w_1^T x_i}} \right\|$$

$$= |y_i| \|x_i\| \left\| \frac{e^{-y_i w_1^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i w_1^T x_i}} - \frac{e^{-y_i w_2^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-y_i w_2^T x_i}} \right\|$$

$$= |y_i| \|x_i\| \left\| \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i w_2^T x_i}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i w_1^T x_i}} \right\|$$

①



let  $h(w) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i w^T x_i}}$  ~~(This is the ...)~~

$h(w)$  is ~~at~~ continuous and differentiable.

$\therefore$  By mean value theorem, ~~... the ...~~

~~$\exists z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.~~  $\exists z \in (w_1, w_2)$  s.t.

~~$\nabla f(z)$~~

$h(w_2) - h(w_1) = \nabla h(z)^T (w_2 - w_1)$

$\Rightarrow \|h(w_2) - h(w_1)\| \leq \|\nabla h(z)\| \|w_2 - w_1\| \quad (2)$

We have  $\nabla h(w) = -y_i x_i \frac{e^{y_i w^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i w^T x_i})^2}$

$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow$

$\|h(w_2) - h(w_1)\| \leq \|y_i x_i\| \left\| \frac{e^{y_i z^T x_i}}{(1 + e^{y_i z^T x_i})^2} \right\| \|w_2 - w_1\|$

$= |y_i| \|x_i\| \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-y_i z^T x_i})(1 + e^{y_i z^T x_i})} \right\|$

$\cdot \|w_2 - w_1\|$

$\leq |y_i| \|x_i\| \|w_2 - w_1\|$

$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$

$\|\nabla f_i(w_2) - \nabla f_i(w_1)\| = |y_i| \|x_i\| \|h(w_2) - h(w_1)\|$

$\leq |y_i| \|x_i\| |y_i| \|x_i\| \|w_2 - w_1\|$

$= \underbrace{|y_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2}_L \|w_2 - w_1\|$



(2)

~~is a small~~  $\therefore$  a small  $h$  for  $f_i$  is  $|y_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2$ .

\*  $\nabla f$  is also Lipschitz continuous.

proof:

$$\|\nabla f(w_2) - \nabla f(w_1)\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \nabla f_i(w_2) + \cancel{\lambda \cdot 2w_2} \right\|$$

$$- \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \nabla f_i(w_1) + (\lambda \cdot 2w_1) \right] \Big\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} (\nabla f_i(w_2) - \nabla f_i(w_1)) + 2\lambda(w_2 - w_1) \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \|\nabla f_i(w_2) - \nabla f_i(w_1)\| + 2\lambda \|w_2 - w_1\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i \in [N]} |y_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2 \right) \|w_2 - w_1\| + 2\lambda \|w_2 - w_1\|$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i \in [N]} |y_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2 \right) + 2\lambda \right]}_{L_1} \|w_2 - w_1\|$$

$\Rightarrow f$  is  $L_1$ -smooth



c)  $f$  is strongly convex, because  $\lambda \|w\|^2$  is strongly convex and  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} f_i(w)$  is convex



$$\text{Let } g(w) = \lambda \|w\|^2 \\ = \lambda w^T w$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla g(w) = 2\lambda w$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 g(w) = 2\lambda I$$

$\Rightarrow g$  is strongly convex with  $\mu = 2\lambda$ .

$\therefore f$  is also  $2\lambda$  strongly convex.

2-) We want to find a relationship between (1a), (1b), (2) and given relation and prove that it holds.

If we open the variance relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\xi_k}[g(\omega_k; \xi_k)] &= E \left\{ [g(\omega_k; \xi_k) - E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\}]^2 \right\} \\ &= E \left\{ \|g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\|_2^2 - E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)^T\} g(\omega_k; \xi_k) - g(\omega_k; \xi_k)^T E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\}\|_2^2 \right\} \\ &\leq M + M_V \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\{ \|g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\|_2^2 \right\} &\leq 2 E \left\{ E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\}^T g(\omega_k; \xi_k) \right\} \\ &\quad - \|E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\}\|_2^2 + M + M_V \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2 \\ &= \|E\{g(\omega_k; \xi_k)\}\|_2^2 + M + M_V \|\nabla f(\omega_k)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using (16),

$$\|E\{g(w_k; z_k)\}\|_2 \leq c_0 \|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2$$

and taking square of the relation, we obtain

$$E\{\|g(w_k; z_k)\|_2^2\} \leq M + (c_0^2 + M_V) \|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2$$

Therefore, we have  $\alpha = M$ ,  $\beta = c_0^2 + M_V$  and the relation is satisfied.

3-) We want to prove the given relation for SGD with non-convex objective function and square summable but not summable step-size.

As step size is square summable,  $\{\alpha_k\} \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore, we may take  $\alpha_k L.M. \leq \mu \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

We use the approach in references and look at,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{f(w_{k+1})\} - E\{f(w_k)\} &\leq -\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k L.M.\right) \alpha_k E\{\|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2 L.M. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\mu \alpha_k E\{\|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2 L.M. \end{aligned}$$

As in p 3.22. of lecture notes, we sum the relations, and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\inf} - E\{f(w_k)\}| &\leq E\{f(w_{k+1})\} - E\{f(w_1)\} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\mu \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k E\{\|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2\} + \frac{1}{2} L.M. \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Further using algebraic manipulations,

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k E \{ \|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2 \} \leq \frac{2(E\{f(w_1)\} - f_{\min})}{\mu} + \frac{LM}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2$$

as  $\alpha_k$  are square summable, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^2 < \infty$$

It converges to a finite limit as  $K$  increases.

Which shows that the first relation holds.

As  $\alpha_k$  is not summable,

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k = \infty$$

Tends to infinity. Therefore,

$$E \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k} \right) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \|\nabla f(w_k)\|_2^2 \right\} \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

As the summation is in denominator.