

# 365-project

Shuo Han

2023-03-10

## 1

1 TIREX: T. Rowe Price Institutional Emerging Markets Equity Fund is an actively managed mutual fund that primarily invests in equity securities of companies located in emerging markets around the world. 9 PRMTX: T. Rowe Price Mid-Cap Growth Fund is an actively managed mutual fund that primarily invests in the stocks of mid-sized U.S. companies that have the potential for above-average earnings growth. 14 GTCAX: Goldman Sachs Tactical Credit Fund Class A is an actively managed mutual fund that primarily invests in fixed income securities. 24 FSUTX: Fidelity Select Utilities Portfolio is an actively managed mutual fund that primarily invests in the stocks of companies in the utilities sector.

## 2

```
## Warning: package 'tseries' was built under R version 4.1.2
```

```
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'quantmod':  
##   method      from  
##   as.zoo.data.frame zoo
```

```
## time series ends    2021-12-01
```

```
## time series ends    2021-12-01
```

```
## time series ends    2021-12-01
```

```
## time series ends    2021-12-01
```

## 3

```
## time series ends    2021-12-01
```

```
## [1] 0.01277
```

```
## [1] 0.04457
```

## 4

```
##      TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX
## 0.01183 0.01757 0.00616 0.01057
```

```
##      TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX
## 0.04656 0.05136 0.05264 0.04631
```

The fund PRMTX has the largest return, the fund GTCAX has the smallest return, and there is not a big difference between returns of these funds. The fund GTCAX has the largest risk, the fund FSUTX has the smallest risk, and there is not a big difference between the risks of these funds. Thus, there is not a fund that is clearly inferior or superior to each of the other three.

## 5

```
##          TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX
## TIREX 0.002168 0.001441 0.001864 0.001679
## PRMTX 0.001441 0.002638 0.002307 0.001129
## GTCAX 0.001864 0.002307 0.002771 0.001554
## FSUTX 0.001679 0.001129 0.001554 0.002145
```

## 6

```
##          TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX
## TIREX 0.002188 0.001331 0.001722 0.001551
## PRMTX 0.001331 0.002622 0.002131 0.001043
## GTCAX 0.001722 0.002131 0.002745 0.001436
## FSUTX 0.001551 0.001043 0.001436 0.002167
```

## 7

```
## Loading required package: MASS
```

```
## Warning: package 'MASS' was built under R version 4.1.2
```

```
##          TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX
## TIREX      . 4.079e-01 2.592e-03 1.456e-07
## PRMTX      .      . 2.868e-08 6.277e-01
## GTCAX      .      .      . 1.241e-02
## FSUTX      .      .      .      .
```

Based on the Bonferroni correction, all p-values in the prior table are greater than the adjusted typical significance level 5%. So we can accept the null hypothesis that the partial correlation coefficient is 0 for all pairs of funds. Thus, the single-Index model appears to be appropriate for these data with partial correlation coefficient.

## 8

```
## [1] 1.2522 3.3743 -4.0018 0.3753
```

```
## [1] 0.05342
```

```
## [1] 0.09522
```

## 9

```
## [1] 0.7885 1.9592 -2.1736 0.4258
```

```
## [1] 0.03487
```

```
## [1] 0.05916
```

## 10

```
## [1] 0.5567 1.2517 -1.2595 0.4510
```

```
## [1] 0.02559
```

```
## [1] 0.04592
```

## 11

When  $\lambda$  taken to be 20, the portfolio has the smallest risk and mean return. When  $\lambda$  taken to be 5, the portfolio has the largest risk and estimated return mean. Since a larger  $\lambda$  means we are less willing to tolerate higher risk invest, so the portfolio with the largest  $\lambda$  should have the smallest risk. Also, since risk-averse portfolios are on the efficient frontier, the mean return tends to be larger with a larger risk. Thus, a larger  $\lambda$  are expected with a smaller risk and mean return. So yes, these results correspond to what I would expect based on portfolio theory.

## 12

```
## TIREX PRMTX GTCAX FSUTX
```

```
## 0.7923 1.9706 -2.1883 0.4254
```

```
## [1] 0.03502
```

```
## [1] 0.05941
```

## 13

```
## [1] 0.05231 0.74424 0.00000 0.20344
```

```
## [1] 0.01585
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.04523
```

## 14

```
##    TIREX    PRMTX    GTCAX    FSUTX  
## 0.5885 1.5238 -1.5048 0.3926
```

```
## [1] 0.02862
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.0568
```

## 15

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.5611
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.5894
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.5573
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.5894
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.3504
```

```
##          [,1]  
## [1,] 0.5039
```

The largest Sharpe ratio is 12, which corresponds to my expectation since it maximizes the Sharpe ratio as a tangency portfolio. And the sharpe ratio in 9 is also the largest, but with a larger sharpe ratio, the  $\lambda$  should also be the largest, however it is not here, so the results are not consistent with portfolio theory.

## 16

```
##   TIREX   PRMTX   GTCAX   FSUTX   GSPC
## 0.4981  1.6476 -2.1480  0.3894  0.6129
```

```
## [1] 0.03355
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.0549
```

These results disagree with what I expect based on portfolio theory and the CAPM. Since by the CAPM, the market portfolio should be the same as the tangency portfolio in 3 so for mean return and risk but not right here for risk and return, so the results disagree with what I expect based on portfolio theory and the CAPM.

## 17

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = as.matrix(ex) ~ sp500)
##
## Coefficients:
##              TIREX      PRMTX      GTCAX      FSUTX
## (Intercept)  0.00178   0.00676  -0.00611   0.00263
## sp500        0.78740   0.84651   0.96116   0.62173
```

GTCAX is the most sensitive to the market with the largest coefficient and FSUTX is the least sensitive to the market with the smallest coefficient.

## 18

```
## [1] 0.3151
```

The minimum adjusted p-value  $0.3151 > \text{FDR} = 0.20$ , we do not reject the null hypothesis  $\alpha_j = 0$ , so we conclude no stock mispriced.

## 19

```
##   TIREX   PRMTX   GTCAX   FSUTX
## 0.03509 0.03773 0.04284 0.02771
```

```
##   TIREX   PRMTX   GTCAX   FSUTX
## 0.03086 0.03514 0.03085 0.03743
```

The first row is the market components of risk, while the second row above is the non-market components of risk.

20.

```
## TIREX PRMTX GTCAX FSUTX
## 0.5681 0.5397 0.6623 0.3580
```

21.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ep ~ sp500)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.06334 -0.01411 -0.00184  0.01436  0.06412
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  0.00127    0.00323   0.39    0.7
## sp500        0.80420    0.07031  11.44 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.0241 on 58 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.693, Adjusted R-squared:  0.688
## F-statistic: 131 on 1 and 58 DF, p-value: <2e-16

## [1] 0.03584

## [1] 0.02407
```

The first value is the market risk, and the second value is the non-market risk. In the market model, we will have the estimated beta for the portfolio equal to the weighted sum of betas for the individual assets in the portfolio with respect to the portfolio weight, which corresponds to the result of question 17. In market model, we also know that diversification can in general decrease non-market risk relative to individual market risk, and the market risk will generally be between the largest and smallest individual market risk, which corresponds to question 19. Thus, the results agree with what I expect.

22.

```
## [1] 0.0129 1.7166 -2.9748 2.2453

## [1] 0.03572

##      [,1]
## [1,] 0.08659
```

23.

```
##      [,1]
## [1,] 0.4125
```

```
## [1] 0.2865
```

```
##           [,1]  
## [1,] -7.493e-17
```

Assuming that the portfolio based on the S&P 500 index is identical to the market portfolio used in the CAPM, it can also be considered as the tangency portfolio, resulting in the highest Sharpe ratio. The first result shows the estimated Sharpe ratio of the portfolio 0.4125. The second result shows the estimated Sharpe ratio of the S&P500 index, which is about 0.2865. We can see that the portfolio is larger than S&P500 index, so it contradicts CAPM. Thus, it disagree with what I would expect based on properties of the market model.

24.

```
##  
## Call:  
## lm(formula = p22portfolio ~ sp500)  
##  
## Residuals:  
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max   
## -0.21018 -0.05291 -0.00098  0.05626  0.17646   
##  
## Coefficients:  
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      
## (Intercept)  3.57e-02   1.17e-02   3.04   0.0035 **    
## sp500        -8.11e-17   2.55e-01   0.00   1.0000     
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
##  
## Residual standard error: 0.0873 on 58 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared:  6.04e-32,    Adjusted R-squared:  -0.0172   
## F-statistic: 3.5e-30 on 1 and 58 DF,  p-value: 1  
  
## [1] -3.613e-18
```

alpha is 0.0357, beta is -4.86e-16, which is really close to 0, non-market risk is 0.0873, and market risk is also nearly 0. The market model predicts a beta of 0 and, when the portfolio and market index are uncorrelated, market risk should also be 0. Therefore, the proportion of risk explained by the market is also 0. These results align with the market model's properties.

25.

```
## PRMTX  TIREX  FSUTX  GTCAX  
## 0.3421 0.2541 0.2282 0.1170  
  
## PRMTX  FSUTX  TIREX  GTCAX  
## 0.020756 0.016999 0.015028 0.006408  
  
## PRMTX  FSUTX  TIREX  GTCAX  
## 0.19242 0.07029 0.05766 -0.19813
```

Based on the results, PRMTX appears to be the best investment since it has the largest ratios for all three measures. This implies that it has the highest excess return relative to market risk, sensitivity to market movements, and non-market risk. Therefore, I would conclude that FSPHX is the best option.