
## ONE-SHOT TRAUMATIC BRAIN SEGMENTATION WITH ADVERSABIAL TRAINING

# WITH ADVERSARIAL TRAINING AND UNCERTAINTY RECTIFICATION

Xiangyu Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Zhenrong Shen<sup>1</sup>, Dongdong Chen<sup>1</sup>, Sheng Wang<sup>1,3</sup>, Zixu Zhuang<sup>1,3</sup>, Qian Wang<sup>2</sup>, and Lichi Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>




lichizhang@sjtu.edu.cn



## RESEARCH BACKGROUND

- Brain segmentation on the consciousness-related brain ROIs of patients with severe traumatic brain injuries (sTBI) is essential for clinical treatment;
- The collection of both sTBI brain MR scans and their brain region labels is demanding and costive;
- We propose a novel one-shot traumatic segmentation method, with adversarial training and uncertainty rectification.



**Fig. 1.** Illustration of the MR scan and consciousness-related brain ROIs of a sTBI patient.

#### **METHOD**

#### (1) Overview

MICCAI2023

• Compared with previous alternatives, we introduce adversarial training to improve the augmentation diversity and the segmentation robustness, and uncertainty rectification that alleviates potential label errors.




Fig. 2. Comparison of our one-shot traumatic brain segmentation method with previous attempts.

#### 2 Adversarial training for data augmentation

- The adversarial network and the segmentation network is trained in an adversarial manner;
- The adversarial network is trained to maximize the segmentation difference before and after applying adversarial augmentation;
- The segmentation network is trained to minimize the segmentation difference under the adversarial perturbations.




Fig. 3. The diagram of proposed adversarial training.

#### (3) Uncertainty rectification to surpass label errors

- Abnormalities in sTBI brains can bring label errors during data augmentation;
- Spatial deformed atlas image is fed to segmentation network as a spatial reference;
- Segmentation difference of spatial deformed atlases and synthesized sTBI images indicates the potential regions where labels may err.
- A spatial-weighted segmentation loss is utilized to reduce the effects of potential label errors.

## RESULTS

Proposed one-shot method outperforms other one-shot alternatives.

	IR	IL	TR	TL	ICRA	ICRP
Brainstorm	46.2±21.9	43.2±21.3	66.7±18.1	57.1±7.3	46.8±11.7	34.5±21.0
LT-Net	$45.4 \pm 24.6$	$52.4 \pm 21.0$	59.5±17.9	$58.3 \pm 10.4$	$47.0 \pm 19.7$	$42.6 \pm 17.3$
DeepAtlas	$50.3 \pm 25.4$	$44.2 \pm 27.0$	$56.4 \pm 16.1$	$57.5 \pm 8.7$	$50.4 \pm 13.8$	$38.8 \pm 18.7$
Proposed	52.6±24.8	54.4±22.9	62.0±16.2	58.4±10.2	51.1±16.6	44.2±17.5
	ICLA	ICLP	CRA	CRP	CLA	CLP
Brainstorm	46.1±14.3	$38.8 \pm 14.6$	50.1±16.6	48.0±13.2	$50.9 \pm 11.1$	54.2±10.8
LT-Net	$43.5 \pm 19.2$	$42.6 \pm 17.2$	$52.2 \pm 18.4$	$48.4 \pm 12.5$	$48.5 \pm 13.0$	$56.5 \pm 11.9$
DeepAtlas	53.8±15.6	46.2±16.9	$46.4 \pm 19.3$	42.5±13.9	$43.4 \pm 15.8$	52.1±13.0
Proposed	53.0±16.7	44.7±18.1	56.1±15.0	53.2±12.5	51.7±12.9	60.8±11.1
	MCR	MCL	IPL	IPR	В	Overall
Brainstorm	$52.5 \pm 18.7$	57.9±11.9	50.1±17.1	52.2±16.5	$86.4 \pm 4.3$	51.9±19.0
LT-Net	$56.1 \pm 20.1$	59.2±12.3	$43.0 \pm 15.4$	$53.5 \pm 18.2$	$87.0 \pm 6.2$	$52.7 \pm 19.6$
DeepAtlas	$55.9 \pm 17.9$	54.6±13.2	54.6±13.2	$50.8 \pm 15.3$	$88.9 \pm 4.0$	$51.5 \pm 19.8$
Proposed	61.0±16.9	61.1±11.1	48.1±18.9	53.7±17.0	90.1±4.2	56.3±18.8

**Table 1.** Brain segmentation performance comparison with state-of-the-art methods on selected brain ROIs.

### **CONCLUSION**

We propose a novel one-shot traumatic brain segmentation method with adversarial training and uncertainty rectification, which has great potential to benefit clinical healthcare.