

TGM - HTBLuVA Wien XX IT Department

SOA, JSON and REST Dezsys-Elaboration

Authors: Siegel Hannah & Vogt Andreas

March 9, 2015

Contents

1.1 Services 1.2 SOA 1.3 REST 1.4 JSON 2 Existing Problems 2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols 6.4 Protocols 6.5 SOA Model 6.5 SOA Model 6.7 SOA Model 6.7 Protocols 6.8 Protocols	2
1.3 REST 1.4 JSON 2 Existing Problems 2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3 SOA as an solution 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 2
1.4 JSON 2 Existing Problems 2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3 SOA as an solution 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 2
2 Existing Problems 2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 3
2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3 SOA as an solution 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 3
2.1 Historical Overview 2.2 Problems 3 SOA as an solution 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	3
2.2 Problems 3 SOA as an solution 3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.9 SOA Manifesto 3.10 SOA Lifecycle 3.10 BPM 3.11 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.12 BPML 3.13 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1 Design Principles 3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.9 SOA Manifesto 3.10 SOA Lifecycle 3.10 BPM 3.11 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.12 BPML 3.13 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	5
3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts 3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems 3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.9 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.3 Abstraction of Services 3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.4 Service Reusability 3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.5 Autonomity 3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.6 Statelessness 3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.7 Discoverability 3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.1.8 Composability 3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.2 SOA Manifesto 3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.3 SOA Lifecycle 3.4 BPM 3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	
3.4 BPM	. 7
3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16] 3.4.2 BPML 3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 7
3.4.2 BPML	. 7
3.4.3 BPEL 4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	. 8
4 SOA Model if we need it 5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB	. 8
5 JAX-WS 6 Implementation 6.1 ESB	. 8
6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	8
6 Implementation 6.1 ESB 6.2 RestFul Services 6.3 Migration of legacy systems 6.4 Protocols	ç
6.1 ESB	
6.2 RestFul Services	S
6.3 Migration of legacy systems	
6.4 Protocols	
	. 9
CA1 - VMI	. 9
6.4.1 XML	. 9
6.4.2 JSON	. 9
6.4.3 SOAP	
6.4.4 WSDL	
6.4.5 UUID	
7 Code Snippets	9

8	Comparison	9
	8.1 Pro and Contra of SOA	. 9
	8.2 SOA VS. EAI	. 9
	8.3 SOA VS. OOP	. 10
	8.4 SOA VS. REST	. 10
9	Conclusion	10
10	0 If we need it!!	10
	10.1 Implementation Topologies	. 10
	10.1.1 Peer-to-peer	. 10
	10.1.2 Hub & Spoke	. 10
	10.1.3 Bus	. 10
	10.2 Easy Bibliography	. 11

© Siegel,Vogt 1 of 12

1 Introduction

1.1 Services

In order to understand SOA, an very important concept is a Service.

"Services are what you connect together using Web Services. A service is the endpoint of a connection. Also, a service has some type of underlying computer system that supports the connection offered.",[8]

Furthermore, a Service has to have a clearly defined function and very often they should belong to one business process. It can be seen as an Interface which provides a specific function.

When do we speak of a service?

"A service is also a unit of logic to which service-orientation has been applied to a meaningful extend. It's the application of service-orientation design principles that distingishues a unit of logic as a service compared to other units of logic that may exist solely as objects, components, Web services, REST services or cloud based systems", [2, page 29] These patterns and principles are discussed in section 3.1.

A service contains it's clearly defined functionality, a description of this functionality and Basic-operations such as binding, selection, publication or discovery [5, page 8].

Seen out of an more business related approach, they usually provide clear incident, problem, change, configuration, release, availability and cost management - which helps to gain information and overview the services.

1.2 SOA

Service-Oriented Computing

"Service-oriented computing is an umbrella term that represents a distinct distributed computing platform. As such, it encompasses many things ,including its own design paradigm and design principles, design pattern catalogs, pattern languages, and a distinct architectural model, along with related concepts, technologies, and frameworks.", [2, page 22]

Service-Oriented Architcture

"Service Oriented Architecture is a technology architectural model for service-oriented solutions with distinct characteristics in support of realizing service-orientation", [2, page 27]. Service orientation means, that services of any kind are put into the center of the system, enabling flexible business process (re-)modelling due to a very high business process orientation and loose coupling of the services.

bullshit

"Service-oriented architecture (SOA) is an approach used to create an architecture based upon the use of services. Services (such as RESTful Web services) carry out some small function, such as producing data, validating a customer, or providing simple analytical services.",[11] SOA is not a product or framework, it is a design approach or paradigm for good software design. SOA has it's underlying business functions provided as Services which can be used by all Application on a shared basis. The applications are using a middleware, for example an ESB, in order to access it's services.

Because SOA is using the technology of WebServices, it is quite platform independent. "It

© Siegel, Vogt 2 of 12

is important to view and position SOA and service-orientation as being neutral to any one technology platform. By doing so, you have the freedom to continually pursue the strategic goals associated with service-orientation computing by leveraging on-going service technology advancements.",cite[page 29]grau

SOA is often used as a newer approach to EAI. [10]

"One of the keys to SOA architecture is that interactions occur with loosely coupled services that operate independently. SOA architecture allows for service reuse, making it unnecessary to start from scratch when upgrades and other modifications are needed. This is a benefit to businesses that seek ways to save time and money.",[11]. The aspect of an ROI is very important within the concept of SOA

1.3 REST

Rest stands for Representational State Transfer. It is a software architecture style consisting of guidelines and best practices for creating scalable web services. The main idea behind REST is that you are working with the HTTP protocol. Rest is basicly using HTTP verbs, GET, POST, PUT, DELETE and HEAD, in order to act on resources, represented by individual URIs. A perk of those verbs is that they are mostly self-explanatory.

1.4 **JSON**

"JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) is a lightweight data-interchange format. It is easy for humans to read and write. It is easy for machines to parse and generate. It is based on a subset of the JavaScript Programming Language, Standard ECMA-262 3rd Edition - December 1999. JSON is a text format that is completely language independent but uses conventions that are familiar to programmers of the C-family of languages, including C, C++, C sharp, Java, JavaScript, Perl, Python, and many others. These properties make JSON an ideal data-interchange language.",[12].

2 Existing Problems

2.1 Historical Overview

With the rise of computers, companies have started to invest into the new information technology during the second half of the 20 century. Mostly, the first thing that has been bought were expensive mainframes, so that some processes could be done with more reliability. Naturally, employees have done some faults and they were not as efficient as computers.

Because of very expensive Hardware and Software which was by far not as evolved as it is today, the implementation of new technologies was quite slow. Computers and Mainframes were mostly used for industries like astronautics or applied researching and eventually even for automating processes such as book keeping.

These implementations were quite easy to implement and there was no need for any intercommunication between services.

© Siegel, Vogt 3 of 12

In the early 80s, the whole industry changed. Suddenly, the personal computer (PC) made it possible to afford information technology on a large scale. Computers were not only used for difficult arithmetic operations but they started to be an everyday-life tool to improve the work-flow and the business processes in companies.

Many new kinds of technologies, ranging from OS with GUIs to the rise of the World Wide Web, were leading to an really fast expansion of information technology.

But with an higher demand on PCs, the demand for infrastructure rose as well. The need for computer specialist was higher than ever and every company had to invest a lot into their, mostly newly founded, IT department.

Because of an lack of know-how and systems that had the characteristics to change a lot, the implementation of all the services became a big challenge. Enterprises used a variety of customised applications, at least one for every type of service.

ODER: "Historically, many IT projects focused solely on building applications designed specifically to automate business process requirements that were current at theat time. This fulfilles immediate (tactical) needs, bit as mpre pf these single-purpose applications were delivered, it resulted in an IT enterprise filled with islands of logic and data reffered to as application silos. As new business requirements would emerge, either new silos were created or integration channels between silos were established. As yet more business change arose, integration channels had to be augumented, even more silos had to be created, and soon the IT enterprise landscape became convoluted ad increasingly burdensome, expensive, and slow to evolve." [2, page 522]

2.2 Problems

Out of these systems, there are many problems that have eventually emerged.

First of all, systems like that are not really agile. Because changes and new technologies were inevitable, time consuming integrations had to be done. If these have simply not been, legacy systems emergered. These were often not remotly changeable.

Also, new challanges such as cloud computing and a more common globalization of Processes made it harder to stick to the old systems.

Because Applications were always providing some kind of service, but hardly seen like a service, they were not as easy reconfigurable and changeable. All the Applications need to communicate with each other and transmit data, so often a start topology was used. It then changed more and more into a Middleware, which had the benefit of only docking the Application to the Middleware once. Nowadays, mostly a bus system gets used, as described in section 6.1.

Due to compatibility concerns, IT-Infrastructure were often Vendor dependent. Therefore we often talk about an SAP-System, because mostly all the components have been bought from SAP, which decreases the agility and may increase the costs.

Furthermore, before SOA, Applications were not divided into processes, and therefore a BPM was made difficult for both the management or the IT-department.

All these restriction lead to increased overall costs and an reduced ROI.

© Siegel, Vogt 4 of 12

3 SOA as an solution

"In many ways, service-orientation emerged in response to these problems. It is a paradigm that provides an alternative to project-specific, silo-based, and integrated application development by adamantly prioritizing the attainment of long-term, strategic business goals.",[2, page 522] The target state of service-orientation is to not have these traditional problems any more. In some cases, due to legacy systems or other problems this is not possible, but still SOA tries to realize it to whatever extend possible.

Service-orientation emerged as a formal method in support of achieving the following goals an benefits associated with service-oriented computing:

- Increased Intrinsic Interoperability
- Increased Federation
- Increased Vendor Diversification Options
- Increased Business and Technology Alignment
- Increased ROI
- Increased Organizational Agility
- Reduced IT Burden

[2, page 23] These strategic goals the are put into more low-level design principles. These goals are especially interesting not only to IT-staff members but also for a organization's management. It is one step above EAI already and it combines important aspects of BPM, OOP and AOP in it.

3.1 Design Principles

Because SOA is only an Design Paradigm and not a concrete implementation, service orientation Patterns and principles are used. The design paradigm consists of the following points:

- 1. Standardized Service contracts
- 2. Loosly coupled systems
- 3. Abstraction of Services
- 4. Reusability
- 5. Autonomity
- 6. Statelessness
- 7. Discoverability
- 8. Composability
- [2, page 25]

© Siegel, Vogt 5 of 12

3.1.1 Standardized Service contracts

"A service contract expresses the technical interface of a service. ", cite[page 33]grau This means that there are interfaces which do contain the metadata to an service.

If these services are implemented as a Webservice, for example, most commonly an description document in Form of an WSDL definition will be used, or an XML Schema.

The two approaches nowadays are either Top-Down (designing the service contracts at first and then implementing them) or Bottom-up(Building the contracts out of already existing services). [2, page 151,152]

REST

"For REST services, capturing and communicating various aspects of resources can be necessary, such as the set of resources, relationships between resources, HTTP verbs allowed on resources and supported resource representation formats. Standards such as WADL(Web Application Description Language), can be used to satisfy the mandatory requirements. [..] Even the self-describing contract of HTTP verbs for a REST service establishes a standard-based service contract.", cite[page 151]grau

SLAs

Furthermore, A service contract will often be concluded in Human Readable documents. These are called Service Level Agreements (SLAs) and they often contain information like quality requirements or overall business information.

Generation Possibilities within Java

"JAX-WS defines the wsimport tool, which takes an existing WSDL definintion as input to generate Java skeletons [..] Similarly, the wsgen tool generates WSDL from existing Java code.",[2, page 151]

3.1.2 Loosly coupled systems

3.1.3 Abstraction of Services

3.1.4 Service Reusability

The following are common design characteristics associated with reusable services:

- The service is defined by an agnosite functional context

 This means that an agnostic functional context is not specific to any one purpose and is therefore considered muli-purpose. It means, that it is idependent of the particular business process or the area of application.
- The service logic is highly generic
- The service can be used concurrently

In general, reusability is a very important design principle which gets used in normal OOP as well. [2, page 140-150]

© Siegel, Vogt 6 of 12

3.1.5 Autonomity

";

- 3.1.6 Statelessness
- 3.1.7 Discoverability
- 3.1.8 Composability

3.2 SOA Manifesto

The SOA Manifesto has been developed 2009, and it is quite similar to the Agile Manifesto, which is widely known. Of the Value-Groups, both values are important and should be archived, but the left one is always more important.

The wording of the SOA Manifesto:

"We have been applying service orientation to help organizations consistently deliver sustainable business value, with increased agility and cost effectiveness, in line with changing business needs."

Through our work we have come to prioritize:

- Business value over technical strategy
- Strategic goals over project-specific benefits
- Intrinsic interoperability over custom integration
- Shared services over specific-purpose implementations
- Flexibility over optimization
- Evolutionary refinement over pursuit of initial perfection

3.3 SOA Lifecycle

3.4 BPM

"Business Process Management is a systematic approach to making an organisation's workflow more effective, more efficiant and more capable of adapting to an ever-changing environement. A business process in an activity or an set of activities that will accomplish a specific organisational goal. The goal of BPM is to reduce human error and miscommunication and focus stakeholders on the requirements of their roles. BPM is a subset of infrastructure management, an administrative area concerned with maintaining and optimizing an organization's equipment and core operations.", [15].

© Siegel, Vogt 7 of 12

[&]quot; [13]

Figure 1: Business Management cycle [17]

3.4.1 Challenges of BPM [16]

- Lack of Business Case
- Too Slow to React to the Business Change
- Gap between the modelling and the implementation phases
- Seen as a One Time Project
- Not Investing in Staff
- Poorly Defined Measures of Success

3.4.2 BPML

3.4.3 BPEL

"BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) is an XML-based language that allows Web services in a service-oriented architecture to interconnect and share data.",[14]. When using Webserivces, it also is often called WSBPEL. "Programmers use BPEL to define how a business process that involves web services will be executed. BPEL messages are typically used to invoke remote services, orchestrate process execution and manage events and exceptions.

BPEL is often associated with Business Process Management Notation (BPMN), a standard for representing business processes graphically. In many organizations, analysts use BPMN to visualize business processes and developers transform the visualizations to BPEL for execution. BPEL was standardized by OASIS in 2004 after collaborative efforts to create the language by Microsoft, IBM and other companies.",[14].

It therefore helps to produce code out of a graphical description, and there is no need to code it all out once again. Such systems should interact with other systems such as ERP-systems and without SOA it would be hard to realize. Using BPEL, smaller parts of an application can be easily combined to a greater one. [5, page 18]

4 SOA Model if we need it

The SOA-model has different layers. On the top level there is the Presentation Layer, which is the User Interface, which can be a normal Client Application as we know it but also a Fat Client. Then we speak of the Orchestration Layer, Where the flow of an Application is beeing a sequenz of certain services. In the Orchestration layer, a data transfer between these Services is possible as well. And then there comes the service layer, in which the Services are. In between there is some kind of integration Architecture, which would normally be an ESB. In the application layer, applications that already exists and Databases or systems are put. In the Visualized Infrastructure, the Hardware can be found. These layers can be seen in figure ??.

© Siegel, Vogt 8 of 12

Orchestration

Orchestration means, that a new Service can be build out of other Services. These other services can be of any kind (also external) and the communication between them is usually koordinated out from an central controlling instance. Orchestration is usually done using (WS-)BPEL as described closer in section 3.4.3. This layer is also a reason why SOA is so flexible and why the systems must be loosely coupled. [5, page 29]

SOA models There exist different SOA models, such as the OASIS model, The SOA Meta model of the W3C and each of the bigger companies such as IBM, SAP or Oracle have their own interpretation.

5 JAX-WS

SOAP-based Web services support in Java EE 5,6 and 7 is based on the Java API site 112 grey book

6 Implementation

- 6.1 ESB
- 6.2 RestFul Services
- 6.3 Migration of legacy systems
- 6.4 Protocols
- 6.4.1 XML
- 6.4.2 JSON
- 6.4.3 SOAP
- 6.4.4 WSDL
- 6.4.5 UUID

7 Code Snippets

8 Comparison

8.1 Pro and Contra of SOA

8.2 SOA VS. EAI

"Differently than EAI, which deals with linking enterprise applications so they can communicate with one another (by means of an intelligent reasoning engine) and carry out 'batch' data

© Siegel, Vogt 9 of 12

transfers, is the service oriented architecture (SOA) that provides 'transactional' data transfers, with no third-party software required. SOA is different from the EAI approach in that it does not depend on a third-party solution.",[18]

8.3 SOA VS. OOP

8.4 SOA VS. REST

9 Conclusion

Whereas SOA can not be seen as an implementation but more as an design principle, it comes with many advantages. Using the Web-oriented approach and also putting Services and Business Processes into the focus, it leaves an very flexible IT-Infrastructure and leads to an cost efficient way of operating.

Nowadays, many of SOA's principles are already used in what we declare as good software design, but still many companies have problems with applying the SOA Principles at a full extend. Often only parts of it get used, if even. This is mainly because changing an infrastructure is not that easy.

10 If we need it!!

10.1 Implementation Topologies

10.1.1 Peer-to-peer

The peer-to-peer (Figure ??) implementation is not very useful. It is quite outdated and it is not really usable for modern systems. There is practically no way to achieve any scalability and it gets complicated very soon.

10.1.2 Hub & Spoke

Messages get directed to an centralized unit, where they can be processed. (Figure ??) This centralized unit can be a bottleneck though.

10.1.3 Bus

Every service is connected to an service bus (ESB).(Figure ??) The processing distribution in this case is very good. The bus-topology is therefore the most recommended one, because there is no bottleneck when comparing it to a Hub.

© Siegel, Vogt 10 of 12

7

List of Tables

List of Figures			
1	Business Management cycle [17]		
10.	2 Easy Bibliography		
References			
[1]	Who, When url		
	last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[2]	Who, When url		
	last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[3]	Who, When url last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[4]	Who, When		
[=]	url		
	last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[5]	Who, When url		
	last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[6]	Who, When		
	url last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[7]	Who, When		
	url last used: dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
[8]	Service, service-architecture.com http://www.service-architecture.com/articles/web-services/service.html last used: 03.02.2015, 13:39		
[9]	Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) Definition, service-architecture.com http://www.service-architecture.com/articles/web-services/service- oriented_architecture_soa_definition.html last used: 03.02.2015, 13:40		

© Siegel,Vogt 11 of 12

[10] SOA (service oriented architecture),IT Wissen

http://www.itwissen.info/definition/lexikon/service-oriented-architecture-SOA-SOA-Architektur.html

last used: 03.02.2015, 13:50

[11] service-oriented architecture (SOA) definition, Margaret Rouse

http://searchsoa.techtarget.com/definition/service-oriented-architecture last used: 03.02.2015, 14:05

[12] **JSON**,json.com http://www.json.org/ last used: 02.02.2015, 22:15

[13] The SOA Manifesto

http://www.soa-manifesto.org/default.html last used: 28.02.2015, 14:05

[14] BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) definition, Margaret Rouse

http://searchsoa.techtarget.com/definition/BPEL last used: 08.03.2015, 13:21

[15] Business Process Management (BPM), Margaret Rouse

http://searchcio.techtarget.com/definition/business-process-management abgerufen am: 06.10.2014, 22:13

[16] Top Ten Biggest Challenges to BPM Initiatives, Pearl Zhu

http://futureofcio.blogspot.co.at/2013/03/top-ten-biggest-challenges-to-bpm.html abgerufen am: 06.10.2014, 22:32

[17] What is Business Process Management?

http://www.scc-co.com/enterprises-processes/abgerufen am: 06.10.2014, 22:04

[18] Key differences between ESB, EAI and SOA

www.innovativearchitects.com/KnowloedgeCenter/Business%20Connectivity%20and%20Interoperabiabgerufen am: 06.10.2014, 22:18

[19] Torsten Horn

http://www.torsten-horn.de/techdocs/eai.htm abgerufen am: 05.10.2014, 20:25

[20] **SOA with Java**, Thomas Erl

ISBN: 0-13-385903-4

© Siegel, Vogt