# **Journal**

### **Hugo Simon**

hugo.simon@telecom-paris.fr

### 1 Introduction

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a set of *n* datapoints. Let  $\Theta$  be a space of parameters, and  $\theta$  an element of  $\Theta$ . We consider cost functions of the form:

$$L(\theta) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x, \theta)$$

Let  $S = \{x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_m}\}$  be a subset of X (possibly with repetitions). To each element  $x \in S$ , associate a weight  $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Define the estimated cost associated to the weighted subset S as:

$$\hat{L}(\theta) = \sum_{x \in S} \omega(x) f(x, \theta).$$

**Definition 1.1** (Coreset). Let  $\varepsilon \in ]0,1[$ . The weighted subset S is a  $\varepsilon$ -coreset for L if, for any parameter  $\theta$ , the estimated cost is equal to the exact cost up to a relative error:

$$\forall \theta \in \Theta \quad \left| \frac{\hat{L}(\theta)}{L(\theta)} - 1 \right| \le \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

An important consequence of the coreset property is the following

$$(1-\varepsilon)L\left(\theta^{\mathrm{opt}}\right) \leq (1-\varepsilon)L\left(\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{opt}}\right) \leq \hat{L}\left(\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{opt}}\right) \leq \hat{L}\left(\theta^{\mathrm{opt}}\right) \leq (1+\varepsilon)L\left(\theta^{\mathrm{opt}}\right)$$

See Bachem et al. 2017.

# 2 Variance argument

### 2.1 Multinomial case

Multinomial case  $S \sim \mathcal{M}(m, q)$  i.e. m independent categorical sampling where  $\mathbb{P}(x_i) = q(x_i)$ 

$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{L}(\theta)] = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{q(x)}\right] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x \in X} \frac{f_{\theta}(x)^2}{q(x)} - \frac{1}{m} L(\theta)^2$$
 (2)

For any query  $\theta \in \Theta$ , the variance is reduced to 0 by

$$q_{\theta}(x) := \frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{\sum_{x' \in X} f_{\theta}(x')}$$

### 2.2 DPP case

DPP case where  $S \sim \mathcal{DPP}(K)$ ,  $\pi_i := K_{ii}$ . We have

$$\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\hat{L}(\theta)] = \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{i}\varepsilon_{j}\right] \frac{f_{\theta}(x_{i})f_{\theta}(x_{j})}{\pi_{i}\pi_{j}} - L(\theta)^{2} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{i}\varepsilon_{j}\right] = \begin{cases} \det\left(K_{\{i,j\}}\right) = \pi_{i}\pi_{j} - K_{ij}^{2}, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{i}\right] = \pi_{i}, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Introducing  $\Pi = \operatorname{diag}(\pi)$  and  $\tilde{K} = \Pi^{-1} K^{\odot 2} \Pi^{-1}$ , we can rewrite

$$\mathbb{V}\text{ar}[\hat{L}(\theta)] = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\pi_{i}} - 1\right) f_{\theta}(x_{i})^{2} - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{K_{ij}^{2}}{\pi_{i}\pi_{j}} f_{\theta}(x_{i}) f_{\theta}(x_{j}) = f_{\theta}^{\top}(\Pi^{-1} - \tilde{K}) f_{\theta}$$
(3)

For a Bernoulli process where  $\mathbb{P}(x_i \in S) = \pi_i$  independently,  $K = \Pi$  then  $\tilde{K} = I$ . The DPP variance beats uniformly the Bernoulli process variance if  $\tilde{K}$  dominates the identity i.e.

$$\forall f_{\theta}, \, \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\hat{L}_{K}(\theta)] < \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[\hat{L}_{\Pi}(\theta)] \iff \tilde{K} > I \tag{4}$$

But  $\tilde{K}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix and by Hadamard inequality  $\det(\tilde{K}) \leq \prod_i \tilde{K}_{ii} = 1$ . Therefore at least one of its eigenvalue is lower than 1, hence  $\tilde{K} \neq I$ .

#### 2.3 m-DPP case

The marginals  $b_i \equiv \mathbb{E}[m_i]$  have an analytic form. Moreover, let be defined

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(m_i - b_i\right)\left(m_j - b_j\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[m_i\right]\mathbb{E}\left[m_j\right]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[m_i m_j\right]}{b_i b_j} - 1$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(g^*) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( b_i - b_i^2 \right) f_{\theta}(x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i \neq j} C_{ij} b_i b_j f_{\theta}(x_i)^{\mathsf{T}} f_{\theta}(x_j)$$
 (5)

So Zhang et al. 2017 assume  $\forall i \neq j$ ,  $C_{ii}f_{\theta}(x_i)f_{\theta}(x_i) < 0$ 

# 3 Sensitivity

**Definition 3.1** (Sensitivity). The sensitivity  $\sigma_i$  of a datapoint  $x_i$  and the total sensitivity  $\mathfrak{S}$  of X are

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_i = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} q_{\theta}(x_i) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{f_{\theta}(x_i)}{L(\theta)} & \in [0, 1] \\ \mathfrak{S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \end{cases}$$

Let *s* be an upper bound on sensitivity  $\sigma$  i.e.  $\forall i, s_i \geq \sigma_i$ , and  $S := \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ . Furthermore, let sample  $S \sim \mathcal{M}(m, s/S)$ , the multinomial sampling case. Define  $g_{\theta}(x_i) := \frac{q_{\theta}(x_i)}{s_i} \in [0, 1]$ 

By Hoeffding's inequality, we thus have for any  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $\varepsilon' > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}\left[g_{\theta}(x)\right] - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{x \in S}g_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)\right| > \varepsilon'\right] \leq 2\exp\left(-2m\varepsilon'^{2}\right).$$

By definition,  $\mathbb{E}[g_{\theta}(x)] = \frac{1}{S}$  and  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{x \in C} g_{Q}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{cost}(C,Q)}{S \operatorname{cost}(X,Q)}$ . As such, for any  $Q \in Q$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|\cot(X,Q) - \cot(C,Q)| > \varepsilon' S \cot(X,Q)\right] \le 2 \exp\left(-2m\varepsilon'^2\right)$$

Hence, the set C satisfies the coreset property in (2.2) for any single query  $Q \in Q$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , if we choose

$$m \ge \frac{S^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \log \frac{2}{\delta}$$

# 4 SGD Paper

### 5 Pending questions

• Variance for formula for k-DPP, in Zhang et al. 2017.

- How  $\tilde{K}$  eigenspaces look like? When  $n \to \infty$ ?
  - How does it compare to Bardenet et al. 2020 ?
  - If f is given, can I find a K for which f is in "good" eigenspaces (eigenvalue  $\geq 1$ ).
- Defining discrete OPE, because discretized continuous OPE is probably not a DPP. See Gautschi Orthogonal Polynomials, 2004.
  - For making links with SGD paper Bardenet et al. 2021
  - Look at the limit e.g. for Jacobi ensembles.
- Take a Bernoulli and beat it with a DPP.
- Focus on metric we could have advantages on, e.g. look how variance decay with coreset size.
- Better with direct applications e.g. on k-means or linear regression

### References

Bachem, Olivier et al. (2017). Practical Coreset Constructions for Machine Learning. DOI: 10. 48550/ARXIV.1703.06476. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.06476.

Bardenet, Rémi et al. (2020). "Monte Carlo with Determinantal Point Processes". In: *Annals of Applied Probability*. URL: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01311263.

Bardenet, Rémi et al. (2021). Determinantal point processes based on orthogonal polynomials for sampling minibatches in SGD. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2112.06007. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06007.

Zhang, Cheng et al. (2017). Determinantal Point Processes for Mini-Batch Diversification. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1705.00607. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.00607.