

Skills Development Program Scheduling

Helmut Simonis

Constraint Based Production Scheduling

Licence



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.

This license requires that reusers give credit to the creator. It allows reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, for noncommercial purposes only. If others modify or adapt the material, they must license the modified material under identical terms.



Acknowledgments



This publication was developed as part of the ENTIRE EDIH project, which received funding from Enterprise Ireland and the European Commission.

Part of this work is based on research conducted with the financial support of Science Foundation Ireland under Grant number 12/RC/2289-P2 at Insight the SFI Research Centre for Data Analytics at UCC, which is co-funded under the European Regional Development Fund.

Note



- This is a document which combines all materials from the Scheduling course
- Files are also available individually in separate directories



Part I

Introduction

Key Points



- AI is more than LLM
- Stochastic vs. deductive AI methods
- Constraint Based Scheduling and its alternatives
- Key advantages
 - Compositional
 - Reusable
 - Explainable
- Course structure

Outline



Artificial Intelligence

Scheduling

Course Structure

Outline



Artificial Intelligence

Scheduling

Constraint-Based Scheduling

Other Solution Approaches

Course Structure

Constraint Programming - in a nutshell



- Declarative description of problems with
 - *Variables* which range over (finite) sets of values
 - *Constraints* over subsets of variables which restrict possible value combinations
 - A *solution* is a value assignment which satisfies all constraints
- Constraint propagation/reasoning
 - Removing inconsistent values for variables
 - Detect failure if constraint can not be satisfied
 - Interaction of constraints via shared variables
 - Incomplete
- Search
 - User controlled assignment of values to variables
 - Each step triggers constraint propagation
- Different domains require/allow different methods

Constraint Programming is Different



- Declarative Programming
 - Concentrate on what you want
 - Not how to get there
 - Program \neq Algorithm
 - Program = Model
- Applied to Combinatorial Problems
 - No complete polynomial algorithms known (exist?)
 - CP less ad-hoc than heuristics
 - Models can evolve

A Subtractive Process



"Oh, bosh, as Mr. Ruskin says. Sculpture, per se, is the simplest thing in the world. All you have to do is to take a big chunk of marble and a hammer and chisel, make up your mind what you are about to create and chip off all the marble you don't want." -Paris Gaulois.

Source: <https://quoteinvestigator.com/2014/06/22/chip-away/>

Other Technologies



- Heuristics
- Integer Programming
- Local search
- Deep neural networks

Outline



Artificial Intelligence

Scheduling

Course Structure

What is not covered?

A Short History

How does it all work?



-

Summary



- Why use Constraint Based Scheduling?
- Compared to other AI methods
- Compared to other solution approaches



Part II

Concepts

Key Points



- We introduce the core concepts used in scheduling
- Different layers of description
 - Why we are scheduling (orders, products, processes)
 - What we are doing (jobs, tasks)
- Temporal Relations
- Process description
- Problem classification
- Visualization

Outline



Core Concepts

Orders, Products, Processes
Jobs and Tasks

Temporal Relations

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

Outline



Core Concepts

Temporal Relations

Release and Due Date

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

Outline



Core Concepts

Temporal Relations

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

Outline



Core Concepts

Temporal Relations

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Job-Shop

Flow-Shop

Open-Shop

RCPSP

α, β, γ Notation

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

ENTIRE EDIH

Production Scheduling

Slide 21

Outline



Core Concepts

Temporal Relations

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

Outline



Core Concepts

Temporal Relations

Processes, Bill of Materials

Problem Classification

Key Visualization Methods

Summary

Summary



- We introduced the key concepts for scheduling problems
- Orders, products, processes
- Jobs and tasks
- Existing problem classifications
 - Academic
 - Limited practical usefulness
- Key visualization methods



Part III

Machines and Resources

Key Points



-

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Identical Machines

Machine Dependent Speed

Machine Preferences

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Outline



Disjunctive Resources

Cumulative Resources

Machine Choice

Work in Progress and Planned Downtimes

Calendars

Summary

Summary



-



Part IV

Experiments

Key Points



-

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Taillard

SALBP

Test Scheduling

Hybrid Flexible Flowshop

Creating Your Own Tests

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Outline



The Scheduling Tool

Data Input

Result Output

Instance Generator

Predefined Problem Sets

Creating Your Own Tests

Summary

Summary



-



Part V

Objectives

Key Points



-

Outline



Why Have an Objective?

Cost vs. Profit Based Objectives

Objective Types

Multi-Level

Interactive Scheduling

Outline



Why Have an Objective?

Objective Types

Makespan

Flowtime

Lateness

Earliness

Just-In-Time

Hybrid

Resource Levels

Multi-Level

Interactive Scheduling

Outline



Why Have an Objective?

Objective Types

Multi-Level

Interactive Scheduling

Outline



Why Have an Objective?

Objective Types

Multi-Level

Interactive Scheduling

Summary



-



Part VI

Advanced Concepts

Key Points



-

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Outline



Sequence Dependent Setup

Transportation Time

Human Resource Constraints

Energy Cost Aware Scheduling

Premption

Inventory

Alternative Processes/Process Paths

Explainability

Summary



-



Part VII

Case Studies

Key Points



- We provide a number of scheduling case studies
- Use the methodology developed to describe problems
- Use scheduling tool to provide solutions
- Generic tool provides good, but not always best solutions
- Two case studies are not handled by scheduling tool (yet)

Case Studies Overview



- Production Planning and Detailed Scheduling
 - How to use detailed scheduling in a wider context
- Assembly Line Balancing
 - Scheduling to plan design of an assembly line
- Test Scheduling
 - Scheduling tests on resources
- Factory Design
 - Location of resources affects scheduling outcome
- Oven Scheduling
 - Solving one detailed scheduling problem is not enough
- Blades and Vanes
 - Capacity and production planning over a multi-year period

Summary



- See how the methodology can be applied to solve real-world problems
- Generic tool provides immediate solution of good quality
- Visualization of results is also provided
- Tool will be available in a few weeks time



Part VIII

Production Planning Case Study

Key Points



- Case study from industry
- Production planning and detailed scheduling
- Based on project with medical devices company in Cork
 - Real problem
 - Realistic data
- Solved in two stages
 - Production planning based on run-out days and safety stock levels
 - Scheduling using our generic scheduling tool

Product List



Name	ShortName	Nr	DailySales	InventoryAtStart	CalcDaysCover	LotSize	CycleTime	LotDuration	Machine	ProductType	SafetyStock	SafetyAlert
P1	P1	1	3.20	877	274.06	163	1.33	217	8	pt1	66	253.44
P2	P2	2	11.40	1,011	88.68	240	1.20	288	8	pt2	774	20.79
P3	P3	3	796.20	26,204	32.91	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt3	12,108	17.70
P4	P4	4	233.80	7,877	33.69	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt4	3,358	19.33
P5	P5	5	267.30	7,152	26.76	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt5	3,906	12.14
P6	P6	6	606.20	18,654	30.77	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt6	9,293	15.44
P7	P7	7	137.30	4,939	35.97	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt7	1,979	21.56
P8	P8	8	88.30	3,152	35.70	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt8	1,342	20.50
P9	P9	9	77.20	2,688	34.82	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt9	1,082	20.80
P10	P10	10	165.60	5,971	36.06	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt10	2,649	20.06
P11	P11	11	60.70	2,310	38.06	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt11	877	23.61
P12	P12	12	51.80	1,928	37.22	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt12	883	20.17
P13	P13	13	79.00	2,231	28.24	320	2.30	736	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt13	1,193	13.14
P14	P14	14	271.20	8,951	33.01	432	2.10	908	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt14	3,732	19.24
P15	P15	15	86.60	3,244	37.46	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt15	1,454	20.67
P16	P16	16	42.40	2,110	49.76	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt16	875	29.13
P17	P17	17	17.60	681	38.69	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt17	290	22.22
P18	P18	18	217.50	5,710	26.25	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt18	2,814	13.31
P19	P19	19	56.30	2,450	43.52	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt19	804	29.24
P20	P20	20	13.60	506	37.21	480	2.00	960	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt20	272	17.21
P21	P21	21	10.80	977	90.46	360	2.10	756	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt21	293	63.33
P22	P22	22	21.80	1,538	70.55	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt22	349	54.54
P23	P23	23	189.10	5,195	27.47	360	2.30	828	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt23	2,941	11.92
P24	P24	24	9.50	886	93.26	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt24	191	73.16
P25	P25	25	7.50	326	43.47	120	2.30	276	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt25	210	15.47
P26	P26	26	11.60	418	36.03	360	2.10	756	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt26	187	19.91
P27	P27	27	16.50	1,388	84.12	480	2.10	1,008	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt27	218	70.91

Product List (Sorted by Daily Sales)



NTIRE

Product X													
Name	ShortName	Nr	DailySales ^W	InventoryAtStart	CalcDaysCover	LotSize	CycleTime	LotDuration	Machine	ProductType	SafetyStock	SafetyAlert	
P3	P3	3	796.20	26,204	32.91	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt3	12,108	17.70	
P6	P6	6	606.20	18,654	30.77	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt6	9,293	15.44	
P14	P14	14	271.20	8,951	33.01	432	2.10	908	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt14	3,732	19.24	
P53	P53	53	267.70	8,264	30.87	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	3,734	16.92	
P5	P5	5	267.30	7,152	26.76	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt5	3,906	12.14	
P124	P124	124	242.70	16,503	68.00	240	5.00	1,200	15,18,19	pt65	3,595	53.19	
P4	P4	4	233.80	7,877	33.69	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt4	3,358	19.33	
P123	P123	123	223.40	7,600	34.02	490	2.33	1,142	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,738	17.29	
P18	P18	18	217.50	5,710	26.25	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt18	2,814	13.31	
P23	P23	23	189.10	5,195	27.47	360	2.30	828	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt23	2,941	11.92	
P56	P56	56	168.20	4,824	28.68	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	2,660	12.87	
P10	P10	10	165.60	5,971	36.06	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt10	2,649	20.06	
P59	P59	59	152.80	5,666	37.08	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,095	16.83	
P7	P7	7	137.30	4,939	35.97	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt7	1,979	21.56	
P57	P57	57	134.80	5,358	39.75	588	1.10	647	1,2,3,8	pt53	2,294	22.73	
P36	P36	36	133.50	3,895	29.18	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt36	2,057	13.77	
P54	P54	54	122.40	5,059	41.33	480	1.33	639	1,2,3,8	pt51	1,965	25.28	
P121	P121	121	98.10	4,334	44.18	588	1.10	647	1,2,3,8	pt53	1,524	28.64	
P8	P8	8	88.30	3,152	35.70	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt8	1,342	20.50	
P125	P125	125	86.90	8,593	98.88	240	5.00	1,200	15,18,19	pt65	1,022	87.12	
P15	P15	15	86.60	3,244	37.46	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt15	1,454	20.67	
P100	P100	100	85.20	2,665	31.28	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt56	1,115	18.19	
P55	P55	55	79.50	2,876	36.18	441	2.33	1,028	1,2,3,8	pt52	1,367	18.98	
P13	P13	13	79.00	2,231	28.24	320	2.30	736	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt13	1,193	13.14	
P9	P9	9	77.20	2,688	34.82	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt9	1,082	20.80	
P47	P47	47	74.60	5,391	72.27	160	6.84	1,095	2,11	pt47	1,132	57.09	
P11	P11	11	60.70	2,310	38.06	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt11	877	23.61	
P61	P61	61	60.30	2,758	45.74	490	1.33	652	1,2,3,8	pt56	1,073	27.94	
P78	P78	78	57.60	2,234	38.78	588	1.10	647	1,2,3,8	pt59	824	24.48	
P19	P19	19	56.30	2,450	43.52	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt19	804	29.24	

Product List (Sorted by Days Cover)



Product X													NTIRE		
Name	ShortName	Nr	DailySales	InventoryAtStart	CalcDaysCover	LotSize	CycleTime	LotDuration	Machine	ProductType	SafetyStock	SafetyAlert			
P35	P35	35	1.30	26	20.00	120	2.30	276	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt35	33	0.00			
P18	P18	18	217.50	5,710	26.25	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt18	2,814	13.31			
P5	P5	5	267.30	7,152	26.76	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt5	3,906	12.14			
P23	P23	23	189.10	5,195	27.47	360	2.30	828	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt23	2,941	11.92			
P13	P13	13	79.00	2,231	28.24	320	2.30	736	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt13	1,193	13.14			
P56	P56	56	168.20	4,824	28.68	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	2,660	12.87			
P58	P58	58	55.00	1,590	28.91	420	2.33	979	1,2,3,8	pt54	1,208	6.95			
P36	P36	36	133.50	3,895	29.18	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt36	2,057	13.77			
P6	P6	6	606.20	18,654	30.77	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt6	9,293	15.44			
P53	P53	53	267.70	8,264	30.87	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	3,734	16.92			
P100	P100	100	85.20	2,665	31.28	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt56	1,115	18.19			
P122	P122	122	45.40	1,421	31.30	490	1.33	652	1,2,3,8	pt56	725	15.33			
P3	P3	3	796.20	26,204	32.91	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt3	12,108	17.70			
P14	P14	14	271.20	8,951	33.01	432	2.10	908	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt14	3,732	19.24			
P4	P4	4	233.80	7,877	33.69	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt4	3,358	19.33			
P123	P123	123	223.40	7,600	34.02	490	2.33	1,142	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,738	17.29			
P77	P77	77	33.00	1,146	34.73	336	1.20	404	1,2,3,8	pt61	565	17.61			
P9	P9	9	77.20	2,688	34.82	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt9	1,082	20.80			
P8	P8	8	88.30	3,152	35.70	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt8	1,342	20.50			
P7	P7	7	137.30	4,939	35.97	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt7	1,979	21.56			
P26	P26	26	11.60	418	36.03	360	2.10	756	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt26	187	19.91			
P10	P10	10	165.60	5,971	36.06	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt10	2,649	20.06			
P55	P55	55	79.50	2,876	36.18	441	2.33	1,028	1,2,3,8	pt52	1,367	18.98			
P63	P63	63	42.40	1,565	36.91	490	1.33	652	1,2,3,8	pt51	689	20.66			
P59	P59	59	152.80	5,666	37.08	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,095	16.83			
P20	P20	20	13.60	506	37.21	480	2.00	960	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt20	272	17.21			
P12	P12	12	51.80	1,928	37.22	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt12	883	20.17			
P44	P44	44	5.50	205	37.27	360	2.10	756	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt44	126	14.36			
P15	P15	15	86.60	3,244	37.46	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt15	1,454	20.67			

Product List (Sorted by Safety Alert)



Product X													
Name	ShortName	Nr	DailySales	InventoryAtStart	CalcDaysCover	LotSize	CycleTime	LotDuration	Machine	ProductType	SafetyStock	SafetyAl...▲	
P35	P35	35	1.30	26	20.00	120	2.30	276	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt35	33	0.00	
P51	P51	51	5.70	405	71.05	140	4.50	630	2	pt50	381	4.21	
P58	P58	58	55.00	1,590	28.91	420	2.33	979	1,2,3,8	pt54	1,208	6.95	
P82	P82	82	6.10	259	42.46	441	1.33	587	1,2,3,8	pt51	189	11.48	
P23	P23	23	189.10	5,195	27.47	360	2.30	828	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt23	2,941	11.92	
P5	P5	5	267.30	7,152	26.76	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt5	3,906	12.14	
P56	P56	56	168.20	4,824	28.68	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	2,660	12.87	
P13	P13	13	79.00	2,231	28.24	320	2.30	736	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt13	1,193	13.14	
P18	P18	18	217.50	5,710	26.25	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt18	2,814	13.31	
P36	P36	36	133.50	3,895	29.18	336	2.00	672	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt36	2,057	13.77	
P44	P44	44	5.50	205	37.27	360	2.10	756	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt44	126	14.36	
P122	P122	122	45.40	1,421	31.30	490	1.33	652	1,2,3,8	pt56	725	15.33	
P6	P6	6	606.20	18,654	30.77	350	2.30	805	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt6	9,293	15.44	
P25	P25	25	7.50	326	43.47	120	2.30	276	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt25	210	15.47	
P59	P59	59	152.80	5,666	37.08	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,095	16.83	
P53	P53	53	267.70	8,264	30.87	504	1.20	605	1,2,3,8	pt2	3,734	16.92	
P112	P112	112	3.40	134	39.41	588	1.20	706	1,2,3,8	pt2	76	17.06	
P20	P20	20	13.60	506	37.21	480	2.00	960	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt20	272	17.21	
P32	P32	32	5.40	222	41.11	480	2.00	960	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt32	129	17.22	
P123	P123	123	223.40	7,600	34.02	490	2.33	1,142	1,2,3,8	pt51	3,738	17.29	
P99	P99	99	5.70	247	43.33	96	2.00	192	1,2,3,8	pt60	148	17.37	
P77	P77	77	33.00	1,146	34.73	336	1.20	404	1,2,3,8	pt61	565	17.61	
P3	P3	3	796.20	26,204	32.91	420	2.10	882	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt3	12,108	17.70	
P100	P100	100	85.20	2,665	31.28	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt56	1,115	18.19	
P55	P55	55	79.50	2,876	36.18	441	2.33	1,028	1,2,3,8	pt52	1,367	18.98	
P14	P14	14	271.20	8,951	33.01	432	2.10	908	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt14	3,732	19.24	
P80	P80	80	7.20	293	40.69	420	1.33	559	1,2,3,8	pt51	154	19.31	
P4	P4	4	233.80	7,877	33.69	420	2.00	840	5,7,9,10,13,14,16	pt4	3,358	19.33	
P49	P49	49	50.90	2,273	44.66	378	1.00	378	2	pt48	1,260	19.90	

Setup Matrix



Running the Planning Solver



Planning Solver Parameters


Label:

Horizon Days:


Target Max Days:

Balancing Strategy:


Planner Results



Product Level Chart for Product P3



Product Level Chart for Product P35




Scheduled Production Runs




Product	Results Overview Charts			Product Level		Scheduled Production Level		ProductionRun X	
Name	Product	Nrlots	Qty	Due	Start	End	Duration	StartDay	EndDay
job3_0	P3	6	2,520	10,080	366	5,638	5,292	0.25	3.93
job3_1	P3	6	2,520	10,080	4,712	10,004	5,292	3.27	6.95
job4_0	P4	3	1,260	10,080	0	2,520	2,520	0.00	1.75
job5_0	P5	10	3,500	10,080	1,794	9,844	8,050	1.25	6.84
job6_0	P6	7	2,450	10,080	4,224	9,859	5,635	2.93	6.85
job6_1	P6	8	2,800	10,080	0	6,440	6,440	0.00	4.47
job7_0	P7	1	420	10,080	7,442	8,282	840	5.17	5.75
job8_0	P8	1	350	10,080	816	1,621	805	0.57	1.13
job9_0	P9	1	420	10,080	3,282	4,164	882	2.28	2.89
job10_0	P10	2	840	10,080	0	1,764	1,764	0.00	1.23
job11_0	P11	1	420	10,080	6,500	7,382	882	4.51	5.13
job12_0	P12	1	350	10,080	1,651	2,456	805	1.15	1.71
job13_0	P13	3	960	10,080	0	2,208	2,208	0.00	1.53
job14_0	P14	4	1,728	10,080	0	3,632	3,632	0.00	2.52
job15_0	P15	1	336	10,080	2,580	3,252	672	1.79	2.26
job17_0	P17	1	420	10,080	5,718	6,558	840	3.97	4.55
job18_0	P18	9	3,024	10,080	3,144	9,192	6,048	2.18	6.38
job20_0	P20	1	480	10,080	3,692	4,652	960	2.56	3.23
job23_0	P23	7	2,520	10,080	2,516	8,312	5,796	1.75	5.77
job26_0	P26	1	360	10,080	0	756	756	0.00	0.53
job35_0	P35	1	120	0	0	276	276	0.00	0.19
job36_0	P36	4	1,344	10,080	6,616	9,306	2,688	4.60	6.46
job44_0	P44	1	360	10,080	2,298	3,054	756	1.60	2.12
job46_0	P46	1	350	10,080	8,372	9,177	805	5.81	6.37
job51_0	P51	1	140	6,064	0	630	630	0.00	0.44
job53_0	P53	5	2,520	10,080	707	3,732	3,025	0.49	2.59
job55_0	P55	1	441	10,080	2,580	3,608	1,028	1.79	2.51
job56_0	P56	4	2,016	10,080	7,218	9,638	2,420	5.01	6.69
job58_0	P58	2	840	10,002	3,668	5,626	1,958	2.55	3.91
job59_0	P59	1	420	10,080	464	1,023	559	0.32	0.71
job63_0	P63	1	490	10,080	0	652	652	0.00	0.45
job77_0	P77	1	336	10,080	0	404	404	0.00	0.28
job78_0	P78	1	588	10,080	0	647	647	0.00	0.45


Production Level Chart for Product P3




Production Level Chart for Product P35



Detailed Schedule



Showing Alternative Machines in Gantt Chart



Summary



- We demonstrated the use of our scheduling tool inside a production planning problem from industry
- Production planning decides which products to make in which quantity
 - Balance stock levels against projected demand
 - Allow for product specific safety stock levels
- Uses estimate of production capacity over planning horizon
- Use detailed scheduling to validate plan



Part IX

Assembly Line Balancing Case Study

Key Points



-

Problem Description



Feature Overview



Summary



-



Part X

Test Scheduling Case Study

Key Points



-

Problem Description



The problem arises in the context of a testing facility. A number of tests have to be performed in minimal time. Each test has a given duration and needs to run on one machine. While the test is running on a machine, no other test can use that machine. Some tests can only be assigned to a subset of the machines, for others you can use any available machine. For some tests, additional, possibly more than one, global resources are needed. While those resources are used for a test, no other test can use the resource. The objective is to finish the set of all tests as quickly as possible, i.e. all start times should be non-negative, and makespan should be minimized.

Feature Overview



Summary



-



Part XI

Factory Design Case Study

Key Points



-

Problem Description



Feature Overview



Summary



-



Part XII

Oven Scheduling Case Study

Key Points



- Discusses two topics:
 - Solve a very specific industrial scheduling problem from the ASSISTANT EU project
 - Discuss the general issue of short-term scheduling vs. long-term objectives

Research Challenge





- Often the long-term business objectives are not visible in the operational decision problem
- We optimize a short-term objective without understanding the impact in the long term
- What choices should we make in short-term to improve overall result?
- Especially important when future data not yet visible
- Surprisingly, this problem is rarely discussed in literature

Examples



- Production Scheduling
- Nearly all scheduling benchmarks use c_{max} (makespan) as objective
- Why?
- Do we want to close factory as rapidly as possible?

- Car Sequencing
- The best heuristics push difficult cars to the edge of schedule
- Because they are easier to schedule this way
- But: It makes it hard to schedule next day



Examples



- Personnel Rostering
 - Satisfy working rules and demands for period
 - But: rules apply on a rolling horizon
 - Easy to over-constrain problem for next period

- Transportation Planning
 - Build daily delivery tours, optimizing cost
 - Where are your trucks at 10PM?
 - Also, avoid cherry-picking at start of week



Problem Studied Here




- Example from the ASSISTANT EU project (ended last year)
- Oven schedule for one of the industrial partners
- Schedule tasks on a set of ovens
- Tasks can share oven only if they are compatible
- Conflicting objectives
 - Energy use of ovens very significant, reduce when ovens are used
 - Waiting for an oven affects quality of product
- Jobs only visible when previous process step starts
- Currently scheduled by hand, industry partner expressed strong need for change

What does this look like in the real world?



Industrial Oven



Rotors in Compressor

Solution Approach: Constraint Programming




- Declarative modelling approach for combinatorial problems
 - Problem expressed in terms of variables and constraints
 - Global constraints
 - Combines expressive modelling abstractions and powerful reasoning
 - Examples: disjunctive, cumulative, global_cardinality
 - Compositional: Add constraints as required
 - Main application areas
 - Scheduling, rostering, transportation
 - Also: test generation, verification, configuration



Overall Decomposition (Standard)



- We can only see that far into future
- We do not want to take decisions now that we might regret later
- We have to make some decisions now otherwise we never do anything
- *Rolling horizon* decomposition
 - We schedule up to *lookahead horizon* units into the future
 - We commit to implement resulting schedule only to up *commitHorizon*
 - We reschedule when we receive new information, or we reach the end of commitment
 - We solve each short-term sub problem based on short-term objectives



Short-Term Schedule Modelling



- Challenge: There is no global constraint to express the oven resource constraint
- We are not able to invest a lot of time/resources to develop such a constraint
- Two choices:
 - Two traditional models with variables linking them (Lackner et al, Constraints 2023)
 - Direct model expressing conditions as disjunctions of basic constraints

The Standard Pieces



- Jobs N consisting of multiple stages Q , tasks for each stage of each job, running on machines M
 - Release dates r_i of jobs given by up-stream schedule
 - WiP w_k on certain machines resulting from earlier schedule
 - Machine m_{ij} and start variables s_{ij} for each task
 - Precedence constraints between tasks of each jobs, with total waiting time c_i when waiting for resource
 - Total number of ovens used in schedule $nrOvens$ by $nvalue$ constraint
- $$nvalue(nrOvens, [m_{ij}|i \in N, j \in Q]++[k|k \in M \text{ s.t. } w_k > 0])$$

Resource Constraints



We start from the basic decomposition of the disjunctive machine choice constraint

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i_1, i_2 \in N \forall j_1, j_2 \in Q \text{ s.t. } <i_1, j_1> \neq <i_2, j_2> : \quad m_{i_1 j_1} \neq m_{i_2 j_2} \vee \\ s_{i_1 j_1} \geq s_{i_2 j_2} + d_{i_2 j_2} \vee \\ s_{i_2 j_2} \geq s_{i_1 j_1} + d_{i_1 j_1} \end{aligned}$$

Express case where tasks share an oven (only when types and stages are the same)

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i_1, i_2 \in N \text{ s.t. } i_1 \neq i_2 \forall j \in Q : \quad m_{i_1 j} \neq m_{i_2 j} \vee \\ s_{i_1 j} \geq s_{i_2 j} + d_{i_2 j} \vee \\ s_{i_2 j} \geq s_{i_1 j} + d_{i_1 j} \vee \\ (t_{i_1 j_1} = t_{i_2 j_2} \wedge m_{i_1 j} = m_{i_2 j} \wedge s_{i_1 j} = s_{i_2 j}) \end{aligned}$$

Limit stacking



Need binary variables $b_{i_1 i_2 j}$ to state that two jobs i_1 and i_2 share oven in stage j

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i_1, i_2 \in N \text{ s.t. } i_1 < i_2 \quad \forall j \in Q : \quad & (b_{i_1 i_2 j} = 0 \wedge (m_{i_1 j} \neq m_{i_2 j} \vee \\ & s_{i_1 j} \geq s_{i_2 j} + d_{i_2 j}) \vee \\ & s_{i_2 j} \geq s_{i_1 j} + d_{i_1 j}) \vee \\ & (b_{i_1 i_2 j} = 1 \wedge t_{i_1 j_1} = t_{i_2 j_2} \wedge m_{i_1 j} = m_{i_2 j} \wedge s_{i_1 j} = s_{i_2 j}) \end{aligned}$$

Count how many jobs share stage j with job i

$$\forall i \in N \quad \forall j \in Q : \quad z_{ij} = \sum_{i_1=1}^{i-1} b_{i_1 ij} + \sum_{i_2=i+1}^n b_{ii_2 j}$$

Limit how many tasks can be stacked together

$$\forall i \in N \quad \forall j \in Q : \quad z_{ij} < \text{maxStacked}$$

This should not work!



- Weakness of basic decomposition model was the reason to develop the scheduling constraints in the first place
- Does not scale well to thousands of tasks
- But model is well suited to some solvers
 - SAT based solvers, Chuffed, CP-SAT (OR-Tools)
 - MIP solvers
- This works (only) as long as problem size stays manageable


Compound Objective




$$\min \alpha_1 \sum_{i \in N} c_i + \alpha_2 \text{nrOvens} + \alpha_3 \sum_{i \in N, j \in Q} z_{ij}$$

- Three conflicting elements
 - Total waiting time for jobs
 - Number of ovens used
 - Number of tasks stacked (negative coefficient)
- Reducing waiting time requires using more ovens
- Improved stacking will require for one job to wait until second is ready

Short-Term Schedule: Job View



Short Term Schedule: Resource View



Are the short-term solutions good?



- We solve many problems to optimality, depending on solver
- Optimality gap is small, increasing search time helps a bit
- But are we optimizing the best possible objective?

Long Term Schedule: Detailed Schedule



Long Term Schedule: Abstracted Oven Runs




Tue 1/3 Thu 3/3 Sat 5/3 Mon 7/3 Wed 9/3 Fri 11/3 Sun 13/3 Tue 15/3 Thu 17/3 Sat 19/3 Mon 21/3 Wed 23/3 Fri 25/3 Sun 27/3 Tue 29/3 Thu 31/3 Sat 2/4 Mon 4/4

Is that a good global schedule? KPIs




Name	Unit	Explanation
Global Time	Seconds	Total time for solving all sub problems
Nr Jobs	-	Total number of jobs scheduled
Nr Tasks	-	Total number of tasks scheduled
Percent Optimal	Percentage (0-100)	How many sub problems were solved to optimality
Percent Stacked Tasks	Percentage (0-100)	Percentage of all tasks scheduled that were stacked
Percent Jobs No Wait	Percentage (0-100)	Percentage of jobs that were scheduled without any waiting time
Job Average Wait	Minutes	Average wait time over all jobs
Job Maximal Wait	Minutes	Largest waiting time for any job scheduled
Ovens Used	-	Total number of ovens used during period
Avg Task Duration	Minutes	Average tasks duration (influenced by stacking)
Oven Runs	-	Number of oven runs over total horizon
Run Overhead Percent	Percentage (0-100)	Overhead during oven runs when machine is idle
Avg Runs per Oven Used	-	Average number of oven runs per oven used


Impact of Lookahead Parameter



Impact of CommitHorizon Parameter



Comparing Different Solvers



Is the global solution really good?



- We schedule with limited information
- Hindsight is 20/20, we cannot expect best possible solution from partial information
- Process Challenge: Can we improve data visibility?
- Demand is variable over time, no steady-state solution
- Modelling Challenge: Can we define a short-term objective that produces better long-term solutions?
- Algorithm Challenge: Can we solve the global problem to optimality?
 - Assumes "a priori" visibility of data
 - This would provide a lower bound
 - But we need optimality to use as bound

Summary



- Discussed a non-standard oven scheduling problem from industry
- Models with decomposition of resource constraints
- Good/very good short-term solutions
- But is the overall schedule close to the global optimum?
- In any case, industry partner was happy with solution and analysis



Part XIII

Blades and Vanes Production Case Study

Key Points



- Scheduling/Planning tool for manufacturing industry
- Developed as part of European ASSISTANT project
- Focused on key make-or-buy decisions
- Complex manufacturing process with alternative process paths
- Outperforms both current in-house tool and commercial simulator
- Key Technology: Optimization and Constraint Programming

Assistant Siemens Energy Use Case



A detailed 3D cross-section of a gas turbine engine. The engine is shown from a side-on perspective, revealing its internal components. A prominent red circle highlights the compressor section, which is located at the front end of the engine. The engine features a large red fan at the inlet, followed by a series of metallic blades and components. The base of the engine is supported by two black triangular stands.

Use Case Scenarios


- Schedule *validation* of gas turbine blades and vanes manufacturing operations in Berlin plant
- Schedule *optimization* to manage short-term, mid-term and long-term load fluctuations
- Generate *Make-or-Buy proposals* for workload balancing within the manufacturing network

ASSISTANT Project Overview




Intelligent digital twin for process planning and scheduling

ASSISTANT



SE Product Routing



Test Datasets



Full Scale Datasets

Berlin06: 96 orders, 9 months horizon, previous review






Berlin07: 450 orders, 4 years horizon




Berlin08: 559 orders, Christmas gap added

Berlin08a: 670 orders, filling gaps

Value in cell indicates active orders
Yellow and red colors indicate low order volume



Optimizer High Level Structure




Raw Data - Manual Data Entry Causes Problems




- Raw data come from spreadsheet
 - 20 tabs
- Excel is a particularly bad input data format
- Realistic, not real data
- Created by hand/automatically from existing test scenarios
- Series of files Berlin01 - Berlin05 were too inconsistent to run
- Berlin06 still contains some errors
- Optimizer explains all issues that it finds

Issue #	Name	Severity	Sheet	Row#	Col#	Description
Issue1	Minor	Minor	User	1	11	Date/Time not formatted correctly, found 2022-02-28000000 format yyyy-MM-dd'T'HHmmss
Issue2	Minor	Minor	t_Products	1	8	Extra Empty Header
Issue3	Minor	Minor	t_Availability	1	8	Extra Empty Header
Issue4	Minor	Minor	t_Unavailability	1	8	Extra Empty Header
Issue5	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	1	6	Extra Empty Header
Issue6	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	1	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 02:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue7	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	1	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue8	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	2	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 02:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue9	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	2	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue10	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	3	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 04:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue11	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	3	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 04:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue12	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	4	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue13	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	4	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue14	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	5	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 04:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue15	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	5	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 05:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue16	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	6	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 06:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue17	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	6	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 07:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue18	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	7	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 08:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue19	Major	Major	t_Short_Segments	7	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 02:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue20	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	8	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue21	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	8	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue22	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	9	1	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue23	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	9	2	TimeOnly not formatted correctly, found 03:0000, format hh:mm:ss
Issue24	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	10	0	First Column Empty
Issue25	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	11	0	First Column Empty
Issue26	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	12	0	First Column Empty
Issue27	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	13	0	First Column Empty
Issue28	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	14	0	First Column Empty
Issue29	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	15	0	First Column Empty
Issue30	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	16	0	First Column Empty
Issue31	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	17	0	First Column Empty
Issue32	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Segments	18	0	First Column Empty
Issue33	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Patterns	1	9	Extra Empty Header
Issue34	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Patterns	7	0	First Column Empty
Issue35	Minor	Minor	t_Short_Patterns	8	0	First Column Empty

Domain Model - Knowledge Graph



Solution for Berlin 08a - Shows Only 20% of Tasks in Model



Implementation




- Requirement capture done inside project
- Data checking/cleaning most time consuming aspect
- Some specified functionality was rejected by Betriebsrat
- Built in Java
- Uses IBM's CPOptimizer back-end
- 120k LoC, 110k generated, 3k solver
- Outperforms both
 - Current in-house tool
 - Simulation based tool based on commercial simulator
- System installed at SE site, but not in daily use

Explaining Late Delivery



- Explain why some orders are delivered late
- Find root-cause, show schedule in context



Evaluation - KPIs



KPI	Baseline	Optimizer
OTD	> 80 %	92 %
Bottleneck machine utilization	99.5 %	100 %
Manufacturing defects	10-15 %	< 10 %
Scenarios in 8 hours	15-20	> 100,000

Conclusion by Siemens Energy



“Within less than eight hours the ASSISTANT tools provided us thousands of manufacturing scenarios including different make-or-buy recommendations for making deliberate decisions on the way to proceed for strategic planning.”

from ASSISTANT final project review: Siemens Energy assessment

Summary



- Scheduling/Planning tool for manufacturing industry
- Developed as part of European ASSISTANT project
- Focused on key make-or-buy decisions
- Complex manufacturing process with alternative process paths
- Outperforms both current in-house tool and commercial simulator
- Key Technology: Optimization and Constraint Programming



Part XIV

Where to Go from Here

Key Points



- We are working on a survey of the existing CP & Scheduling literature
- Considers over 1200 papers
- Current version of survey available at
<https://hsimonis.github.io/pthg24>

Outline



CP and Scheduling Literature Survey

Methodology

Analysis Results

Limitations

Summary

A Survey of the Existing Literature




- Joint work with Cemallettin Ozturk, MTU
- What is out there
- Where to start
- Where to publish
- I'm interested in some specific topic, what is relevant

Methodology





- Manually curated list of works, somewhat inclusive
- Starting with bibtex files
- Citation links through OpenCitations (open access)
- Content analysis on local copies of pdf files
- Closure of domain by analyzing missing cited and citing works
- Limited manual analysis of works (datasets, code)
- Results presented as LaTeX documents
- Open source analysis on git:
<https://hsimonis.github.io/pthg24/>

Overall Analysis (Based on 671 Works)



Origin of Papers/Articles



Most Recent Articles



Table 5: Works from bibtex (Total 274)

Key	Authors	Title	LC	Cite	Year	Conference /Journal	Pages	Nr Cites	Nr Refs	b	c
ForbesHJST24 ForbesHJST24	M. Forbes [redacted] M. Harris [redacted] H. Jansen [redacted] F. A. van der Schoot [redacted] T. Tamme [redacted]	Combining optimisation and simulation using logic-based Benders decomposition	Yes	[217]	2024	European Journal of Operational Research	15	0	26	1314	1496
PrataAN23 PrataAN23	Bruno A. Prata [redacted] Levi R. Abreu [redacted] Marcelo S. Nagano [redacted]	Applications of constraint programming in production scheduling problems: A descriptive bibliometric analysis	Yes	[509]	2024	Results in Control and Optimization	17	0	0	1427	1497
abs-2402-00459 abs-2402-00459	S. Nguyen [redacted] Dhananjay R. Thiruvady [redacted] Y. Sun [redacted] Zhang [redacted]	Genetic-based Constraint Programming for Resource Constrained Job Scheduling	Yes	[469]	2024	CoRR	21	0	0	1405	1498
AbreuNP23 AbreuNP23	Levi Ribeiro de Abreu [redacted] Marcelo Seido Nagano [redacted] Bruno A. Prata [redacted]	A new two-stage constraint programming approach for open shop scheduling problem with machine breakdowns	Yes	[168]	2023	International Journal of Production Research	20	1	47	1243	1499
AbreuPNF23 AbreuPNF23	Levi R. Abreu [redacted] Bruno A. Prata [redacted] Marcelo S. Nagano [redacted] Jose M. Fratinas [redacted]	A constraint programming-based iterated greedy algorithm for the open shop with sequence-dependent processing times and makespan minimization	Yes	[3]	2023	Computers & Operations Research	12	0	46	1244	1500
Adelgren2023 Adelgren2023	N. Adelgren [redacted] Christos T. Maravelias [redacted]	On the utility of production scheduling formulations for the open shop problem	Yes	[4]	2023	Computers & Industrial Engineering	12	0	43	1245	1501
AtsarVP23 AtsarVP23	S. Afsoor [redacted] Camino B. Vela [redacted] Juan José Palacios [redacted] González-Rodríguez [redacted]	Mathematical models and benchmarking for the fuzzy job shop scheduling problem	Yes	[5]	2023	Computers & Industrial Engineering	14	0	50	1246	1502
AkramNHSA23 AkramNHSA23	Bilal Omar Akram [redacted] Nor Kamariah Noordin [redacted] F. Hashim [redacted] Mohd Faadie A. Rasid [redacted] Mustafa Ismail [redacted] Salman [redacted] Abdulrahman M. Abdulkhalid [redacted]	Joint Scheduling and Routing Optimization for Deterministic Hybrid Traffic in Time-Sensitive Networks Using Constraint Programming	Yes	[13]	2023	IEEE Access	16	0	0	1238	1503
AlleritGPS23 AlleritGPS23	A. Allerit [redacted] Garrappa [redacted] E. Pastor [redacted] F. Salas [redacted]	Permutation flowshop problems minimizing core waiting time and core idle time	Yes	[15]	2023	Computers & Industrial Engineering	13	0	37	1249	1504
Caballero23 Caballero23	Jordi Colli Caballero [redacted]	Scheduling through logic-based tools	Yes	[127]	2023	Constraints An Int. J.	1	0	0	1287	1505
CzerniachowskaWZ23 CzerniachowskaWZ23	K. Czerniachowska [redacted] R. Wichnarek [redacted] K. Żywicki [redacted]	Constraint Programming for Flexible Flow Shop Scheduling Problem with Repeated Jobs and Repeated Operations	Yes	[159]	2023	Advances in Science and Technology Research Journal	14	0	0	1297	1506
FahimiQ23 FahimiQ23	H. Fahimi [redacted] C. Quimper [redacted]	Overall Job Scheduling and Edge-Finding for Robust Cumulative Scheduling	No	[207]	2023	INFORMS Journal on Computing	null	0	16	No	1507
Patemi-AnarakiTFF23 Patemi-AnarakiTFF23	S. Patemi-Anaraki [redacted] R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam [redacted] M. Fousoumi [redacted] B. Vahedi-Nouri [redacted]	Scheduling of Multi-Robot Job Shop Systems in Dynamic Environments: Mixed-Integer Linear Programming and Constraint Programming Approaches	Yes	[212]	2023	Omega	15	7	60	1312	1508
GhasemiMH23 GhasemiMH23	S. Ghasemi [redacted] R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam [redacted] M. Hamdi [redacted]	Operating room scheduling by emphasising human factors and dynamic decision-making styles: a constraint programming method	No	[242]	2023	International Journal of Systems Science: Operations and Logistics	null	0	104	No	1509
GuoZ23 GuoZ23	P. Guo [redacted] J. Zhu [redacted]	Capacity reservation for humanitarian relief: A logic-based Benders decomposition method with safety cut	Yes	[269]	2023	European Journal of Operational Research	29	0	112	1325	1510
GurPAE23 GurPAE23	S. Gürl [redacted] M. Pinarbas [redacted] Haci Mehmet Alakus [redacted] Eren [redacted]	Operating room scheduling with surgical team: a new approach with constraint programming and goal programming	Yes	[239]	2023	Central Eur. J. Oper. Res.	25	1	40	1327	1511
IsikYA23 IsikYA23	Ertip Emre Isik [redacted] Seyda Topaloglu Yıldız [redacted] Özge Satır Akpinar [redacted]	Constraint programming models for the hybrid flow shop scheduling problem and its extensions	Yes	[321]	2023	Soft Comput.	28	0	127	1350	1512
JuvinHL23a JuvinHL23a	C. Juvin [redacted] L. Boussin [redacted] P. Lopez [redacted]	Logic-based Benders-decomposition for the preemptive flexible job-shop scheduling problem	Yes	[331]	2023	Computers & Operations Research	17	0	40	1355	1513
LacknerMMWW23 LacknerMMWW23	M. Lackner [redacted] C. Mrkvicka [redacted] N. Musliu [redacted] D. Walkiewicz [redacted] F. Winter [redacted]	Exact methods for the Oven Scheduling Problem	Yes	[374]	2023	Constraints An Int. J.	42	0	32	1371	1514

Automatically Extracted Article Features



Table 6: Automatically Extracted ARTICLE Properties (Requires Local Copy)

Work	Pages	Concepts	Classification	Constraints	Prog Languages	CP Systems	Areas	Industries	Benchmarks	Algorithm	a	c
Laborie03 [369]	38	task, precedence, order, cmax, machine, job, activity, re-scheduling, setup-time, release-date, inventory, preempt, job-shop, resource, scheduling, make-span		cycle, table constraint, cumulative, disjunctive	C++, Ilog	Scheduler			benchmark	edge-finding, not-last, energetic reasoning, not-first, time-tableting	1201	1731
LaborieRSV18 [372]	41	release-date, job-shop, resource, activity, precedence, sequence dependent setup, earliness, scheduling, setup-time, inventory, transportation, manpower, due-date, setup-time, batch process, order, tardiness, flow-shop, job, make-span, re-scheduling, task, distributed	peplib, parallel machine, RCPSP	alternative constraint, cumulative, noOverlap, disjunctive, span constraint, cycle, alwaysIn, endBeforeStart	C, Python, C++, Java	CHIP, Gecode, Ilog Solver, CPLEX, Ilog Scheduler, OPL, Choco Solver, CPO	semiconductor railway, container, railcar, satellite, robot, pipeline, aircraft, shipping line	chemical industry, petro-chemical industry	real-world, CSPlib, benchmark	edge-finding	1080	1610
LacknerMMW20 [373]	42	release-date, batch process, setup-time, job, order, due-date, tardiness, scheduling, make-span, machine, task, lateness, job-shop, earliness	parallel machine, OSP, single machine	alternative constraint, disjunctive, bin-packing, noOverlap, cumulative, endBeforeStart	Clunifid, Cplex, OPL, CPO, OR-Tools, MiniZinc, Gurobi	semiconductor oven scheduling	electronics industry, steel industry, manufacturing industry	random instance, industrial partner, benchmark, instance generator, zenodo, real-life	time-tableting		984	1514
LammaMM97 [374]	15	job-shop, resource, scheduling, precedence, order, task, job, distributed, no-wait		disjunctive circuit, disjunctive	C++, Prolog	ECLIPSe, OPL, CHIP	railway				1230	1760
LetortCB15 [385]	52	machine, make-span, job, precedence, resource, scheduling, task, order	peplib	cumulative, cycle, bin-packing	Java, Prolog	Choco Solver, CPLEX, SICStus	Ilog Solver, OZ, Cplex, ECLIPSe, OPL, CHIP		generated instance, Rosedale, benchmark, random instance	energetic reasoning, sweep, edge-finding	1110	1640
LiW08 [386]	18	precedence, activity, resource, completion-time, setup-time, make-span, scheduling, machine, preempt, job-shop, no preempt, job, re-scheduling, open-shop, due-date, task, distributed	RCPSP	disjunctive, cycle, bin-packing				real-world			1178	1708
LieseM08 [388]	12	precedent, resources, scheduling, machine, job, activity, precedence, job-shop, task, make-span, order, cmax	RCPSP, peplib	disjunctive, cumulative	C++	OZ			benchmark	edge-finding	1179	1709
LimtanyakulS12 [393]	32	release-date, scheduling, order, completion-time, job, resource, activity, tardiness, machine, due-date, precedence		table constraint, disjunctive, bin-packing, cumulative		OZ, Ilog Scheduler, Cplex	robot, automotive	automotive industry	random instance, real-life, generated instance, industrial partner, benchmark	not-last, energetic reasoning, not-first, edge-finding	1133	1663
LombardiM10n [402]	30	due-date, distributed, order, job, make-span, release-date, re-scheduling, task, activity, completion-time, resource, activity, precedence, preempt, scheduling, machine	TCSP	cycle, span constraint, cumulative, disjunctive, table constraint	C	Cplex			real-world, benchmark, real-life	sweep	1160	1690

Manually Extracted Article Features



Table 4: Manually Defined PAPER Properties

Key	Title (Local Copy)	CP System	Bench	Links	Data Avail	Sol Avail	Code Avail	Related To	Classification	Constraints	a	b
AjalanPG23	Optimization of Short-Term Underground Mine Planning Using Constraint Programming	CP Opt	real-world	1	n	n	n	?	JSSP	-	1	325
AjalanPG23 [1]	Enhancing Hybrid CP-ESAT Search for Disjunctive Scheduling	ARIES CP Opt OR-Tools Mistral OR-Tools	real-world, github, bench- mark	1	y	y	-	JSSP OSSP	-	2	371	
Bit-Monnat23	Predicting the Optimal Period for Cyclic Hoist Scheduling Problems	CP Opt	benchmark, ran- dom instance, generated in- stance, real-life, industrial in- stance	3	n	n	-	CHSP	-	3	415	
Efthymiou23	An Efficient Constraint Programming Approach to Preemptive Job Shop Scheduling	CP Opt Mistral	supplementary material, github, bench- mark	6	ref	y	-	PJSSP	andBeforeStart span noOverlap	4	476	
JuvinHHL23	Constraint Programming for the Robust Two-Machine Flow-Shop Scheduling Problem with Budgeted Uncertainty	CP Opt Cplex	real-world, github, bench- mark	0	ref	n	-	Perm FSSP	endBeforeStart noOverlap sameSequence	5	377	
KamegnegFND23	Iteratively Elastic Edge Finder Rule for Cumulative Constraint Based on Slack and Density	? Gurobi	benchmark	5	BL PSPLib	n	-	RCPPSPs	cumulative	6	480	
KimCMILLP23	A Constraint Programming Model for a Resource-Constrained Scheduling Problem with Machine Availability	CP Opt	real-world, benchmark, zenodo	0	y	n	-	SCC	alternative noOverlap	7	385	
Somarin23 [495]	A Constraint Programming Model for Scheduling the Unloading of Trains in Ports	custom	real-world, gen- erated instance	0	n	n	-	SUTP	alternative andBeforeStart noOverlap table	8	553	
PerezGSL23	Partially Preemptive Multi Skill/Mode Resource-Constrained Project Scheduling with Generalized Precedence Relations and Calendars	CP Opt MinZinc Chuffed	real-world, github, bench- mark, industrial instance, real- life	4	y	y	-	PP-MS- MMRCPSP/max- cal	disjunctive	10	557	
SquillaciPR23	Scheduling Complex Observation Requests for a Constellation of Satellites: Large Neighborhood Search Approaches	Cplex Studio	github, bench- mark	2	y	n	-	EOSP	?	11	584	
TardivoDFMP23	Constraint Propagation on GPU: A Case Study for the Cumulative Constraint	MiniCOPP MiniZinc	bitbucket, github, bench- mark, real- world	9	PSPLib BL Pack	y	-	RCPPSP	cumulative	12	590	
TasselGS23	An End-to-End Reinforcement Learning Approach for Job-Shop Scheduling Problems Based on Constraint Programming	custom Choco	industrial instance, real- world, supple- mentary ma- terial, github, benchmark	0	ref	y	-	JSSP	noOverlap	13	591	
WangB23	Dynamic All-Different and Maximal Cliques Constraints for Fixed Job Scheduling	FCILe	real-world, ran- dom instance	0	(y)	n	6228	FJS	-	14	620	
YuraszeckMC23	A competitive constraint programming approach for the group shop scheduling problem	CP Opt	github, bench- mark	0	ref	n	-	GSSP	noOverlap endBeforeStart	15	633	

Extracted Features: Application Areas



Table 16: Works for Concepts of Type ApplicationAreas

Type	Keyword	High	Medium	Low
ApplicationAreas	COVID	GuoZ23 [269],	GebtingerKKMMW21 [234]	Fatemi-AnarakiTPV23 [212], MehdiZadeh-Somarin23 [439], GurPA23 [270], JaviniHL23a [311], OujanaAYH22 [482], Lemou21 [381]
ApplicationAreas	HVAC	LimHTB16 [294], LimBTBB15 [291],		
ApplicationAreas	agriculture	GrimmIOS14 [264]		AkramNHRS23 [13], BenderWS21 [24], HamPK21 [275], Astrand21 [35], QinWLS21 [311], Astrand01 [36], MeljaY20 [433]
ApplicationAreas	aircraft	PohlAK22 [629], WangD21 [628], TranDRFWOB16 [568], Fahmani16 [266], BajestaniB13 [42], LombardiM12 [402], BajestaniB11 [41], FrankK05 [219], ArtiouchineB05 [34], Simonsen99 [558]	WangD21 [629], GombolayWS18 [653], Ham18 [273], Simonsen7 [559], SakkoutW00 [626], Simonsen6 [556]	FranckA22 [259], Alshabani2023 [7], EtmaniehafahaniCNMS22 [202], ElzC22 [105], ZarandiASC22 [554], HaiderHPA20 [233], alae-1903-09244 [282], Hoeker19 [312], LabourieRSV18 [372], Hoeker17 [314], TranAHB16 [394], Lombardi10 [698], Laborde99 [370], Kowalcik08 [355], KrugLPHJ07 [608], MartinPY01 [247], SimonsenCK00 [560], GrutianK98 [204], DabirK19 [207] Z07 [163], Wallace06 [625], Simonsen95 [557], SimoneA22 [611]
ApplicationAreas	automotive		GuoZ23 [269], YurasekcekMPV22 [650], EmdenD22 [109], Groloza21 [261], LimtanyakuS12 [303], SunLYL10 [567], LombardiW00 [532]	PineciaLA23 [606], NaderiBR23 [606], CzerniauchowskaW23 [156], NaderiBZ22 [457], NaderiBZ22a [462], AnturioHHEN21 [22], HubnerGSV21 [318], AlrecaAPNM21 [166], KoehlerBFPHPSSS21 [348], VlachosP20 [119], BaroudiR20 [20], GebtingerMM19 [236], alae-1911-07467 [201], BorsigsteinAM16 [314], Simonsen6 [556], SchmidH15 [331], AlessioNIG14 [131], HamzehmohiMB14 [474], BenmaliBGM06 [58], KovacaVO6 [363], Wallace06 [625]
ApplicationAreas	cable tree	KoehlerBFFHPSS21 [348]		
ApplicationAreas	car manufacturing		AnturioHHEN21 [22]	BeldiceanuC94 [78]
ApplicationAreas	container terminal	QinDCS20 [512], SacramentoSP20 [526]	LabordeRSV18 [372]	CavallaroP20 [109], ParrenGSL20 [405], TomaiBY22 [652], ZarandiASC20 [554], ZarandiASC20 [203] D554, FallahiAC20 [302], Heiner19 [316], EtmaniehafahaniDMS16 [149], Dejonesnepe16 [172], DelempapetS15 [174], NovakH12 [476], CorreaR07 [158], LimRX04 [389]
ApplicationAreas	crew-scheduling	ZarandiASC20 [654], PourDERB18 [505]	BourreauGGL12 [118], Zahoui21 [652], GombolayWS18 [653], Masond11 [429], TouvalaiN05 [593]	NaderiHC23 [466], WangD23 [629], Adelgren2023 [7], EtmaniehafahaniCNMS22 [202], NaderiBZ22a [456], NaderiBZ22 [457], HeinzNVH22 [202], ElzC22 [105], LeinenbachA22 [109], AlzolaA22 [109], LaiK18 [564], Hoeker17 [314], DabirK19 [207], LabourieRSV18 [372], HashemiGR11 [272], MilanoW09 [441], WallB09 [643], MilanoW00 [440], BeldiceanuC92 [79], JamG01 [323], SimonsenK00 [560]
ApplicationAreas	dairies			Bartak07 [34], Bartak02a [83], Grolezka21 [201], ZarekZ15 [652], GalleguillosKSB19 [225], Medi-WamiLCB11 [418], Letorti13 [382], IrimOS12 [323], LetortiBC12 [383]
ApplicationAreas	dairy	EscobetPQPRA10 [201]		
ApplicationAreas	datacenter	HermelienDL11 [900]	PrataAN23 [602], HarjunkoskiMBC14 [279]	
ApplicationAreas	datacentre		HurleyOS16 [319]	HeiderALLCMR22 [282]
ApplicationAreas	day-ahead market			HooverP20 [109], AlzolaA22 [109], Adelgren2023 [7]
ApplicationAreas	deep space			SokoliH23 [147], EmdeD22 [109], Astrand01 [36]
ApplicationAreas	drone	MontemannD23a [446], MontemannD23 [447], Ham18 [274]		Astrand01 [36], AnturioHHEN21 [22], ZarandiASC20 [554], Ham18a [274]

Prolific Authors



Table 8: Co-Authors of Articles/Papers

Limitations



- Limited coverage by OpenCitations
- Difficult to have local access to some publication types (book, incollection)
- Heavily biased towards publications in English
- More powerful NLP analysis of works possible?

Problem: Count for Most Cited Papers



Table 9: Works from bibtex (Total 30)


Key	Authors	Title	LC	Cite	Year	Conference /Journal	Pages	Nr Cites	Nr Refs	b	c
JainM99 JainM99	A. Jain, S. Meeran	Deterministic job-shop scheduling: Past, present and future	Yes	324	1999	European Journal of Operational Research	45	490	150	1352	1753
HarjunkoskiMBC14	I. Harjunkoski, Christos T. Maravelias, P. Bongers, Pedro M. Castro, S. Engel, Ignacio E. Grossmann, John N. Hooker, C. Medaglia, G. Sand, J. Wassick	Scope for industrial applications of production scheduling models and solution methods	Yes	279	2014	Computers & Chemical Engineering	33	381	176	1335	1649
BlazewiczDP96 BlazewiczDP96	J. Blazewicz, W. Domschke, E. Pesch	The job shop scheduling problem: Conventional and new solution techniques	Yes	126	1996	European Journal of Operational Research	33	344	127	1278	1762
HookerO03 HookerO03	John N. Hooker, G. Ottosson	Logic-based Benders decomposition	Yes	313	2003	Mathematical Programming	28	317	0	1347	1729
BaptistePN01 BaptistePN01	P. Baptiste, Claude Le Pape, W. Nuijten	Constraint-Based Scheduling	No	50	2001	Book	null	296	0	No	n/a
JainG01 JainG01	V. Jain, Ignacio E. Grossmann	Algorithms for Hybrid MILP/CP Models for a Class of Optimization Problems	Yes	323	2001	INFORMS Journal on Computing	19	279	23	1351	1738
AggounB99 AggounB99	A. Aggoun, N. Beldiceanu	Extending CHIP in order to solve complex scheduling and placement problems	Yes	52	1999	INFORMS Journal on Computing	17	187	11	1247	1767
Hooker00 Hooker00	John N. Hooker	Logic Based Methods for Optimization: Combining Optimization and Constraint Satisfaction Planning and Scheduling by Logic-Based Benders Decomposition	No	304	2000	Book	null	185	0	No	n/a
Hooker07 Hooker07	John N. Hooker	Decomposition techniques for multistage scheduling problems using mixed-integer and constraint programming methods	Yes	309	2007	Operations Research	29	181	19	1345	1715
HarjunkoskiG02 HarjunkoskiG02	I. Harjunkoski, Ignacio E. Grossmann	Introducing Global Constraints in CHIP	Yes	278	2002	Computers & Chemical Engineering	20	169	11	1334	1733
BeldiceanuC94 BeldiceanuC94	N. Beldiceanu, E. Contejean	IBM ILOG CP optimizer for scheduling - 20+ years of scheduling with constraints at IBM/ILOG	Yes	178	1994	Mathematical and Computer Modelling	27	167	8	1271	1765
LaborieRSV18 LaborieRSV18	P. Laborie, J. Rogerie, P. Shaw, P. Vilim	Algorithms for propagating resource constraints in AI planning and scheduling: Existing approaches and new results	Yes	372	2018	Constraints An Int. J.	41	148	35	1370	1610
LaborieL03 LaborieL03	P. Laborie	Propagation via lazy clause generation	Yes	569	2003	Artificial Intelligence	38	128	10	1369	1731
OhrimenkoSC09 OhrimenkoSC09	O. Ohrimenko, Peter J. Stuckey, M. Codish	Mixed Integer Programming models for job shop scheduling: A computational analysis	Yes	483	2009	Constraints An Int. J.	35	127	15	1417	1702
Kuhi16 Kuhi16	W. Ku, J. Christopher Beck	A constraint programming model for real-time train scheduling at junctions	Yes	365	2016	Computers & Operations Research	9	119	17	1367	1630
Rodriguez07 Rodriguez07	J. Rodriguez	Scheduling projects with multi-skilled personnel by a hybrid MILP/CP benders decomposition algorithm	Yes	520	2007	Transportation Research Part B: Methodological	15	117	6	1430	1716
LiW08 LiW08	H. Li, K. WOMER	Scheduling and routing of automated guided vehicles: A hybrid approach	Yes	388	2008	Journal of Scheduling	18	113	31	1374	1708
CorrealLB07 CorrealLB07	Ayoub Insa Corriá, A. Langevin, L. Rousseau	Mixed-integer linear programming and constraint programming formulations for solving distributed flexible job shop scheduling problem	Yes	158	2007	Computers & Operations Research	20	106	20	1296	1714
MengZRL20 MengZRL20	L. Meng, C. Zhang, Y. Ren, B. Zhang, C. Lv	Earth Observation Satellite Management	Yes	435	2020	Computers & Industrial Engineering	13	100	62	1393	1574
BensanaLV99 BensanaLV99	E. Bensana, M. Lemaitre, G. Verfaillie	Constraints An Int. J.	Yes	21	1999	Constraints An Int. J.	7	99	0	1276	1752

OpenCitation Count Compared to Google Scholar



Key	Type	Google	OC	Ratio
JainM99	article	1116	490	2.28
HarjunkoskiMBC14	article	588	381	1.54
BlazewiczDP96	article	796	344	2.31
BaptistePN01	book	1039	296	3.51
AggounB93	article	502	187	2.68
LaborieRSV18	article	309	148	2.09
BensanaLV99	article	251	99	2.54
DincbasSH90	article	271	86	3.15
Thorsteinsson01	paper	205	67	3.06
DincbasSH88	paper	287	0	?

Problem: Citation Count Distribution



Outline



CP and Scheduling Literature Survey

Summary

Summary



- Use the survey to find
 - Most important works on Constraint Based Scheduling
 - Specialized papers on the constraint reasoning for scheduling
 - Works in specific application domains or specific industries