23.4 — Association

👤 **ALEX**   🕐 **DECEMBER 7, 2023**

In the previous two lessons, we've looked at two types of object composition, composition and aggregation. Object composition is used to model relationships where a complex object is built from one or more simpler objects (parts).

In this lesson, we'll take a look at a weaker type of relationship between two otherwise unrelated objects, called an association. Unlike object composition relationships, in an association, there is no implied whole/part relationship.

Association

To qualify as an **association**, an object and another object must have the following relationship:

- The associated object (member) is otherwise unrelated to the object (class)
- The associated object (member) can belong to more than one object (class) at a time
- The associated object (member) does not have its existence managed by the object (class)
- The associated object (member) may or may not know about the existence of the object (class)

Unlike a composition or aggregation, where the part is a part of the whole object, in an association, the associated object is otherwise unrelated to the object. Just like an aggregation, the associated object can belong to multiple objects simultaneously, and isn't managed by those objects. However, unlike an aggregation, where the relationship is always unidirectional, in an association, the relationship may be unidirectional or bidirectional (where the two objects are aware of each other).

The relationship between doctors and patients is a great example of an association. The doctor clearly has a relationship with his patients, but conceptually it's not a part/whole (object composition) relationship. A doctor can see many patients in a day, and a patient can see many doctors (perhaps they want a second opinion, or they are visiting different types of doctors). Neither of the object's lifespans are tied to the other.

⋯

We can say that association models as "uses-a" relationship. The doctor "uses" the patient (to earn income). The patient uses the doctor (for whatever health purposes they need).

Implementing associations

Because associations are a broad type of relationship, they can be implemented in many different ways. However, most often, associations are implemented using pointers, where the object points at the associated object.

In this example, we'll implement a bi-directional Doctor/Patient relationship, since it makes sense for the Doctors to know who their Patients are, and vice-versa.

```
#include <functional> // reference_wrapper
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
```

```cpp
#include <string_view>
#include <vector>

// Since Doctor and Patient have a circular dependency, we're going to forward declare Patient
class Patient;

class Doctor
{
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    std::vector<std::reference_wrapper<const Patient>> m_patient{};

public:
    Doctor(std::string_view name) :
        m_name{ name }
    {
    }

    void addPatient(Patient& patient);

    // We'll implement this function below Patient since we need Patient to be defined at that point
    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Doctor& doctor);

    const std::string& getName() const { return m_name; }
};

class Patient
{
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    std::vector<std::reference_wrapper<const Doctor>> m_doctor{}; // so that we can use it here

    // We're going to make addDoctor private because we don't want the public to use it.
    // They should use Doctor::addPatient() instead, which is publicly exposed
    void addDoctor(const Doctor& doctor)
    {
        m_doctor.push_back(doctor);
    }

public:
    Patient(std::string_view name)
        : m_name{ name }
    {
    }

    // We'll implement this function below to parallel operator<<(std::ostream&, const Doctor&)
    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Patient& patient);

    const std::string& getName() const { return m_name; }

    // We'll friend Doctor::addPatient() so it can access the private function Patient::addDoctor()
    friend void Doctor::addPatient(Patient& patient);
};

void Doctor::addPatient(Patient& patient)
{
    // Our doctor will add this patient
    m_patient.push_back(patient);

    // and the patient will also add this doctor
    patient.addDoctor(*this);
}

std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Doctor& doctor)
{
    if (doctor.m_patient.empty())
    {
        out << doctor.m_name << " has no patients right now";
        return out;
    }

    out << doctor.m_name << " is seeing patients: ";
    for (const auto& patient : doctor.m_patient)
        out << patient.get().getName() << ' ';

    return out;
}

std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Patient& patient)
{
    if (patient.m_doctor.empty())
    {
        out << patient.getName() << " has no doctors right now";
        return out;
    }

    out << patient.m_name << " is seeing doctors: ";
    for (const auto& doctor : patient.m_doctor)
        out << doctor.get().getName() << ' ';

    return out;
```

```cpp
    }

    int main()
    {
        // Create a Patient outside the scope of the Doctor
        Patient dave{ "Dave" };
        Patient frank{ "Frank" };
        Patient betsy{ "Betsy" };

        Doctor james{ "James" };
        Doctor scott{ "Scott" };

        james.addPatient(dave);

        scott.addPatient(dave);
        scott.addPatient(betsy);

        std::cout << james << '\n';
        std::cout << scott << '\n';
        std::cout << dave << '\n';
        std::cout << frank << '\n';
        std::cout << betsy << '\n';

        return 0;
    }
```

This prints:

```
James is seeing patients: Dave
Scott is seeing patients: Dave Betsy
Dave is seeing doctors: James Scott
Frank has no doctors right now
Betsy is seeing doctors: Scott
```

In general, you should avoid bidirectional associations if a unidirectional one will do, as they add complexity and tend to be harder to write without making errors.

Reflexive association

Sometimes objects may have a relationship with other objects of the same type. This is called a **reflexive association**. A good example of a reflexive association is the relationship between a university course and its prerequisites (which are also university courses).

• • •

Consider the simplified case where a Course can only have one prerequisite. We can do something like this:

```cpp
    #include <string>
    #include <string_view>

    class Course
    {
    private:
        std::string m_name{};
        const Course* m_prerequisite{};

    public:
        Course(std::string_view name, const Course* prerequisite = nullptr):
            m_name{ name }, m_prerequisite{ prerequisite }
        {
        }

    };
```

This can lead to a chain of associations (a course has a prerequisite, which has a prerequisite, etc...)

Associations can be indirect

In all of the previous cases, we've used either pointers or references to directly link objects together. However, in an association, this is not strictly required. Any kind of data that allows you to link two objects together suffices. In the following example, we show how a Driver class can have a unidirectional association with a Car without actually including a Car pointer or reference member:

```cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
#include <string_view>

class Car
{
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    int m_id{};

public:
    Car(std::string_view name, int id)
        : m_name{ name }, m_id{ id }
    {
    }

    const std::string& getName() const { return m_name; }
    int getId() const { return m_id; }
};

// Our CarLot is essentially just a static array of Cars and a lookup function to retrieve them.
// Because it's static, we don't need to allocate an object of type CarLot to use it
namespace CarLot
{
    Car carLot[4] { { "Prius", 4 }, { "Corolla", 17 }, { "Accord", 84 }, { "Matrix", 62 } };

    Car* getCar(int id)
    {
        for (auto& car : carLot)
        {
            if (car.getId() == id)
            {
                return &car;
            }
        }

        return nullptr;
    }
};

class Driver
{
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    int m_carId{}; // we're associated with the Car by ID rather than pointer

public:
    Driver(std::string_view name, int carId)
        : m_name{ name }, m_carId{ carId }
    {
    }

    const std::string& getName() const { return m_name; }
    int getCarId() const { return m_carId; }
};

int main()
{
    Driver d{ "Franz", 17 }; // Franz is driving the car with ID 17

    Car* car{ CarLot::getCar(d.getCarId()) }; // Get that car from the car lot

    if (car)
        std::cout << d.getName() << " is driving a " << car->getName() << '\n';
    else
        std::cout << d.getName() << " couldn't find his car\n";

    return 0;
}
```

In the above example, we have a CarLot holding our cars. The Driver, who needs a car, doesn't have a pointer to his Car -- instead, he has the ID of the car, which we can use to get the Car from the CarLot when we need it.

In this particular example, doing things this way is kind of silly, since getting the Car out of the CarLot requires an inefficient lookup (a pointer connecting the two is much faster). However, there are advantages to referencing things by a unique ID instead of a pointer. For example, you can reference things that are not currently in memory (maybe they're in a file, or in a database, and can be loaded on demand). Also, pointers can take 4 or 8 bytes -- if space is at a premium and the number of unique objects is fairly low, referencing them by an 8-bit or 16-bit integer can save lots of memory.

Composition vs aggregation vs association summary

Here's a summary table to help you remember the difference between composition, aggregation, and association:

Property	Composition	Aggregation	Association
Relationship type	Whole/part	Whole/part	Otherwise unrelated
Members can belong to multiple classes	No	Yes	Yes
Members' existence managed by class	Yes	No	No
Directionality	Unidirectional	Unidirectional	Unidirectional or bidirectional
Relationship verb	Part-of	Has-a	Uses-a

Leave a comment...

Name*

Email*

Notify me about replies:

POST COMMENT

🐞 Find a mistake? Leave a comment above!❓

👤 Avatars from https://gravatar.com/ are connected to your provided email address.

193 COMMENTS   Newest ▾