

7.2 — User-defined namespaces and the scope resolution operator

BY ALEX · DECEMBER 19, 2023

In lesson [2.9 -- Naming collisions and an introduction to namespaces](#) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/naming-collisions-and-an-introduction-to-namespaces/>), we introduced the concept of **naming collisions** and **namespaces**. As a reminder, a naming collision occurs when two identical identifiers are introduced into the same scope, and the compiler can't disambiguate which one to use. When this happens, compiler or linker will produce an error because they do not have enough information to resolve the ambiguity.

Key insight

As programs become larger, the number of identifiers increases, which in turn causes the probability of a naming collision occurring to increase significantly. Because every name in a given scope can potentially collide with every other name in the same scope, a linear increase in identifiers will result in an exponential increase in potential collisions! This is one of the key reasons for defining identifiers in the smallest scope possible.

Let's revisit an example of a naming collision, and then show how we can improve things using namespaces. In the following example, `foo.cpp` and `goo.cpp` are the source files that contain functions that do different things but have the same name and parameters.

`foo.cpp`:

```
// This doSomething() adds the value of its parameters
int doSomething(int x, int y)
{
    return x + y;
}
```

`goo.cpp`:

```
// This doSomething() subtracts the value of its parameters
int doSomething(int x, int y)
{
    return x - y;
}
```

`main.cpp`:

```
#include <iostream>

int doSomething(int x, int y); // forward declaration for doSomething

int main()
{
    std::cout << doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // which doSomething will we get?
    return 0;
}
```

If this project contains only `foo.cpp` or `goo.cpp` (but not both), it will compile and run without incident. However, by compiling both into the same program, we have now introduced two different functions with the same name and parameters into the same scope (the global scope), which causes a naming collision. As a result, the linker will issue an error:



```
goo.cpp:3: multiple definition of `doSomething(int, int)'; foo.cpp:3: first defined here
```

Note that this error happens at the point of redefinition, so it doesn't matter whether function `doSomething` is ever called.

One way to resolve this would be to rename one of the functions, so the names no longer collide. But this would also require changing the names of all the function calls, which can be a pain, and is subject to error. A better way to avoid collisions is to put your functions into your own namespaces. For this reason the standard library was moved into the `std` namespace.

Defining your own namespaces

C++ allows us to define our own namespaces via the `namespace` keyword. Namespaces that you create in your own programs are casually called **user-defined namespaces** (though it would be more accurate to call them **program-defined namespaces**).

The syntax for a namespace is as follows:

```
namespace namespaceIdentifier
{
    // content of namespace here
}
```

We start with the `namespace` keyword, followed by an identifier for the namespace, and then curly braces with the content of the namespace inside.



Historically, namespace names have not been capitalized, and many style guides still recommend this convention.

For advanced readers

Some reasons to prefer namespace names starting with a capital letter:

- It is convention to name program-defined types starting with a capital letter. Using the same convention for program-defined namespaces is consistent (especially when using a qualified name such as `Foo::x`, where `Foo` could be a namespace or a class type).
- It helps prevent naming collisions with other system-provided or library-provided lower-cased names.
- The C++20 standards document uses this style.
- The C++ Core guidelines document uses this style.

We recommend starting namespace names with a capital letter. However, either style should be seen as acceptable.

A namespace must be defined either in the global scope, or inside another namespace. Much like the content of a function, the content of a namespace is conventionally indented one level. You may occasionally see an optional semicolon placed after the closing brace of a namespace.

Here is an example of the files in the prior example rewritten using namespaces:

foo.cpp:

```
namespace Foo // define a namespace named Foo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Foo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}
```

goo.cpp:

• • •



```
namespace Goo // define a namespace named Goo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Goo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x - y;
    }
}
```

Now `doSomething()` inside of `foo.cpp` is inside the `Foo` namespace, and the `doSomething()` inside of `goo.cpp` is inside the `Goo` namespace. Let's see what happens when we recompile our program.

main.cpp:

```
int doSomething(int x, int y); // forward declaration for doSomething

int main()
{
    std::cout << doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // which doSomething will we get?
    return 0;
}
```

The answer is that we now get another error!

```
ConsoleApplication1.obj : error LNK2019: unresolved external symbol "int __cdecl doSomething(int,int)" (?doSomething@@YAHHH@Z) referenced in function _main
```

In this case, the compiler was satisfied (by our forward declaration), but the linker could not find a definition for `doSomething` in the global namespace. This is because both of our versions of `doSomething` are no longer in the global namespace! They are now in the scope of their respective namespaces!

There are two different ways to tell the compiler which version of `doSomething()` to use, via the `scope resolution operator`, or via `using statements` (which we'll discuss in a later lesson in this chapter).

For the subsequent examples, we'll collapse our examples down to a one-file solution for ease of reading.

• • •



Accessing a namespace with the scope resolution operator (::)

The best way to tell the compiler to look in a particular namespace for an identifier is to use the **scope resolution operator** (::). The scope resolution operator tells the compiler that the identifier specified by the right-hand operand should be looked for in the scope of the left-hand operand.

Here is an example of using the scope resolution operator to tell the compiler that we explicitly want to use the version of `doSomething()` that lives in the `Foo` namespace:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo // define a namespace named Foo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Foo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

namespace Goo // define a namespace named Goo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Goo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x - y;
    }
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Foo::doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // use the doSomething() that exists in namespace Foo
    return 0;
}
```

This produces the expected result:

7

If we wanted to use the version of `doSomething()` that lives in `Goo` instead:

• • •



```

#include <iostream>

namespace Foo // define a namespace named Foo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Foo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

namespace Goo // define a namespace named Goo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Goo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x - y;
    }
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Goo::doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // use the doSomething() that exists in namespace Goo
    return 0;
}

```

This produces the result:

1

The scope resolution operator is great because it allows us to explicitly pick which namespace we want to look in, so there's no potential ambiguity. We can even do the following:

```

#include <iostream>

namespace Foo // define a namespace named Foo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Foo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

namespace Goo // define a namespace named Goo
{
    // This doSomething() belongs to namespace Goo
    int doSomething(int x, int y)
    {
        return x - y;
    }
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Foo::doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // use the doSomething() that exists in namespace Foo
    std::cout << Goo::doSomething(4, 3) << '\n'; // use the doSomething() that exists in namespace Goo
    return 0;
}

```

This produces the result:

7

1

Using the scope resolution operator with no name prefix

The scope resolution operator can also be used in front of an identifier without providing a namespace name (e.g. `::doSomething`). In such a case, the identifier (e.g. `doSomething`) is looked for in the global namespace.



```
#include <iostream>

void print() // this print() lives in the global namespace
{
    std::cout << " there\n";
}

namespace Foo
{
    void print() // this print() lives in the Foo namespace
    {
        std::cout << "Hello";
    }
}

int main()
{
    Foo::print(); // call print() in Foo namespace
    ::print();    // call print() in global namespace (same as just calling print() in this case)

    return 0;
}
```

In the above example, the `::print()` performs the same as if we'd called `print()` with no scope resolution, so use of the scope resolution operator is superfluous in this case. But the next example will show a case where the scope resolution operator with no namespace can be useful.

Identifier resolution from within a namespace

If an identifier inside a namespace is used and no scope resolution is provided, the compiler will first try to find a matching declaration in that same namespace. If no matching identifier is found, the compiler will then check each containing namespace in sequence to see if a match is found, with the global namespace being checked last.

```
#include <iostream>

void print() // this print() lives in the global namespace
{
    std::cout << " there\n";
}

namespace Foo
{
    void print() // this print() lives in the Foo namespace
    {
        std::cout << "Hello";
    }

    void printHelloThere()
    {
        print();    // calls print() in Foo namespace
        ::print(); // calls print() in global namespace
    }
}

int main()
{
    Foo::printHelloThere();

    return 0;
}
```

This prints:

```
Hello there
```

In the above example, `print()` is called with no scope resolution provided. Because this use of `print()` is inside the `Foo` namespace, the compiler will first see if a declaration for `Foo::print()` can be found. Since one exists, `Foo::print()` is called.



If `Foo::print()` had not been found, the compiler would have checked the containing namespace (in this case, the global namespace) to see if it could match a `print()` there.

Note that we also make use of the scope resolution operator with no namespace (`::print()`) to explicitly call the global version of `print()`.

Forward declaration of content in namespaces

In lesson 2.11 -- Header files (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/header-files/>), we discussed how we can use header files to propagate forward declarations. For identifiers inside a namespace, those forward declarations also need to be inside the same namespace:

`add.h`

```
#ifndef ADD_H
#define ADD_H

namespace BasicMath
{
    // function add() is part of namespace BasicMath
    int add(int x, int y);
}

#endif
```

`add.cpp`

```
#include "add.h"

namespace BasicMath
{
    // define the function add() inside namespace BasicMath
    int add(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}
```

`main.cpp`

```
#include "add.h" // for BasicMath::add()

#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    std::cout << BasicMath::add(4, 3) << '\n';

    return 0;
}
```

If the forward declaration for `add()` wasn't placed inside namespace `BasicMath`, then `add()` would be defined in the global namespace instead, and the compiler would complain that it hadn't seen a declaration for the call to `BasicMath::add(4, 3)`. If the definition of function `add()` wasn't inside namespace `BasicMath`, the linker would complain that it couldn't find a matching definition for the call to `BasicMath::add(4, 3)`.

Multiple namespace blocks are allowed

It's legal to declare namespace blocks in multiple locations (either across multiple files, or multiple places within the same file). All declarations within the namespace are considered part of the namespace.

`circle.h`:

```
#ifndef CIRCLE_H
#define CIRCLE_H

namespace BasicMath
{
    constexpr double pi{ 3.14 };
}

#endif
```

growth.h:

```
#ifndef GROWTH_H
#define GROWTH_H

namespace BasicMath
{
    // the constant e is also part of namespace BasicMath
    constexpr double e{ 2.7 };
}

#endif
```

main.cpp:

```
#include "circle.h" // for BasicMath::pi
#include "growth.h" // for BasicMath::e

#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    std::cout << BasicMath::pi << '\n';
    std::cout << BasicMath::e << '\n';

    return 0;
}
```

This works exactly as you would expect:

```
3.14
2.7
```

The standard library makes extensive use of this feature, as each standard library header file contains its declarations inside a `namespace std` block contained within that header file. Otherwise the entire standard library would have to be defined in a single header file!

Note that this capability also means you could add your own functionality to the `std` namespace. Doing so causes undefined behavior most of the time, because the `std` namespace has a special rule prohibiting extension from user code.

Warning

Do not add custom functionality to the `std` namespace.

Nested namespaces

Namespaces can be nested inside other namespaces. For example:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo
{
    namespace Goo // Goo is a namespace inside the Foo namespace
    {
        int add(int x, int y)
        {
            return x + y;
        }
    }
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Foo::Goo::add(1, 2) << '\n';
    return 0;
}
```

Note that because namespace `Goo` is inside of namespace `Foo`, we access `add` as `Foo::Goo::add`.

Since C++17, nested namespaces can also be declared this way:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo::Goo // Goo is a namespace inside the Foo namespace (C++17 style)
{
    int add(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Foo::Goo::add(1, 2) << '\n';
    return 0;
}
```

This is equivalent to the prior example.

If you later need to add declarations to the `Foo` namespace (only), you can define a separate `Foo` namespace to do so:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo::Goo // Goo is a namespace inside the Foo namespace (C++17 style)
{
    int add(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

namespace Foo
{
    void someFcn() {} // This function is in Foo only
}

int main()
{
    std::cout << Foo::Goo::add(1, 2) << '\n';
    return 0;
}
```

Whether you keep the separate `Foo::Goo` definition or nest `Goo` inside `Foo` is a stylistic choice.

Namespace aliases

Because typing the qualified name of a variable or function inside a nested namespace can be painful, C++ allows you to create **namespace aliases**, which allow us to temporarily shorten a long sequence of namespaces into something shorter:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo::Goo
{
    int add(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }

    int main()
    {
        namespace Active = Foo::Goo; // active now refers to Foo::Goo
        std::cout << Active::add(1, 2) << '\n'; // This is really Foo::Goo::add()

        return 0;
    } // The Active alias ends here
}
```

One nice advantage of namespace aliases: If you ever want to move the functionality within `Foo::Goo` to a different place, you can just update the `Active` alias to reflect the new destination, rather than having to find/replace every instance of `Foo::Goo`.

```
#include <iostream>

namespace Foo::Goo
{
}

namespace V2
{
    int add(int x, int y)
    {
        return x + y;
    }
}

int main()
{
    namespace Active = V2; // active now refers to V2
    std::cout << Active::add(1, 2) << '\n'; // We don't have to change this
    return 0;
}
```

It's worth noting that namespaces in C++ were not originally designed as a way to implement an information hierarchy -- they were designed primarily as a mechanism for preventing naming collisions. As evidence of this, note that the entirety of the standard library lives under the singular namespace `std::` (with some nested namespaces used for newer library features). Some newer languages (such as C#) differ from C++ in this regard.

In general, you should avoid deeply nested namespaces.

When you should use namespaces

In applications, namespaces can be used to separate application-specific code from code that might be reusable later (e.g. math functions). For example, physical and math functions could go into one namespace (e.g. `Math::`). Language and localization functions in another (e.g. `Lang::`).

When you write a library or code that you want to distribute to others, always place your code inside a namespace. The code your library is used in may not follow best practices -- in such a case, if your library's declarations aren't in a namespace, there's an elevated chance for naming conflicts to occur. As an additional advantage, placing library code inside a namespace also allows the user to see the contents of your library by using their editor's auto-complete and suggestion feature.



[Next lesson](#)

[7.3 Local variables](#)



[Back to table of contents](#)



[Previous lesson](#)

[7.1 Compound statements \(blocks\)](#)

Leave a comment...

 Name* Email* | 

Notify me about replies: 

POST COMMENT

 Find a mistake? Leave a comment above! 

 Avatars from <https://gravatar.com/> are connected to your provided email address.

401 COMMENTS

Newest ▾

We and our partners share information on your use of this website to help improve your experience. 

Do not sell my info: 

OKAY