

16.12 — std::vector<bool>

 ALEX  JANUARY 10, 2024

In lesson [O.1 -- Bit flags and bit manipulation via std::bitset](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/bit-flags-and-bit-manipulation-via-stdbitset/) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/bit-flags-and-bit-manipulation-via-stdbitset/>), we discussed how `std::bitset` has the capability to compact 8 Boolean values into a byte. Those bits can then be modified via the member functions of `std::bitset`.

`std::vector` has an interesting trick up its sleeves. There is a special implementation for `std::vector<bool>` that may be more space efficient for Boolean values by similarly compacting 8 Boolean values into a byte.

For advanced readers

When a template class has a different implementation for a particular template type argument, this is called **class template specialization**. We discuss this topic further in lesson [26.4 -- Class template specialization](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/class-template-specialization/) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/class-template-specialization/>).

Unlike `std::bitset`, which was designed for bit manipulation, `std::vector<bool>` lacks bit manipulation member functions.

Using std::vector<bool>

For the most part, `std::vector<bool>` works just like a normal `std::vector`:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>

int main()
{
    std::vector<bool> v { true, false, false, true, true };

    for (int i : v)
        std::cout << i << ' ';
    std::cout << '\n';

    // Change the Boolean value with index 4 to false
    v[4] = false;

    for (int i : v)
        std::cout << i << ' ';
    std::cout << '\n';

    return 0;
}
```

On the author's 64-bit machine, this prints:

```
1 0 0 1 1
1 0 0 1 0
```

`std::vector<bool>` tradeoffs

However, `std::vector<bool>` has some tradeoffs that users should be aware of.

First, `std::vector<bool>` has a fairly high amount of overhead (`sizeof(std::vector<bool>)` is 40 bytes on the author's machine), so you won't save memory unless you're allocating more Boolean values than the overhead for your architecture.

Second, the performance of `std::vector<bool>` is highly dependent upon the implementation (as implementations aren't even required to do optimization, let alone do it well). Per [this article \(https://isocpp.org/blog/2012/11/on-vectorbool\)](https://isocpp.org/blog/2012/11/on-vectorbool), a highly optimized implementation can be significantly faster than alternatives. However, a poorly optimized implementation will be slower.

Third and most importantly, `std::vector<bool>` is not a vector (it is not required to be contiguous in memory), nor does it hold `bool` values (it holds a collection of bits), nor does it meet C++'s definition of a container.

...



Although `std::vector<bool>` behaves like a vector in most cases, it is not fully compatible with the rest of the standard library. Code that works with other element types may not work with `std::vector<bool>`.

For example, the following code works when `T` is any type except `bool`:

```
template<typename T>
void foo( std::vector<T>& v )
{
    T& first = v[0]; // get a reference to the first element
    // Do something with first
}
```

Avoid `std::vector<bool>`

The modern consensus is that `std::vector<bool>` should generally be avoided, as the performance gains are unlikely to be worth the incompatibility headaches due to it not being a proper container.

Unfortunately, this optimizing version of `std::vector<bool>` is enabled by default, and there is no way to disable it in favor of a non-optimized version that is actually a container. There have been calls to deprecate `std::vector<bool>`, and work is underway to determine what a replacement compacted vector of `bool` might look like (perhaps as a future `std::dynamic_bitset`).

...




Our recommendation is as follows:

- Use (constexpr) `std::bitset` when the number of bits you need is known at compile-time, you don't have more than a moderate number of Boolean values to store (e.g. under 64k), and the limited set of operators and member functions (e.g. lack of iterator support) meets your requirements.
- Prefer `std::vector<char>` when you need a resizable container of Boolean values and space-savings isn't a necessity. This type behaves like a normal container.
- Favor a 3rd party implementation of a dynamic bitset (such as `boost::dynamic_bitset`) when you need a dynamic bitset to do bit operations on. Such types won't pretend to be standard library containers when they aren't.

Best practice

Favor `constexpr std::bitset`, `std::vector<char>`, or 3rd party dynamic bitsets over `std::vector<bool>`.

 [Next lesson](#)
16.x [Chapter 16 summary and quiz](#)

 [Back to table of contents](#)

 [Previous lesson](#)
16.11 [std::vector and stack behavior](#)


...





Leave a comment...


 Name*

 Email* 

Notify me about replies: 

POST COMMENT

 Find a mistake? Leave a comment above!

 Avatars from <https://gravatar.com/> are connected to your provided email address.

4 COMMENTS

Newest ▾

We and our partners share information on your use of this website to help improve your experience.



Do not sell my info: ☐

OKAY