## 25.8 — Virtual base classes

## ▲ ALEX SEPTEMBER 11, 2023

Last chapter, in lesson <u>24.9 -- Multiple inheritance (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/multiple-inheritance/)</u>, we left off talking about the "diamond problem". In this section, we will resume this discussion.

Note: This section is an advanced topic and can be skipped or skimmed if desired.

## The diamond problem

Here is our example from the previous lesson (with some constructors) illustrating the diamond problem:

```
#include <iostream>
class PoweredDevice
public:
    PoweredDevice(int power)
        std::cout << "PoweredDevice: " << power << '\n';</pre>
};
class Scanner: public PoweredDevice
public:
    Scanner(int scanner, int power)
        : PoweredDevice{ power }
        std::cout << "Scanner: " << scanner << '\n';</pre>
class Printer: public PoweredDevice
public:
    Printer(int printer, int power)
        : PoweredDevice{ power }
        std::cout << "Printer: " << printer << '\n';</pre>
class Copier: public Scanner, public Printer
    Copier(int scanner, int printer, int power)
        : Scanner{ scanner, power }, Printer{ printer, power }
};
```

Although you might expect to get an inheritance diagram that looks like this:

from Scanner. This has the following structure:

• • •

0

We can create a short example that will show this in action:

```
int main()
{
    Copier copier{ 1, 2, 3 };
    return 0;
}
```

This produces the result:

```
PoweredDevice: 3
Scanner: 1
PoweredDevice: 3
Printer: 2
```

As you can see, PoweredDevice got constructed twice.

While this is often desired, other times you may want only one copy of PoweredDevice to be shared by both Scanner and Printer.

## Virtual base classes

To share a base class, simply insert the "virtual" keyword in the inheritance list of the derived class. This creates what is called a **virtual base class**, which means there is only one base object. The base object is shared between all objects in the inheritance tree and it is only constructed once. Here is an example (without constructors for simplicity) showing how to use the virtual keyword to create a shared base class:

```
class PoweredDevice
{
};

class Scanner: virtual public PoweredDevice
{
};

class Printer: virtual public PoweredDevice
{
};

class Copier: public Scanner, public Printer
{
};
```

Now, when you create a Copier class object, you will get only one copy of PoweredDevice per Copier that will be shared by both Scanner and Printer.

However, this leads to one more problem: if Scanner and Printer share a PoweredDevice base class, who is responsible for creating it? The answer, as it turns out, is Copier. The Copier constructor is responsible for creating PoweredDevice. Consequently, this is one time when Copier is allowed to call a non-immediate-parent constructor directly:

```
#include <iostream>
class PoweredDevice
public:
    PoweredDevice(int power)
        std::cout << "PoweredDevice: " << power << '\n';</pre>
};
class Scanner: virtual public PoweredDevice // note: PoweredDevice is now a virtual base class
public:
    Scanner(int scanner, int power)
        : PoweredDevice{ power } // this line is required to create Scanner objects, but ignored in this case
        std::cout << "Scanner: " << scanner << '\n';</pre>
class Printer: virtual public PoweredDevice // note: PoweredDevice is now a virtual base class
public:
    Printer(int printer, int power)
        : PoweredDevice{ power } // this line is required to create Printer objects, but ignored in this case
        std::cout << "Printer: " << printer << '\n';</pre>
class Copier: public Scanner, public Printer
public:
    Copier(int scanner, int printer, int power)
        : PoweredDevice { power }, // PoweredDevice is constructed here
        Scanner{ scanner, power }, Printer{ printer, power }
```

This time, our previous example:

```
int main()
{
    Copier copier{ 1, 2, 3 };
    return 0;
}
```

produces the result:

```
PoweredDevice: 3
Scanner: 1
Printer: 2
```

As you can see, PoweredDevice only gets constructed once.

There are a few details that we would be remiss if we did not mention.



