15.3 — Nested types (member types)

ALEX SEPTEMBER 26, 2023

Consider the following short program:

```
#include <iostream>
enum class FruitType
    apple,
    banana,
    cherry
class Fruit
private:
    FruitType m_type { };
    int m_percentageEaten { 0 };
    Fruit(FruitType type) :
        m_type { type }
    FruitType getType() { return m_type; }
    int getPercentageEaten() { return m_percentageEaten; }
    bool isCherry() { return m_type == FruitType::cherry; }
int main()
    Fruit apple { FruitType::apple };
    if (apple.getType() == FruitType::apple)
        std::cout << "I am an apple";</pre>
        std::cout << "I am not an apple";</pre>
    return 0;
}
```

There's nothing wrong with this program. But because enum class FruitType is meant to be used in conjunction with the Fruit class, having it exist independently of the class leaves us to infer how they are connected.

Nested types (member types)

So far, we've seen class types with two different kinds of members: data members and member functions. Our Fruit class in the example above has both of these.

Class types support another kind of member: **nested types** (also called **member types**). To create a nested type, you simply define the type inside the class, under the appropriate access specifier.

Here's the same program as above, rewritten to use a nested type defined inside the Fruit class:

. . .

0

```
#include <iostream>
class Fruit
public:
    // FruitType has been moved inside the class, under the public access specifier
        // We've also renamed it Type and made it an enum rather than an enum class
    enum Type
        apple,
        banana,
        cherry
private:
    Type m_type {};
    int m_percentageEaten { 0 };
public:
    Fruit(Type type) :
        m_type { type }
    }
    Type getType() { return m_type; }
    int getPercentageEaten() { return m_percentageEaten; }
    bool isCherry() { return m_type == cherry; } // Inside members of Fruit, we no longer need to prefix enumerators with
FruitType::
};
int main()
    // Note: Outside the class, we access the enumerators via the Fruit:: prefix now
    Fruit apple { Fruit::apple };
    if (apple.getType() == Fruit::apple)
        std::cout << "I am an apple";</pre>
    else
        std::cout << "I am not an apple";</pre>
    return 0;
}
```

There are a few things worth pointing out here.

First, note that FruitType is now defined inside the class, where it has been renamed Type for reasons that we will discuss shortly.

Second, nested type Type has been defined at the top of the class. Nested type names must be fully defined before they can be used, so they are usually defined first.

Best practice

Define any nested types at the top of your class type.

Third, nested types follow normal access rules. Type is defined under the public access specifier, so that the type name and enumerators can be directly accessed by the public.

Fourth, class types act as a scope region for names declared within, just as namespaces do. Therefore the fully qualified name of Type is Fruit::Type, and the fully qualified name of the apple enumerator is Fruit::apple.

• • •

0

Within the members of the class, we do not need to use the fully qualified name. For example, in member function <code>isCherry()</code> we access the <code>cherry</code> enumerator without the <code>Fruit::</code> scope qualifier.

Outside the class, we must use the fully qualified name (e.g. Fruit::apple). We renamed FruitType to Type so we can access it as Fruit::Type (rather than the more redundant Fruit::FruitType).

Finally, we changed our enumerated type from scoped to unscoped. Since the class itself is now acting as a scope region, it's somewhat redundant to use a scoped enumerator as well. Changing to an unscoped enum means we can access enumerators as Fruit::apple rather than the longer Fruit::Type::apple we'd have to use if the enumerator were scoped.

Nested typedefs and type aliases

Class types can also contain nested typedefs or type aliases:

• • •



```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
#include <string_view>
class Employee
public:
    using IDType = int;
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    IDType m_id{};
    double m_wage{};
    Employee(std::string_view name, IDType id, double wage)
        : m_name { name }
        , m_id { id }
        , m_wage { wage }
    const std::string& getName() { return m_name; }
    IDType getId() { return m_id; } // can use unqualified name within class
};
int main()
    Employee john { "John", 1, 45000 };
    Employee::IDType id { john.getId() }; // must use fully qualified name outside class
    std::cout << john.getName() << " has id: " << id << '\n';</pre>
    return 0;
}
```

This prints:

John has id: 1

Note that inside the class we can just use IDType, but outside the class we must use the fully qualified name Employee::IDType.

We discuss the benefits of type aliases in lesson 10.7 -- Typedefs and type aliases (https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/typedefs-and-type-aliases/), and they serve the same purpose here. It is very common for classes in the C++ standard library to make use of nested typedefs. As of the time of writing, std::string defines ten nested typedefs!

Nested classes and access to outer class members

It is fairly uncommon for classes to have other classes as a nested type, but it is possible. In C++, a nested class does not have access to the this pointer of the outer (containing) class, so nested classes can not directly access the members of the outer class. This is because a nested class can be instantiated independently of the outer class (and in such a case, there would be no outer class members to access!)

However, because nested classes are members of the outer class, they can access any private members of the outer class that are in scope.

. . .

0

Let's illustrate with an example:

```
#include <string>
#include <string_view>
class Employee
public:
    using IDType = int;
    class Printer
    {
    public:
        void print(const Employee& e) const
        {
            // Printer can't access Employee's `this` pointer
            // so we can't print m_name and m_id directly
            // Instead, we have to pass in an Employee object to use
            // Because Printer is a member of Employee,
            // we can access private members e.m_name and e.m_id directly
            std::cout << e.m_name << " has id: " << e.m_id << '\n';
    };
private:
    std::string m_name{};
    IDType m_id{};
    double m_wage{};
    Employee(std::string_view name, IDType id, double wage)
        : m_name{ name }
        , m_id{ id }
        , m_wage{ wage }
    \ensuremath{//} removed the access functions in this example (since they aren't used)
int main()
    const Employee john{ "John", 1, 45000 };
    const Employee::Printer p{}; // instantiate an object of the inner class
    p.print(john);
    return 0;
}
```

This prints:

#include <iostream>

```
John has id: 1
```

There is one case where nested classes are more commonly used. In the standard library, most iterator classes are implemented as nested classes of the container they are designed to iterator over. For example, std::string::iterator is implemented as a nested class of std::string. We'll cover iterators in a future chapter.


Nested types can't be forward declared

There is one other limitation of nested types that is worth mentioning -- nested types can't be forward declared. This limitation may be lifted in a future version of C++.





• • •



We and our partners share information on your use of this website to help improve your experience.

Do not sell my info:



×