

21.7 — Overloading the comparison operators

 ALEX  JANUARY 19, 2024

In lesson [6.6 -- Relational operators and floating point comparisons](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/relational-operators-and-floating-point-comparisons/) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/relational-operators-and-floating-point-comparisons/>), we discussed the six comparison operators. Overloading these comparison operators is comparatively simple (see what I did there?), as they follow the same patterns as we've seen in overloading other operators.

Because the comparison operators are all binary operators that do not modify their left operands, we will make our overloaded comparison operators friend functions.

Here's an example Car class with an overloaded operator== and operator!=.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
#include <string_view>

class Car
{
private:
    std::string m_make;
    std::string m_model;

public:
    Car(std::string_view make, std::string_view model)
        : m_make{ make }, m_model{ model }
    {
    }

    friend bool operator== (const Car& c1, const Car& c2);
    friend bool operator!= (const Car& c1, const Car& c2);
};

bool operator== (const Car& c1, const Car& c2)
{
    return (c1.m_make == c2.m_make &&
            c1.m_model == c2.m_model);
}

bool operator!= (const Car& c1, const Car& c2)
{
    return (c1.m_make != c2.m_make ||
            c1.m_model != c2.m_model);
}

int main()
{
    Car corolla{ "Toyota", "Corolla" };
    Car camry{ "Toyota", "Camry" };

    if (corolla == camry)
        std::cout << "a Corolla and Camry are the same.\n";

    if (corolla != camry)
        std::cout << "a Corolla and Camry are not the same.\n";

    return 0;
}
```

The code here should be straightforward.

What about operator< and operator>? What would it mean for a Car to be greater or less than another Car? We typically don't think about cars this way. Since the results of operator< and operator> would not be immediately intuitive, it may be better to leave these operators undefined.



Best practice

Only define overloaded operators that make intuitive sense for your class.

However, there is one common exception to the above recommendation. What if we wanted to sort a list of Cars? In such a case, we might want to overload the comparison operators to return the member (or members) you're most likely to want to sort on. For example, an overloaded `operator<` for Cars might sort based on make and model alphabetically.

Some of the container classes in the standard library (classes that hold sets of other classes) require an overloaded `operator<` so they can keep the elements sorted.

Here's a different example overloading all 6 logical comparison operators:

```

#include <iostream>

class Cents
{
private:
    int m_cents;

public:
    Cents(int cents)
    : m_cents{ cents }
    {}

    friend bool operator== (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);
    friend bool operator!= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);

    friend bool operator< (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);
    friend bool operator> (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);

    friend bool operator<= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);
    friend bool operator>= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2);
};

bool operator== (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents == c2.m_cents;
}

bool operator!= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents != c2.m_cents;
}

bool operator> (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents > c2.m_cents;
}

bool operator< (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents < c2.m_cents;
}

bool operator<= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents <= c2.m_cents;
}

bool operator>= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2)
{
    return c1.m_cents >= c2.m_cents;
}

int main()
{
    Cents dime{ 10 };
    Cents nickel{ 5 };

    if (nickel > dime)
        std::cout << "a nickel is greater than a dime.\n";
    if (nickel >= dime)
        std::cout << "a nickel is greater than or equal to a dime.\n";
    if (nickel < dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is greater than a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel <= dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is greater than or equal to a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel == dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is equal to a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel != dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is not equal to a nickel.\n";

    return 0;
}

```

This is also pretty straightforward.

Minimizing comparative redundancy

In the example above, note how similar the implementation of each of the overloaded comparison operators are. Overloaded comparison operators tend to have a high degree of redundancy, and the more complex the implementation, the more redundancy there will be.



Fortunately, many of the comparison operators can be implemented using the other comparison operators:

- `operator!=` can be implemented as `!(operator==)`
- `operator>` can be implemented as `operator<` with the order of the parameters flipped
- `operator>=` can be implemented as `!(operator<)`
- `operator<=` can be implemented as `!(operator>)`

This means that we only need to implement logic for `operator==` and `operator<`, and then the other four comparison operators can be defined in terms of those two! Here's an updated Cents example illustrating this:

```
#include <iostream>

class Cents
{
private:
    int m_cents;

public:
    Cents(int cents)
        : m_cents{ cents }
    {}

    friend bool operator== (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return c1.m_cents == c2.m_cents; }
    friend bool operator!= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return !(operator==(c1, c2)); }

    friend bool operator< (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return c1.m_cents < c2.m_cents; }
    friend bool operator> (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return operator<(c2, c1); }

    friend bool operator<= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return !(operator>(c1, c2)); }
    friend bool operator>= (const Cents& c1, const Cents& c2) { return !(operator<(c1, c2)); }

};

int main()
{
    Cents dime{ 10 };
    Cents nickel{ 5 };

    if (nickel > dime)
        std::cout << "a nickel is greater than a dime.\n";
    if (nickel >= dime)
        std::cout << "a nickel is greater than or equal to a dime.\n";
    if (nickel < dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is greater than a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel <= dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is greater than or equal to a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel == dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is equal to a nickel.\n";
    if (nickel != dime)
        std::cout << "a dime is not equal to a nickel.\n";

    return 0;
}
```

This way, if we ever need to change something, we only need to update `operator==` and `operator<` instead of all six comparison operators!

The spaceship operator `<=>` C++20

C++20 introduces the spaceship operator (`operator<=>`), which allows us to reduce the number of comparison functions we need to write down to 2 at most, and sometimes just 1!

Author's note

We intend to add a new lesson on this topic soon. Until then, consider this something to pique your interest -- but you'll have to go off-site to discover more.

Quiz time

1. Add the six comparison operators to the Fraction class so that the following program compiles:

```

#include <iostream>
#include <numeric> // for std::gcd

class Fraction
{
private:
    int m_numerator{};
    int m_denominator{};

public:
    Fraction(int numerator = 0, int denominator = 1) :
        m_numerator{ numerator }, m_denominator{ denominator }
    {
        // We put reduce() in the constructor to ensure any new fractions we make get reduced!
        // Any fractions that are overwritten will need to be re-reduced
        reduce();
    }

    void reduce()
    {
        int gcd{ std::gcd(m_numerator, m_denominator) };
        if (gcd)
        {
            m_numerator /= gcd;
            m_denominator /= gcd;
        }
    }

    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Fraction& f1);
};

std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Fraction& f1)
{
    out << f1.m_numerator << '/' << f1.m_denominator;
    return out;
}

int main()
{
    Fraction f1{ 3, 2 };
    Fraction f2{ 5, 8 };

    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 == f2) ? " == " : " not == ") << f2 << '\n';
    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 != f2) ? " != " : " not != ") << f2 << '\n';
    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 < f2) ? " < " : " not < ") << f2 << '\n';
    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 > f2) ? " > " : " not > ") << f2 << '\n';
    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 <= f2) ? " <= " : " not <= ") << f2 << '\n';
    std::cout << f1 << ((f1 >= f2) ? " >= " : " not >= ") << f2 << '\n';
    return 0;
}

```

If you're on a pre-C++17 compiler, you can replace `std::gcd` with this function:



```

#include <cmath>

int gcd(int a, int b) {
    return (b == 0) ? std::abs(a) : gcd(b, a % b);
}

```

[Show Solution \(javascript:void\(0\)\)](#)

2. Add an overloaded `operator<<` and `operator<` to the `Car` class at the top of the lesson so that the following program compiles:

```

#include <algorithm>
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
#include <vector>

int main()
{
    std::vector<Car> cars{
        { "Toyota", "Corolla" },
        { "Honda", "Accord" },
        { "Toyota", "Camry" },
        { "Honda", "Civic" }
    };

    std::sort(cars.begin(), cars.end()); // requires an overloaded operator<

    for (const auto& car : cars)
        std::cout << car << '\n'; // requires an overloaded operator<<

    return 0;
}

```

This program should produce the following output:

```

(Honda, Accord)
(Honda, Civic)
(Toyota, Camry)
(Toyota, Corolla)

```

If you need a refresher on `std::sort`, we talk about it in lesson [18.1 -- Sorting an array using selection sort](https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/sorting-an-array-using-selection-sort/) (<https://www.learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/sorting-an-array-using-selection-sort/>).

[Show Solution](#) (javascript:void(0))



Next lesson

21.8 [Overloading the increment and decrement operators](#)



[Back to table of contents](#)



Previous lesson

21.6 [Overloading unary operators +, -, and !](#)

...



Leave a comment...

 Name*


 Email* 

Notify me about replies:



POST COMMENT

 Find a mistake? Leave a comment above!

 Avatars from <https://gravatar.com/> are connected to your provided email address.

235 COMMENTS

Newest ▾

We and our partners share information on your use of this website to help improve your experience.

Do not sell my info: ☐

OKAY

