535514: Reinforcement Learning
Lecture 22 — DQN, DDQN, and Distributional RL

Ping-Chun Hsieh

May 9, 2024

On-Policy vs Off-Policy Methods

	Policy Optimization	Value-Based	Model-Based	Imitation-Based
On-Policy	Exact PG REINFORCE (w/i baseline) A2C On-policy DAC TRPO Natural PG (NPG) PPO-KL & PPO-Clip RLHF by PPO-KL	Epsilon-Greedy MC Sarsa Expected Sarsa	Model-Predictive Control (MPC) PETS	IRL GAIL IQ-Learn
Off-Policy	Off-policy DPG & DDPG Twin Delayed DDPG (TD3)	Q-learning Double Q-learning DQN & DDQN C51 / QR-DQN / IQN Rainbow Soft Actor-Critic (SAC)		

Review: Deep Q-Network



$$F(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s')\sim\rho}\left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q(s', a'; \bar{\mathbf{w}}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(s,a,r,s') \in D \sim \mathcal{B}} \left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q(s', a'; \bar{\mathbf{w}}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

Can DQN be Applied Under Continuous Actions?

The difficulty lies in the "$\arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})$" operation

Existing methods that adapts DQN to continuous actions

1. Naive Action Discretization [Tavakoli et al., AAAI 2020]







Reacher (3-5 DOF)

Issue: Naive discretization suffers from exponential growth of cardinality

2. Discretization + Factorization [Tang and Agrawal, AAAI 2020]

$$\pi(a|s) := \Pi_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{\theta_i}(a_i|s) \quad \text{where } a = [a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}]^\top$$









(a) **HalfCheetah + PPO** (b) **Ant + PPO** (c) **Walker + PPO** (d) **Humanoid (R) + PPO**

Tavakoli et al., Action Branching Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning, AAAI 2018
Tang and Agrawal et al., Discretizing Continuous Action Space for On-Policy Optimization, AAAI 2020

3. Discretization + Branching [Tavakoli et al., AAAI 2018]









Tavakoli et al., Action Branching Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning, AAAI 2018

4. Normalized Advantage Functions (NAF): Quadratic Approximation!

Continuous Deep Q-Learning with Model-based Acceleration [Gu et al., ICML 2016]

Shixiang Gu[1 2 3] SG717@CAM.AC.UK
Timothy Lillicrap[4] COUNTZERO@GOOGLE.COM
Ilya Sutskever[3] ILYASU@GOOGLE.COM
Sergey Levine[3] SLEVINE@GOOGLE.COM
[1]University of Cambridge [2]Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems [3]Google Brain [4]Google DeepMind

$$Q(s,a;\phi_A,\phi_V) = A(s,a;\phi_A) + V(s;\phi_V)$$

(P is state-dependent, positive definite)

$$A(s,a;\phi_A) := -\frac{1}{2}(a-\mu(s;\phi_\mu))^\top P(s;\phi_P)(a-\mu(s;\phi_\mu))$$

$$P(s;\phi_P) := L(s|;\phi_P)L(s|;\phi_P)^\top$$ (This is known as the "Cholesky decomposition")

(L is a lower-triangular matrix)

5. Amortized Q-Learning (AQL): Sampling!

Q-LEARNING IN ENORMOUS ACTION SPACES VIA AMORTIZED APPROXIMATE MAXIMIZATION

[NeurIPS 2018 Workshop]

Tom Van de Wiele, David Warde-Farley, Andriy Mnih & Volodymyr Mnih
DeepMind
London, United Kingdom
tvdwiele@gmail.com, {dwf,amnih,vmnih}@google.com

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a; \mathbf{w}) \approx \max_{a' \in D \sim \mu(a)} Q(s, a'; \mathbf{w})$$

"proposal distribution"
(Learned by maximum likelihood)



Intuition: Larger $|D|$ induces a higher probability of seeing max-Q actions

Van de Wiele et al., Q-Learning in Enormous Action Spaces via Amortized Approximate Maximization, NeurIPS Workshop 2018

6. DDPG: Reinterpret DDPG as an Adaptation of DQN for Continuous Actions!

(Quick Review)

Off-Policy Deterministic PG: $\nabla_\theta J_\beta^{\pi_\theta} \approx \mathbb{E}_{s\sim d_\mu^\beta}\left[\nabla_\theta \pi_\theta(s) \nabla_a Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)|_{a=\pi_\theta(s)}\right]$

- Critic: estimate $Q_w \approx Q^{\pi_\theta}$ by bootstrapping
- Actor: updates policy parameters θ by deterministic policy gradient

Step 1: Initialize θ_0, w_0 and step sizes α_θ, α_w

Step 2: Sample a trajectory $\tau = (s_0, a_0, r_1, \cdots) \sim P_\mu^\beta$

For each step of the current trajectory $t = 0,1,2,\cdots$

$$\Delta w_k \leftarrow \Delta w_k + \alpha_w\left(r_t + \gamma Q_{w_k}(s_{t+1}, \pi_\theta(s_{t+1})) - Q_{w_k}(s_t, a_t)\right)\nabla_w Q_w(s_t, a_t)|_{w=w_k}$$

$$\Delta\theta_k \leftarrow \Delta\theta_k + \alpha_\theta \gamma^t\left(\nabla_\theta \pi_\theta(s_t) \nabla_a Q_{w_k}(s_t, a)|_{a=\pi_\theta(s_t)}\right)$$

$$= \nabla_\theta Q_{w_k}(s_t, \pi_\theta(s_t))|_{\theta=\theta_k}$$

Alternative Interpretation of DDPG: An Adaptation of DQN for Continuous Actions (Cont.)

- DDPG can be reinterpreted as DQN for continuous actions

1. Deterministic policy: $\pi_\theta(s) \approx \arg\max_a Q_w(s,a)$

2. How to find θ: solve $\theta \leftarrow \arg\max_\theta Q_w(s, \pi_\theta(s))$ by SGD

$$\Delta\theta_k \leftarrow \Delta\theta_k + \alpha_\theta \gamma^t \underbrace{\left(\nabla_\theta \pi_\theta(s_t) \nabla_a Q_{w_k}(s_t, a)|_{a=\pi_\theta(s_t)} \right)}_{= \nabla_\theta Q_{w_k}(s_t, \pi_\theta(s_t))|_{\theta=\theta_k}}$$

3. DQN and DDPG have a similar TD update scheme

$$\Delta w_k \leftarrow \Delta w_k + \alpha_w \left(r_t + \gamma Q_{w_k}(s_{t+1}, \pi_\theta(s_{t+1})) - Q_{w_k}(s_t, a_t) \right) \nabla_w Q_w(s_t, a_t)|_{w=w_k}$$

Next Topic: What to Improve in Vanilla DQN?



$$L(\mathbf{w}) := \sum_{(s,a,r,s')\in D} \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a'; \bar{\mathbf{w}}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

Next Topic: What to Improve in Vanilla DQN?

5. A better way to represent Q function?

4. A better exploration method?



$$L(\mathbf{w}) := \sum_{(s,a,r,s')\in D} \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a'; \bar{\mathbf{w}}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

1. Overestimation Bias?

2. A better loss function?

Next Topic: Rainbow (= DQN with 6 Useful Tricks)

1. Double DQN (DDQN)
2. Distributional Q-learning
3. Prioritized experience replay (PER)
4. Dueling networks
5. Multi-step return in TD target
6. Noisy networks for exploration



Hessel et al., Rainbow: Combining Improvements in Deep Reinforcement Learning, AAAI 2018

Double DQN (DDQN)

Hado van Hasselt, Arthur Guez, and David Silver,
“Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning,” AAAI 2016

Recall: Double Q-Learning

Step 1: Initialize $Q^A(s,a), Q^B(s,a)$ for all (s,a), and initial state s_0

Step 2: For each step $t = 0,1,2,\cdots$

Select a_t using ε-greedy w.r.t $Q^A(s_t,a) + Q^B(s_t,a)$

Observe (r_{t+1}, s_{t+1})

Choose one of the following updates uniformly at random

$$Q^A(s_t,a_t) \leftarrow Q^A(s_t,a_t) + \alpha\left(r_{t+1} + \gamma Q^B(s_{t+1}, \arg\max_a Q^A(s_{t+1},a)) - Q^A(s_t,a_t)\right)$$

$$Q^B(s_t,a_t) \leftarrow Q^B(s_t,a_t) + \alpha\left(r_{t+1} + \gamma Q^A(s_{t+1}, \arg\max_a Q^B(s_{t+1},a)) - Q^B(s_t,a_t)\right)$$

- Key Idea: Decouple “Q value” and “greedy action selection”
- Question: How to apply this to DQN?

Double DQN

- Loss function of DQN:

$$F(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s')\sim\rho}\left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a'\in A} Q(s', a'; \bar{\mathbf{w}}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(s,a,r,s')\in D}\left[\left(r + \gamma \max_{a'\in A} Q(s', a'; \mathbf{w}) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

- Loss function of Double DQN:

$$F(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s')\sim\rho}\left[\left(r + \gamma Q\left(s', \arg\max_{a'\in A} Q(s, a; \mathbf{w}); \bar{\mathbf{w}}\right) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(s,a,r,s')\sim D}\left[\left(r + \gamma Q\left(s', \arg\max_{a'\in A} Q(s, a; \mathbf{w}); \bar{\mathbf{w}}\right) - Q(s, a; \mathbf{w})\right)^2\right]$$

"*We therefore propose to evaluate the greedy policy according to the online network, but using the target network to estimate its value.*" — [van Hasselt et al., AAAI 2016]

Distributional Q-Learning

(Learn value distribution $Z(s, a)$ & use $E[Z(s, a)]$ as $Q(s, a)$ in Q-Learning)

Why Shall We Consider “Value Distributions”?

- Risky vs safe choices
  - E.g., Same expected return but different variance
- Good empirical performance (despite that the underlying root cause is not fully known)
  - C51 [Belleware et al., ICML 2017]
  - QR-DQN [Dabney et al., AAAI 2018]
  - IQN [Dabney et al., ICML 2018]
- New approaches for exploration
  - Information-directed exploration [Nikolov et al., ICLR 2019]
  - Distributional RL for efficient exploration [Mavrin et al., ICML 2019]
- Learn better critics
  - Truncated Quantile Critics (TQC) [Kuznetsov et al., ICML 2020]

Question: How to learn the complete value distribution (instead of merely the expectation)?

Sample Action-Value $Z^{\pi}(s,a)$

- Sample action-value $Z^{\pi}(s,a)$: sample return if we start from state s and take action a, and then follow policy π

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = \mathbb{E}[Z^{\pi}(s,a)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t, a_t)\right]$$

- $Z^{\pi}(s,a)$ is essentially a random variable (and hence follows some distribution)
- Example: 1-state MDP with 2 actions and $\pi(s) = a_1$
  - $Q^{\pi}(s,a_1)$ = ? $Z^{\pi}(s,a_1)$?
  - $Q^{\pi}(s,a_2)$ = ? $Z^{\pi}(s,a_2)$?



Finding Z^π via Distributional Bellman Equation

- Mild assumption: $Z^\pi(s,a)$ has bounded moments
- Distributional Bellman equation for $Z^\pi(s,a)$:

$$Z^\pi(s,a) \overset{D}{=} r(s,a) + \gamma Z^\pi(s',a')$$

($\overset{D}{=}$: equal in distribution)

- Question: How to interpret this equation?
- Question: Are $r(s,a)$ and $Z^\pi(s',a')$ independent?
- Question: Is this consistent with Bellman expectation equation?

Distributional Bellman Operator B^π

- $\mathscr{Z}$: the space of all value distributions with bounded moments
- Transition operator $P^\pi : \mathscr{Z} \to \mathscr{Z}$

$$P^\pi Z(s,a) :\overset{D}{=} Z(s',a')$$

$$s' \sim P(\,\cdot\,|\,s,a),\;\; a' \sim \pi(\,\cdot\,|\,s')$$

- Distributional Bellman operator $B^\pi : \mathscr{Z} \to \mathscr{Z}$

$$B^\pi Z(s,a) :\overset{D}{=} r(s,a) + \gamma P^\pi Z(s,a)$$

An Example of Applying B^π

- Example: 2 states x_1, x_2 and 2 actions a_1, a_2
- $\pi(a_1 \mid x_2) = 0.3$, $\pi(a_2 \mid x_2) = 0.7$, and $\gamma = 0.9$



$$B^\pi Z(s,a) :\overset{D}{=} r(s,a) + \gamma P^\pi Z(s,a)$$

- Suppose $Z(x_1, a_1) = 0$, $Z(x_2, a_1) = 0$ with probability 1 and $Z(x_2, a_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$
- Question: $B^\pi Z(x_2, a_2)$ =? $B^\pi Z(x_1, a_1)$ =?

Visualization of Distributional Bellman Operator



Distributional "Optimality" Operator

Recall— Distributional Bellman operator $B^\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}$

$$B^\pi Z(s,a) :\overset{D}{=} r(s,a) + \gamma P^\pi Z(s,a)$$

- Distributional optimality operator B^*: The B^π resulting from a greedy policy π (what does "greedy" mean here?)

An Example of B^*



Suppose we have the following:

- $\pi(a_1 \mid x_2) = 0.3$, $\pi(a_2 \mid x_2) = 0.7$, and $\gamma = 1$
- $Z(x_1, a_1) = 0$, $Z(x_2, a_1) = 0$ with probability 1
- $Z(x_2, a_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

Question: What's the PDF of $B^*Z(x_1, a_1)$ =?

$$B^*Z(s,a) :\overset{D}{=} r(s,a) + \gamma P^{\pi_{greedy}} Z(s,a)$$