```
Problem
      Property LTO, (TO) = N(TO)
                (by definition of INO) M (1))
                                                                 = N(TO1)+(ZdTO (S)). 0
= N(TO1)+(ZdTO (S)). 0
                        Closm: _= 0

_= ZTO_(als)(QT(S,0)-VT(s,a) Are

OCA
                                        = I TO, (als) Qt, (s, a) - ITO, (als) VT (s, a)
A=0
A=0
A=0
A=0
                                        = Vry(5)-Vry(5)
                                                  (by bellman equation)
        Property 2 702 TO, (TO) 10=0,= 70 N(TO) 10=0,
               pf By Performance Lemma and (1), we have 1(T_0) = 1(T_0) + \sum_{s} d_{s}(s) \sum_{s} T_{o}(a|s) A^{T_{o}}(s,a)
                                  LTO, (TO)= M(TO,)+ Idu (S) ITO(a15) ATO(S,a) RH(SZ
                               => If aRHSI 10=0, = aRHSZ 10=0, then Property 2 holds.
                                 + \(\frac{1}{5} d_{\mu}(S) \(\frac{1}{5} \) \(\frac{1}{5}
                                                                                           (by Chan rule) : I To,(als) Ater(s,a)
```

Problem 2

(a) We use two Lemma to solve.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $foo: X^T A X_1$.

Where $A: Symmetric and X=(X_1'-X_1)^T$.

Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}=2AX$.

pf
$$\chi = f(x) : \chi^{T} A \chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{i} \chi_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \chi_{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{p} \chi_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{i} \chi_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{j} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{j} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{j} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{j} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{j} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} \qquad inj \neq p$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ip} \chi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}$$

2X = A, where A: metrix pf similar to above proof. 764 97(0'Y)=0. =>-(POLOK) 0=OK)+ = (2HOK(0-OK))=0 by Lemma 1, Lemma 2. $\Rightarrow (\theta - \theta_{k}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} H_{\theta_{k}}^{-1}(\nabla_{\theta} L_{\theta_{k}} | \theta = \theta_{k}) 代国(4)$ =) L(0, X) = - (70 LOK(0) | 0= 0K / HOK(0=0K) + X(-1 HOK(VOLOK/0=0K)) HOK(0-0K) $=-\left(\nabla_{\theta}L_{\theta K}(\theta)\right|_{\theta=\theta K}\frac{1}{\lambda}H_{\theta K}^{-1}(\nabla_{\theta}L_{\theta K})_{\theta=\theta K}$ t X (2 (0) 0= 0x) (-1) / HOx (0-0x) 4 = (D) 0 kg) | 0 = 0 k - HOK (D) OK | 0 = 0 K) - > & =- - 1 (DOLOK(B) | B=OK) HOK (DOLOK(B) | B=OK) - YS = minf(0,1) ('i'it's LP transformation,
Ofted Strong duality holds

num	$p_t(heta)>0$	A_t	Return Value of min	Objective is Clipped	Sign of Objective	Gradient
1	$p_t(\theta) \in [1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon]$	+	$p_t(heta)A_t$	no	+	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
2	$p_t(\theta) \in [1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon]$	-	$p_t(heta)A_t$	no	-	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
3	$p_t(heta) < 1 - \epsilon$	+	$(1-\epsilon)p_t(\theta)A_t$	yes	+	0
4	$p_t(heta) < 1 - \epsilon$	-	$(1-\epsilon)p_t(\theta)A_t$	yes	-	0
5	$p_t(heta) > 1 + \epsilon$	+	$(1+\epsilon)p_t(\theta)A_t$	yes	+	0
5	$p_t(heta) > 1 + \epsilon$	-	$(1+\epsilon)p_t(\theta)A_t$	yes	-	0