

Supplementary File for Learning Dynamic Coupling of Neural Oscillations for Motor Imagery Classification

Shoulin Huang, *Member, IEEE*, Ruifeng Zhang, Xiaohao Wen, *Member, IEEE*, and Mengchu Zhou, *Fellow, IEEE*

This supplementary file consists of the following parts:

- 1) **Notations and Operations**
- 2) **Optimization of CFC-based Tensor Decomposition**

I. NOTATIONS AND OPERATIONS

Tensors are denoted by boldface Euler script letters. For example, $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_D}$ is a D -order (or mode) tensor, where $I_d (d \in \{1, \dots, D\})$ is the size of the mode- d . The element (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d) of \mathcal{X} is represented by x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d} . Matrices are denoted by boldface capital letters, e.g., $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times L}$. A vector is denoted by boldface lowercase letters, e.g., $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1}$. The element (i_1) of \mathbf{x} is denoted by x_{i_1} .

The mode- d product of a tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_D}$ with a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_d}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X} \times_d \mathbf{v}$ and is of size $I_1 \times \dots \times I_{d-1} \times I_{d+1} \times \dots \times I_D$. The element $(i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}, i_{d+1}, \dots, i_D)$ of $\mathcal{X} \times_d \mathbf{v}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{X} \times_d \mathbf{v})_{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}, i_{d+1}, \dots, i_D} = \sum_{i_d=1}^{I_d} x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_D} v_{i_d} \quad (1)$$

II. OPTIMIZATION OF CFC-BASED TENSOR DECOMPOSITION (CTD)

This section describes the optimized detail for the proposed CFC-based Tensor Decomposition (CTD) used in CFCNet. To ensure that the extractive features are discriminative, we employ the CSP technique to find the parameters of \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{B} . To be more specific, the optimization objective of CTD is to maximize the following power ratios for class 1 and class 2:

$$\max_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B}} \frac{E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_1}[\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})]}{E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_2}[\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})] + E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_1}[\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})]} \quad (2)$$

Here, C_y is the set in training data, which contains the test belonging to class $y \in \{1, 2\}$; $\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}$ denotes the power calculated from the projection signal \mathbf{z} , i.e., $\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}$; and $E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_y}[\cdot]$ denotes the expected covariance matrix over C_y . Since it is difficult to determine \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{B} simultaneously, a standard alternating optimization strategy is adopted in the optimization process.

While \mathbf{B} is fixed, $\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})$ can be denoted by $\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{s}$, where $\mathbf{G} = \mathcal{X} \times_2 [\mathbf{B}^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times L}$. Let $\text{cov}(\mathbf{A}, y)$ be the function that computes the average covariance matrix for class y . Then it follows that $E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_1}[\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})] = \mathbf{s}^T \text{cov}(\mathbf{G}, 1) \mathbf{s}$ and $E_{\mathcal{X} \in C_2}[\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B})] = \mathbf{s}^T \text{cov}(\mathbf{G}, 2) \mathbf{s}$. Thus, (2) can be re-expressed as a Rayleigh quotient maximization problem.

$$\max_{\mathbf{s}_m} J(\mathbf{s}_m | \mathbf{B}_m) = \frac{\mathbf{s}_m^T \text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 1) \mathbf{s}_m}{\mathbf{s}_m^T [\text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 1) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 2)] \mathbf{s}_m} \quad (3)$$

Problem (3) can be solved by generalized eigenvalue decomposition:

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 1) \mathbf{s}_m = \lambda [\text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 1) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 2)] \mathbf{s}_m \quad (4)$$

The spatial factor \mathbf{s} is given by the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . The largest or smallest eigenvalues mean discriminability of the eigenvectors. We set M_s as an even number $2r$. And then half of the factors, $\{\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_r\}$, are chosen as the eigenvectors corresponding to the largest r eigenvalues for class 1, and the other factors, $\{\mathbf{s}_{r+1}, \mathbf{s}_{r+2}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2r}\}$ are the eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest r eigenvalues for class 2.

Next, we find $\{\mathbf{B}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ assuming that $\{\mathbf{s}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is given. Let $\beta_m = \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{KN}$, where $\text{vec}(\mathbf{B}_m)$ denotes the vectorization operation that sequentially concatenates the column vectors of \mathbf{B}_m to form a new vector. Then it follows that $\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}_m, \mathbf{B}_m) = \beta_m^T \mathbf{Q}_m \mathbf{Q}_m^T \beta_m$, where the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_m = [\mathbf{s}_{m,1} \mathbf{X}_1^T \quad \mathbf{s}_{m,2} \mathbf{X}_2^T \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{s}_{m,K} \mathbf{X}_K^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(KN) \times L}$, with $\mathbf{s}_{m,k}$ being the k -th element of \mathbf{s}_m . Similarly, the optimization problem can be written as

$$\max_{\mathbf{B}_m} J(\mathbf{B}_m | \mathbf{s}_m) = \frac{\beta_m^T \text{cov}(\mathbf{Q}_m, 1) \beta_m}{\beta_m^T [\text{cov}(\mathbf{Q}_m, 1) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{Q}_m, 2)] \beta_m} \quad (5)$$

Algorithm 1 Optimization details for CTD

Input: The training set $\{\mathbf{X}_{i\text{-th}} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times L}, i = 1, 2, \dots, I\}$ with their labels $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, the maximum number of iterations T and a small value ε for testing convergence, and the number of spatial-spectral factors $2r$.

Output: $\{(s_m, \mathbf{B}_m), m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ (note that $M = 2r$).

calculate $\mathcal{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N \times L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq I$;

calculate average covariance: $\text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 1)$ and $\text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 2)$;

calculate $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2r}\}$ according to (8);

initialize $\{\mathbf{B}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r\}$ according to (9);

for $1 \leq t \leq T$ **do**

calculate $\text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 1)$ and $\text{cov}(\mathbf{G}_m, 2)$;

obtain $\{s_m^{(t)}, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r\}$ by solving (3);

calculate $\text{cov}(\mathbf{Q}_m, 1)$ and $\text{cov}(\mathbf{Q}_m, 2)$;

obtain $\{b_{m,k}^{(t)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, K, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r\}$ by solving (5) and (6);

calculate $\{\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)}, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r\}$ according to (9);

if $t = T$ or $J(s_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)}) - J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | s_m^{(t)}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all m

break;

end for

return $\{(s_m^{(t)}, \mathbf{B}_m^{(t)}), m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$

In addition, problem (5) is solved by generalized eigenvalue decomposition. β_m is chosen as the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue for m within the range of 1 to r , and the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue for m within the range of $r + 1$ to $2r$. The k -th column of \mathbf{B}_m , $b_{m,k}$, can be restored from β_m by

$$b_{m,k} = [\beta_{m,p} \ \beta_{m,p+1} \ \dots \ \beta_{m,p+N-1}]^T, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, K, \ m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r \quad (6)$$

where $p = (k - 1)N + 1$, and $\beta_{m,p}$ is the p -th element of β_m .

To facilitate fast convergence, we initialize $\{\mathbf{B}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ with effective initial values. Let us define a coupling factor $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{KN}$ as

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s} \odot \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}) = [s_1 \mathbf{b}_1^T \ s_2 \mathbf{b}_2^T \ \dots \ s_K \mathbf{b}_K^T]^T \quad (7)$$

where \odot denotes the Khatri–Rao product of two vectors. It is easy to find $\alpha(\mathcal{X}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{w}$, where $\mathbf{R} = [\mathbf{X}_1; \mathbf{X}_2; \dots; \mathbf{X}_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{(KN) \times L}$. Thus, (2) can be re-expressed as

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 1) \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T [\text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 1) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 2)] \mathbf{w}} \quad (8)$$

Problem (8) also can be solved by generalized eigenvalue decomposition: $\gamma[\text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 1) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{R}, 2)]\mathbf{w}$. Half of the factors, $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r\}$, are chosen as eigenvectors corresponding to the largest r eigenvalues for class 1, and the others are eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest r eigenvalues for class 2. The initial values of \mathbf{B}_m can be estimated from \mathbf{w}_m , as follows:

$$b_{m,k} = [w_{m,p} \ w_{m,p+1} \ \dots \ w_{m,p+N-1}]^T / h_p, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, K, \ m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r \quad (9)$$

where $p = (k - 1)N + 1$; $w_{m,p}$ is the p -th element of \mathbf{w}_m ; and $h_p = \sqrt{(w_{m,p})^2 + (w_{m,p+1})^2 + \dots + (w_{m,p+N-1})^2}$. In this way, $b_{m,k}$ is assumed to be unit l_2 -norm. The pseudo-code of the CTD finding $\{(s_m, \mathbf{B}_m), m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is given in **Algorithm 1**. Similarly to CSP, CTD can easily be extended to multi-class applications, by adopting strategies, such as Pair-Wise and One-Versus-Rest.

The proposed CTD method finds spatial-spectral factors alternatively by solving a single Rayleigh quotient maximization objective function, where we never decrease the value of cost function between two successive iterations. The convergence of the alternating optimization process is proved in *Theorem 1*.

Theorem 1: The alternating optimization process for CTD guarantees monotonic convergence:

$$\sigma \leq J(s_m^{(1)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(0)}) \leq J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(1)} | s_m^{(1)}) \leq J(s_m^{(2)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(1)}) \leq \dots \\ \leq J(s_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)}) \leq J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | s_m^{(t)}) < 1 \quad (10)$$

where σ is a limiting value in the \mathbb{R}^+ space ($0 < \sigma < 1$), and $J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | s_m^{(t)})$ is calculated with the given $s_m^{(t)}$ in the t -th ($t \geq 1$)

iteration. $\mathbf{B}_m^{(0)}$ is initialized according to (9).

Proof: Let $\sigma_m = J(\mathbf{w}_m)$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r$, and it is easy to know that $0 < \sigma_m < 1$. Since $\mathbf{s}_m^{(1)}$ is calculated by arg maximizing $J(\mathbf{s}_m | \mathbf{B}_m)$ with the given $\mathbf{B}_m^{(0)}$, we have the following inequality: $\sigma \leq J(\mathbf{s}_m^{(1)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(0)})$, where $\sigma = \min(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2r})$.

According to (5), we have $J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(t)}) < 1$. In addition, because $\mathbf{s}_m^{(t)}$ maximizes $J(\mathbf{s}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)})$ with fixed $\mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)}$ and then $\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)}$ maximizes $J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(t)})$ with calculated $\mathbf{s}_m^{(t)}$, the relationship $J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(t-1)}) \leq J(\mathbf{s}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)}) \leq J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(t)})$ is given. Hence, we finally obtain the following relationship: $\sigma \leq J(\mathbf{s}_m^{(1)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(0)}) \leq J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(1)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(1)}) \leq \dots \leq J(\mathbf{s}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{B}_m^{(t-1)}) \leq J(\mathbf{B}_m^{(t)} | \mathbf{s}_m^{(t)}) < 1$.