MIDTERM EXAMINATION

CSE 130: Principles of Programming Languages

Professor Goguen

February 16, 2006

100 points total

- Don't start the exam until you are told to. Turn off any cell phone or pager.
- Write your name and PID in the top-right corner of this page. Do not separate the pages.
- This is a closed-book, closed-notes, no-calculator exam. Don't refer to any materials other than the exam itself.
- Do not look at anyone else's exam. Do not talk to anyone but an exam proctor during the exam.
- If you have a question, raise your hand and an exam proctor will come to you.
- You have 80 minutes to finish the exam. When time is up, you must stop writing.

History and Culture of Programming Languages

- 1. [2 points] A main point of the Essay on Comparative Programming Linguistics is:
 - a. Comparing programming languages to one another is more fruitful than studying one in isolation.
 - b. The study of programming languages should employ tools from linguistics such as Chomsky's formal grammars.
 - c. In order to understand programming languages in depth, one must study the context of their use.
 - d. We should adopt a paradigmatic rather than syntagmatic focus when discussing the merits of programming languages.
- 2. [2 points] The first high-level imperative computer programming language was
 - a. Algol 60.
 - b. Lisp.
 - c. Pascal.
 - d. Fortran.
- **3.** [2 points] The functional programming paradigm grew out of
 - a. the theory of Turing machines.
 - b. the lambda calculus.
 - c. need to overcome the limitations of early imperative languages.
 - d. early artificial-intelligence research at MIT.

Expressions, Grammars, and Syntax

4.	[4 points] Convert the infix expression $b * b - 4 * a * c$ to both prefix and postfix notation
	Assume the standard precedence of operators.

Prefix:			
Poetfix:			

5. [20 points] Use the following grammar for parts a-c. S is the start symbol, italicized capital letters (S, T, V) denote non-terminal symbols, and other letters, numbers, and symbols denote terminal symbols.

$$S ::= \lambda T \cdot S$$

$$S ::= (SS)$$

$$S ::= T|V$$

$$T ::= \mathbf{w}|\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{z}$$

$$V ::= 1|2|3$$

a. [5 points] Are the following strings in the language of the grammar?

$\lambda \mathbf{w} \cdot \lambda \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x})$	Yes	No
$((\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y})$	Yes	No
λ x 2	Yes	No
$\lambda \mathbf{x} \cdot (2 \lambda \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$	Yes	No
$\lambda(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}).(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x})$	Yes	No

b. [5 points] Draw a parse tree for the following string. If there are multiple possible parse trees, any are acceptable.

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} \cdot (\lambda \mathbf{y} \cdot \lambda \mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{z})$$

c. [10 points] Is the grammar ambiguous? Why or why not?

6. [10 points] Convert the following grammar to an equivalent unambiguous grammar using the template provided. S is the start symbol. Both = and $\hat{}$ should be right associative; but +, -, and * should be left associative. The = should have the lowest precedence, followed by + and - (second lowest), then * (second-highest) and $\hat{}$ (highest).

$$S ::= S = S$$

 $S ::= S \hat{S} | S + S | S - S | S * S$
 $S ::= \mathbf{0} | \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{2} | \mathbf{3}$
 $S ::= \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{z}$

Use the following template for your unambiguous grammar:

S ::=

T ::=

U ::=

V ::=

W ::=

Structured Programming and Invariants

- 7. [2 points] Advocates of structured programming argued that:
 - a. Programming languages should support the clean division of problems into smaller units.
 - b. Programmers should structure their code by writing invariants first.
 - c. Encapsulation is important in the design of large software systems.
 - d. A program's control flow should be evident from its syntactic structure.

8. [10 points] The following program fragment rearranges the elements of an array A[1..n] into non-decreasing order using a variant of selection sort.

A precondition, a postcondition, and several invariants have been provided for you, but important invariants are missing from the 2 blank spaces. In these spaces write thorough, precise mathematical invariants that logically follow from preceding invariants and imply those following.

```
\{n \geqslant 1\}
b := 1;
while b < n do
    begin
                                                                                     ←missing invariant
    m := b;
    while m < n do
         begin
         m := m + 1;
         \{ \forall i : b \leqslant i < m \rightarrow A[b] \leqslant A[i] \}
        if A[m] < A[b] then
             begin
             t := A[b];
             A[b] := A[m];
             A[m] := t;
             end
         {A[b] \leqslant A[m]}
                                                                                     ←missing invariant
         end
    \{m=n\}
    \{ \forall i : b < i \leqslant n \to A[b] \leqslant A[i] \}
    b := b + 1;
    end
\{b=n\}
```

 $\{\forall i,j: 1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant n\to A[i]\leqslant A[j]\}$

Types and Type Equivalence

9. [15 points] Consider the following type definitions.

type
$$A = array [0..9]$$
 of integer

type
$$B = array [0..9]$$
 of integer

type
$$C = A$$

type
$$D = \uparrow A$$

type
$$E = D$$

In each cell of the grid below, write

- S if the types in the row and column headers are structurally equivalent,
- T if they are transitive-name equivalent, and
- P if they are pure-name equivalent.

If two types are equivalent under all 3 kinds of equivalence, you should write STP; if they are not equivalent under any, you should leave the cell blank.

	A	В	C	D	E
A					
В					
C					
D					
E					

10. [5 points] Briefly state the difference between strongly typed and weakly typed languages.

Parameter Passing and Scope

11. [20 points] Consider the following Pascal code:

```
procedure P(x, y : integer, z : \uparrow integer) : integer
       var c:\uparrow integer;
begin
      \mathbf{new}(c);
      c\uparrow := 0;
       x := x + 1;
       z := c;
       y := y + 1;
       P := z \uparrow (* \text{ This is the same as } \mathbf{return} \ z \uparrow \ *)
end
var a, c : \uparrow integer;
\mathbf{var}\ b: integer;
\mathbf{new}(c);
a := c;
c\uparrow := 4;
b := P(c\uparrow, c\uparrow, c);
```

For each parameter-passing method in the table below, fill in the values of $a\uparrow$ and b after running the Pascal code above.

	$a \uparrow$	b
Call-by-Value		
Call-by-Value-Result		
Call-by-Reference		
Call-by-Macro Expansion		
Call-by-Name		

12. [8 points] Briefly state what tail recursion is and why it is important.