

## Feedback — VI. Logistic Regression

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Sat 12 Jul 2014 2:32 AM PDT**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

### Question 1



Suppose that you have trained a logistic regression classifier, and it outputs on a new example  $x$  a prediction  $h_\theta(x) = 0.7$ . This means (check all that apply):

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> Our estimate for $P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ is 0.3.	✓ 0.25	$h_\theta(x)$ gives $P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ , not $1 - P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ .
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Our estimate for $P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ is 0.7.	✓ 0.25	$h_\theta(x)$ is precisely $P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ , so each is 0.7.
<input type="checkbox"/> Our estimate for $P(y = 0 x;\theta)$ is 0.7.	✓ 0.25	$h_\theta(x)$ is $P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ , not $P(y = 0 x;\theta)$ .
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Our estimate for $P(y = 0 x;\theta)$ is 0.3.	✓ 0.25	Since we must have $P(y = 0 x;\theta) = 1 - P(y = 1 x;\theta)$ , the former is $1 - 0.7 = 0.3$ .
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

### Question 2



Suppose you train a logistic classifier  $h_\theta(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2)$ . Suppose  $\theta_0 = -6, \theta_1 = 0, \theta_2 = 1$ . Which of the following figures represents the decision boundary found by your classifier?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------



✓ 1.00

In this figure, we transition from negative to positive when  $x_2$  goes from below 6 to above 6 which is true for the given values of  $\theta$ .



Total 1.00 /  
1.00

### Question 3

Suppose you have the following training set, and fit a logistic regression classifier

$$h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2).$$



$x_1$	$x_2$	$y$
1	0.5	0
1	1.5	0
2	1	1
3	1	0

Which of the following are true? Check all that apply.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $J(\theta)$ will be a convex function, so gradient descent should converge to the global minimum.	✓ 0.25	The cost function $J(\theta)$ is guaranteed to be convex for logistic regression.
<input type="checkbox"/> If we train gradient descent for enough iterations, for some examples $x^{(i)}$ in the training set it is possible to obtain $h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) > 1$ .	✓ 0.25	The function $g(z)$ in the hypothesis $h_{\theta}(x)$ is the sigmoid function $\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ which always lies between 0 and 1.
<input type="checkbox"/> The positive and negative examples cannot be separated using a straight line. So, gradient descent will fail to converge.	✓ 0.25	While it is true they cannot be separated, gradient descent will still converge to the optimal fit. Some examples will remain misclassified at the optimum.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adding polynomial features (e.g., instead using $h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_1^2 + \theta_4 x_1 x_2 + \theta_5 x_2^2)$ ) could increase how well we can fit the training data.	✓ 0.25	Adding new features can only improve the fit on the training set: since setting $\theta_3 = \theta_4 = \theta_5 = 0$ makes the hypothesis the same as the original one, gradient descent will use those features (by making the corresponding $\theta_j$ non-zero) only if doing so improves the training set fit.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

## Question 4

For logistic regression, the gradient is given by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$ .

Which of these is a correct gradient descent update for logistic regression with a learning rate of  $\alpha$ ? Check all that apply.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$ (simultaneously update for all $j$ ).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This is a direct substitution of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$ into the gradient descent update.
<input type="checkbox"/> $\theta := \theta - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta^T x - y^{(i)}) x^{(i)}$ .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This vectorized version uses the linear regression hypothesis $\theta^T x$ instead of that for logistic regression.
<input type="checkbox"/> $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta^T x - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$ (simultaneously update for all $j$ ).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This uses the linear regression hypothesis $\theta^T x$ instead of that for logistic regression.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x^{(i)}}} - y^{(i)} \right) x_j^{(i)}$ (simultaneously update for all $j$ ).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This substitutes the exact form of $h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})$ used by logistic regression into the gradient descent update.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

## Question 5

Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The sigmoid function	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	The denominator ranges from $\infty$ to 1 as $z$ grows, so the result is always in $(0, 1)$ .

$g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$  is never greater than one ( $> 1$ ).

- 
- ☒ The cost function  $J(\theta)$  for logistic regression trained with  $m \geq 1$  examples is always greater than or equal to zero. ✔ 0.25 The cost for any example  $x^{(i)}$  is always  $\geq 0$  since it is the negative log of a quantity less than one. The cost function  $J(\theta)$  is a summation over the cost for each example, so the cost function itself must be greater than or equal to zero.
- 
- ☐ Since we train one classifier when there are two classes, we train two classifiers when there are three classes (and we do one-vs-all classification). ✔ 0.25 We need to train three classifiers if there are three classes; each one treats one of the three classes as the  $y = 1$  examples and the rest as the  $y = 0$  examples.
- 
- ☐ Linear regression always works well for classification if you classify by using a threshold on the prediction made by linear regression. ✔ 0.25 As demonstrated in the lecture, linear regression often classifies poorly since its training procedure focuses on predicting real-valued outputs, not classification.
- 

Total 1.00 /  
1.00