

## Feedback — II. Linear regression with one variable

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Mon 30 Jun 2014 4:16 PM PDT**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

### Question 1

Consider the problem of predicting how well a student does in her second year of college/university, given how well they did in their first year. Specifically, let  $x$  be equal to the number of "A" grades (including A-, A and A+ grades) that a student receives in their first year of college (freshmen year). We would like to predict the value of  $y$ , which we define as the number of "A" grades they get in their second year (sophomore year).

Questions 1 through 4 will use the following training set of a small sample of different students' performances. Here each row is one training example. Recall that in linear regression, our hypothesis is  $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$ , and we use  $m$  to denote the number of training examples.

$x$	$y$
3	2
1	2
0	1
4	3

For the training set given above, what is the value of  $m$ ? In the box below, please enter your answer (which should be a number between 0 and 10).

You entered:



Your Answer

Score

Explanation

4  1.00

Total 1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

$m$  is the number of training examples. In this example, we have  $m=4$  examples.

## Question 2

For this question, continue to assume that we are using the training set given above. Recall our definition of the cost function was  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$ . What is  $J(0, 1)$ ? In the box below, please enter your answer (use decimals instead of fractions if necessary, e.g., 1.5).

You entered:

0.5

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

0.5		1.00
-----	---	------

Total 1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

When  $\theta_0 = 0$  and  $\theta_1 = 1$ , we have  $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = x$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\theta_0, \theta_1) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2 * 4} ((1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2) \\
 &= \frac{4}{8} \\
 &= 0.5
 \end{aligned}$$

## Question 3

Suppose we set  $\theta_0 = 0, \theta_1 = 1.5$  What is  $h_\theta(2)$ ?

You entered:

3

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
3	✓ 1.00	
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

#### Question Explanation

Setting  $x = 2$ , we have  $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = 0 + 1.5 * 2 = 3$

## Question 4

Let  $f$  be some function so that  $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  outputs a number. For this problem,  $f$  is some arbitrary/unknown smooth function (not necessarily the cost function of linear regression, so  $f$  may have local optima). Suppose we use gradient descent to try to minimize  $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  as a function of  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$ . Which of the following statements are true? (Check all that apply.)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> Even if the learning rate $\alpha$ is very large, every iteration of gradient descent will decrease the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ .	✓ 0.25	If the learning rate $\alpha$ is too large, one step of gradient descent can actually vastly "overshoot", and actually increase the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ .
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ are initialized at a local minimum, the one iteration will not change their values.	✓ 0.25	At a local minimum, the derivative (gradient) is zero, so gradient descent will not change the parameters.
<input type="checkbox"/> Setting the learning rate $\alpha$ to be very small	✓ 0.25	If the learning rate is small, gradient descent ends up taking an extremely small step on each iteration, so

is not harmful, and can only speed up the convergence of gradient descent.

this would actually slow down (rather than speed up) the convergence of the algorithm.

☒ If the learning rate is too small, then gradient descent may take a very long time to converge.

✓ 0.25

If the learning rate is small, gradient descent ends up taking an extremely small step on each iteration, and therefore can take a long time to converge.

Total

1.00 /  
1.00

## Question 5

Suppose that for some linear regression problem (say, predicting housing prices as in the lecture), we have some training set, and for our training set we managed to find some  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  such that  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ . Which of the statements below must then be true? (Check all that apply.)

**Your Answer**

**Score**

**Explanation**

☒ For these values of  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  that satisfy  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ , we have that  $h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = y^{(i)}$  for every training example  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$

✓ 0.25

$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ , that means the line defined by the equation " $y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$ " perfectly fits all of our data.

☐ We can perfectly predict the value of  $y$  even for new examples that we have not yet seen. (e.g., we can perfectly predict prices of even new houses that we have not yet seen.)

✓ 0.25

Even though we can fit our training set perfectly, this does not mean that we'll always make perfect predictions on houses in the future/on houses that we have not yet seen.

☐ This is not possible:  
By the definition of

✓ 0.25

If all of our training examples lie perfectly on a line, then  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$  is possible.

$J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ , it is not possible for there to exist  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  so that  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$

☐ For this to be true, we must have  $y^{(i)} = 0$  for every value of  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .



0.25

So long as all of our training examples lie on a straight line, we will be able to find  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  so that  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ . It is not necessary that  $y^{(i)} = 0$  for all of our examples.

Total

1.00 /

1.00