

## Feedback — IV. Linear Regression with Multiple Variables

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Sat 5 Jul 2014 8:42 AM PDT**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

### Question 1


Suppose  $m = 4$  students have taken some class, and the class had a midterm exam and a final exam. You have collected a dataset of their scores on the two exams, which is as follows:

midterm exam	(midterm exam) <sup>2</sup>	final exam
89	7921	96
72	5184	74
94	8836	87
69	4761	78

You'd like to use polynomial regression to predict a student's final exam score from their midterm exam score. Concretely, suppose you want to fit a model of the form  $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2$ , where  $x_1$  is the midterm score and  $x_2$  is (midterm score)<sup>2</sup>. Further, you plan to use both feature scaling (dividing by the "max-min", or range, of a feature) and mean normalization.

What is the normalized feature  $x_1^{(3)}$ ? (Hint: midterm = 89, final = 96 is training example 1.) Please enter your answer in the text box below. If applicable, please provide at least two digits after the decimal place.

You entered:

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
0.5200	✓ 1.00	
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

**Question Explanation**

The mean of  $x_1$  is 81 and the range is  $94 - 69 = 25$  So  $x_1^{(1)}$  is  $\frac{94-81}{25} = 0.52$ .

**Question 2**

You run gradient descent for 15 iterations with  $\alpha = 0.3$  and compute  $J(\theta)$  after each iteration. You find that the value of  $J(\theta)$  **decreases** quickly then levels off. Based on this, which of the following conclusions seems most plausible?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> Rather than use the current value of $\alpha$ , it'd be more promising to try a larger value of $\alpha$ (say $\alpha = 1.0$ ).		
<input type="radio"/> Rather than use the current value of $\alpha$ , it'd be more promising to try a smaller value of $\alpha$ (say $\alpha = 0.1$ ).		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> $\alpha = 0.3$ is an effective choice of learning rate.	1.00	We want gradient descent to quickly converge to the minimum, so the current setting of $\alpha$ seems to be good.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

**Question 3**

Suppose you have  $m = 28$  training examples with  $n = 4$  features (excluding the additional all-ones feature for the intercept term, which you should add). The normal equation is

$\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ . For the given values of  $m$  and  $n$ , what are the dimensions of  $\theta$ ,  $X$ , and  $y$  in this equation?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

- ☐  $X$  is  $28 \times 4$ ,  $y$  is  $28 \times 1$ ,  $\theta$  is  $4 \times 1$
- 
- ☒  $X$  is  $28 \times 5$ ,  $y$  is  $28 \times 1$ ,  $\theta$  is  $5 \times 1$  ✓ 1.00
- 
- ☐  $X$  is  $28 \times 4$ ,  $y$  is  $28 \times 1$ ,  $\theta$  is  $4 \times 4$
- 
- ☐  $X$  is  $28 \times 5$ ,  $y$  is  $28 \times 5$ ,  $\theta$  is  $5 \times 5$
- 

Total

1.00 / 1.00

**Question Explanation**

$X$  has  $m$  rows and  $n + 1$  columns (+1 because of the  $x_0 = 1$  term).  $y$  is an  $m$ -vector.  $\theta$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -vector.

## Question 4

Suppose you have a dataset with  $m = 1000000$  examples and  $n = 15$  features for each example. You want to use multivariate linear regression to fit the parameters  $\theta$  to our data.

Should you prefer gradient descent or the normal equation?

**Your Answer****Score****Explanation**

☒ The normal equation, since it provides an efficient way to directly find the solution.

✓ 1.00

With  $n = 15$  features, you will have to invert a  $15 \times 15$  matrix to compute the normal equation. This is a simple inversion, so the normal equation is efficient.

☐ Gradient descent, since  $(X^T X)^{-1}$  will be very slow to compute in the normal equation.

☐ Gradient descent, since it will always converge to the optimal  $\theta$ .

☐ The normal equation, since gradient descent might be unable to find the optimal  $\theta$ .

Total

1.00 /

1.00

## Question 5

Which of the following are reasons for using feature scaling?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> It speeds up solving for $\theta$ using the normal equation.	✓ 0.25	The magnitude of the feature values are insignificant in terms of computational cost.
<input type="checkbox"/> It prevents the matrix $X^T X$ (used in the normal equation) from being non-invertable (singular/degenerate).	✓ 0.25	$X^T X$ can be singular when features are redundant or there are too few examples. Feature scaling does not solve these problems.
<input type="checkbox"/> It is necessary to prevent gradient descent from getting stuck in local optima.	✓ 0.25	The cost function $J(\theta)$ for linear regression has no local optima.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It speeds up gradient descent by making it require fewer iterations to get to a good solution.	✓ 0.25	Feature scaling speeds up gradient descent by avoiding many extra iterations that are required when one or more features take on much larger values than the rest.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	