

Assignment 5

Submitted By: Harshdeep Singh Sahdev

Below is the response received from ChatGPT when asked about comparison between SREPT and machine learning as reliability prediction approaches:

1. SREPT:

- **Definition:** SREPT is a traditional statistical approach used for software reliability estimation and prediction.
- **Methodology:** It relies on historical data, failure rates, and statistical models.
- Advantages:
 - **Simplicity:** SREPT models are straightforward to implement.
 - **Widely Used:** It has been widely adopted in practice.
- Limitations:
 - **Assumptions:** SREPT assumes specific statistical distributions (e.g., exponential, Weibull), which may not always hold true.
 - **Monolithic View:** It treats the software as a monolithic entity, which may not be suitable for heterogeneous systems with globally distributed components.

2. Machine Learning Techniques for Software Reliability Prediction:

- **Definition:** ML techniques involve algorithms that learn from data and make predictions.
- **Methods Used:** Various ML models such as ANFIS, FFBPNN, GRNN, SVM, MLP, Bagging, CFBPNN, IBK, Lin Reg, M5P, RepTree have been applied for software reliability prediction.
- Advantages:
 - **Flexibility:** ML can handle non-linear relationships and complex data.

- **Accuracy:** Better accuracy compared to traditional statistical methods.
- Limitations:
 - **Data Requirements:** ML requires substantial data for training.
 - **Interpretability:** Some ML models lack interpretability.
 - **Model Selection:** Choosing the right ML model and tuning hyperparameters is critical.

Justification:

1. Flexibility

The points given by the AI are somewhat accurate but doesn't dive deep into the technical aspects of the two techniques. For example, for Machine Learning techniques one of the advantages is that it can handle non-linear relationships, we are well aware that ML models can capture non-linearity but it doesn't justify how this is useful in software testing domain. The ML models given by the AI which include NFIS, FFBPNN, GRNN, SVM, MLP, Bagging, CFBPNN, IBK, Lin Reg, M5P are in line with the famous literature on this topic [1].

Moreover, SREPT assumes softwares to be of monolithic nature [2]. This is no longer the case now as a lot of software built nowadays comprises of small modules (micro-services) which combine together to act as a whole system. Also, SREPT is based on conventional statistical models and historical data, which has seen extensive use in software reliability prediction. However, its usability presents challenges, requiring engineers to invest substantial time in grasping the intricacies of statistical assumptions and methodologies. The steep learning curve, particularly for individuals new to statistical reliability modeling, can be a hurdle to its effective implementation.

2. Accuracy

The accuracy of ML models are in fact better than the traditional techniques (which use statistical analysis). A comprehensive review of 77 selected studies published between 2000 and 2018 delved into the empirical evidence concerning the accuracy of software product maintainability prediction (SPMP) through the application of machine learning (ML) techniques. [3]. The analysis revealed key findings, indicating that ML techniques, including Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Support Vector Machine/Regression (SVM/R), Decision Trees (DT), and Random Forest (FNF), exhibited superior accuracy in terms of Predictive Error (PRED) and Mean Magnitude of Relative Error (MMRE). Commonly employed cross-validation methods, such as N-fold and leave-one-out, were prevalent for validation purposes. Overall, ML techniques outperformed non-ML techniques, such as regression analysis. Despite various techniques showing superiority, no specific method emerged as the unequivocal best performer in SPMP accuracy.

Why a test manager attempting to assess the reliability of a software product should care about the comparison points made?

For a test manager, discerning the disparities in reliability performance between Software Reliability Estimation Prediction Technique (SREPT) and machine learning (ML) techniques holds significance for strategic decision-making and resource allocation. The manager's ability to make informed decisions hinges on understanding the reliability performance of these approaches. If ML techniques consistently outshine SREPT, justifying resource allocation—be it time, budget, or personnel—for the implementation of ML-based reliability prediction models becomes more compelling. The accuracy of reliability predictions directly impacts the overall software product quality and influences decisions related to release schedules, risk management, and maintenance planning. ML techniques, known for their adaptability to

diverse datasets and scalability for large projects, can better handle changes in software context and contribute to the reduction of post-release defects and maintenance costs. In the dynamic field of reliability prediction, staying abreast of ML advancements allows test managers to explore innovative approaches and continually enhance reliability estimation practices.

REFERENCES

1. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13198-016-0543-y>
2. https://repository.lib.ncsu.edu/bitstream/handle/1840.4/986/TR_1999_06.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
3. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11390-020-9668-1>
4. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-11713-8_5