# Categorical Data Analysis Lab material #5-1

```
* ANTIBIOTIC DATA;
data antibiotic;
input treatment $ reaction $ count;
cards;
uremic yes 38
uremic no 62
normal yes 21
normal no 79
run;
proc genmod data=antibiotic order=data;
class treatment reaction;
model count=treatment reaction/dist=poisson link=log;
proc genmod data=antibiotic order=data;
class treatment reaction;
model count=treatment|reaction/dist=poisson link=log;
run;
```

# Output 1 Results

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Poisson
Log
count

Number of Observations Read 4
Number of Observations Used 4

# Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
treatment	2	uremic normal
reaction	2	yes no

# Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

DF	Value	Value/DF
1	7.0231	7.0231
1	7.0231	7.0231
1	6.9479	6.9479
1	6.9479	6.9479
	599.7204	
	-14.7852	
	35.5704	
	1 1 1	1 7.0231 1 7.0231 1 6.9479 1 6.9479 599.7204 -14.7852 35.5704

BIC (smaller is better) 33.7293

Algorithm converged.

## Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% C	confidence uits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	4.2556	0.1100	4.0401	4.4711	1497.68	<.0001
treatment	uremic	1	-0.0000	0.1414	-0.2772	0.2772	0.00	1.0000
treatment	normal	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
reaction	yes	1	-0.8712	0.1551	-1.1751	-0.5673	31.57	<.0001
reaction	no	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Scale		0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

NOTE: The scale parameter was held fixed.

#### The GENMOD Procedure

#### Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ANTIBIOTIC
Distribution	Poisson
Link Function	Log
Dependent Variable	count

Number of Observations Read 4 Number of Observations Used

# Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
treatment	2	uremic normal
reaction	2	yes no

#### Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	0	0.0000	
Scaled Deviance	0	0.0000	•
Pearson Chi-Square	•	0.0000	
Scaled Pearson X2		0.0000	•
Log Likelihood		603.2320	
Full Log Likelihood		-11.2737	
AIC (smaller is better)		30.5473	
AICC (smaller is better)			
BIC (smaller is better)		28.0925	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

					Standard	Wald 95% C	onfidence	Wald
Parameter			DF	Estimate	Error	Lim	its	Chi-Square
Intercept			1	4.3694	0.1125	4.1489	4.5900	1508.27
treatment	uremic		1	-0.2423	0.1697	-0.5749	0.0902	2.04
treatment	normal		0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
reaction	yes		1	-1.3249	0.2455	-1.8061	-0.8437	29.12
reaction	no		0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
treatment*reaction	uremic	yes	1	0.8354	0.3205	0.2072	1.4636	6.79
treatment*reaction	uremic	no	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
treatment*reaction	normal	yes	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
treatment*reaction	normal	no	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Scale			0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	

# Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter			Pr > ChiSq
Intercept			<.0001
treatment	uremic		0.1532
treatment	normal		
reaction	yes		<.0001
reaction	no		
treatment*reaction	uremic	yes	0.0091
treatment*reaction	uremic	no	
treatment*reaction	normal	yes	
treatment*reaction	normal	no	
Scale			

NOTE: The scale parameter was held fixed.

```
* DRUG USE DATA;
data drug;
input parent $ student $ count;
cards;
neither never 141
neither occasion 44
neither regular 40
one never 68
one occasion 44
one regular 51
both never 17
both occasion 11
both regular 19
run;
proc freq data=drug order=data;
weight count;
tables parent*student / chisq;
run;
proc genmod data=drug order=data;
class parent student;
model count=parent student / dist=poisson link=log;
proc genmod data=drug order=data;
class parent student;
model count=parent|student / dist=poisson link=log;
run;
```

parent

# Output 2 Results

The FREQ Procedure

Table of parent by student

student

Frequency				
Percent				
Row Pct				
Col Pct	never	loccasion	regular	Total
	+	+	+	+
neither	141	44	40	225
	32.41	10.11	9.20	51.72
	62.67	19.56	17.78	
	62.39	44.44	36.36	
	+	+	+	+
one	68	44	51	163
	15.63	10.11	11.72	37.47
	41.72	26.99	31.29	
	30.09	44.44	46.36	
	+	+	+	+
both	17	11	19	47
	3.91	2.53	4.37	10.80
	36.17	23.40	40.43	
	7.52	11.11	17.27	
	+	+	+	+
Total	226	99	110	435
	51.95	22.76	25.29	100.00

Statistics for Table of parent by student

Statistic	DF	Value	Prob
Chi-Square	4	24.4171	<.0001
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square	4	24.3571	<.0001
Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square	1	22.0289	<.0001
Phi Coefficient		0.2369	
Contingency Coefficient		0.2305	
Cramer's V		0.1675	

Sample Size = 435

# The GENMOD Procedure

# Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DRUG
Distribution	Poisson
Link Function	Log
Dependent Variable	count

Number of Observations Read Number of Observations Used

## Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
parent	3	neither one both
student	3	never occasion regular

# Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	24.3571	6.0893
Scaled Deviance	4	24.3571	6.0893
Pearson Chi-Square	4	24.4171	6.1043
Scaled Pearson X2	4	24.4171	6.1043
Log Likelihood		1349.0959	
Full Log Likelihood		-36.7416	
AIC (smaller is better)		83.4833	
AICC (smaller is better)		103.4833	
BIC (smaller is better)		84.4694	

Algorithm converged.

# Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Co		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	2.4753	0.1675	2.1469	2.8037	218.29	<.0001
parent	neither	1	1.5660	0.1604	1.2516	1.8803	95.34	<.0001
parent	one	1	1.2436	0.1656	0.9191	1.5681	56.42	<.0001

parent	both	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
student	never	1	0.7201	0.1163	0.4922	0.9479	38.36	<.0001
student	occasion	1	-0.1054	0.1385	-0.3769	0.1662	0.58	0.4469
student	regular	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Scale		0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

NOTE: The scale parameter was held fixed.

#### The GENMOD Procedure

## Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DRUG
Distribution	Poisson
Link Function	Log
Dependent Variable	count

Number of Observations Read 9
Number of Observations Used 9

#### Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
parent	3	neither one both
student	3	never occasion regular

# Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	0	0.0000	•
Scaled Deviance	0	0.0000	•
Pearson Chi-Square		0.0000	
Scaled Pearson X2		0.0000	
Log Likelihood		1361.2745	
Full Log Likelihood		-24.5631	
AIC (smaller is better)		67.1262	
AICC (smaller is better)		•	
BIC (smaller is better)		68.9012	

 ${\tt Algorithm\ converged.}$ 

## Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

				Standard	Wald 95% C	onfidence	Wald
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Error	Lim	nits	Chi-Square
Intercept		1	2.9444	0.2294	2.4948	3.3941	164.72
parent	neither	1	0.7444	0.2786	0.1983	1.2905	7.14
parent	one	1	0.9874	0.2688	0.4606	1.5142	13.50
parent	both	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
student	never	1	-0.1112	0.3338	-0.7656	0.5431	0.11
student	occasion	1	-0.5465	0.3789	-1.2891	0.1960	2.08
student	regular	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

parent*student	neither	never	1	1.3711	0.3789	0.6285	2.1137	13.10
parent*student	neither	occasion	1	0.6419	0.4373	-0.2153	1.4990	2.15
parent*student	neither	regular	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•
parent*student	one	never	1	0.3989	0.3818	-0.3494	1.1472	1.09
parent*student	one	occasion	1	0.3989	0.4311	-0.4461	1.2439	0.86
parent*student	one	regular	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•
parent*student	both	never	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•
parent*student	both	occasion	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•
parent*student	both	regular	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•
Scale			0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	

## Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter			Pr > ChiSq
Intercept			<.0001
parent	neither		0.0075
parent	one		0.0002
parent	both		
student	never		0.7390
student	occasion		0.1491
student	regular		•
parent*student	neither	never	0.0003
parent*student	neither	occasion	0.1422
parent*student	neither	regular	•
parent*student	one	never	0.2961
parent*student	one	occasion	0.3548
parent*student	one	regular	
parent*student	both	never	
parent*student	both	occasion	
parent*student	both	regular	
Scale			

NOTE: The scale parameter was held fixed.