HW6

Harris Temuri

4/5/2021

Problem 1

The PimaIndiansDiabetes2 [in mlbench package] data is a built in R dataset containing 9 variables and 768 cases. Your task is to use all the other 8 variables to predict the binary dependent variable 'diabetes' telling us whether the subject is diabetic or not (factor with 2 levels: neg and pos). You will split the data into 80% training and 20% testing, using seed = 123.

Solution

Problem 1.2

Logistic Regression Fit

(a) Please split the data into 80% training and 20% testing using seed =123.

```
# Problem 1.1
# Split data into 80% training and 20% testing
set.seed(123)

training <- df$diabetes %>%
    createDataPartition(p=0.8, list = FALSE)

trainData <- df[training, ]
testData <- df[-training, ]</pre>
```

(b) Then you shall fit a logistic regression model with all the other 8 predictors using the training data.

```
model <- glm(diabetes ~ ., data=trainData, family = binomial)</pre>
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = diabetes ~ ., family = binomial, data = trainData)
## Deviance Residuals:
                      Median
      Min
                 10
                                   30
                                           Max
## -2.5832 -0.6544 -0.3292
                               0.6248
                                        2.5968
##
## Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -1.053e+01 1.440e+00 -7.317 2.54e-13 ***
## pregnant
                1.005e-01 6.127e-02
                                       1.640 0.10092
## glucose
                3.710e-02 6.486e-03
                                       5.719 1.07e-08 ***
## pressure
               -3.876e-04 1.383e-02
                                     -0.028 0.97764
## triceps
               1.418e-02 1.998e-02
                                       0.710 0.47800
```

```
## insulin
                5.940e-04 1.508e-03
                                        0.394 0.69371
## mass
                7.997e-02 3.180e-02
                                        2.515 0.01190 *
## pedigree
                1.329e+00 4.823e-01
                                        2.756 0.00585 **
                2.718e-02 2.020e-02
                                        1.346 0.17840
## age
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 398.80 on 313 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 267.18 on 305 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 285.18
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
 (c) Please use this fitted model based on the training data to predict the response variable 'diabetes'
    (whether the subject is diabetic or not) for the testing data. Please generate the confusion matrix, and
    report:
# Predictions
probabilities <- model %>% predict(testData, type="response")
predictedClasses <- ifelse(probabilities > 0.5, "pos", "neg")
# Prediction accuracy
mean(predictedClasses == testData$diabetes)
## [1] 0.7564103
# Prediction error
mean(predictedClasses != testData$diabetes)
## [1] 0.2435897
# Confusion matrix
cm <- confusionMatrix(factor(predictedClasses), testData$diabetes, positive = "pos")</pre>
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction neg pos
##
          neg
              44 11
          pos
               8 15
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.7564
                    95% CI: (0.646, 0.8465)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6667
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.05651
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.4356
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.64636
##
               Sensitivity: 0.5769
##
##
               Specificity: 0.8462
            Pos Pred Value: 0.6522
##
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.8000
```

```
## Prevalence : 0.3333
## Detection Rate : 0.1923
## Detection Prevalence : 0.2949
## Balanced Accuracy : 0.7115
##
## 'Positive' Class : pos
##
```

(i) The overall accuracy;

cm\$overall[1]

```
## Accuracy
## 0.7564103
```

(ii) The sensitivity (that is, the probability a subject is predicted to be diabetic given that he/she was in fact diabetic);

cm\$byClass[1]

```
## Sensitivity
## 0.5769231
```

(iii) The specificity (that is, the probability a subject is predicted to be not diabetic given that he/she was in fact not diabetic).

cm\$byClass[2]

```
## Specificity
## 0.8461538
```