Zhu Hanggang*, 3200110457, First Last, 3xxxxxxxxx, and First Last, 3xxxxxxxxx

Q1.A solution

According to the text, f(i) is the depth of the first i characters of the string s. And f(j) is the depth of the first j characters of the string s.

As f(i) = f(j), $d(S_{\leq i}) = d(S_{\leq j})$, which means that

$$\#a(S_{\leq i}) - \#b(S_{\leq i}) = \#a(S_{\leq i}) - \#b(S_{\leq i})$$

•

By simplifying this equation, we get $\#a(S_{\leq j}) - \#a(S_{\leq i}) - (\#b(S_{\leq j}) - \#b(S_{\leq i})) = 0$. It can be seen that $\#a(S_{\leq j}) - \#a(S_{\leq i})$ is the number of a in the string constructed by index i+1 to j in string s, $\#b(S_{\leq j}) - \#b(S_{\leq i})$ is the number of b in the string constructed by index i+1 to j in string s.

So, $s_{i+1}s_{i+2}...s_j$ is a weakly balanced string.

Q1.B solution

The string is balanced, so the number of a must be equal to the number of b in this string. The base case is $s = \epsilon$ or $s \in \sum^*$.

So, (i) is proved.

As $x, y \in \sum^*$, by definition, we have d(xy) = d(x) + d(y). As d(x) = d(y) = 0, d(xy) = 0. So s = xy is also balanced, (ii) is proved.

Assume $s_1 = a$, $s_2 = b$. By definition, $d(s_1) = 1$, $d(s_2) = -1$. Since we also have d(xy) = d(x) + d(y), we can get $d(axb) = d(s_1) + d(x) + d(s_2) = 1 + d(x) - 1$. As x is a balanced string, d(x) = 0. So, d(axb) = 0, s = axb is also balanced, (iii) is proved.

There are no other cases.

Q1.C solution

Let $f(i) = d(s_{\leq i})$. If f(i) = f(j) with i < j, then $s_i s_{i+1} ... s_j$ is a weakly balanced string, which is proved in 1.A. It suggests that everytime the value of f(i) repeats, we can cut in the corresponding position to get weakly balanced substring. If there are m - 1 repetitive values of f(i) for a certain value of i, then we can cut m - 1 times, and get m substrings.

Then we use contradiction to prove question C.Suppose there exists a balanced string $s_c \in \{a, b\}^*$ of length n such that it doesn't satisfy condition (i) and (ii). For formally,

- The maximum depth of s_c is $<\sqrt{n}$ and
- s_c can't be broken into m non-empty substrings $s_c = t_1 |t_2| ... |t_m|$ such that $t_2, t_3, ... t_{m-1}$ are weakly balanced strings and $m \ge \sqrt{n} 1$

To let s_c fail to satisfy condition (ii), we try to find minimum possible value of m. This situation happens when there are minimum repetitive values of f(i) for a given i. By definition of f(i) we can get the following formula for f(i) with i > 1:

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} f(i-1) + 1, & s_i \text{ is a,} \\ f(i-1) - 1, & s_i \text{ is b} \end{cases}$$

As we can see in the formula, alternating as and bs increase the number of repetitive values of f(i). So the situation happens in the case of least number of alternating as and bs, i.e. all as first and then b,like aaaa...bbbb..aaaa..bbbb. As maximum depth of s_c is $<\sqrt{n}$, the minimum number of aaaa..bbbb.. is $\frac{n}{2\sqrt{n}}-1=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}-1$. The minimum number of repetitive values of f(i) is $(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}-1)*2=\sqrt{n}-2$ and minimum possible value of m is $\sqrt{n}-1$, which means such s_c doesn't exist. So any balanced string much satisfy condition either (i) or (ii).

Q2 solution

We use induction to solve this problem.

Base case: Let n = 2. There are only two people in the world and if there's only one tribe with 2 people, no lambs are sacrificed. If there are two tribes each with 1 person, then one tribe will lose and one lamb is sacrificed. In both cases. The number of lambs sacrificed is smaller than $nloq_2n = 2$

Let n = 3, Then then number of lambs sacrificed can be 0 or 1, both of which is smaller than $3log_23$.

Inductive hypothesis: For any n > 1, at most $nlog_2n$ lambs got sacrificed.

Induction step: Let W be a world of n people, Assume inductive hypothesis holds for all worlds with number of people greater than 2 and less than n.

Then in the world with n-1 people, we have number of lambs sacrificed $\leq (n-1)log_2(n-1)$. By adding one more person, this world will have n people. If the tribe this new-added person is in wins all the fight, then the number of lambs sacrificed doesn't change. If the tribe this new-added person is in loses, there will be one more lamb sacrificed. In this case, we have

Number of lambs sacrificed in world
$$W \le (n-1)log_2(n-1)+1$$

= $nlog_2(n-1)+1-log_2(n-1)$
 $\le nlog_2(n-1)$
 $< nlog_2(n)$

In both cases, the number of lambs sacrificed in world W is less than $nlog_2(n)$ So during this process, at most $nlog_2n$ lambs got sacrificed.

Q3.A solution

Intuition: Assume that $\exists t \in Z$ and $\exists C \in R$, for any $n \in Z$ satisfying n <= t and n >= 4, we can get T(n) <= Cnlogn. Now, we are attempting to prove that for n = t + 1, T(n) <= Cnlogn.

• since
$$n >= 4$$
, $[n/4] < n <= t$, $[3n/4] < n <= t$,

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2n + T([n/4]) + T([3n/4]) \\ &<= 2n + \frac{Cn}{4} \log \frac{n}{4} + \frac{3Cn}{4} \log \frac{3n}{4} \\ &= 2n + \frac{Cn}{4} (\log n - \log 4) + \frac{3Cn}{4} (\log n + \log \frac{3}{4}) \\ &= 2n + Cn \log n + \frac{3Cn \log 3}{4} - Cn \log 4 \end{split}$$

• In order to let $T(n) \le Cnlogn$,

$$2n + \frac{3Cn\log 3}{4} - Cn\log 4 < 0$$
$$2 + \frac{3C\log 3}{4} - C\log 4 < 0$$
$$C > \frac{2}{\log 4 - \frac{3}{4}\log 3} \approx 8.189$$

Formal answer: Let C = 9 and set a constant b satisfying b >= 1. We assume that $T(n) \le 9nlogn + b$.

- base case: For n = 1 and n = 2, it is obvious that $T(1) = 1 \le b$ and $T(2) = 1 \le 18log 2 + b$.
- Induction hypothesis: Let k > 0 be an arbitary integer, assume that $T(n) \le 9nlogn + b$ for any integer n satisfying $n \le k$.
- Induction Step: Now, if we prove that $T(n) \le 9nlogn + b$ holds for n=k+1, then we can conclude that $T(n) \le 9nlogn + b$.

case $\mathbf{1}(k \le 8)$: T(n) = 1 for n satisfying $n = k + 1 \le 9$. Let f(n) = 9nlogn + b. Because f'(n) = 9 + 9logn > 0 for n >= 1, f(n) is an increasing function. We can prove that T(n) <= f(1) <= f(n) = 9nlogn + b.

case 2(k >= 9): T(n) = 2n + T([n/4]) + T([3n/4]) for n satisfying n = k + 1 >= 10. since n = k + 1 >= 10, we could know that [n/4] < n <= k and [3n/4] < n <= k. Thus,

$$T(n) = 2n + T([n/4]) + T([3n/4])$$

$$<= 2n + \frac{9n}{4} \log \frac{n}{4} + \frac{27n}{4} \log \frac{3n}{4} + 2b$$

$$= 2n + \frac{9n}{4} (\log n - \log 4) + \frac{27n}{4} (\log n + \log \frac{3}{4}) + 2b$$

$$= 2n + 9n \log n + \frac{27n \log 3}{4} - 9n \log 4 + 2b$$

$$= -0.1980n + 9n \log n + 2b$$

In order to let $T(n) \le 9nlogn + b$, we can get $b \le 0.1980n$. Since b is any constant that satisfying $b \ge 1$ and $n = k + 1 \ge 10$, we can simply let b = 1.

$$T(n) = -0.1980n + 9nlogn + 2 \le 9nlogn + 0.02 \le 9nlogn + 1 = 9nlogn + b$$

Now, we can conclude that $T(n) \le 9nlogn + 1$ for all natural number n. According to the definition, we prove that T(n) = O(nlogn) for nutural number n. Finally, we consider the case where n is a negative integer or 0. Obviously, T(n) = 1, so T(n) = O(1) for negative integer or 0. Overall, T(n) = O(nlogn) for all integer n.

Q3.B solution Intuition: Assume that $\exists t \in Z \text{ and } \exists C \in R, \text{for any } n \in Z \text{ satisfying } n <= t \text{ and } n >= 1, \text{ we can get } T(n) <= Cn. \text{ Now, we are attempting to prove that for } n = t + 1, T(n) <= Cn.$

• since
$$n >= 1$$
, $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor <= n <= t$, $\lfloor n/6 \rfloor <= n <= t$, $\lfloor n/7 \rfloor <= n <= t$

$$T(n) = n + T([n/2]) + T([n/6]) + T([n/7])$$

$$<= n + \frac{Cn}{2} + \frac{Cn}{6} + \frac{Cn}{7}$$

• In order to let $T(n) \le Cn$,

$$n + \frac{Cn}{2} + \frac{Cn}{6} + \frac{Cn}{7} <= Cn$$

 $n <= \frac{4Cn}{21}$
 $C >= \frac{21}{4}, (n >= 1)$

Formal answer: Let C = 10. We assume that $T(n) \le f(n) = 10n$.

- base case: For n = 1 and n = 2, it is obvious that T(1) = 1 <= 10 = f(1) and T(2) = 1 <= 20 = f(2).
- Induction hypothesis: Let k > 0 be an arbitary integer, assume that $T(n) \le 10n$ for any integer n satisfying $n \le k$.
- Induction Step: Now, if we prove that $T(n) \le 10n$ holds for n=k+1, then we can conclude that $T(n) \le 10n$.

case $1(k \le 22)$: T(n) = 1 for n satisfying $n = k + 1 \le 23$. Let f(n) = 10n. Because f'(n) = 10 > 0 for n >= 1, f(n) is an increasing function. We can prove that $T(n) \le f(1) \le f(n) = 10n$.

case 2(k >= 23): T(n) = n + T([n/4]) + T([n/6]) + T([n/7]) for n satisfying n = k+1 >= 24. since n = k+1 >= 24, we could know that [n/4] < n <= k, [n/6] <= n <= t and [n/7] <= n <= t. Thus,

$$T(n) = n + T([n/4]) + T([n/6]) + T([n/7])$$

$$<= n + \frac{10n}{4} + \frac{10n}{6} + \frac{10n}{7}$$

$$= \frac{277}{42}n$$

$$\approx 6.5952n \le 10n$$

Now, we can conclude that $T(n) \le 10n$ for all integer n. According to the definition, we prove that T(n) = O(n). Finally, we consider the case where n is a negative integer or 0. Obviously, T(n) = 1, so T(n) = O(1) for negative integer or 0. Overall, T(n) = O(n) for all integer n.