

Unit 02 – Propositional Logic – Basics

Peter Bauer

Outline

Propositions and Propositional Variable

Compositions of Propositions

Propositional Formulas

Properties of Compositions

Proposition

- ▶ Propositions are done in everyday life.

Proposition

- ▶ Propositions are done in everyday life.
- ▶ For propositions it makes sense to ask whether they are “true” or “false” .

Proposition

- ▶ Propositions are done in everyday life.
- ▶ For propositions it makes sense to ask whether they are “true” or “false” .
- ▶ The answer to this question is either “true” or “false” (nothing in between).

Proposition

- ▶ Propositions are done in everyday life.
- ▶ For propositions it makes sense to ask whether they are “true” or “false” .
- ▶ The answer to this question is either “true” or “false” (nothing in between).
- ▶ Example: “The circuit is electrically conducting.”

Propositional Variable

- ▶ Instead of propositions we are often talking of *propositional variables*

Propositional Variable

- ▶ Instead of propositions we are often talking of *propositional variables*
- ▶ Expresses that we are not interested in a specific proposition but a variable that can hold arbitrary propositions

Propositional Variable

- ▶ Instead of propositions we are often talking of *propositional variables*
- ▶ Expresses that we are not interested in a specific proposition but a variable that can hold arbitrary propositions
- ▶ Simplified we can understand propositional variables as variables that hold either the value t or f

Propositional Variable

- ▶ Instead of propositions we are often talking of *propositional variables*
- ▶ Expresses that we are not interested in a specific proposition but a variable that can hold arbitrary propositions
- ▶ Simplified we can understand propositional variables as variables that hold either the value t or f
- ▶ Instead of “Let A be an arbitrary proposition” we can say “Let A be a propositional variable”

Proposition — Definition

Definition

A *proposition* is a “linguistic item” for which it makes sense to ask whether it is “true” or “false”. The terms “true” and “false” are called the *truth values* of a proposition.

Proposition — Definition

Definition

A *proposition* is a “linguistic item” for which it makes sense to ask whether it is “true” or “false”. The terms “true” and “false” are called the *truth values* of a proposition.

Remark

- Propositions are mainly denoted by upper case letters A , B , C , ...

Proposition — Definition

Definition

A *proposition* is a “linguistic item” for which it makes sense to ask whether it is “true” or “false”. The terms “true” and “false” are called the *truth values* of a proposition.

Remark

- ▶ Propositions are mainly denoted by upper case letters A , B , C , ...
- ▶ “true” is often abbreviated by t and “false” by f

Proposition — Definition

Definition

A *proposition* is a “linguistic item” for which it makes sense to ask whether it is “true” or “false”. The terms “true” and “false” are called the *truth values* of a proposition.

Remark

- ▶ Propositions are mainly denoted by upper case letters A , B , C , ...
- ▶ “true” is often abbreviated by t and “false” by f
- ▶ We are only interested in the structure of propositions and not in its content.

Examples

► $x \leq 3$

Examples

- ▶ $x \leq 3$
- ▶ In German: Wenn Anastasia den Most holt, wird es bald etwas zu trinken geben, und das Abendessen wird beginnen, vorausgesetzt, dass Bartholomäus das Brot schon gebacken hat.

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t			

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f			

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f
f	t			

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f
f	t	f	t	t

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f
f	t	f	t	t
f	f			

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f
f	t	f	t	t
f	f	f	f	t

Basic Compositions

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the truth values of the propositions $A \wedge B$ (“ A and B ”), $A \vee B$ (“ A or B ”), and $\neg A$ (“not A ”) are given by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$\neg A$
t	t	t	t	f
t	f	f	t	f
f	t	f	t	t
f	f	f	f	t

Remark

\wedge is called *conjunction*, \vee is called *disjunction* and \neg is called *negation*.

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
t	t	

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	t
f	f	t

Implication

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *implication* $A \Rightarrow B$ (“if A then B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	t
f	f	t

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
t	t	

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	f
f	f	t

Equivalence

Definition

Let A and B be two propositions. Then the *equivalence* $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (“ A if and only if B ”) is defined by the following truth table:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	f
f	f	t

Remarks

- ▶ The composition of two propositions is again a proposition

Remarks

- ▶ The composition of two propositions is again a proposition
- ▶ The compositions are binary, i.e., they have two “operands”

Remarks

- ▶ The composition of two propositions is again a proposition
- ▶ The compositions are binary, i.e., they have two “operands”
- ▶ By nesting compositions and using parentheses we can construct more complex propositions (more specific propositional formulas)

Remarks

- ▶ The composition of two propositions is again a proposition
- ▶ The compositions are binary, i.e., they have two “operands”
- ▶ By nesting compositions and using parentheses we can construct more complex propositions (more specific propositional formulas)
- ▶ To reduce the number of necessary parentheses we use the precedence rules \neg has higher precedence than \wedge higher than \vee higher than \Rightarrow higher than \Leftrightarrow

Remarks

- ▶ The composition of two propositions is again a proposition
- ▶ The compositions are binary, i.e., they have two “operands”
- ▶ By nesting compositions and using parentheses we can construct more complex propositions (more specific propositional formulas)
- ▶ To reduce the number of necessary parentheses we use the precedence rules \neg has higher precedence than \wedge higher than \vee higher than \Rightarrow higher than \Leftrightarrow
- ▶ The syntax of the compositions has to be considered, e.g., $\neg \wedge AB$ is NO proposition

Propositional Formula

Definition

Given a set of propositional variables or propositions A, B, C, \dots . If we combine these propositions by means of the compositions given above, the result of this compositions is called a *propositional formula*. If we assign true or false propositions to each of the propositional variables in a propositional formula we get a concrete proposition.

Equivalence and Implication of Propositional Formulas

Definition

Let $P = P(A, B, C, \dots)$ and $Q = Q(A, B, C, \dots)$ be propositional formulas then

1. P and Q are called *equivalent* ($P \equiv Q$), if P and Q have the same truth value for each possible assignment of their propositional variables

Equivalence and Implication of Propositional Formulas

Definition

Let $P = P(A, B, C, \dots)$ and $Q = Q(A, B, C, \dots)$ be propositional formulas then

1. P and Q are called *equivalent* ($P \equiv Q$), if P and Q have the same truth value for each possible assignment of their propositional variables
2. P *implies* Q ($P \vdash Q$) if for each assignment to the propositional variables holds that if P is true then also Q is true.

Equivalence and Implication of Propositional Formulas

Definition

Let $P = P(A, B, C, \dots)$ and $Q = Q(A, B, C, \dots)$ be propositional formulas then

1. P and Q are called *equivalent* ($P \equiv Q$), if P and Q have the same truth value for each possible assignment of their propositional variables
2. P *implies* Q ($P \vdash Q$) if for each assignment to the propositional variables holds that if P is true then also Q is true.

Remark

Very often we loosely interchange the symbols \equiv and \Leftrightarrow as well as \vdash and \Rightarrow

Commutativity, Associativity

Theorem

1. *Commutativity*

$$A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$$

$$A \vee B \equiv B \vee A$$

Commutativity, Associativity

Theorem

1. *Commutativity*

$$A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$$

$$A \vee B \equiv B \vee A$$

2. *Associativity*

$$A \wedge (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \wedge C \equiv A \wedge B \wedge C$$

$$A \vee (B \vee C) \equiv (A \vee B) \vee C \equiv A \vee B \vee C$$

Distributivity, De-Morgan

Theorem

1. *Distributivity*

$$A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$$

$$A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$$

Distributivity, De-Morgan

Theorem

1. *Distributivity*

$$A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$$

$$A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$$

2. *De-Morgan*

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

Absorption, Idempotence

Theorem

1. *Absorption*

$$A \wedge (A \vee B) \equiv A$$

$$A \vee (A \wedge B) \equiv A$$

Absorption, Idempotence

Theorem

1. *Absorption*

$$A \wedge (A \vee B) \equiv A$$

$$A \vee (A \wedge B) \equiv A$$

2. *Idempotence*

$$A \wedge A \equiv A$$

$$A \vee A \equiv A$$

$$\neg(\neg A) \equiv A$$

Tautology and Contradiction

Definition

A formula which is always “true”, no matter which truth values are assigned to its variables is called a *tautology* (sign **T**). A formula which is always “false”, no matter which truth values are assigned to its variables is called a *contradiction* (sign **F**).

Tautology and Contradiction

Definition

A formula which is always “true”, no matter which truth values are assigned to its variables is called a *tautology* (sign **T**). A formula which is always “false”, no matter which truth values are assigned to its variables is called a *contradiction* (sign **F**).

Example

It is easy to show that $(A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A \vee B)$ is a tautology.

Laws with Contradictions and Tautologies

Theorem

For a proposition A holds:

$$A \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv A, \quad A \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$$

$$A \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}, \quad A \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv A$$

$$A \wedge \neg A \equiv \mathbf{F}, \quad A \vee \neg A \equiv \mathbf{T}$$