

Practice Filling ANOVA tables

Experiment to test the ultimate strength of stainless steel, steel alloy and titanium alloy:

An experiment was conducted to test the ultimate strength (in MPa's) of random samples of stainless steel, steel alloy and titanium alloy.

Source of variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
Between Groups	18110.08	2	905.0400	5.66	0.014
Within Groups	25605.71	16	1600.3569		
Total	43715.79	18			

At the 5% significance level, what conclusion can you draw regarding the ultimate strength of the three materials?

- (a) There is no significant difference in ultimate strength of the three materials.
- (b) Ultimate strength of titanium alloy is greater than that of steel alloy but is not greater than that of stainless steel.
- (c) Ultimate strength of Titanium alloy is greater than that of both steel alloy and stainless steel.
- (d) All the means for ultimate strength of the three materials are different.
- (e)** At least two of the means for ultimate strength of the three materials are different.

Source of variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
Between Groups	557	5	111.4	5.550	
Within Groups	461.9	23	20.069		
Total	1018.9	28			

3.3 Multiple Comparisons

3.3.1 Tukey's Method

VigEx-RedNa	MEx-RNA	VigEx-TypNa	NoEx-RNa	ModEx-TypNa	NoEx-TypNa
131.75	137.88	139.88	145.38	148.88	154.38

Conclusion in words: It is estimated with 95% confidence that there is insufficient evidence of a difference in mean SBP between VigEx-RedNa, ModEx-RedNa, and VigEx-TyNa, nor between

ModEx-RedNa, VigEx-TypNa, NoEx-RedNa, and ModEx-TypNa, nor between NoEx-RedNa, ModEx-TypNa and NoEx-TypNa. All other pairs of means can be declared different.

3.3.2 Bonferroni's Method of Multiple Comparisons

Effect of Certain Diseases on Human Ventilation Rates.

Step 1: Number of multiple comparisons : $m = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = 3$

Step 2:

Individual error rate: $\alpha_I = \frac{\alpha_F}{m} = \frac{0.05}{3} = 0.0167$

Step 3:

CV of t at df = n - k = 17 - 3 = 14

$\alpha_{I/2} = 0.008$

$t_{14, 0.008} \approx t_{14, 0.005} = 2.977$

Step 4:

$$ME_{ij} = t_{n-k, \alpha_{I/2}} \times \sqrt{MSE} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}}$$

For μ_1 versus μ_2 : $ME_{1,2} = 2.977 \times \sqrt{\frac{17.21429}{17-3}} \sqrt{1/4 + 1/7} = 3.3011 \times 0.6268 = 2.069$

For μ_1 versus μ_3 : $ME_{1,3} = 3.3011 \times \sqrt{1/4 + 1/6} = 2.131$

For μ_2 versus μ_3 : $ME_{2,3} = 3.3011 \times \sqrt{1/7 + 1/6} = 1.836$

Step 5:

$\mu_i - \mu_j \neq 0$ if $|\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j| \geq ME$

	Cancer (1)	Heart Disease (2)	Diabetes (3)
Cancer (1)	---		
Heart Disease (2)	2.57 > 2.069 *		
Diabetes (3)	1.5 < 2.131	1.07 < 1.836	

(*) indicates pairwise comparison that can be declared different.

Step 6:

Means comparison diagram:

Cancer	Diabetes	Heart Disease
12	13.5	14.57

Step 7: Conclusion: It is estimated with 95% confidence that the mean is different for those with heart disease than from cancer, but no other means can be declared different.