

Problem 2

a) Creating tables in SQL

Insurance Company: - Even though phone numbers are digits, there is no arithmetic operation to be made. Thus, choose VARCHAR.

```
CREATE TABLE InsuranceCo (
name VARCHAR(20) PRIMARY KEY,
phone VARCHAR(10)
)
```

Vehicle: - Quick Google search suggests that the maximum allowed chars in *licensePlate* is 7.5 in Virginia. - *year* is integer to use for comparisons. - *name* is a foreign key to InsuranceCo Table to represent **Many-to-One** relation between this table (Vehicle) and InsuranceCo. - *ssn* is also a foreign key to Person Table to represent **Many-to-One** relation between this table and Person. Similar to *phone* in InsuranceCo Table, *ssn* is just an ID and thus defined as a VARCHAR.

```
CREATE TABLE Vehicle (
licensePlate VARCHAR(8),
year INTEGER,
name VARCHAR(20) REFERENCES InsuranceCo,
ssn VARCHAR(10) REFERENCES Person
)

Person:

CREATE TABLE Person (
ssn VARCHAR(10) PRIMARY KEY,
name VARCHAR(20)
)
```

Person.Driver: - *driverSSN* is an inherited primary key (since Person.Driver is a subclass of Person) from Person Table. The syntax not null REFERENCES Person ensures that it is the case.

```
CREATE TABLE Driver (
driverSSN VARCHAR(10) not null REFERENCES Person(ssn),
driverID VARCHAR(20),
PRIMARY KEY(driverSSN)
)
```

Person. Driver. Non
Proessional Driver: - Again Non
Proessional Driver is a subclass of Driver. Thus, its primary key
 driverSSN comes from Driver Table.

```
CREATE TABLE NonProessionalDriver (
driverSSN VARCHAR(10) not null REFERENCES Driver(driverSSN)
)
Person.Driver.ProfessionalDriver: - medicalHistory type is unknown; set it to
VARCHAR.
CREATE TABLE ProessionalDriver (
driverSSN VARCHAR(10) not null REFERENCES Driver(driverSSN),
medicalHistory VARCHAR(100)
)
Vehicle.Car:
CREATE TABLE Car (
licensePlate VARCHAR(8) not null REFERENCES Vehicle,
make VARCHAR(10)
)
TypicalCar: - To represent the Many-to-Many relation between Car and
NonProessionalDriver.
CREATE TABLE TypicalCar (
driverSSN VARCHAR(10),
licensePlate VARCHAR(8),
PRIMARY KEY(driverSSN, licensePlate)
Vehicle. Truck: - Truck, similar to Car, is a subclass of Vehicle. - Assuming
the capacity is in one unit, define it as an integer for comparisons. - To repre-
sent Many-to-One relation between Truck and ProessionalDriver, driverSSN
becomes a foreign key to ProessionalDriver.
CREATE TABLE Truck (
licensePlate VARCHAR(8) not null REFERENCES Vehicle,
capacity INTEGER,
driverSSN VARCHAR(10) REFERENCES ProessionalDriver
)
b)
```

Insures has **Many-to-One** relation from Vehicle to InsuranceCo. Thus, we don't need a new table to represent it. Instead, we can insert a foreign key *name* in Vehicle that references InsuranceCo.

c)

Since Drives relation is **Many-to-Many**, we need an additional table that holds the relationship between Car and NonProessionalDriver. On the other hand, since Operates has **Many-to-One** relation from Truck to ProessionalDriver, we can have a foreign key *driverSSN* in Truck that references ProessionalDriver.

Problem 3

a)

```
R(A, B, C, D, E)
FD's: D -> B, CE -> A
```

Closure for FD's:

```
{D}+ = {B, D}
{C, E}+ = {A, C, E}
```

Both closures violate the 'rule'. Pick ${\tt D} \to {\tt B}$ to decompose further.

We then need to find $Z = \{all \ attritubtes\} - \{D\}+$, we will regard Z as $\{D\}+$ '.

```
{D}+' = {A, C, E}

R1({D}+) = R1(B, D)

R2(D \cup {D}+') = R2(A, C, D, E)
```

Note that R1(B, D) is already in BCNF. So, we need to further normalize R2.

The closure that violates in R2 is $\{C, E\} + \{A, C, E\}$. Calculate $\{C, E\} + \{D\}$, and decompose R2 into two more relations:

```
R21(\{C, E\}+) = R21(A, C, E)

R22(\{C, E\} \cup \{C, E\}+') = R22(C, D, E)
```

After the FD's is checked once again at this point, both R21 and R22 are in BCNF.

Therefore, the final relations are: R1(B, D), R21(A, C, E), and R22(C, D, E)

b)

```
S(A, B, C, D, E)
A -> E, BC -> A, DE -> B
```

Closure for FD's:

```
{A} + = {A, E}

{B, C} + = {A, B, C, E}

{D, E} + = {B, D, E}
```

All closures violoate the 'rule'. Choose $\{A\}+$. Calculate $\{A\}+$ ' = $\{B, C, D\}$

The decomposed relations are:

```
R1({A}+) = R1(A, E)

R2({A} U {A}+') = R2(A, B, C, D)
```

R1 is in BCNF.

 $\{B, C\} + = \{A, B, C\}$ violates. Calculate $\{B, C\} + = \{D\}$ Decompose R2:

```
R21(\{B, C\}+) = R21(A, B, C)

R22(\{B, C\} \cup \{B, C\}+') = R22(B, C, D)
```

Both are in BCNF now.

The final relations are: R1(A, E), R21(A, B, C), and R22(B, C, D)

Problem 4

R(A, B, C, D)

All sets of attributes are closed.

No Functional Dependency

If any funcitional dependency exists, that set of FD will no longer be closed. For ex. A -> B FD is established, the set of attributes $\{A\}$ will have closure $\{A\}$ + = $\{A, B\}$, and no longer be closed.

The only closed sets are {} and {A, B, C, D}

A -> B, B -> C, C -> D, D -> A

These FD's make sure that every set of attributes (except for the whole set {A, B, C, D} and the empty set) will not be closed.

The only closed sets are {}, {A,B}, and {A,B,C,D}

The first pair of FD's guarantees that $\{A, B\}$ is closed. The second trips makes sure that $\{C, D\}$ is not closed.