The Genius of Hollywood

Zachary Bricker
zbricker@my.harrisburgu.edu

Douglas Bahr Rumbaugh
dbrumbaugh@my.harrisburgu.edu

Chad Van Chu
cvchu@my.harrisburgu.edu

Harrisburg University of Science and Technology

Abstract

Contents

1   Introduction

In recent years, it has become fashionable to possess a high IQ. It is, then, no big surprise that recent years have also seen a massive surge in public figures reported IQ scores. Of particular interest to the authors are the claims of those in the entertainment industry.

One needs not look terribly hard in order to stumble across news articles, both in traditional media and the tabloid press, claiming to posses a list of the smartest celebrities. Interestingly enough, in the authors' experience, such articles rarely provide evidence for their claims.

As such, the authors determined that it would be an interesting exercise to examine the implications of the truth of these reported IQ scores. There are 3,000 seats at the academy awards–so what is the probability of random chance alone bringing such an assortment of geniuses into the same room? That is the question this study hopes to answer.

2   Review of Literature

3   Methods

3.1   Data Collection

In order to obtain the data for this analysis, reported IQ scores for celebrities were aggregated from several Internet sources. Unsurprisingly, for several celebrities, there were discrepancies between IQs reported from source to source. These discrepancies are discussed in further detail in the Discussion section. For the primary analysis though, a single IQ value per person was required. In these cases, the most frequently occurring reported IQ was selected, under the assumption that the most frequently repeated score was the one with the most popular acceptance.

3.2   Basic Probability Analysis

Using the IQ distribution, each individual was assigned the probability of having their reported IQ score. In order to obtain the probability of all of these individuals being in the 3,000 seats at the Academy Awards, the

product of all individual probabilities was taken, using the following formula and this product was multiplied by 3,000 using the following formula.

$$3000 * \prod_{k=1}^{n} p(i_k) \tag{1}$$

Where i_k is the IQ score of the kth celebrity and $p(i)$ is the probability function, returning the proportion of people with the IQ score i, and there were n celebrities sampled.

3.3 Monte Carlo Simulation

4 Results

4.1 IQ Scores

¡INSERT HISTOGRAM HERE–as soon as Zack figures R out. :p¿

4.2 Probability Analysis

Using the technique defined in Section 3.2, the probability of all of the sampled IQ scores being in the same random sample of 3,000 individuals is $3.4463 * 10^{-225}$.

5 Discussion

The result of $3.4463 * 10^{-225}$ being the probability of all the sampled IQ scores being in the same random sample of 3,000 individuals is striking–and the number is far worse than the authors had initially suspected. To put it in perspective, this probability in terms of odds is 1 chance in 34463000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000. To be fair, a sample of the top reported IQs from the top entertainers is not exactly random, however this probability is still ridiculously small.

In addition, another interesting observation is the preponderance of round IQ scores. Among the top four most frequent IQ scores are 130, 140, and

160. The IQ score of 140 alone constitutes almost 14% of the 65 IQ scores collected. This is not the behavior that would be expected from a random distribution.

It is possible that the prevalence of these zero-terminated IQ scores is due to rounding on the part of those making the IQ score reports. It is notable that, for certain individuals, some sources cited exact scores, and others admitted to approximation with language along the lines of "x's IQ score has been reported to be around 140". This is an interesting phenomena, considering that an IQ score is typically something that is either known, or not known–there isn't much room for approximation.

It is possible that this approximation is indicative of the inventive spirit of journalism. Or, perhaps it merely facilitates the rounding up of IQ scores.