		Serial	Parallel
PC_data_t00100	time	23.000000s	15.000000s
	clock	23.200000s	25.889999s
	command	real 0m23.280s	real 0m15.022s
		user 0m23.210s	user 0m25.871s
		sys 0m0.005s	sys 0m0.023s
PC_data_t01000	time	215.000000s	139.00000s
	clock	215.199997s	239.949997s
	command	real 3m35.259s	real 2m19.646s
		user 3m35.208s	user 3m59.911s
		sys 0m0.010s	sys 0m0.051s
PC_data_t05000	time	1104.00000s	716.000000s
	clock	1103.560000s	1228.940063s
	command	real 18m23.693s	real 11m55.630s
		user 18m23.558s	user 20m28.849s
		sys 0m0.012s	sys 0m0.108s
PC_data_t10000	time	2232.000000s	1473.000000s
	clock	2231.979980s	2512.369873s
	command	real 37m12.130s	real 24m33.211s
		user 37m11.970s	user 41m52.069s
		sys 0m0.026s	sys 0m0.316s

This table is the performance comparison between "serial" and "parallel". And we can see that by using one thread for the producer module and a second thread for the consumer:

- 1. "time" is about half of "clock"
- 2. "real" is about half of "user"
- 3. "clock" and "user" are a little bit longer than them in "serial"