

Heuristic Analysis Report

Name: Khaw Huai Yu

Matric Number: 17077098/1

SYNOPSIS

The project aims at developing an adversarial search agent to play the game "Isolation". This project report focusses on the heuristics to be used in A* Search for minimax and alphabeta pruning.

Isolation is a deterministic, two-player game of perfect information in which the players alternate turns moving a single piece from one cell to another on a board. Whenever either player occupies a cell, that cell becomes blocked for the remainder of the game. The first player with no remaining legal moves loses, and the opponent is declared the winner.

This project uses a version of Isolation where each agent is restricted to L-shaped movements (like a knight in chess) on a rectangular grid (like a chess or checkerboard). The agents can move to any open cell on the board that is 2-rows and 1-column or 2-columns and 1-row away from their current position on the board. Movements are blocked at the edges of the board (the board does not wrap around), however, the player can "jump" blocked or occupied spaces (just like a knight in chess).

Additionally, agents will have a fixed time limit each turn to search for the best move and respond. If the time limit expires during a player's turn, that player forfeits the match, and the opponent wins. These rules are implemented in the isolation.Board class provided in the repository

CUSTOM HEURISTICS

In the beginning of the game, the heuristic is based on the logic that it should grab the centre of the board than the opponents using Euclidean method.

$$\begin{aligned} euclidean\ player &= (game\ centre\ x - player\ location\ x)^2 \\ &+ (game\ centre\ y - player\ location\ y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} euclidean\ opponent &= (game\ centre\ x - opponent\ location\ x)^2 \\ &+ (game\ centre\ y - opponent\ location\ y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$euclidean\ player - euclidean\ opponent$$

But purely using this heuristic logic, the agent cannot perform a very good result. So, another heuristic is added into the function, the heuristic is based on the logic that the opponent should have less moves and players should have more moves.

$$[len(my\ available\ move)]^2 - \beta [len(available\ opponent\ moves)]^2$$

The latter form has been implemented in the code with β chosen as 1.5 empirically

RESULTS

The number of matches is set as 10 and the time limit is 150.

Agent	Performance
ID_Improved	56.43%
Student1	60.36%
Student2	63.93%
Student3	65.00%
Student4	65.36%
Student5	60.71%
Student6	61.79%
Student7	62.14%
CS	69.64%

The custom CS heuristics perform the highest performance among other agents

APPENDICES

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1: ID_Improved vs Random	Result: 36 to 4
Match 2: ID_Improved vs MM_Null	Result: 28 to 12
Match 3: ID_Improved vs MM_Open	Result: 14 to 26
Match 4: ID_Improved vs MM_Improved	Result: 13 to 27
Match 5: ID_Improved vs AB_Null	Result: 27 to 13
Match 6: ID_Improved vs AB_Open	Result: 21 to 19
Match 7: ID_Improved vs AB_Improved	Result: 19 to 21

Results:

ID_Improved 56.43%

Evaluating: Student1

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student1 vs Random	Result: 34 to 6
Match 2: Student1 vs MM_Null	Result: 33 to 7
Match 3: Student1 vs MM_Open	Result: 16 to 24
Match 4: Student1 vs MM_Improved	Result: 17 to 23
Match 5: Student1 vs AB_Null	Result: 29 to 11
Match 6: Student1 vs AB_Open	Result: 21 to 19
Match 7: Student1 vs AB_Improved	Result: 19 to 21

Results:

Student1 60.36%

Evaluating: Student2

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student2 vs Random	Result: 38 to 2
Match 2: Student2 vs MM_Null	Result: 33 to 7
Match 3: Student2 vs MM_Open	Result: 19 to 21
Match 4: Student2 vs MM_Improved	Result: 19 to 21
Match 5: Student2 vs AB_Null	Result: 30 to 10
Match 6: Student2 vs AB_Open	Result: 22 to 18
Match 7: Student2 vs AB_Improved	Result: 18 to 22

Results:

Student2 63.93%

Evaluating: Student3

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student3 vs Random	Result: 35 to 5
Match 2: Student3 vs MM_Null	Result: 35 to 5
Match 3: Student3 vs MM_Open	Result: 23 to 17
Match 4: Student3 vs MM_Improved	Result: 19 to 21
Match 5: Student3 vs AB_Null	Result: 27 to 13
Match 6: Student3 vs AB_Open	Result: 22 to 18
Match 7: Student3 vs AB_Improved	Result: 21 to 19

Results:

Student3 65.00%

Evaluating: Student4

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student4 vs Random	Result: 37 to 3
Match 2: Student4 vs MM_Null	Result: 31 to 9
Match 3: Student4 vs MM_Open	Result: 18 to 22
Match 4: Student4 vs MM_Improved	Result: 18 to 22
Match 5: Student4 vs AB_Null	Result: 30 to 10
Match 6: Student4 vs AB_Open	Result: 28 to 12
Match 7: Student4 vs AB_Improved	Result: 21 to 19

Results:

Student4 65.36%

Evaluating: Student5

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student5 vs Random	Result: 36 to 4
Match 2: Student5 vs MM_Null	Result: 33 to 7
Match 3: Student5 vs MM_Open	Result: 14 to 26
Match 4: Student5 vs MM_Improved	Result: 15 to 25
Match 5: Student5 vs AB_Null	Result: 26 to 14
Match 6: Student5 vs AB_Open	Result: 20 to 20
Match 7: Student5 vs AB_Improved	Result: 26 to 14

Results:

Student5 60.71%

Evaluating: Student6

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student6 vs Random	Result: 37 to 3
Match 2: Student6 vs MM_Null	Result: 33 to 7
Match 3: Student6 vs MM_Open	Result: 15 to 25
Match 4: Student6 vs MM_Improved	Result: 17 to 23
Match 5: Student6 vs AB_Null	Result: 29 to 11
Match 6: Student6 vs AB_Open	Result: 20 to 20
Match 7: Student6 vs AB_Improved	Result: 22 to 18

Results:

Student6 61.79%

Evaluating: Student7

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student7 vs Random	Result: 36 to 4
Match 2: Student7 vs MM_Null	Result: 35 to 5
Match 3: Student7 vs MM_Open	Result: 15 to 25
Match 4: Student7 vs MM_Improved	Result: 14 to 26
Match 5: Student7 vs AB_Null	Result: 28 to 12
Match 6: Student7 vs AB_Open	Result: 21 to 19
Match 7: Student7 vs AB_Improved	Result: 25 to 15

Results:

Student7 62.14%

Evaluating: CS

Playing Matches:

Match 1: CS vs Random	Result: 37 to 3
Match 2: CS vs MM_Null	Result: 33 to 7
Match 3: CS vs MM_Open	Result: 28 to 12
Match 4: CS vs MM_Improved	Result: 19 to 21
Match 5: CS vs AB_Null	Result: 34 to 6
Match 6: CS vs AB_Open	Result: 24 to 16
Match 7: CS vs AB_Improved	Result: 20 to 20

Results:

CS 69.64%