

AN  $O(n)$  ALGORITHM FOR QUADRATIC KNAPSACK PROBLEMS

Peter BRÜCKER \*

*Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Postfach 4469, 4500 Osnabrück, Federal Republic Germany*

Received August 1983

Revised May 1984

An algorithm is presented which solves bounded quadratic optimization problems with  $n$  variables and one linear constraint in at most  $O(n)$  steps. The algorithm is based on a parametric approach combined with well-known ideas for constructing efficient algorithms. It improves an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm which has been developed for a more restricted case of the problem.

nonlinear programming • convex programming • quadratic programming • knapsack problem • parametric programming

## 1. Introduction

Consider the following quadratic program

$$P: \min \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{2} d_i x_i^2 - a_i x_i) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = b_0, \quad (2)$$

$$l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

where  $d_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

In P we may also assume that  $b_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  because (i) if  $b_i = 0$  then  $x_i$  can be calculated independently from (2) and the corresponding term in (1) and (3) may be eliminated, and (ii) if  $b_i < 0$  we may replace  $x_i$  by  $-x_i$ ,  $b_i$  by  $-b_i$ ,  $a_i$  by  $-a_i$ ,  $l_i$  by  $-u_i$ , and  $u_i$  by  $-l_i$  to get essentially the same problem.

Under the special assumption that  $b_i = 1$  and  $l_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  Helgason et al. [2] derived an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm for solving P. We will show that the more general problem P can be solved in linear time.

## 2. A parametric approach

For each parameter value  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we consider the

problem

$$P(t): \min \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{2} d_i x_i^2 + (b_i t - a_i) x_i) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$P(t)$  has the unique solution  $x(t)$  with

$$x_i(t) = \begin{cases} l_i & \text{if } (a_i - b_i t)/d_i \leq l_i, \\ u_i & \text{if } (a_i - b_i t)/d_i \geq u_i, \\ (a_i - b_i t)/d_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Let  $z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i(t). \quad (6)$$

Define critical parameters  $t_i^L \leq t_i^U$  by

$$t_i^L = (a_i - l_i d_i)/b_i \text{ and } t_i^U = (a_i - u_i d_i)/b_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and let

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r \quad (7)$$

be all distinct critical parameter values. Furthermore let  $t_0 = -\infty$ ,  $t_{r+1} = +\infty$ . Then within each interval  $(t_i, t_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , the structure of  $x(t)$  does not change. The following theorem states some useful properties of the function  $z$ .

**Theorem.** The function  $z: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by (6) has the following properties:

(a)  $z$  is monotone not increasing.

(b) If  $z(t) = b_0$  then  $x(t)$  is an optimal solution of  $P$ .

(c) If  $z(t) > b_0$  (resp.  $z(t) < b_0$ ) for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $P$  has no feasible solution.

**Proof.** We write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{2} d_i x_i^2 - a_i x_i \right), \quad bx = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i$$

and

$$f(x, t) = f(x) + tbx.$$

(a) Assume that  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t^* = t + \Delta t$  where  $\Delta t > 0$ , and

$$z(t) = bx(t) < bx(t^*) = z(t^*).$$

Then optimality of  $x = x(t)$  ( $x^* = x(t^*)$ ) for  $P(t)$  ( $P(t^*)$ ) implies

$$f(x) + tbx \leq f(x^*) + tbx^* \\ (f(x^*) + (t + \Delta t)bx^* \leq f(x) + (t + \Delta t)bx).$$

Thus we get the contradiction

$$f(x^*) + (t + \Delta t)bx^* \leq f(x) + (t + \Delta t)bx \\ < f(x^*) + (t + \Delta t)bx^*.$$

(b) Let  $x'$  be an arbitrary feasible solution of  $P$ . Then  $x'$  is feasible for  $P(t)$  and optimality of  $x(t)$  for  $P(t)$  implies

$$f(x(t)) + bx(t) \leq f(x') + bx'$$

which implies  $f(x(t)) \leq f(x')$  because  $bx(t) = bx' = b_0$ .

(c) Assume that  $z(t) = bx(t) > b_0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $P$  has a feasible solution  $x$ . Then  $bx = b_0$ . Furthermore, there exists a parameter value  $t^*$  such that  $x(t^*)$  is optimal for all  $P(t)$  will  $t \geq t^*$  (see (5)). Thus for all  $t \geq t^*$  we have

$$f(x(t^*)) + tbx(t^*) \leq f(x) + tbx, \\ f(x(t^*)) - f(x) \leq t(bx - bx(t^*)) \\ = t(b_0 - bx(t^*))$$

which for large  $t \geq t^*$  leads to a contradiction because  $b_0 - bx(t^*) < 0$ .

If  $z(t) < b_0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the desired result follows similarly.  $\square$

If  $z(t_1) \geq b_0$  and  $z(t_2) \leq b_0$  then there exists an index  $j$  with  $z(t_j) \geq b_0$  and  $z(t_{j+1}) \leq b_0$ . Using this index  $j$  an optimal solution may be constructed as

follows. Define the index sets

$$I_L = \{i | t_j^L \leq t_j\},$$

$$I_U = \{i | t_{j+1} \leq t_i^U\},$$

$$I_M = \{i | t_j^U < t_{j+1}; t_j < t_i^L\}.$$

Then for each  $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$  the components of the solution  $x(t)$  may be expressed by

$$x_i(t) = \begin{cases} t_j & \text{if } i \in I_L, \\ u_i & \text{if } i \in I_U, \\ (a_i - b_i t)/d_i & \text{if } i \in I_M. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We find the optimal solution  $x(t_{\text{opt}})$  of  $P$  by substituting in (8) the parameter value

$$t_{\text{opt}} = \left( \sum_{i \in I_U} b_i u_i + \sum_{i \in I_L} b_i t_j + \sum_{i \in I_M} \left( \frac{b_i a_i}{d_i} \right) - b_0 \right) / \sum_{i \in I_M} \frac{b_i^2}{d_i}. \quad (9)$$

Notice that  $t_{\text{opt}}$  is a solution of equation  $bx(t) = b_0$ .

Due to the monotonicity of the function  $z$ , the index  $j$  can be found by a binary search on the index set of the critical values (7). This leads to an  $O(n \log n)$ -algorithm. In the next section we will show that the search for index  $j$  can be done in  $O(n)$  steps which leads to a linear time algorithm for  $P$ .

### 3. An $O(n)$ search algorithm

The main idea of the algorithm may be described as follows. Let us assume that  $z(t_1) \geq b_0$  and  $z(t_2) \leq b_0$ . If we set  $t_{\min} = t_1$  and  $t_{\max} = t_2$ , we are sure that the property

$$t_{\text{opt}} \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}] \quad (10)$$

holds. During the algorithm, keeping property (10) satisfied, the interval  $[t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$  is decreased step by step until it has the form  $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ . This is accomplished by solving  $P(t)$  for different values  $t = t_j$ . Let  $t_{\min} < t_j < t_{\max}$ . If  $z(t_j) > b_0$  then we may replace  $t_{\min}$  by  $t_j$ . If  $z(t_j) < b_0$  then  $t_{\max}$  is replaced by  $t_j$ . If  $z(t_j) = b_0$  we have  $t_{\text{opt}} = t_j$ .

The important point is that at the same time the relevant critical parameter sets

$$T^U = \{t_i^U | i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (T^L = \{t_i^L | i = 1, \dots, n\})$$

are reduced by eliminating the endpoints of intervals  $[t_i^U, t_i^L]$ . By solving at most two of the problems  $P(t^U)$  and  $P(t^L)$  each of the sets  $T^U, T^L$  reduces at least by  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}|T^U| \rfloor$  ( $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}|T^L| \rfloor$ ) elements. Furthermore when eliminating  $t_i^U, t_i^L$  we know the structure of  $x_i(t_{\text{opt}})$  (i.e. we know whether  $x_i(t_{\text{opt}}) = u_i$  or  $x_i(t_{\text{opt}}) = l_i$  or  $x_i(t_{\text{opt}}) = (a_i - b_i t_{\text{opt}})/d_i$ ). Thus the complexity for solving problems  $P(t)$  reduces by the same fraction. For the overall complexity of the algorithm we get the upper bound

$$cn + \frac{3}{4}cn + (\frac{3}{4})^2 cn + \dots = 4cn = O(n)$$

where  $c$  is some constant. We choose  $t^L, t^U$  in such a way that

$$t^L = \text{median}(T^L)$$

and

$$t^U = \text{median}(\{t_i^U | t_i^L \in T^L; t_i^L \geq t^L\})$$

where  $\text{median}(S)$  denotes the median of set  $S$ .  $\text{median}(S)$  can be calculated in at most  $O(|S|)$  steps (see Aho, Hopcraft and Ullman [1]).

We solve  $P(t^L)$  ( $P(t^U)$ ) only if  $t_{\min} < t^L < t_{\max}$  ( $t_{\min} < t^U < t_{\max}$ ) and update the values  $t_{\min}$  and  $t_{\max}$ . A quarter of the sets  $T^U$  and  $T^L$  can be eliminated and the structure of  $x_i(t)$  is known for the corresponding variables for each  $t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$ . To see this we have to consider three cases.

**Case 1:**  $t^L \leq t_{\min}$ . Then  $t_i^L \leq t_{\min} \leq t_{\text{opt}}$  for at least  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}|T^L| \rfloor$  intervals  $[t_i^U, t_i^L]$ . For these  $i$  we can eliminate  $t_i^U$  ( $t_i^L$ ) from  $T^U$  ( $T^L$ ) and fix  $x_i(t) = l_i$  for all  $t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$ .

**Case 2:**  $t^L > t_{\min}$  and  $z(t^U) \leq b_0$  (i.e.  $t^U \geq t_{\max}$ ). Then  $t_{\text{opt}} \leq t_{\max} \leq t^U$  and by construction of  $t^U$  for at least  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}|T^L| \rfloor$  intervals  $[t_i^U, t_i^L]$  we have  $t_{\text{opt}} \leq t_i^U \leq t_i^L$ . For these  $i$  we can eliminate  $t_i^U$  ( $t_i^L$ ) from  $T^U$  ( $T^L$ ) and fix  $x_i(t) = u_i$  for all  $t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$ .

**Case 3:**  $t^L > t_{\min}$  and  $z(t^U) > b_0$ . Then  $t^U < t_{\text{opt}}$ . Furthermore  $t_{\text{opt}} \leq t^L$  because otherwise  $t_{\text{opt}} > t^L > t_{\min}$  which is contradicting the fact that  $t_{\min}$  must have been updated after solving  $P(t^L)$ . Now  $t^U \leq t_{\text{opt}} \leq t^L$  implies that for at least  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}|T^L| \rfloor$  intervals  $[t_i^U, t_i^L]$  we have

$$t_i^U \leq t_{\min} \leq t_{\text{opt}} \leq t_i^L.$$

For these  $i$  we can eliminate  $t_i^U$  ( $t_i^L$ ) from  $T^U$  ( $T^L$ ) and fix

$$x_i(t) = (a_i - b_i t)/d_i \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}].$$

Details of the procedure are described by the following algorithm. Before applying the algorithm all critical values  $t_i^U, t_i^L$  are calculated. We assume that immediately before using  $z(t)$  problem  $P(t)$  is solved. Furthermore  $I$  is the current index set of variables  $x_i(t)$  which are not fixed. Note that

$$T^U = \{t_i^U | i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad T^L = \{t_i^L | i \in I\}$$

are the current sets of parameter values.

### Algorithm

```

BEGIN
1. IF  $z(t_1) = b_0$  OR  $z(t_r) = b_0$  THEN STOP (Solution optimal);
2. IF  $z(t_1) < b_0$  OR  $z(t_r) > b_0$  THEN STOP (No feasible solution exists);
3.  $t_{\min} := t_1$ ;  $t_{\max} := t_r$ ;
4.  $I := \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
5. WHILE  $I \neq \emptyset$ 
  DO BEGIN
6.  $t^L := \text{median}(\{t_i^L | i \in I\})$ ;
7.  $t^U := \text{median}(\{t_i^U | i \in I; t_i^L \geq t^L\})$ ;
8. FOR  $t := t^L, t^U$  IF  $t_{\min} < t < t_{\max}$  THEN
  DO BEGIN
9. IF  $z(t) = b_0$  THEN STOP (Solution optimal);
10. IF  $z(t) > b_0$  THEN  $t_{\min} := \max\{t_{\min}, t\}$ ;
11. ELSE  $t_{\max} := \min\{t_{\max}, t\}$ ;
  END DO
12. FOR ALL  $i \in I$ 
  DO BEGIN
13. IF  $t_i^L \leq t_{\min}$  THEN
14.   BEGIN  $I = I \setminus \{i\}$ ;  $x_i = l_i$  END;
15. IF  $t_{\max} \leq t_i^U$  THEN
16.   BEGIN  $I = I \setminus \{i\}$ ;  $x_i = u_i$  END;
17. IF  $t_i^U \leq t_{\min} \leq t_{\max} \leq t_i^L$  THEN
18.   BEGIN  $I = I \setminus \{i\}$ ;  $x_i(t) = (a_i - b_i t)/d_i$ ;
   END
  END DO
  END DO
END.
```

If the algorithm does not stop in step 1, 2 or 9 we must have  $t_j = t_{\min}$ ,  $t_{j+1} = t_{\max}$  and the optimal solution may be calculated using (8) and (9). Finally note that  $z(t^L)$  and  $z(t^U)$  can be calculated in  $O(|I|)$  steps. This can be seen as follows.

Let  $I^u$  and  $I^l$  and  $I^m$  be the set of all indices eliminated from  $I$  during steps 16 and 14 and 18 respectively. Then at each stage of the algorithm we have

$$z(t) = \sum_{i \in I} b_i x_i(t) + \sum_{i \in I^u} b_i u_i + \sum_{i \in I'} b_i l_i \\ + \sum_{i \in I^m} a_i b_i / d_i - \sum_{i \in I^m} (b_i^2 / d_i) t$$

for all  $t \in [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]$ .

Thus, if the sums

$$\sum_{i \in I^u} b_i u_i, \quad \sum_{i \in I'} b_i l_i, \quad \sum_{i \in I^m} a_i b_i / d_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in I^m} b_i^2 / d_i$$

are updated during the corresponding steps 14, 16

and 18 then  $z(t^L)$  and  $z(t^U)$  can be calculated in  $O(|I|)$  steps.

## References

- [1] A. Aho, J. Hopcroft and J. Ullman, *The design and analysis of computer algorithms*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.
- [2] R. Helgason, J. Kennington and H. Lalt, "A polynomially bounded algorithm for a single constrained quadratic program", *Mathematical Programming* **18**, 338-343 (1980).