高级计量经济学

Assignment 3

1. Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ be i.i.d. random observations of random variable x with $E[x] = \mu$. Which of the following estimators of μ are unbiased? Which are consistent?

(1)
$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

(2)
$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1.01}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

(3)
$$\hat{\mu}_3 = 0.01x_1 + \frac{0.99}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i$$

2. Let $s^2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{n-k}$ where \mathbf{e} is the OLS residual vector of the linear regression model, n is the number of observations, and k is the number of variables in \mathbf{X} .

Prove that $E[s^2 \mid \mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2$ under assumptions A.1 — A.4.

Solution

1. With Khinchine's weak law of large numbers (Theorem D.5), one has $p\lim \bar{x}_n = \mu$.

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{x}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mu}_1 \text{ is biased but consistent.}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = 1.01 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1.01 \bar{x}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} 1.01 \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mu}_2 \text{ is biased and inconsistent.}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_3 = 0.01 x_1 + 0.99 \bar{x}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p} 0.01 x_1 + 0.99 \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mu}_2 \text{ is unbiased but inconsistent. The unbiasedness comes from } E[\hat{\mu}_3] = 0.01 E[x_1] + 0.99 E[\bar{x}_{n-1}] = 0.01 \mu + 0.99 \mu = \mu.$$

Hence, $\hat{\mu}_3$ is unbiased, and $\hat{\mu}_1$ is consistent.

From assumption A.1 we have

$$e = My = M(X\beta + \varepsilon) = MX\beta + M\varepsilon = M\varepsilon$$

where M is the residual maker, and the last equality comes from the fact $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$. Then,

$$E[s^{2} \mid \mathbf{X}] = E\left[\frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{n-k} \mid \mathbf{X}\right] = \frac{1}{n-k}E[\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{X}]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-k}E[\varepsilon'\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}\varepsilon \mid \mathbf{X}] = \frac{1}{n-k}E[\varepsilon'\mathbf{M}\varepsilon \mid \mathbf{X}]$$

Since $\varepsilon' \mathbf{M} \varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i M_{ij} \varepsilon_j$ where M_{ij} denotes the (i,j) element of \mathbf{M} , and from assumption

A.4 that $E[\varepsilon_i^2\mid \mathbf{X}]=\sigma^2$ and $E[\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j\mid \mathbf{X}]=0$ for $i\neq j$, one has

$$E[\varepsilon'\mathbf{M}\varepsilon \mid \mathbf{X}] = E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} M_{ij} \varepsilon_{j} \mid \mathbf{X}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{ij} E[\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} \mid \mathbf{X}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{ii} \sigma^{2} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M})$$

where tr(M) is the trace of M.

From assumption A.2 we have $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ (and note that the existence of \mathbf{M} also requires A.2), then

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{P}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{I}_{n}) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}) = n - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}').$$

Since tr(AB) = tr(BA),

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}') = \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{I}_k) = k,$$

one has $tr(\mathbf{M}) = n - k$. Hence,

$$E[s^2 \mid \mathbf{X}] = \frac{1}{n-k} \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{n-k} \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot (n-k) = \sigma^2.$$

Note: this result indicates that $s^2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{n-k}$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , and that the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e}}{n}$ with assumption A.6 is biased (but consistent).