## Balanced Committee Election

June 7, 2018

In a balanced committee election, we have m candidates  $C = \{1, ..., m\}$ , n voters and a desired size  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  of a committee to be elected. Each voter can select at most k candidates. This gives each candidate i a total number of votes  $w_i$ . A committee  $S \subseteq C$  of size k is considered to be good if it receives many votes, i.e., if the sum of all of the votes for the candidates in S,  $\sum_{i \in S} w_i$ , is large. At the same time, we want to guarantee that the selected committee S is "balanced" according to important features such as gender and locality. For example, we might want S to satisfy the following two criteria:

- (1) 50% male and 50% female;
- (2) 80% candidates from cities and 20% candidates from the countryside.

Overall, our goal is to elect a committee  $S \subseteq C$  of size k that satisfies the above criteria. Furthermore, amongst all possible committees that do so, we would like to elect the one with the most votes, i.e., with maximal  $\sum_{i \in S} w_i$ .

We can encode this problem mathematically by using a 0/1 variable  $x_i$  to represent whether the candidate i is selected  $(x_i = 1)$  or not  $(x_i = 0)$ . To give an example, suppose that k = 10. Then, the requirement that the committee S is of size 10 is equivalent to saying that we must satisfy

$$\sum_{i \in C} x_i = k,$$

i.e., exactly 10 candidates must have  $x_i = 1$ . Suppose  $P_m \subseteq C$  represents the collection of male candidates and  $P_f \subseteq C$  the collection of female candidates. The gender criteria (1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in P_m} x_i = 5, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in P_f} x_i = 5,$$

i.e., exactly 5 male candidates must have  $x_i = 1$ . Similarly, suppose  $P_c \subseteq C$  represents the collection of candidates living in the city and  $P_t \subseteq C$  the collection of candidates living in the countryside. The locality criteria (2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in P_c} x_i = 8, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in P_t} x_i = 2.$$

If candidate i is selected, then it contributes  $w_i = w_i \cdot x_i$  votes to the committee (as  $x_i = 1$ ). Otherwise, it contributes  $0 = w_i \cdot x_i$  votes (as  $x_i = 0$ ). Thus, the total votes received by the selected committee captured by the equation

$$\sum_{i \in C} w_i \cdot x_i.$$

Using the above formulation, we can write down an "integer linear program" to encode the mathematical problem of finding the best committee that satisfies this criteria as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize } \sum_{i \in C} w_i \cdot x_i & \text{(maximize the total votes)} \\ \text{such that } & x_i \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{for all } i \in C, & (0/1 \text{ variables}) \\ & \sum_{i \in C} x_i = 10, & \text{(committee size constraint)} \\ & \sum_{i \in P_m} x_i = 5, \sum_{i \in P_w} x_i = 5, & \text{(gender constraints)} \\ & \sum_{i \in P_c} x_i = 8, \sum_{i \in P_t} x_i = 2. & \text{(locality constraints)} \\ \end{array}$$

In general, solving an integer linear program is NP-hard and hence can take a prohibitively long time. However, we can use a standard package **CPLEX** which uses a "branch-and-cut" approach to speed up the computation while still ensuring that we get the optimal solution.