

0. How much time did you spend on this pre-class exercise, and when?

4 hours. 9-21 afternoon and night

1. What are one or two points that you found least clear in the 9/22 slide decks (including the narration)?

Don't quite understand the "Some loose ends" part of the slides

2. The pthread_mc.c file in the demo subdirectory runs a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the expected value of a uniform random variable. The "-p" option sets the number of processors used, while "-b" sets the number of trials between synchronizations.

a) Write a model for the run time for this simulation code in terms of the number of trials (N), number of processors (p), time per trial (t_trial), and time to update the global counters in the critical section (t_update).

If we have N trial and p processors, each of the processor will do N/p trails and this takes $N * t_{\text{trial}} / p$. Assume each batch we do b trails(I think we need b to set up the model, or for some case, can we assume b is set in the code as b = nbatch = 50 or should we assume b = N/p or some other value? I'll just use b instead of 50), each batch will take 1 update so it takes $N * t_{\text{update}} / (b * p)$ for each processor to update. And together we need $N * t_{\text{update}} / b$ time for updates. So the running time is estimated as $N * t_{\text{trial}} / p + N * t_{\text{update}} / b$

b) Run the code with a few different parameter values in order to estimate N, t_trial, and t_update for this code on a totient compute node.

(I think there is a bug in the code that the return of is_converged should be $(\text{varX} / (\text{EX} * \text{EX} * \text{all_ntrials}) < \text{rtol} * \text{rtol} \parallel \text{all_ntrials} > \text{maxtrials})$ instead of $(\text{varX} / (\text{EX} * \text{EX}) < \text{rtol} * \text{rtol} \parallel \text{all_ntrials} > \text{maxtrials}))$

I find

$$N \approx 3240$$

and

$$t_{\text{update}} \approx 2.78\text{E-}08$$

$$t_{\text{trial}} \approx 1.08\text{E-}08$$

c) Based on your model, suggest a strategy for choosing the batch size. How might you generalize this strategy to automatically choose batch sizes for different types of computational experiments?

$(N_{\text{real}} - N_{\text{need}}) * t_{\text{trial}} / p$ is the cost for increasing b since increasing b may let you do more trials in the last batch for each core. $N * t_{\text{update}} / b^2$ is the time you can save for increasing b (using differential) when these two are equal, we can find the best b . For example, we can find the best result of $p=1$ is about $b = 91$. In the experiment, I find it should be somewhere between 90 and 120

3. In the `workq` subdirectory of this directory, there is a basic work queue implementation. Following the strategy outlined in the slides, add synchronization calls in the locations marked `TODO`. You should run the code to make sure it behaves as expected!

Done~ successfully.

(you can see the code in `/workq`)