

Name: **Huan Q. Bui**  
 Course: **8.370 - QC**  
 Problem set: **#6**  
 Due: Wednesday, Nov 2, 2022  
 Collaborators/References:

## 1. Pauli group, Clifford group

(a) The Clifford group is

$$C \equiv \{\text{unitaries } U : UgU^\dagger \in \mathcal{P} \forall g \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the Pauli group. Suppose  $A, B \in C$ . Then for any  $g \in \mathcal{P}$ :

$$ABg(AB)^\dagger = A \underbrace{(BgB^\dagger)}_{\in \mathcal{P}} A^\dagger = Ag'A^\dagger \in \mathcal{P}.$$

So,  $AB \in C$ . Now let  $g \in \mathcal{P}$  be given and  $A \in C$ . The map  $g \rightarrow AgA^\dagger$  is a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}$  and itself is bijective because it is injective and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite group. To prove injectivity is easy: if  $Ag_1A^\dagger = Ag_2A^\dagger$  then  $g_1 = g_2$  by left-multiplying and right-multiplying by  $A^\dagger$  and  $A$  respectively. So, we have that  $A^\dagger g A = A^\dagger A g' A^\dagger A = g' \in \mathcal{P}$  for some  $g' \in \mathcal{P}$ . So, every element of  $C$  has an inverse. The identity element is simply the identity matrix. Associativity is inherited from associativity of matrix multiplication. So,  $C$  is a group.

(b) The Pauli group for 1 qubit is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\pm I, \pm iI \pm X, \pm iX, \pm Y, \pm iY, \pm Z, \pm iZ\}.$$

The Pauli group for  $n$  qubits is simply generated, via the tensor product, by the operators in  $\mathcal{G}_1$ , which are generated by the Pauli matrices  $X, Y, Z$ .  $H$  is unitary. So, it remains to check that  $H$  is in  $C_1$ . Using the fact that  $H^\dagger = H^{-1} = H$ , we can simply check that  $HXH, HYH, HZH \in \mathcal{P}_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} HXH &= Z \in \mathcal{P}_1 \\ HZH &= X \in \mathcal{P}_1 \\ HYH &= -Y \in \mathcal{P}_1 \end{aligned}$$

And we're done!

(c) To check that the  $CNOT$  gate is in  $C$ , we check that it is in  $C_2$ .  $CNOT$  is unitary, so we check that  $CNOT g CNOT^\dagger$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_2$  for all generators  $g$  of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ . The generators of  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are once again  $X, Y, Z$  tensored with the identity matrix either on the first or second qubit. This means there are six cases:

$$\begin{aligned} CNOT X \otimes I CNOT^\dagger &= X \otimes X \in \mathcal{P}_2 \\ CNOT Y \otimes I CNOT^\dagger &= Y \otimes X \in \mathcal{P}_2 \\ CNOT Z \otimes I CNOT^\dagger &= Z \otimes I \in \mathcal{P}_2 \\ CNOT I \otimes X CNOT^\dagger &= I \otimes X \in \mathcal{P}_2 \\ CNOT I \otimes Y CNOT^\dagger &= Z \otimes Y \in \mathcal{P}_2 \\ CNOT I \otimes Z CNOT^\dagger &= Z \otimes Z \in \mathcal{P}_2 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Here, the  $CNOT$  gate in consideration is one where the first qubit is the control. However, since the other  $CNOT$  gate only differs on on which qubits it uses as control and target, we only need to check one of the two  $CNOT$ s.

(d) We want to check that  $T \notin C_1$ . Consider  $g = X \in \mathcal{P}_1$ .

$$TXT^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\pi/4} \\ e^{i\pi/4} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathcal{P}_1.$$

So  $T$  is not in the Clifford group.

## 2. Gotta erase workbits in Simon's algorithm!

Let's consider an example for this problem. Suppose we have 3 qubits in the first register and 3 qubits in the second register, all initialized to zero:  $|0^3\rangle|0^3\rangle$ . After the first run of Simon's algorithm and measurement, let's set that the second register ends up in some state  $|f(j_0)\rangle$ . Now suppose we don't reset this state to  $|0^n\rangle$  and run the algorithm again. After the Hadamard transform on the first register and application of the oracle, the state of the system is

$$\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |j\rangle |f(j_0) \oplus f(j)\rangle.$$

After the second Hadamard transform on the first register, the state of the system is

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{j \cdot k} |k\rangle \right) |f(j_0) \oplus f(j)\rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{j \cdot k} |k\rangle \right) |f(j_0) \oplus f(j)\rangle.$$

## 3. Simon's algorithm

(a) Recall Simon's algorithm. After the second application of the Hadamard transform to the first register, the state of the system is

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{j \cdot k} |k\rangle \right) |f(j)\rangle.$$

Now we want to compute the probability of seeing a pair of values  $|k\rangle|f(j)\rangle$ . This is equal to the square of the amplitude on this state. How many values of  $j$  produce  $f(j)$ ? Suppose there are  $2L$  different  $j$ 's for which  $f(j)$  gives the same output. Then they must be  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_L, j_1 \oplus c, j_2 \oplus c, \dots, j_L \oplus c\}$  since  $\oplus$  is symmetric. This means that the amplitude for  $|k\rangle|f(j)\rangle$  has the form

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^L \left( (-1)^{j_1 \cdot k} + (-1)^{(j_1 \oplus c) \cdot k} \right) = \frac{1}{2^n} (1 + (-1)^{c \cdot k}) \sum_{i=1}^L (-1)^{j_i \cdot k}$$

This amplitude is nonzero only if  $c \cdot k = 0 \pmod{2}$ , so **YES** the quantum part of Simon's algorithm still always return a binary string with  $c \cdot k = 0 \pmod{2}$ .

(b) The probability of measuring a state with  $f = 1$  is

$$p = \Pr(f = 1) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |(1 + (-1)^{c \cdot k})(-1)^{d \cdot k}|^2 = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \quad \forall c \neq 0.$$

I'm not exactly sure how to prove the identity above, but according to my calculation in Mathematica this appears to be the answer. There is probably some clever argument which I haven't had enough time to come up with.

Let the number of failures  $k - 1$  before the first success. The probability for this event is

$$\Pr(X = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1} p.$$

The expected value for the random variable  $X$ , the number of measurements before seeing  $f = 1$ , is

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \Pr(X = k) = \frac{1}{p}.$$

So the answer is that we are expected to measure  $\boxed{2^{n-1}}$  times.

The amplitude of the pair  $|k\rangle |f(j)\rangle$  in the registers is

$$\frac{1}{2^n} (1 + (-1)^{c \cdot k}) \sum_{i=1}^L (-1)^{j_i \cdot k}$$

Here,  $L = 1$  for  $j = d$  and  $L = 2^{n-1} - 1$  otherwise. This amplitude is nonzero only if  $c \cdot k = 0 \pmod{2}$ . The probability associated with finding a  $k$  that is perpendicular to  $c$  is thus:

$$\frac{1}{2^{2n-2}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} (-1)^{j_i \cdot k} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}}$$

Notice that the sum inside the absolute square has an odd number of terms, so it is nonzero. As a result, if we find any  $|k\rangle |f(j)\rangle$  after our measurement, then that  $k$  string has the property  $k \cdot c = 0$ . The probability for this is also on the order of  $1/2^{2n-2}$ . Following the same argument as that given in the lecture notes, the number of times we have to run the algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .

#### 4. Partial transpose

(a) Suppose  $M$  is separable, i.e.,

$$M = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| \otimes |w_i\rangle \langle w_i|$$

where  $\lambda_i$ 's are positive. Then the partial transpose of  $M$  according to the definition in the problem is

$$pt(M) = \sum_i \lambda_i (|v_i\rangle \langle v_i|)^T \otimes |w_i\rangle \langle w_i|.$$

Since  $\Pi_i = |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|$  are orthogonal projections, the matrices  $(|v_i\rangle \langle v_i|)^T$  are also orthogonal projections. We may very well consider the transposition as a unitary change of basis in the first qubit and write

$$pt(M) = \sum_i \lambda_i |v'_i\rangle \langle v'_i| \otimes |w_i\rangle \langle w_i|.$$

It is clear that the spectrum of  $pt(M)$  is exactly the same as that of  $M$  in this case, so  $pt(M)$  must also be positive. However, we could also be explicit: Let  $x = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |v'_i\rangle \langle w_j|$ . Then

$$\langle x | pt(M) | x \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |c_{ii}|^2 \geq 0.$$

And we're done.

(b) The density matrix for  $|\psi\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$  is

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From here we find

$$pt(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are  $-1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2$ , so  $pt(\rho)$  is not non-negative which implies that  $\rho$  is not separable in view of Part (a).

### 5. Teleporting a qutrit directly

Instead of embedding the qutrit in a set of qubits of higher dimensions, we can teleport qutrits directly. Let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , the cube root of unity. Define

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The analogue of the EPR pair is the state

$$|EPR_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)$$

and the analogue of the Pauli matrices are the nine matrices  $P^a T^b$ , with  $0 \leq a, b < 3$ . We teleport the qutrit as follows:

Alice has some qutrit in state  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle$ . She now measures her two qutrits (the qutrit in state  $|\psi\rangle$  and her half of the  $EPR_3$  pair) in the  $EPR_3$  pair basis which consists of nine states in the form

$$(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^a T^b)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle).$$

Alice will then send her measurement result (one of the nine possible ones) to Bob, and Bob will apply one of nine unitaries to his qutrit to obtain  $\psi$ . In particular, if Alice sees a state associated with  $P^a T^b$ , then Bob applies  $P^a T^b$  to his qutrit. Below we will show explicitly.

Here we show the nine-element basis for Alice's measurement, the resulting state on Bob's qutrit, and Bob's corrective unitary for each case:

$$\begin{aligned} (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^0 T^0)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})\mathcal{I}(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^0 T^0 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^1 T^0)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P^2(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^1 T^0 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^2 T^0)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P^1(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^2 T^0 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^0 T^1)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})T^2(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^0 T^1 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^1 T^1)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P^2 T^2(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^1 T^1 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^2 T^1)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P T^2(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^2 T^1 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^0 T^2)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})T(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^0 T^2 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^1 T^2)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P^2 T(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^1 T^2 \\ (1/\sqrt{3})(\mathcal{I} \otimes P^2 T^2)(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle) &\rightarrow |B\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})P T(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle + \gamma|2\rangle) \rightarrow P^2 T^2. \end{aligned}$$