

Coherent + Squeezed States

a^\dagger, a in H.O.

Recall the H.O. Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 \hat{x}^2 = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\text{With } \hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

$$\hat{p} = -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

The annihilation/creation ops. satisfy

$$\{\hat{a}, \hat{a}\} = 0, \{\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger\} = 0, \{\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger\} = 1$$

Coherent States

Consider the state obtained by acting with a translation on the vacuum:

$$|C_{x_0}\rangle = T_{x_0}|0\rangle$$

where $T_{x_0} \equiv e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} x_0 \hat{p}}$

$|C_{x_0}\rangle$ is an example of a "coherent state".

Using BCH, one may show that

$$T_{x_0}^\dagger \hat{p} T_{x_0} = \hat{p}$$

$$T_{x_0}^\dagger \hat{x} T_{x_0} = \hat{x} + x_0$$

$$\text{thus } T_{x_0}^\dagger H T_{x_0} = H + m\omega^2 x_0 \hat{x} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x_0^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle C_{x_0} | H | C_{x_0} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x_0^2$$

This is exactly what we expect for a classical particle located at x_0

Time evolution in coherent state

$$\text{Using } [H, \hat{a}] = -\hbar\omega \hat{a}$$

we can compute the t -evolution of observables in a coherent state:

$$|C_{x_0}(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} |C_{x_0}\rangle$$

$$\text{1st } \langle C_{x_0} | \hat{x} | C_{x_0} \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{x} + x_0 | 0 \rangle = x_0$$

$$\text{2nd } \langle C_{x_0} | \hat{p} | C_{x_0} \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{p} | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\text{So } \langle C_{x_0}(t) | \hat{x} | C_{x_0}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \langle C_{x_0} | e^{i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} \hat{x} e^{-i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\text{BCH}}{=} \langle C_{x_0} | \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} e^{i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) e^{-i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle C_{x_0} | (\hat{a} + [i\frac{H}{\hbar}t, \hat{a}] + \dots + (\hat{a}^\dagger + [i\frac{H}{\hbar}t, \hat{a}^\dagger] + \dots) | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle C_{x_0} | (e^{-i\omega t} \hat{a} + e^{i\omega t} \hat{a}^\dagger) | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= \langle C_{x_0} | \cos(\omega t) \hat{x} + \frac{1}{m\omega} \sin(\omega t) \hat{p} | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= x_0 \cdot \cos(\omega t)$$

Exactly like a classical particle in x^2 potential

Similarly,

$$\langle C_{x_0}(t) | \hat{p} | C_{x_0}(t) \rangle$$

$$= -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} \langle C_{x_0} | e^{i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) e^{-i\frac{H}{\hbar}t} | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} \langle C_{x_0} | e^{-i\omega t} \hat{a} - e^{i\omega t} \hat{a}^\dagger | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= \langle C_{x_0} | \cos(\omega t) \hat{p} - m\omega \sin(\omega t) \hat{x} | C_{x_0} \rangle$$

$$= -m\omega x_0 \sin(\omega t)$$

just like the classical result!

$$\text{Define } d \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}$$

$$\text{then } |C_{x_0}\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{2}d} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})\right) |0\rangle$$

Generalize this structure

$$|\alpha\rangle = \exp(\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a}) |0\rangle$$

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle$$

↖ "Zassenhaus formula"

Note that

$$\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$$

↑
Eigenstate of \hat{a} (show on pset)

⇒ we find:

$$\langle \alpha | \hat{x} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{d}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} | \alpha \rangle = d\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$$

$$\langle \alpha | \hat{p} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{i\hbar}{\sqrt{2}d} \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a} | \alpha \rangle = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{2}}{d} \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$$

So in complete generality

we may write:

$$\alpha = \frac{\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{\sqrt{2}d} + i\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}\hbar} \langle \hat{p} \rangle$$

Squeezed states

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\zeta^* \hat{a}^2 - \zeta \hat{a}^{\dagger 2})\right) |0\rangle = |S(\zeta)\rangle$$

$S(\zeta)$

$$\text{For } \zeta \in \mathbb{R} \quad S(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} S(\zeta) = \cosh \zeta \hat{a} - \sinh \zeta \hat{a}^\dagger$$

$$S(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger S(\zeta) = \cosh \zeta \hat{a}^\dagger - \sinh \zeta \hat{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{x} S(\zeta) = e^{-\zeta} \hat{x}$$

$$S(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{p} S(\zeta) = e^\zeta \hat{p}$$

→ so we "squeeze" the uncertainty

between \hat{p} and \hat{x} !

if $\zeta > 0$, \hat{x} uncertainty ↓

\hat{p} uncertainty ↑

if $\zeta < 0$, \hat{x} uncertainty ↑

\hat{p} uncertainty ↓

Squeezed states still saturate uncertainty principle!