

## PH312: Reflection #9

Huan Q. Bui

April 22, 2021

### 1. The shower curtain effect

1. *Possible explanation 1: Bernoulli's principle.* This explanation basically says that when we turn on the shower, the spray creates a low-pressure zone due to the motion of water. Meanwhile, the pressure outside the shower curtain stays the same. This pressure difference makes the curtain move in. (Source: [\[NPR\] Arggh, Why Does the Shower Curtain Attack Me?](#)).
2. *Possible explanation 2: Horizontal vortex formation.* David Schmidt's computer simulation shows that the spray drives a vortex that is perpendicular to the shower curtain. The center of this vortex, as we discussed in class, is a low-pressure region. This pulls the shower curtain in. Since the shower doesn't stop, the vortex is sustained, and the curtain stays pulled in. (Source: [\[Scientific American\] Why Does the Shower Curtain Move Toward the Water?](#))
3. *Possible explanation 3: Coanda effect.* There's a tendency of water in motion to adhere to a surface of the curtain. This tendency pulls the curtain inward towards the water. (Source: [\[Straight dope\] Why does the shower curtain blow in despite the water pushing it out \(revisited\)?](#))

I think the shower-curtain effect is possibly a combination of these effects. To be completely honest with you I like none of these explanations. Why can't we do an experiment and settle the discussion? To test #3, can we spray some hydrophobic coating onto the shower curtain and see if the pulling effect changes? To test #2, how about varying the speed, direction, and the spread of the water coming of the shower head? If the horizontal vortex theory is true, then change the location and strength of the vortex should change how the curtain behaves. To test #1, can we vary the speed at which the water comes out and see what happens? I also came across other explanations that have to do with convection due to water vs. air temperatures. Can we run experiments that take into account water temperature as well?

### 2. Bernoulli's principle, misused...

1. *Equal transit theory:* This theory assumes that the "parcels of air" that separate at the wing's leading edge must rejoin at the trailing edge, and so because the top parcel travels further due to the shape of the wing, it must go faster. The flaw here is of course the "equal transit" assumption, which is actually unfounded. There are experiments that show that this theory doesn't hold. (Source: [\[Scientific American\] No One Can Explain Why Planes Stay in the Air](#))
2. Incorrect explanation from some MIT 16.00: Introduction to Aerospace Engineering and Design. ([Theory of Flight](#)). I also found this page by NASA ([Incorrect Theories](#)) which lists two other (false) explanations: the "skipping stone" explanation and "Venturi theory".

So it's clear that there are a lot of misconceptions about the origins of lift. Every now and then there is an article which basically says "nobody knows how planes fly:" *The secret to airplane flight. No one really knows.* in the National Newspaper, 2012. *There's No One Way to Explain How Flying Works* in Wired Magazine, 2018. *No One Can Explain Why Planes Stay in the Air.* in the Scientific American magazine, 2020, etc.

3.
  - *How do wings work?* Babinsky, Phys. Educ. (2003).
  - Understanding wing lift. Silva and Soares, Phys. Educ. (2010).