

Name: **Huan Q. Bui**
Course: **8.421 - AMO I**
Problem set: **#1**
Due: Friday, Feb 11, 2022.

1. Rabi problem.

a) The Hamiltonian of the system in the Schrödinger picture is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_S(t) &= \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_R \cos \omega t \\ \omega_R \cos \omega t & \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_1 & \omega_R(e^{-i\omega t} + e^{i\omega t}) \\ \omega_R(e^{-i\omega t} + e^{i\omega t}) & 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

where we chosen a basis where $|1\rangle = (1 \ 0)^\top$ and $|2\rangle = (0 \ 1)^\top$ and used the fact that the coupling between state $|2\rangle$ and state $|1\rangle$ is $\hbar\omega_R \cos \omega t$. Let us go to rotating frame via the operator

$$T = e^{i\sigma_z \omega t/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\omega t/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose purpose is to transform our system such that the new Hamiltonian associated with the transformed system is time-independent¹. We have the following relations between the rest frame and rotating frame:

$$\text{States: } |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle = T^\dagger |\psi(t)\rangle \quad \text{Operators: } A_{\text{rot}}(t) = T^\dagger A(t) T.$$

The Schrödinger equation in the rotating frame can be obtained from the Schrödinger equation in the rest frame. From

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle &= i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} (T |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle) \\ &= i\hbar \dot{T} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle + i\hbar T \frac{d}{dt} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{H}_S(t) |\psi(t)\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{H}_S(t) T |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $|\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle$ satisfies the equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle = (T^\dagger \mathcal{H}_S(t) T - i\hbar T^\dagger \dot{T}) |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle.$$

With this we may define the rotating frame Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}(t) = T^\dagger \mathcal{H}_S(t) T - i\hbar T^\dagger \dot{T}$$

so that

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle = \mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}(t) |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle.$$

Since both T and $\mathcal{H}_S(t)$ are given, we may compute $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}(t)$ explicitly. Using Mathematica, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}(t) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega + 2\omega_1 & \omega_R(1 + e^{-2i\omega t}) \\ \omega_R(1 + e^{2i\omega t}) & -\omega + 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹I'm explicitly avoiding the language "T transforms the original Hamiltonian into a time-independent Hamiltonian" because we actually don't have $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}} = T^\dagger \mathcal{H}_S T$.

Before going any further with the calculation, we may *naively* drop the rapidly rotating term $e^{\pm 2i\omega t}$ in the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}(t)$. Following this step, the approximate rotating-frame Hamiltonian is time-independent:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\approx}(t) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega + 2\omega_1 & \omega_R \\ \omega_R & -\omega + 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Mathematica code:

```
In[2]:= (*dubious approach*)

(*define H*)
In[5]:= H = h*{{w1, wR*Cos[w*t]}, {wR*Cos[w*t], w2}};

(*go to rotating frame*)
In[10]:= Hrot =
TrigToExp[
FullSimplify[
ConjugateTranspose[T] . H . T -
I*h*ConjugateTranspose[T] . D[T, t],
Assumptions -> {w > 0, t > 0}]] // FullSimplify

Out[10]= {{1/2 h (w + 2 w1),
1/2 (1 + E^(-2 I t w)) h wR}, {1/2 (1 + E^(2 I t w)) h wR,
h (-(w/2) + w2)}}
```

While the result is nice, this approach is **dubious** since it implicitly assumes that ω is large and does not utilize the requirement that the driving field is near resonance. We should use a more legitimate method to simplify the problem: **rotating frame approximation** (RWA). The steps are as follows: we first transform the original Hamiltonian into the interaction picture, make the RWA, then transform the Hamiltonian back, and then go to the rotating frame.

The original Hamiltonian may be decomposed into a field-free part and a perturbation part:

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1(t) = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_R(e^{-i\omega t} + e^{i\omega t}) \\ \omega_R(e^{-i\omega t} + e^{i\omega t}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The interaction Hamiltonian is then

$$\mathcal{H}_{1,I}(t) = e^{i\mathcal{H}_0 t/\hbar} \mathcal{H}_1(t) e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 t/\hbar} = \frac{\hbar\omega_R}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i(\omega+\omega_0)t} + e^{+i(\omega-\omega_0)t} \\ e^{+i(\omega+\omega_0)t} + e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where we have defined $\omega_0 = \omega_2 - \omega_1$. Here, we observe that since the drive is near resonance, the $e^{\pm i(\omega-\omega_0)t}$ terms vary very slowly compared to the rapidly oscillating $e^{\pm i(\omega+\omega_0)t}$ terms. Therefore, we may approximate $\mathcal{H}_{1,I}(t)$ by dropping the latter:

$$\mathcal{H}_{1,I}^{\text{RWA}}(t) = \frac{\hbar\omega_R}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{+i(\omega-\omega_0)t} \\ e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Transforming back to the Schrödinger picture, we find:

$$\mathcal{H}_S^{\text{RWA}}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0 + e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 t/\hbar} \mathcal{H}_{1,I}^{\text{RWA}}(t) e^{+i\mathcal{H}_0 t/\hbar} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_1 & \omega_R e^{i\omega t} \\ \omega_R e^{-i\omega t} & 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, to proceed with the problem, let us go rotating frame:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\text{RWA}} &= T^\dagger \mathcal{H}_S^{\text{RWA}}(t) T - i\hbar T^\dagger \dot{T} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega + 2\omega_1 & \omega_R \\ \omega_R & -\omega + 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly what we found before, but in a more responsible way.

Mathematica code:

```

(*define H1*)
In[3]:= H1 = (h/2)*{{0,
wR*(E^(-I*w*t) + E^(I*w*t))}, {wR*(E^(+I*w*t) + E^(-I*w*t)), 0}};

(*define H0*)
In[4]:= H0 = h*{{w1, 0}, {0, w2}};

(*H1 in interaction picture*)
In[34]:= H1I =
TrigToExp[MatrixExp[I*H0*t/h] . H1 . MatrixExp[-I*H0*t/h]];

(*H1 in RWA, back to Schrodinger picture*)
In[25]:= H1RWA = (h*wR/2)*
TrigToExp[
MatrixExp[-I*H0*t/h] . {{0,
E^(+I*t*(w - (w2 - w1)))}, {E^(-I*t*(w - (w2 - w1))), 0}} .
MatrixExp[+I*H0*t/h]] // FullSimplify;

(*New Schrodinger Hamiltonian after RWA*)
In[33]:= HRWA = H1RWA + H0;

(*Rotating frame transformation T*)
In[28]:= T = MatrixExp[I*PauliMatrix[3]*w*t/2];

(*full Hamiltonian, after RWA and going into rotating frame*)
In[32]:= HRWArrot =
FullSimplify[
ConjugateTranspose[T] . HRWA . T -
I*h*ConjugateTranspose[T] . D[T, t], Assumptions -> {w > 0, t > 0}]

(*result!*)
Out[32]= {{1/2 h (w + 2 w1), (h wR)/2}, {(h wR)/2, h (-(w/2) + w2)}}

```

Now, let us make the following symmetrization. Let $\omega_{\text{avg}} = (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$ and $\omega_0 = \omega_2 - \omega_1$, then we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\text{RWA}} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega + 2\omega_1 & \omega_R \\ \omega_R & -\omega + 2\omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega - \omega_0 & \omega_R \\ \omega_R & -\omega + \omega_0 \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\text{avg}} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{\text{avg}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us go a step further and define the **detuning** $\delta = \omega - \omega_0$ to get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\text{RWA}} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta & \omega_R \\ \omega_R & -\delta \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \omega_{\text{avg}} \mathbb{I}.$$

The eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian are

$$E_{\pm} \pm \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\delta^2 + \omega_R^2} + \hbar \omega_{\text{avg}} = \pm \frac{\hbar \Omega_R}{2} + \hbar \omega_{\text{avg}}$$

where we have defined the generalized Rabi frequency $\Omega_R = \sqrt{\delta^2 + \omega_R^2}$. To get eigenvectors, we solve the system

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\text{RWA}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = E_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

under the normalization condition $|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 = 1$. By inspection, we must have that

$$c_2 = \frac{E_{\pm} - \hbar \omega_{\text{avg}} - \hbar \delta / 2}{\hbar \omega_R / 2} c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[1 + \left(\frac{2E_{\pm}}{\hbar \omega_R} - \frac{2\omega_{\text{avg}}}{\omega_R} - \frac{\delta}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right] |c_1|^2 = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\pm \sqrt{\delta^2 + \omega_R^2}}{\omega_R} - \frac{\delta}{\omega_R} \right)^2 \right] |c_1|^2 = 1$$

Let us call $\cos \phi = \delta/\Omega_R$ and $\sin \phi = \omega_R/\Omega_R$. For E_+ , we can simplify:

$$1 = |c_1|^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{\sin \phi} - \cot \phi \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\cos^2(\phi/2)} \implies c_1 = \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \implies c_2 = \sin \frac{\phi}{2}.$$

We can do the same for E_- and get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= +\cos \frac{\phi}{2} \implies c_2 = \sin \frac{\phi}{2} & \text{for } E_+ \\ c_1 &= -\sin \frac{\phi}{2} \implies c_2 = \cos \frac{\phi}{2} & \text{for } E_- \end{aligned}$$

from which we can express the eigenvectors in terms of the stationary basis vectors $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} |+\text{rot}(t)\rangle &= +\cos \frac{\phi}{2} |1\rangle + \sin \frac{\phi}{2} |2\rangle \\ |-\text{rot}(t)\rangle &= -\sin \frac{\phi}{2} |1\rangle + \cos \frac{\phi}{2} |2\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we have arbitrarily picked a phase to get "nice" results. This lets us write the wavefunction in the rotating frame at $t = 0$ as

$$|\psi_{\text{rot}}(0)\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle = |1\rangle = \cos \frac{\phi}{2} |+\text{rot}(t)\rangle - \sin \frac{\phi}{2} |-\text{rot}(t)\rangle,$$

from which we can derive its time evolution (also in the rotating frame):

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t)\rangle &= e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\text{rot}}^{\text{RWA}}t/\hbar} |\psi_{\text{rot}}(0)\rangle \\ &= \cos \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-iE_+t/\hbar} |+\text{rot}(t)\rangle - \sin \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-iE_-t/\hbar} |-\text{rot}(t)\rangle \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[\cos \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-i\Omega_R t/2} |+\text{rot}(t)\rangle - \sin \frac{\phi}{2} e^{+i\Omega_R t/2} |-\text{rot}(t)\rangle \right] \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[\cos \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-i\Omega_R t/2} \left(\cos \frac{\phi}{2} |1\rangle + \sin \frac{\phi}{2} |2\rangle \right) - \sin \frac{\phi}{2} e^{+i\Omega_R t/2} \left(-\sin \frac{\phi}{2} |1\rangle + \cos \frac{\phi}{2} |2\rangle \right) \right] \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[\left(\cos^2 \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-i\Omega_R t/2} + \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} e^{+i\Omega_R t/2} \right) |1\rangle + \left(e^{-i\Omega_R t/2} - e^{+i\Omega_R t/2} \right) \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} |2\rangle \right] \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[\left(\cos \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} - i \cos \phi \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} \right) |1\rangle - i \sin \phi \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} |2\rangle \right] \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[\left(\cos \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} - i \frac{\delta}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} \right) |1\rangle - i \frac{\omega_R}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} |2\rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the wavefunction $|\psi(t_1)\rangle$ in the lab frame we have to transform it back via

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_1)\rangle &= T |\psi_{\text{rot}}(t_1)\rangle \\ &= \boxed{e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t_1} \left[e^{i\omega t_1/2} \left(\cos \frac{\Omega_R t_1}{2} - i \frac{\delta}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t_1}{2} \right) |1\rangle - i e^{-i\omega t_1/2} \frac{\omega_R}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t_1}{2} |2\rangle \right]} \end{aligned}$$

b) The probability of finding the system in state $|2\rangle$ at time t_1 is

$$\boxed{P_2(t_1) = |\langle 2 | \psi_{\text{rot}}(t_1) \rangle|^2 = \frac{\omega_R^2}{\Omega_R^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Omega_R t_1}{2} \right)}$$

c) We wish to evolve the lab frame wavefunction

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[e^{i\omega t/2} \left(\cos \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} - i \frac{\delta}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} \right) |1\rangle - i e^{-i\omega t/2} \frac{\omega_R}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} |2\rangle \right].$$

under the field-free, time-independent Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{free}} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that with the associated unitary time evolution operator

$$U(t) = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\text{free}}t/\hbar}$$

we have, for $t > t_1$,

$$|\psi(t > t_1)\rangle = e^{-i\omega_{\text{avg}}t} \left[e^{-i\omega_1 t} e^{i\omega t/2} \left(\cos \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} - i \frac{\delta}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} \right) |1\rangle - i e^{-i\omega_2 t} e^{-i\omega t/2} \frac{\omega_R}{\Omega_R} \sin \frac{\Omega_R t}{2} |2\rangle \right]$$

2. Density Matrix Formalism. We may parameterize the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 & \omega_R e^{-i\omega t} \\ \omega_R e^{i\omega t} & -\omega_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in terms of the Pauli matrices as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\omega_R \cos(\omega t) \hat{\sigma}_x + \omega_R \sin(\omega t) \hat{\sigma}_y + \omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z).$$

In view of the von Neumann equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \dot{\rho} &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\dot{r}_x \hat{\sigma}_x + \dot{r}_y \hat{\sigma}_y + \dot{r}_z \hat{\sigma}_z) \\ &= [\mathcal{H}, \rho] \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4} [\omega_R \cos(\omega t) \hat{\sigma}_x + \omega_R \sin(\omega t) \hat{\sigma}_y + \omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z, r_x \hat{\sigma}_x + r_y \hat{\sigma}_y + r_z \hat{\sigma}_z]. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\rho = (\mathbb{I} + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})/2$. By calculating each $\hat{\sigma}_i$ term in the commutator, we can find three differential equations for \vec{r} , each associated with a component r_i . Using the fact that

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$$

we immediately find the following three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_x : \quad \dot{r}_x &= \omega_R \sin(\omega t) r_z - \omega_0 r_y \\ \hat{\sigma}_y : \quad \dot{r}_y &= \omega_0 r_x - \omega_R \cos(\omega t) r_z \\ \hat{\sigma}_z : \quad \dot{r}_z &= \omega_R \cos(\omega t) r_y - \omega_R \sin(\omega t) r_x \end{aligned}$$

If we now call

$$\vec{\Omega} = (\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z) = (\omega_R \cos(\omega t), \omega_R \sin(\omega t), \omega_0)^\top$$

then it is clear that

$$\dot{r}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega_j r_k.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r},$$

as desired. This is a nice result which states that the motion of the **Bloch vector** \vec{r} for a generic two-level system whose Hamiltonian takes the form $\mathcal{H} = -\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{B}$ is given by $d\vec{r}/dt = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}$ where $|\vec{r}|$ is a constant and \vec{r} precesses about $\vec{\Omega}$. Through this particular example we also see that the motion of the Bloch vector for a two-level system subjected to some off-diagonal perturbation corresponds exactly to that of a classical magnetic moment in a magnetic field.

Notice further that we have made no assumption about the purity of the system. The fact that $|\vec{r}|$ remains constant in time implies that the purity $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = (1 + |\vec{r}|^2)/2$ is also constant, i.e. unitary (Hamiltonian) time evolution preserves the purity. In the special case where ρ describes a pure state, we see that the system remains a pure state.

3. Atomic Units.

a) Given $E_A = e/a_0^2$, the energy of the electrostatic potential is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{stat}} = \frac{e}{a_0^2}(ea_0) = \frac{e^2}{a_0}.$$

The energy due to quantum confinement may be assumed to be the kinetic energy of the electron, which comes from an angular momentum of $\sim \hbar$, and so

$$\mathcal{E} \sim m_e v^2 = m_e \left(\frac{L}{m_e a_0} \right)^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e a_0^2}.$$

Equating these two energies we find

$$a_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e e^2} \quad \text{in Gaussian units.}$$

For comparison, the SI-unit definition for the Bohr radius is

$$a_0 = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2}{m_e e^2},$$

which we would get if we were using SI units.

b) Suppose that we have an electron is orbiting a proton in a circle of radius a_0 . We may treat this as a current and calculate the magnetic field produced at the center. Biot-Savart law says that

$$dB = \frac{\mu_0 I d\vec{l} \times \vec{r}}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi a_0^2} dl$$

for this particular geometry. Here the current I may be computed via

$$I = \frac{e}{\tau} = \frac{ev}{2\pi a_0} = \frac{e}{2\pi a_0} \frac{\hbar}{m_e a_0} = \frac{e\hbar}{2\pi m_e a_0^2}$$

where we have assumed once again that the electron has angular momentum $L = \hbar = m_e v a_0$. Plugging I into the preceding equation and integrate over the electron orbit we find that, in SI units,

$$B_N^{SI} = \oint dB = 2\pi a_0 \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi a_0^2} \frac{e\hbar}{2\pi m_e a_0^2} = \frac{\mu_0 e\hbar}{4\pi m_e a_0^3}$$

We can now write B_N^{SI} in terms of E_A^{SI} :

$$B_N^{SI} = \frac{\mu_0 e\hbar}{4\pi m_e a_0^3} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar}{m_e} \frac{m_e e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2} \frac{e}{a_0^2} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{e^2}{\hbar} E_A^{SI} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \alpha c 4\pi\epsilon_0 E_A^{SI} = \boxed{\frac{\alpha}{c} E_A^{SI}}$$

where we have used $1/c = \sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$.

c) Working in SI units, the interaction energy between a Bohr magneton and a magnetic field B_H is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \mu_B B_H^{SI} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} B_H^{SI}.$$

The Hartree is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_H = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e a_0^2}.$$

Setting $\mathcal{E}_H = \mathcal{E}$ gives

$$B_H^{SI} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e a_0^2} \frac{2m_e}{e\hbar} = 2 \left(\frac{\hbar}{e^2} \right) \frac{e}{a_0^2} = \frac{2}{c} \left(\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c}{e^2} \right) \left(\frac{e}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0^2} \right) = \frac{2}{c\alpha} E_A^{SI} \rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{c\alpha} E_A^{SI}}$$

where we have used $\alpha = e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c$, the definition of the fine structure constant in SI units, and ignored the factor of 2 as instructed.

d) From Part (c) we have

$$B_H^{SI} = \frac{1}{c\alpha} E_A^{SI}$$

To convert this into Gaussian units we invoke the following rules: $B_N^{SI}/B_N^G = \sqrt{\mu_0/4\pi}$ and $E_A^{SI}/E_A^G = 1/\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}$, which give

$$\boxed{B_H^G} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\mu_0}} \frac{1}{c\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}} E_A^G = \boxed{\frac{1}{\alpha} E_A^G}$$

where we have used $1/c = \sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$.

Similarly we can work out what B_N^G is in terms of E_A^G :

$$\boxed{B_N^G} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\mu_0}} \frac{\alpha}{c} E_A^{SI} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\mu_0}} \frac{\alpha}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}} E_A^G = \boxed{\alpha E_A^G}$$

In both case we have also used the fact that the (dimensionless) fine-structure constant α has the same numerical value in SI and Gaussian units.

e) At first glance we see that $B_N^G \sim \alpha^2 B_H^G$, so B_N^G is much smaller compared to B_H^G . A quick calculation in WolframAlpha gives us

$$B_N^{SI} \approx 12.5 \text{ T} = 125 \text{ kG}$$

whereas

$$B_H^{SI} \approx 235 \text{ kT} = 2.35 \text{ GG}.$$

We see that it makes more sense to use B_N as an atomic unit for magnetic fields, as it is closer (in numerical value) to relevant field strengths that we have in the lab (for MOT/BEC/Feshbach resonances, etc.).