

8.422 AMO II - Slides on Photon detection and correlation

February 27, 2023

Detecting photons

Electric Field operator

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) &= \sum_j \mathcal{E}_j \vec{e}_j \left(\hat{a}_j e^{-i\vec{k}_j \cdot \vec{r} - i\omega_j t} + \hat{a}_j^\dagger e^{i\vec{k}_j \cdot \vec{r} + i\omega_j t} \right) \\ &= \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) + \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t)\end{aligned}$$

$\vec{E}^{(+)}$ - positive frequency part \Rightarrow photon annihilation

$\vec{E}^{(-)}$ - negative frequency part \Rightarrow photon creation

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

Detecting photons

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

The field changes some initial state of the system $|i\rangle$.

Detecting photons

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

The field changes some initial state of the system $|i\rangle$.

The probability amplitude to end up in some final state $|f\rangle$ is

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

Detecting photons

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

The field changes some initial state of the system $|i\rangle$.

The probability amplitude to end up in some final state $|f\rangle$ is

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

A ideal photon detector works by annihilating a photon from the light field, in a frequency-independent way. It measures the probability that *any* transition has occurred due to photon annihilation:

$$P = \sum_f |\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle|^2$$

Detecting photons

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

The field changes some initial state of the system $|i\rangle$.

The probability amplitude to end up in some final state $|f\rangle$ is

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

A ideal photon detector works by annihilating a photon from the light field, in a frequency-independent way. It measures the probability that *any* transition has occurred due to photon annihilation:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_f |\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_f \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) | f \rangle \langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Detecting photons

To detect photons, one uses photon absorption in one way or another, i.e. photoionization. So one measures $\vec{E}^{(+)}$.

The field changes some initial state of the system $|i\rangle$.

The probability amplitude to end up in some final state $|f\rangle$ is

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

A ideal photon detector works by annihilating a photon from the light field, in a frequency-independent way. It measures the probability that *any* transition has occurred due to photon annihilation:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_f |\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_f \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) | f \rangle \langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$P = \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

Detecting photons

A more general measurement records photon absorption at two (or more) different places and/or times (delayed coincidences).

Transition for such a process:

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

Detecting photons

A more general measurement records photon absorption at two (or more) different places and/or times (delayed coincidences).

Transition for such a process:

$$\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

Probability:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_f |\langle f | \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle|^2 \\ &= \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Detecting photons

Generalizing to a density matrix ρ describing our knowledge of the initial state of the system, e.g. $\rho = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ for a mixed state, we need

$$P = \sum_i p_i \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle$$

Detecting photons

Generalizing to a density matrix ρ describing our knowledge of the initial state of the system, e.g. $\rho = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ for a mixed state, we need

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_i p_i \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\rho \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Detecting photons

Generalizing to a density matrix ρ describing our knowledge of the initial state of the system, e.g. $\rho = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ for a mixed state, we need

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_i p_i \langle i | \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) | i \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\rho \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

or for coincidences

$$P = \text{Tr} \left(\rho \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}, t) \right)$$

Correlation functions

A more general function, relevant to describe non-ideal photon detectors, but also conceptually to understand coherence, is the first-order correlation function

$$G^{(1)}(\vec{r}t, \vec{r}'t') = \text{Tr} \left(\rho \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}, t) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}', t') \right)$$

and the second-order correlation function

$$G^{(2)}(\vec{r}_1 t_1 \vec{r}_2 t_2, \vec{r}_3 t_3 \vec{r}_4 t_4) = \\ \text{Tr} \left(\rho \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}_1, t_1) \vec{E}^{(-)}(\vec{r}_2, t_2) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}_3, t_3) \vec{E}^{(+)}(\vec{r}_4, t_4) \right)$$

Generalization to general n -photon coherence functions is straightforward.

Correlation functions

More convenient for quantitative analysis are the normalized versions

$$g^{(1)}(\vec{r}t, \vec{r}'t') = \frac{G^{(1)}(\vec{r}t, \vec{r}'t')}{(G^{(1)}(\vec{r}t, \vec{r}t)G^{(1)}(\vec{r}'t', \vec{r}'t'))^{1/2}}$$

and

$$g^{(2)}(\vec{r}_1t_1\vec{r}_2t_2, \vec{r}_3t_3\vec{r}_4t_4) = \frac{G^{(2)}(\vec{r}_1t_1\vec{r}_2t_2, \vec{r}_3t_3\vec{r}_4t_4)}{\prod_{j=1}^4 (G^{(1)}(\vec{r}_jt_j, \vec{r}_jt_j))^{1/2}}$$

Application: Two-photon correlation measurements, e.g. Hanbury Brown-Twiss experiment. Tells us about coherent vs chaotic vs non-classical light.