

Name: **Huan Q. Bui**
 Course: **8.422 - AMO II**
 Problem set: **#6**
 Due: Friday, Mar 31, 2022
 Collaborators:

1. Rayleigh and Thomson scattering using two different interaction Hamiltonians. Here we have that $|i\rangle = |a, \mathbf{k}\epsilon\rangle$ and $|f\rangle = |a, \mathbf{k}'\epsilon'\rangle$

(a) Let us first calculate \mathcal{T}_{fi} for Rayleigh and Thomson scattering for the electric-dipole Hamiltonian.

Rayleigh scattering, electric-dipole Hamiltonian: There are two possible processes with different intermediate states. One possibility is that the intermediate state is $|b, 0\rangle$ and the other is $|b, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, \mathbf{k}'\epsilon'\rangle$. The interaction Hamiltonian is:

$$H'_I = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp(\mathbf{0}) = i\mathcal{E}_\omega(a\epsilon - a^\dagger\epsilon) = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V}}(a - a^\dagger)\mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon.$$

This Hamiltonian couples the initial and final states to the intermediate states of the process. We first calculate the relevant couplings between the initial state and the intermediate states:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b, 0 | H'_I | a, \mathbf{k}\epsilon \rangle &= -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V}} \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle \\ \langle b, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, \mathbf{k}'\epsilon' | H'_I | a, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, 0 \rangle &= i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V}} \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Similar results can be obtained the couplings between the intermediate states and the final state $|f\rangle$.

In the perturbative expansion for \mathcal{T}_{fi} , the first-order term $\langle f | H'_I | i \rangle$ vanishes since the interaction Hamiltonian does not couple the initial and final states directly. As a result, the first (potentially) non-vanishing term is second-order. With this, let us calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \langle f | H'_I \frac{1}{E_i - H_0 + i\eta} H'_I | i \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{E_a + \hbar\omega - E_b} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_a - \hbar\omega - E_b} \right]$$

Since $\hbar\omega \ll |E_b - E_a|$ in Rayleigh scattering, we may write

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_a - E_b}.$$

Thomson scattering, electric-dipole Hamiltonian: The calculation here is similar to the one above. Let's rewrite the full result here first:

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \langle f | H'_I \frac{1}{E_i - H_0 + i\eta} H'_I | i \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{E_a + \hbar\omega - E_b} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_a - \hbar\omega - E_b} \right]$$

In the limit $\hbar\omega \gg |E_b - E_a|$, the two terms in the expression above cancel. As a result, we have to expand them to next order of $s = (E_b - E_a)/\hbar\omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{fi} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{1 - s} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{-1 - s} \right] \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \frac{E_b - E_a}{\hbar\omega} [\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle]\end{aligned}$$

By replacing $(E_a - E_b) \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$ by $(i\hbar q/m) \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$, and then using the closure relation over b we find that

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_0 V} \frac{-\hbar^2 q^2}{m^2} \sum_b \frac{E_b - E_a}{\hbar\omega} \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{(E_a - E_b)^2} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{(E_a - E_b)^2} \right].$$

How do we simplify this? First we replace $E_b - E_a$ by E_I the ionization energy. Then we introduce the closure relation over b . After this, we get terms that go like p^2 . Taking the $1/m$ from the prefactor we get $p^2/2m$ which we set to be equal to E_I , so all the E_I 's simplify and we're left with only terms involving ϵ and ϵ' . After all this is done, we get a factor of 2 in the numerator, and the expression simplifies to

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_{m,n} \delta_{m,n} (\mathbf{e}_m \cdot \epsilon) (\mathbf{e}_n \cdot \epsilon') = \frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon').$$

Rayleigh scattering, Coulomb-gauge interaction Hamiltonian: The Coulomb-gauge interaction Hamiltonian is

$$H_I = H_{I1} + H_{I2} = -\frac{q}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{q^2}{2m} \mathbf{A}^2(\mathbf{0}).$$

Let us first deal with H_{I1} . Since the possible intermediate states are $|b, 0\rangle$ and $|b, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, \mathbf{k}'\epsilon'\rangle$, the relevant couplings are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, 0 | H_{I1} | a, \mathbf{k}\epsilon \rangle &= -\frac{q}{m} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega}} \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle \\ \langle b, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, \mathbf{k}'\epsilon' | H_{I1} | a, \mathbf{k}\epsilon, \mathbf{0} \rangle &= -\frac{q}{m} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega}} \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since H_{I1} does not directly couple $|i\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$, only the second order term of H_{I1} contributes. This means

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} = \frac{q^2}{m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{E_a + \hbar\omega - E_b} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_a - \hbar\omega - E_b} \right].$$

Next we look at H_{I2} :

$$H_{I2} = \frac{q^2}{2m} \mathbf{A}^2(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon} \sum_{\mathbf{k}', \epsilon'} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon') (a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} + a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^\dagger) (a_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'} + a_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}^\dagger).$$

Since H_{I2} contains terms like $a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} a_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}^\dagger$, $a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}$, it couples the initial and final states directly. This means it suffices to calculate the first order term in the expansion for $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}} = \frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon').$$

With these, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} + \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}}.$$

Let's look at $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$. For Rayleigh scattering, $\hbar\omega \ll |E_a - E_b|$. If we simply ignore the $\hbar\omega$ in the denominators of $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$ then the result will be canceled out by $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}}$. Here's why: Suppose we make the naive simplification by setting $\hbar\omega \rightarrow 0$ in the denominators, then we find that

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} = \frac{q^2}{m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{E_a - E_b} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_a - E_b} \right].$$

By replacing $\langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$ by $(m/i\hbar)(E_b - E_a) \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$ and invoking the closure relation over b , we find

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} = -\frac{q^2 m^2}{\hbar^2 m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_b (E_b - E_a) [\langle a | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle].$$

We immediately recognize that the summation can be written in terms of the oscillator strengths, which sum to unity:

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} = -\frac{q^2 m^2}{\hbar^2 m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \sum_b 2f_{ab} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon') = -\frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon')$$

which cancels $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}}$.

As a result, we can't just ignore $\hbar\omega$. Rather, we have to expand the terms in $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$ in $s = \hbar\omega/(E_b - E_a)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} &= \sum_b \frac{1}{E_b - E_a} \left[\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle \frac{1}{s - 1} + \langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle \frac{1}{-s - 1} \right] \\ &= \sum_b \frac{1}{E_b - E_a} [\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle (-1 - s - s^2) + \langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle (-1 + s - s^2)] \end{aligned}$$

The zeroth order terms in the expansion of the denominators are cancelled by the $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}}$ for the same reason why we have to perform this expansion in the first place. The first order terms in the expansion cancel each other, so we're left with only the second order terms. By replacing $\langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$ by $(m/i\hbar)(E_b - E_a) \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle$ and invoking the closure relation over b , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{fi} &= \frac{q^2 m^2}{\hbar^2 m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\hbar\omega)^2 \sum_b \frac{1}{E_a - E_b} [\langle a | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{r} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle] \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2\epsilon_0 V} \sum_b \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{d} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{E_b - E_a}, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we found before.

Thomson scattering, Coulomb-gauge interaction Hamiltonian: The first few steps of the calculation is the same as above. We begin to differ when the comparison between $\hbar\omega$ and $|E_b - E_a|$ comes in. For Thomson scattering, $\hbar\omega \gg (E_b - E_a)$, so we may ignore the contribution due to $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$ since the two terms in its expression cancel each other out. If we're being careful, we will have to expand $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$ to next order in $s = (E_b - E_a)/\hbar\omega$ to check that we can actually do this:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} &= \frac{q^2}{m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \sum_b \left[\frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle}{1 - s} + \frac{\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle}{-1 - s} \right] \\ &= \frac{q^2}{m^2} \frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \omega} \frac{1}{(\hbar\omega)^2} \sum_b (E_b - E_a) [\langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | a \rangle + \langle a | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon | b \rangle \langle b | \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon' | a \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_b - E_a \sim E_I$ the ionization energy, we can bring $E_b - E_a$ out of the numerator and invoke the closure relation over the intermediate states b . The remaining terms look like $p^2/2m$ which we set to the ionization energy as well. In the end, we find that

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}} \sim \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}} \left(\frac{E_I}{\hbar\omega} \right)^2.$$

Given that $\hbar\omega \gg E_I$, we see that $\mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}} \gg \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I1}}$, so we can rightfully ignore the latter. With this result we can confidently say that

$$\mathcal{T}_{fi} = \mathcal{T}_{fi}^{H_{I2}} = \frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon').$$

- (b) How do we calculate the total cross section? First we calculate the transition probability per unit time per unit solid angle $\delta W_{fi}/\delta\Omega$ via Fermi's Golden rule. Then we divide this quantity by the photon flux to obtain the differential cross section. The total cross section is then obtained by integrating over the solid angles. For Thomson scattering:

$$\frac{\delta W_{fi}}{\delta\Omega} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\mathcal{T}_{fi}|^2 \rho(E' = E) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left(\frac{q^2}{2m} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 V \omega} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon') \right)^2 \frac{V}{8\pi^3} \frac{(\hbar c k)^2}{\hbar^3 c^3} = \frac{q^4}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 m^2 c^3 V} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon')^2.$$

Dividing this by the photon flux c/V , we obtain the differential cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{q^4}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 m^2 c^4} (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon')^2 = r_0^2 (\epsilon \cdot \epsilon')^2$$

where r_0 is the classical electron radius. To get the total cross section, let us pick $\epsilon = \hat{z}$. We now need to sum over $\epsilon' \perp \mathbf{k}'$ and do an angular average. Using Equation (55) in Complement A1 of API, we find

$$\sum_{\epsilon' \perp \mathbf{k}'} |\hat{z} \cdot \epsilon'|^2 = \epsilon' \cdot \epsilon'^* - \frac{(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{z})(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \hat{z}^*)}{k'^2} = 1 - \cos^2 \theta.$$

So, the total cross section for Thomson scattering is:

$$\sigma = r_0^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \sin \theta = \frac{8\pi}{3} r_0^2.$$

2. Long-range (Van der Waals) interaction between ground-state atoms. The electrostatic interaction between atoms a and b is described to first order by the dipole-dipole term:

$$H_{\text{el}}(R) = \frac{\mathbf{d}_a \cdot \mathbf{d}_b - 3(\mathbf{d}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}})(\mathbf{d}_b \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}})}{R^3}.$$

Here $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{nb} - \mathbf{R}_{na}$ is a position vector pointing from the nuclei of a to the nuclei of b . We will use time-independent perturbation theory to calculate the effect of H_{el} .

- (a) Since there is no average dipole moment on either atom due to spherical symmetry, the first non-vanishing term in the series for the perturbed ground state energy of the system is given by second-order perturbation theory:

$$\Delta E = \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{\langle g_a g_b | H_{\text{el}} | i_a i_b \rangle \langle i_a i_b | H_{\text{el}} | g_a g_b \rangle}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}}.$$

- (b) Here we express our answer to Part (a) in terms of oscillator strengths. To start, let us put our atoms in Cartesian coordinates. Let $\mathbf{r}_A = (x_A, y_A, z_A)$ and $\mathbf{r}_B = (x_B, y_B, z_B)$ and $R = (X, Y, Z)$ where we assume atom A to be at the origin. The dipole-dipole Hamiltonian thus becomes

$$H_{\text{el}} = e^2 \left[\frac{x_A x_B + y_A y_B + z_A z_B}{R^3} - 3 \frac{(x_A X + y_A Y + z_A Z)(x_B X + y_B Y + z_B Z)}{R^5} \right]$$

Let us take \vec{R} to be in the z -axis, then $X = Y = 0$, and $R = Z$. So we have

$$H_{\text{el}} = e^2 \frac{x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b}{R^3}.$$

With this, we can evaluate the ground state energy shift:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E &= \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{\langle g_a g_b | H_{\text{el}} | i_a i_b \rangle \langle i_a i_b | H_{\text{el}} | g_a g_b \rangle}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}} \\ &= \frac{e^4}{R^6} \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{\langle g_a g_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b | i_a i_b \rangle \langle i_a i_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b | g_a g_b \rangle}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}}. \end{aligned}$$

How do we express this in terms of oscillator strengths? This seems rather complicated with multiple cross terms, so to detangle things let us look at an example where we have two hydrogen atoms, and then generalize from there.

Hydrogen example: Let us consider the case where the ground states are $|nlm\rangle = |100\rangle$ and excited states are $|nlm\rangle = |200\rangle, |210\rangle, |21\pm 1\rangle$. Let us compute the complicated-looking numerator using the hydrogen wavefunctions in Mathematica. First, we note that $\langle 100 | r_{j,a} r_{j,b} | 200 \rangle = 0$ for all $j = x, y, z$. So we simply ignore this contribution. Moreover, if one of the i_a or i_b is g_a or g_b then the numerator also vanishes. Thus we only consider cases where $i_a, i_b \in \{|210\rangle, |21\pm 1\rangle\}$. There are nine cases, but since the computed values will be real, we need to consider only 6 cases, then symmetrize.

This our example, all the excited state energies are the same, so we can bring the denominator outside of the sum. Furthermore, the ground state energy is also roughly 4 times larger than the excited state energies, so let us just ignore that and keep only the ground state energies. In the numerator, we find that contributions come from $|i_a i_b\rangle = |210\rangle \otimes |210\rangle, |211\rangle \otimes |21-1\rangle, |21-1\rangle \otimes |211\rangle$. Calling the first contribution k^2 , then the other contributions are $k^2/4$. Summing this up, we find that the numerator is $3k^2/2$.

Before writing down the final answers, let's look at what contributes to k^2 . By explicit calculation in Mathematica, one finds that the only term that contributes is $z_a z_b$. As a result, we may write:

$$\Delta E = \frac{3e^4}{2R^6} \frac{|\langle g_a | z_a | i_a \rangle|^2 |\langle g_b | z_b | i_b \rangle|^2}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b}}$$

where $|i_a\rangle = |i_b\rangle = |210\rangle$ and $|g_a\rangle = |g_b\rangle = |100\rangle$. In order to generalize this to the problem at hand, let us just say that the sum $\sum_{i_a i_b}$ is over relevant angular momentum states that effectively gives us the same expression.

Mathematica code for calculating the numerator:

```
In[475]:= State1Wfn = Psi100;
State2aWfn = Psi211m;
State2bWfn = Psi211p;
Xa = Integrate[
  x*Psi100*Conjugate[State2aWfn]*r^2*Sin[Theta], {r, 0,
  Infinity}, {Theta, 0, Pi}, {Phi, 0, 2 Pi}];
Xb = Integrate[
  x*Psi100*Conjugate[State2bWfn]*r^2*Sin[Theta], {r, 0,
  Infinity}, {Theta, 0, Pi}, {Phi, 0, 2 Pi}];
Ya = Integrate[
  y*Psi100*Conjugate[State2aWfn]*r^2*Sin[Theta], {r, 0,
  Infinity}, {Theta, 0, Pi}, {Phi, 0, 2 Pi}];
Yb = Integrate[
  y*Psi100*Conjugate[State2bWfn]*r^2*Sin[Theta], {r, 0,
  Infinity}, {Theta, 0, Pi}, {Phi, 0, 2 Pi}];
Za = Integrate[
  z*Psi100*Conjugate[State2aWfn]*r^2*Sin[Theta], {r, 0,
  Infinity}, {Theta, 0, Pi}, {Phi, 0, 2 Pi}];
```

```
Zb = Integrate[
  z*Psi100*Conjugate[State2bWfn]*r^2*Sin[\[Theta]], {r, 0,
    Infinity}, {\[Theta], 0, Pi}, {\[Phi], 0, 2 Pi}];
Abs[Xa*Xb + Ya*Yb - 2*Za*Zb]^2 // FullSimplify
```

Under the generalization considerations above, let us rewrite the expression for ΔE as:

$$\Delta E = \frac{3}{2} \frac{e^4}{R^6} \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{|\langle g_a | z_a | i_a \rangle|^2 |\langle g_b | z_b | i_b \rangle|^2}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}}.$$

In any case, in terms of the oscillator strengths $f_{ig} = 2m\omega_{ig} |\langle i | z | g \rangle|^2 / \hbar$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E &= \frac{4\hbar^2 e^4}{4R^6 m^2} \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{1}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}} \frac{f_{i_a g_a} f_{i_b g_b}}{\omega_{i_a g_a} \omega_{i_b g_b}} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^4 e^4}{R^6 m^2} \sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \frac{1}{(E_{g_a} - E_{i_a}) + (E_{g_b} - E_{i_b})} \frac{f_{i_a g_a} f_{i_b g_b}}{(E_{g_a} - E_{i_a})(E_{g_b} - E_{i_b})}. \end{aligned}$$

We could make the approximation that the excited state energies are smaller compared to the ground state energies in order to bring the denominator out of the sum, but okay we don't have to do that here.

Another way to arrive at this answer, without resorting to the generalization of the hydrogen atom, is to make the approximation that the excited state energies are all the same, so that we can pull the denominator to outside the summation. Once this is done, we can invoke the closure relation over the excited states, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i_a i_b \neq g_a g_b} \langle g_a g_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b | i_a i_b \rangle \langle i_a i_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b | g_a g_b \rangle \\ &\approx \langle g_a g_b | (x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b)^2 | g_a g_b \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now further assume that the ground state is spherically symmetry, then all the expectation values of the cross terms are zero. All that's left are contributions due to the x_a^2, y_a^2, \dots terms, which are simply a *third* of the expectation values of r_a^2, r_b^2 . We can then relate these quantities back to oscillator strengths and complete the problem. I haven't done this carefully but this is what I initially had in mind when the problem tells me to somehow eliminate the "annoying cross terms."

- (c) We can estimate C_6 using the approximation that the oscillator strength f_{ig} is large for only one transition $|g\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle$. The $|nS\rangle \rightarrow |(n+1)P\rangle$ transitions in alkali atoms are the classic examples, with $f \approx 0.98$. In terms of the static polarizability:

$$\alpha_g = 2e^2 \sum_i \frac{|\langle i | z | g \rangle|^2}{E_i - E_g},$$

we write, under the approximation E_{i_a}, E_{i_b} small relative to E_{g_a}, E_{g_b} :

$$\Delta E = \frac{4e^4}{R^6} \frac{1}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b}} \sum_{i_a} |\langle g_a | z_a | i_a \rangle|^2 \sum_{i_b} |\langle g_b | z_b | i_b \rangle|^2 = \frac{E_{g_a} E_{g_b}}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b}} \frac{\alpha_g^{(a)} \alpha_g^{(b)}}{R^6}.$$

We note that the ground state energies are negative (which could also be defined as *minus* the ionization energy, which is positive). From here, we see that the Van der Waals interaction *lowers* energy of the system. If we don't make the assumption that the excited state energies are small compared to the ground state energies then our final answer looks like:

$$\Delta E = \frac{(E_{g_a} - E_{i_a})(E_{g_b} - E_{i_b})}{E_{g_a} + E_{g_b} - E_{i_a} - E_{i_b}} \frac{\alpha_g^{(a)} \alpha_g^{(b)}}{R^6}.$$

3. Long-range interaction between an excited atom and a ground-state atom. In this problem we consider the case where one atom is in the excited state and one is in the ground state. For simplicity we model each atom as a two level system with one ground state and one excited state.

- (a) Since the states $|i_a g_b\rangle$ and $|g_a i_b\rangle$ are (near) degenerate, we must use degenerate first order perturbation theory. If we obtain non-zero shifts here, then we already know how the energy shifts depend on R : since the interaction appears only once in the calculation, we will have a $1/R^3$ dependence as opposed to $1/R^6$ which we have found before. This means that one there is one atom in the excited state and one in the ground state, the Van der Waals force is enhanced.

To make progress on this problem, let us set it up concretely. There are two (nearly) degenerate states which are $|1\rangle = |i_a g_b\rangle$ and $|2\rangle = |g_a i_b\rangle$. We need to diagonalize the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1| H_0 + H_{el} |1\rangle & \langle 1| H_0 + H_{el} |2\rangle \\ \langle 2| H_0 + H_{el} |1\rangle & \langle 2| H_0 + H_{el} |2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1| H_0 + H_{el} |1\rangle & \langle 1| H_{el} |2\rangle \\ \langle 2| H_{el} |1\rangle & \langle 2| H_0 + H_{el} |2\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's evaluate the matrix elements. Due to the near degeneracy, the diagonal elements are not identical. But let's not worry about that right now. Why? The point is that even though the diagonal elements are not zero, the near-degeneracy ensures that they are close to each other, which means we can simply take the average of the two energies to be the "degenerate level" and treat the corrections (to give us back the correct energies) as perturbations. In any case, since what we care about is the energy *shift* due to the dipole-dipole interaction let's just compute the off-diagonal matrix elements. Without further assumptions, we won't be able to calculate further, but okay let's just write everything out:

$$\langle 1| H_{el} |2\rangle = \langle i_a g_b | H_{el} | g_a i_b \rangle = \frac{e^2}{R^3} \langle i_a g_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - z_a z_b | g_a i_b \rangle = \frac{k^2}{R^3}.$$

Diagonalizing the matrix above gives eigenvalues with some offset and an energy shift due to the dipole-dipole interaction that goes like $\pm k/R^3$ where the associated eigenstates are some superposition of the initial states $|i_a g_b\rangle$ and $|g_a i_b\rangle$. The specifics will be determined after we have assumed what the ground and excited states actually are, but the point is that the interaction energy goes with separation distance like $1/R^3$. This interaction could be either repulsive or attractive, depending on the parity of the wavefunctions.

At which separation does perturbation theory become invalid? Perturbation theory becomes invalid when the magnitude of the perturbation is bigger than the energy scale set by the system (because then the basis $\{|i\rangle, |g\rangle\}$ is no longer a "good" basis). Here, we have that the energy scale of the system is the excitation energy, which is $\hbar\omega_{ge}^{(a)} \approx \hbar\omega_{ge}^{(b)}$. The separation at which perturbation fails is therefore roughly

$$R_0 \sim \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_{ge}^{(a)}}{e^2 k^2} \right)^{1/3}.$$

We also know that $k \sim a_0$, the Bohr radius, so we get

$$R_0 \sim \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_{ge}^{(a)}}{e^2 k^2} \right)^{1/3}.$$

- (b) For this part of the problem let us consider the hydrogen atom again. To further make our lives easier let us choose the two-state system to be given by $|nlm\rangle = |100\rangle$ and $|nlm\rangle = |210\rangle$. The setup is that we have two hydrogen atoms, one in the ground state $|100\rangle$ and one in the excited state $|210\rangle$. Our system of two atoms in two-fold generate: the energy of the state $|100\rangle_a \otimes |210\rangle_b$ is equal to the energy

of the state $|210\rangle_a \otimes |100\rangle_b$. From (truly) degenerate perturbation, the matrix we need to diagonalize is a 2×2 matrix with zeros on the diagonal and off-diagonal values of

$$\langle i_a g_b | H_{\text{el}} | g_a i_b \rangle = \frac{e^2}{R^3} \langle (210)_a (100)_b | x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2z_a z_b | (100)_a (210)_b \rangle = -\frac{e^2}{R^3} \frac{2^{16}}{3^{10}} a_0^2$$

The eigenvalues for this matrix are thus $\pm \frac{e^2}{R^3} \frac{2^{16}}{3^{10}} a_0^2$. We notice here the \pm sign. What does this mean? This simply means that depending on the state of the system, the atoms could be attracted or repelled from each other. In particular, if the atoms start out in the symmetric state $|+\rangle = (|(100)_a (210)_b\rangle + |(210)_a (100)_b\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ then they are repelled. And if the atoms start out in the antisymmetric state $|-\rangle = (|(100)_a (210)_b\rangle - |(210)_a (100)_b\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, then they attract.

Now the state $|(210)_a (100)_b\rangle$ is a super position of these two eigenstates: $(|+\rangle - |-\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Then after some time τ (which is dependent on R), the Hamiltonian time evolution tells us that the two-atom system will become $|(100)_a (210)_b\rangle$. The oscillation between this final state and the initial state continues, of course.

While I don't have a concrete proof, I believe that the specific case of the $1S \leftrightarrow 2P^0$ in hydrogen can be generalized to $nS \leftrightarrow (n+1)P$.

- (c) In this problem we want to relate the spontaneous decay rate of the atom and its long-range interaction coefficient. Let's just consider the transition $nS \leftrightarrow (n+1)P$ in an atom, let's say in some alkali or hydrogen-like atom. Recall from class that the rate of spontaneous emission from the $(n+1)P^0$, say, to the nS state is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{|\langle nS | ez | (n+1)P^0 \rangle|^2 \omega^3}{3\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c^3}.$$

Let's consider the case where $n = 1$, for ease of computation. Then we can calculate the dipole matrix element explicitly:

$$|\langle 1S | z | 2P^0 \rangle|^2 = \frac{2^{15}}{3^{10}} a_0^2,$$

which is 1/2 of the long-range interaction coefficient in Part (b), up to some constants. Calling the interaction coefficient in Part (b) C_3 , where $C_3 = e^2 a_0^2 (2^{16}/3^{10})$, we find that

$$C_3 = e^2 \frac{3\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c^3}{\omega^3} 2\Gamma.$$

This is actually not quite right since e^2 here is in cgs units, which is actually $e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0$ in SI units. So the result is really:

$$C_3 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{e^2 \hbar c^3}{\omega^3} \Gamma.$$

Since we made an assumption about the excited states, there might be some numerical factor in the answer that is dependent upon this assumption. However, the point is that C_3 is linearly dependent on Γ and is inversely proportional to ω^3 where ω is the frequency associated with the energy separation between the ground and excited states.