

Name: **Huan Q. Bui**  
 Course: **8.422 - AMO II**  
 Problem set: **#2**  
 Due: Friday, Feb 24, 2022.

## 2. When the mechanical momentum is not the canonical momentum

In this problem we will see that the motion of neutral atoms in a rotating frame can be described as the motion of a charged particle experiencing a scalar potential and an effective magnetic field. Consider free motion in the  $xy$ -plane. The transformation from the lab frame to a frame rotating at angular frequency  $\Omega$  about the  $z$ -axis is

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega t & \sin \Omega t \\ -\sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega t & -\sin \Omega t \\ \sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\implies \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega \sin \Omega t & -\Omega \cos \Omega t \\ \Omega \cos \Omega t & \Omega \sin \Omega t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega t & -\sin \Omega t \\ \sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}}(t) \\ \dot{\tilde{y}}(t) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- a) The kinetic energy of a particle of mass  $m$  in terms of the coordinates and velocities in the rotating frame is

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{2} m (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m [\Omega^2 (\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2) + 2\Omega (\tilde{x}\dot{\tilde{y}} - \dot{\tilde{x}}\tilde{y}) + (\dot{\tilde{x}}^2 + \dot{\tilde{y}}^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m [(\dot{\tilde{x}} - \Omega \tilde{y})^2 + (\dot{\tilde{y}} + \Omega \tilde{x})^2]. \end{aligned}$$

- b) The Lagrangian is just the kinetic energy from above:

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \dot{\tilde{x}}, \dot{\tilde{y}}, t) = \frac{1}{2} m [(\dot{\tilde{x}} - \Omega \tilde{y})^2 + (\dot{\tilde{y}} + \Omega \tilde{x})^2].$$

The canonical momenta are therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_x &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}} = m(\dot{\tilde{x}} - \Omega \tilde{y}) \\ \tilde{p}_y &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}} = m(\dot{\tilde{y}} + \Omega \tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

- c) By inspection,  $\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}_x\} = 1$  and  $\{\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ . Now we look at

$$\{m\dot{\tilde{x}}, m\dot{\tilde{y}}\} = m \left( \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{x}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} - \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{x}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} \right) + m \left( \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_y} - \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_y} \right).$$

From  $m\dot{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{p}_x + m\Omega \tilde{y}$  and  $m\dot{\tilde{y}} = \tilde{p}_y - m\Omega \tilde{x}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \{m\dot{\tilde{x}}, m\dot{\tilde{y}}\} &= m \left( \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{x}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} - \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{x}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} \right) + m \left( \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_y} - \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{y}}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \frac{\partial \dot{\tilde{x}}}{\partial \tilde{p}_y} \right) \\ &= m \left( -\frac{\Omega}{m} \right) + m \left( \frac{\Omega}{m} \right) \\ &= \boxed{2\Omega \neq 0 \text{ if } \Omega \neq 0} \end{aligned}$$

d) The Hamiltonian is the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{H} = (\dot{\tilde{x}}\tilde{p}_x + \dot{\tilde{y}}\tilde{p}_y) - \mathcal{L} = \frac{\tilde{p}_x^2}{2m} + \frac{\tilde{p}_y^2}{2m} - \Omega(\tilde{x}\tilde{p}_y - \tilde{y}\tilde{p}_x)$$

where we have written  $\dot{\tilde{x}}$  and  $\dot{\tilde{y}}$  in terms of  $\tilde{p}_x, \tilde{p}_y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ . We shall complete the squares to get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= \frac{\tilde{p}_x^2 + 2m\Omega\tilde{p}_x\tilde{y} + m^2\Omega^2\tilde{y}^2}{2m} + \frac{\tilde{p}_y^2 - 2m\Omega\tilde{p}_y\tilde{x} + m^2\Omega^2\tilde{x}^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}m\Omega^2(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2) \\ &= \frac{(\tilde{p}_x + m\Omega\tilde{y})^2 + (\tilde{p}_y - m\Omega\tilde{x})^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}m\Omega^2(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2) \\ &= \frac{(\vec{\tilde{p}} - q\vec{\tilde{A}})^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}m\Omega^2(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2) \\ &= \frac{(\vec{\tilde{p}} - q\vec{\tilde{A}})^2}{2m} + V_{\text{eff}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}).\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have re-written the Hamiltonian in terms of the vector potential  $\vec{\tilde{A}}$  where  $q\vec{\tilde{A}} = m\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\tilde{r}} = (-m\Omega\tilde{y}, m\Omega\tilde{x}, 0)$  and an effective scalar potential  $V_{\text{eff}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = -m\Omega^2(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2)/2$ , which we may refer to as the anti-trapping or centrifugal potential. In terms of electromagnetic theory, this "mechanical" potential can be rewritten as  $V_{\text{eff}} = q\phi$  where  $\phi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = -m\Omega^2(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2)/2q$  is the electric (scalar) potential. The effective magnetic field  $\vec{\tilde{B}}$  associated with the vector potential  $\vec{\tilde{A}}$  is

$$\vec{\tilde{B}} = \nabla \times \vec{\tilde{A}} = \frac{2m\Omega}{q}\hat{z} = \frac{2m\Omega}{q}\hat{\tilde{z}}.$$

The electric field associated with  $\phi$  and  $\vec{\tilde{A}}$  is

$$\vec{\tilde{E}} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial\vec{\tilde{A}}}{\partial t} = \frac{m\Omega^2}{q}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t A_x \\ \partial_t A_y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

e) The Hamiltonian not in terms of  $\vec{\tilde{A}}$  and  $V_{\text{eff}}$  is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\tilde{p}_x^2}{2m} + \frac{\tilde{p}_y^2}{2m} - \Omega(\tilde{x}\tilde{p}_y - \tilde{y}\tilde{p}_x)$$

Compared to the original Hamiltonian,  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{inertial}} = p_x^2/2m + p_y^2/2m$ , we see that all that is needed to describe the motion of a particle in the frame rotating about the z-axis at angular frequency  $\Omega$  is adding the operator

$$W(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{p}_y) = -\Omega(\tilde{x}\tilde{p}_y - \tilde{y}\tilde{p}_x)$$

This operator suffices because  $L_z = \tilde{x}\tilde{p}_y - \tilde{y}\tilde{p}_x$  is the generator of rotation about the z-axis. Since there is no other difference between the inertial and rotating frame apart from the fact that the latter is *rotating*, this operator should account for all the differences between the two frames. **Not sure what else to say here? The algebra says  $-\Omega L_z$  has to be in the new Hamiltonian, so there it must be.**

f) The equations of motion for the particle in the rotating frame are gotten from Hamilton's equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}m\dot{\tilde{x}} &= m\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\tilde{p}_x} = \tilde{p}_x - qA_x \\ m\dot{\tilde{y}} &= m\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\tilde{p}_y} = \tilde{p}_y - qA_y \\ \dot{\tilde{p}}_x &= -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\tilde{x}} = \Omega\tilde{p}_y \\ \dot{\tilde{p}}_y &= -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\tilde{y}} = -\Omega\tilde{p}_x.\end{aligned}$$

From these we find

$$\begin{aligned}
m\ddot{\vec{r}} &= m \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \tilde{p}_y - q \partial_t A_x \\ -\Omega \tilde{p}_x - q \partial_t A_y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} m\Omega(\dot{\tilde{y}} + \Omega \tilde{x}) - q \partial_t A_x \\ -m\Omega(\dot{\tilde{x}} - \Omega \tilde{y}) - q \partial_t A_y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} m\Omega \dot{\tilde{y}} \\ -m\Omega \dot{\tilde{x}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} m\Omega^2 \tilde{x} - q \partial_t A_x \\ m\Omega^2 \tilde{y} - q \partial_t A_y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= q\vec{\tilde{v}} \times \vec{B} + q\vec{E}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have ignored writing the  $z$ -components in the vector quantities since they are not relevant. The expressions for  $\vec{B}$  and  $\vec{E}$  in terms of the quantities that appear in these equations come from Part (d).

We see that in the rotating frame, the particle behaves like a charged particle experiencing a Lorentz force (combination of the electric force ( $q\vec{E}$ ) and magnetic force  $q\vec{\tilde{v}} \times \vec{B}$ ) due to a scalar potential and an effective magnetic field.

## 2. Quantum description of a charged particle in a uniform magnetic field - Landau levels.

The Hamiltonian for a charged particle of charge  $q > 0$  moving freely in the  $x - y$  plane in a uniform magnetic field  $\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$  pointing along the  $z$ -axis is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - q\vec{A})^2$$

Let us ignore motion along  $z$  and use the symmetric gauge  $\vec{A} = -\vec{r} \times \vec{B}/2 = (-yB/2, xB/2, 0)$ .

a) we obtain the classical equations of motion using the Lorentz force:

$$m\ddot{\vec{r}} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{\tilde{v}} \times \vec{B} = q\vec{\tilde{v}} \times \vec{B}$$

since we have implicitly assumed  $\phi = 0$  by writing the Hamiltonian that way. In component form, this equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{qB}{m} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ -\dot{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

From here we get two second-order equations for  $v_x$  and  $v_y$ :

$$\ddot{v}_x = -\omega_c^2 v_x \quad \ddot{v}_y = -\omega_c^2 v_y.$$

where  $\omega_c = qB/m$  is the cyclotron frequency. From the setup, we see that  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are 90-degree out of phase, so the motion is circular. The classical equations of motion are therefore

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega_c^2 x \quad \ddot{y} = -\omega_c^2 y$$

where  $x^2 + y^2 = (v_0/\omega_c)^2$  where  $v_0$  is the initial speed of the particle. Assuming that the center of the orbit is  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ , the classical trajectory of the particle is given by

$$x(t) = x_0 + \frac{v_0}{\omega_c} \cos(\omega_c t + \delta) \quad y(t) = y_0 + \frac{v_0}{\omega_c} \sin(\omega_c t + \delta)$$

- b) By completing the squares, we can transform the original Hamiltonian to that of a standard 2d harmonic oscillator with additional coupling to the angular momentum  $L_z = xp_y - yp_x$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{2m} \left( \vec{p} - q\vec{A} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{(p_x + qyB/2)^2 + (p_y - qx B/2)^2}{2m} \\
&= \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m} \frac{q^2 B^2}{4} (x^2 + y^2) - \frac{qB}{2m} (xp_y - yp_x) \\
&= \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{\omega_c}{2} \right)^2 (x^2 + y^2) - \frac{\omega_c}{2} L_z.
\end{aligned}$$

- c) Now we introduce the annihilation operators

$$\begin{aligned}
a_x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{x}{l_B} + i \frac{p_x l_B}{\hbar} \right) \\
a_y &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{y}{l_B} + i \frac{p_y l_B}{\hbar} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $[a_x, a_x^\dagger] = [a_y, a_y^\dagger] = 1$  and other commutators zero. Consider the Hamiltonian of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\text{h.o.}} &= \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2} \left( a_x^\dagger a_x + a_y^\dagger a_y + 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x^2}{l_B^2} + \frac{p_x^2 l_B^2}{\hbar^2} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y^2}{l_B^2} + \frac{p_y^2 l_B^2}{\hbar^2} - 1 \right) + 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{4} \left[ \frac{x^2 + y^2}{l_B^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{\hbar^2} (p_x^2 + p_y^2) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the commutation relation  $[x, p_x] = [y, p_y] = i\hbar$ . It is clear that the appropriate choice for  $l_B$  is such that

$$\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{4l_B^2} = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{\omega_c}{2} \right)^2 \implies l_B = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega_c}}.$$

With this choice for  $l_B$ , we can write

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{h.o.}} - \frac{\omega_c}{2} L_z.$$

It remains to express  $L_z$  in terms of  $a_x, a_y, a_x^\dagger, a_y^\dagger$ . To do this, we simply need to write  $x, y, p_x, p_y$  in terms of  $a_x, a_y, a_x^\dagger, a_y^\dagger$ :

$$x = \frac{l_B}{\sqrt{2}} (a_x + a_x^\dagger), \quad y = \frac{l_B}{\sqrt{2}} (a_y + a_y^\dagger), \quad p_x = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}il_B} (a_x - a_x^\dagger), \quad p_y = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}il_B} (a_y - a_y^\dagger).$$

With these,

$$L_z = xp_y - yp_x = \frac{\hbar}{2i} (a_x + a_x^\dagger) (a_y - a_y^\dagger) - \frac{\hbar}{2i} (a_y + a_y^\dagger) (a_x - a_x^\dagger) = i\hbar(a_x a_y^\dagger - a_x^\dagger a_y)$$

- d) Introduce annihilation operators for left-handed and right-handed circular motion about z:

$$a = \frac{a_x + ia_y}{\sqrt{2}} \quad b = \frac{a_x - ia_y}{\sqrt{2}}$$

We will now put  $L_z$  in terms of  $\hat{n}_a = a^\dagger a$  and  $\hat{n}_b = b^\dagger b$ . By instinct, consider the expression  $a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b &= \frac{1}{2} (a_x^\dagger - i a_y^\dagger) (a_x + i a_y) - \frac{1}{2} (a_x^\dagger + i a_y^\dagger) (a_x - i a_y) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (a_x^\dagger a_y - a_y^\dagger a_x - a_y^\dagger a_x + a_x^\dagger a_y) \\ &= i (a_x^\dagger a_y - a_y^\dagger a_x) \\ &= -\frac{L_z}{\hbar}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$L_z = \hbar(\hat{n}_b - \hat{n}_a).$$

e) From the previous parts, we find

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{h.o.}} - \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2}(\hat{n}_b - \hat{n}_a).$$

Notice further that we can relate  $\hat{n}_x$  and  $\hat{n}_y$  to  $\hat{n}_a$  and  $\hat{n}_b$ . This is not hard to see:

$$\hat{n}_a + \hat{n}_b = \hat{n}_x + \hat{n}_y.$$

So, we have

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2}(\hat{n}_x + \hat{n}_y + 1) - \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2}(\hat{n}_b - \hat{n}_a) = \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2}(\hat{n}_a + \hat{n}_b - \hat{n}_b + \hat{n}_a + 1) = \hbar\omega_c \left( \hat{n}_a + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

The eigenenergies are thus  $\hbar\omega_c/2, 3\hbar\omega_c/2, 5\hbar\omega_c/2, \dots$  since  $n_a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Within each Landau level there is a vast degeneracy. Each quantum state is characterized by  $n_a$  and  $m_z$ , where  $m_z\hbar$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_z$ . Notice that the energy does not depend on  $m_z$ , and that  $m_z$  appears implicitly in the Hamiltonian as the difference between  $n_a$  and  $n_b$ , with  $n_b$  also not appearing in the Hamiltonian. This tells us that there is a vast degeneracy for each value of  $n_a$ . Physically, the degeneracy can be seen from the classical solution: our system is infinite (the motion of the electron is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

f) Now we express observables  $x, y, v_x, v_y$  and the center of orbit variables  $x_0, y_0$  in terms of  $a, a^\dagger, b, b^\dagger$ .

g)

h)

### 3. Properties of the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$

a) Consider two coherent states  $|\alpha\rangle, |\beta\rangle$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Their overlap is

$$\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle =$$

b) Here we show that coherent states form an over-complete basis:

$$\int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| =$$

c) The displacement operator  $D(\alpha)$  is defined by  $D(\alpha)|0\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$ . Here we prove that

$$D(\alpha) = \exp[\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a]$$

- d) Consider the electric field operator  $E_x = i\mathcal{E} (a e^{ikz} - a^\dagger e^{-ikz})$  where  $\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{\hbar\omega/2\epsilon_0 V}$  is the electric field amplitude for one photon inside the cavity volume  $V$ . For a freely evolving coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle = |\alpha(t)\rangle$ , we first calculate the average electric field:

$$\langle E_x \rangle = \langle \alpha | E_x | \alpha \rangle$$

Next, we calculate the rms deviation of the electric field:

$$\sqrt{\langle \Delta E_x \rangle^2} = \sqrt{\langle \alpha | E_x^2 | \alpha \rangle - |\langle E_x \rangle|^2}$$

Why is  $\sqrt{\langle \Delta E_x \rangle^2}$  independent of time and field strength  $|\alpha|$ ? Why is the result the same as for the vacuum state  $\alpha = 0$ ?

#### 4. Pseudo-probability distribution plots.

- a)
- b)
- c)
- d)
- e)