

HYPERFINE QUANTUM BEATS & THE MAGIC ANGLE

Huan Q. Bui
December 4, 2020

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Magic-Angle Problem	2
3	Some quantum-beat theory	2
4	Hyperfine-structure quantum beats	4
5	Example: Linearly-polarized excitation and detection	7
6	Spatial Anisotropy of the Emitted Radiation	7
	Appendix A Quantum Beat Theory in the Density Matrix Formalism [4]	8
	Appendix B Multipole Expansion of the Quantum Beat Signal	12
	Appendix C Some angular-momentum graphical methods [3]	12
C.1	The basics	12
C.2	Rules for transforming graphs	13
C.3	Calculating $X(F_e, F'_e, F_i, F_f; p_0, p'_0, p, p')$	16
C.4	Calculating $Y(F_e, F'_e; k)$ and $Z(F_e, F'_e; k)$	18

1 Introduction

This document details some theory related to quantum beats, which occur in and can affect radiative lifetime measurements. Most of the mathematical ideas are synthesized from “*Hyperfine-structure quantum beats: application of the graphical methods of angular-momentum theory to the calculation of intensity profiles*” by Luypaert and Van Craen (1977) and Section 7.2: Quantum Beat Theory in the Density Matrix Formalism in “*Quantum Beats and Time-Resolved Fluorescence Spectroscopy*” by S. Haroche (1976).




Figure 1: Excitation-detection geometry using linear polarizers [1].

2 The Magic-Angle Problem

Problem 9.4 of [2] on polarized fluorescence: Consider an experiment where atoms are excited with linearly polarized light, and the emitted light passes through a linear polarizer before reaching the detector. Show that if the polarization vector of the exciting light forms the “magic angle” θ_m given by:

$$\theta_m = \arccos(1/\sqrt{3}) \approx 54.74^\circ$$

with the axis of the linear polarizer in front of the detector, then the detected signal is insensitive to the anisotropic part of the fluorescence.

Solution 1. *blah*

3 Some quantum-beat theory

Roughly speaking, quantum beats occur due to “interference” in the decay of a coherent superposition of closely-spaced atomic states $\{|e\rangle\}$ to some collection of the final states $\{|f\rangle\}$, where $\{|e\rangle\}$ is obtained by an pulsed laser with pulse duration $\Theta \ll \tau$, the mean lifetime of $\{|e\rangle\}$. The basic scheme is given by Figure 2.

A short pulse of resonant light of polarization \mathbf{e}_e excites an ensemble of atoms from a set of initial states $\{|i\rangle\}$ to $\{|e\rangle\}$. The decay $\{|e\rangle\} \rightarrow \{|f\rangle\}$ generates fluorescence light with intensity $I_{\text{tot}}(t)$. We are interested in the intensity $I(t)$ of a particular polarization \mathbf{e}_d of $I_{\text{tot}}(t)$.




Figure 2: Typical quantum-beat scheme [1].

In general (see Appendix A), we have

$$I(t) \propto \text{Tr}_e\{\rho_e(t)\mathfrak{D}\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho_e(t)$ is the density matrix of the excited state describing the time evolution of the excited state after the pulse, and \mathfrak{D} is the detection operator given in terms of the scaled-electric-dipole operator \mathbf{D} as

$$\mathfrak{D} = \sum_f (\mathbf{e}_d \cdot \mathbf{D}) |f\rangle \langle f| (\mathbf{e}_e^* \cdot \mathbf{D}). \quad (2)$$

$\rho_e(t)$ is simple so long as the following conditions are satisfied:

- The excitation is broadband, i.e., the spectral width of the exciting light is much bigger than the inverse of the duration of the pulse.
- The excitation is weakly-coupled to the atomic system, i.e., the duration of the pulse is much less than the average time between two successive photon absorptions by an atom.
- The duration of the pulse is shorter than the mean lifetime τ of $\{|e\rangle\}$, and is less than the inverse Bohr frequencies $\omega_{e,e'}$ corresponding to the excited-state energy differences.

Under these conditions (which I believe our experiment satisfies), the density matrix $\rho_e(t)$ has the following property:

$$\langle e | \rho_e(t) | e' \rangle = \sum_{ii'} \langle e | \mathbf{e}_e \cdot \mathbf{D} | i \rangle \langle i | \rho_i(-T) | i' \rangle \langle i' | \mathbf{e}_e^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | e' \rangle \exp[-(i\omega_{ee'} + \Gamma_e)t], \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_i(-T)$ is the density matrix of the initial state. Here, $\Gamma_e = \tau_e^{-1}$. Putting Eq. 3 into Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 we find

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) \propto \sum_{f, ii', ee'} & \langle e | \mathbf{e}_e \cdot \mathbf{D} | i \rangle \langle i | \rho_i(-T) | i' \rangle \langle i' | \mathbf{e}_e^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | e' \rangle \\ & \times \langle e' | \mathbf{e}_d \cdot \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | e \rangle \exp[-(i\omega_{ee'} + \Gamma_e)t]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This corresponds exactly to Eq. 21 in Appendix A. For a detailed derivation of this equation, see the rest of Appendix A, where the symbol for initial states i becomes g .

4 Hyperfine-structure quantum beats

Now we focus on quantum beats due to hyperfine splitting. The atoms are assumed to have a non-zero nuclear spin. [In our case](#), $I_K = 3/2$. In this case, the atomic states will be represented by

$$|a\rangle = |\alpha(J_a I) F_a M_a\rangle \equiv |F_a M_a\rangle, \quad a = i, e, f. \quad (5)$$

J_a denotes the total electronic angular momentum, I the nuclear spin, F_a the total angular momentum and M_a the quantum number corresponding to its projection on the z -axis. Finally, α stands for all other labels necessary to identify each state. We assume that initially, the ground state is unpolarized (or *totally mixed*), i.e., that $\rho_i(-T) \propto \mathbb{I}$, the identity matrix. In this case, the intensity $I(t)$ is slightly simplified:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) \propto & \sum_{F_e M_e, F'_e M'_e, F_i M_i, F_f M_f} \langle F_e M_e | \mathbf{e}_e \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_i M_i \rangle \langle F_i M_i | \langle F_i M_i | \mathbf{e}_e^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | F'_e M'_e \rangle \\ & \times \langle F'_e M'_e | \mathbf{e}_d \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_f M_f \rangle \langle F_f M_f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_e M_e \rangle \exp[-(i\omega_{F_e F'_e} + \Gamma_e)t]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The next step is to eliminate irrelevant quantum numbers in order to make the dependence on the characteristics of the atom more explicit. To this end, we will eliminate the quantum numbers M_F and sum over all F_i, F_f . Eliminating M_F 's requires reducing the electric-dipole matrix elements. We do this by making use of the Wigner-Eckart theorem and known reduction formulas. First, we eliminate the dependence on the M_F quantum numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_e M_e | \mathbf{e}_e \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_i M_i \rangle &= \sum_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} \langle F_e M_e | \mathbf{D}_{p_0} | F_i M_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (-1)^{F_e - M_e} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix} \langle F_e || \mathbf{D} || F_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (-1)^{F_e - M_e} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix} \langle (J_e I) F_e || \mathbf{D} || (J_i I) F_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_i M_i | \mathbf{e}_e^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | F'_e M'_e \rangle &= \sum_{p'_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} \langle F_i M_i | \mathbf{D}_{p'_0} | F'_e M'_e \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p'_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} (-1)^{F_i - M_i} \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 1 & F'_e \\ -M_i & p'_0 & M'_e \end{pmatrix} \langle F_i || \mathbf{D} || F'_e \rangle \\ &= \sum_{p'_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} (-1)^{F_i - M_i} \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 1 & F'_e \\ -M_i & p'_0 & M'_e \end{pmatrix} \langle (J_i I) F_i || \mathbf{D} || (J_e I) F'_e \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F'_e M'_e | \mathbf{e}_d \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_f M_f \rangle &= \sum_p (\mathbf{e}_d)_p \langle F'_e M'_e | \mathbf{D}_p | F_f M_f \rangle \\ &= \sum_p (\mathbf{e}_d)_p (-1)^{F'_e - M'_e} \begin{pmatrix} F'_e & 1 & F_f \\ -M'_e & p & M_f \end{pmatrix} \langle F'_e || \mathbf{D} || F_f \rangle \\ &= \sum_p (\mathbf{e}_d)_{p'_0} (-1)^{F'_e - M'_e} \begin{pmatrix} F'_e & 1 & F_f \\ -M'_e & p & M_f \end{pmatrix} \langle (J_e I) F'_e || \mathbf{D} || (J_f I) F_f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle F_f M_f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \cdot \mathbf{D} | F_e M_e \rangle &= \sum_{p'} (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} \langle F_f M_f | \mathbf{D}_{p'} | F_e M_e \rangle \\
&= \sum_{p'} (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} (-1)^{F_f - M_f} \begin{pmatrix} F_f & 1 & F_e \\ -M_f & p' & M_e \end{pmatrix} \langle F_f | |\mathbf{D}| | F_e \rangle \\
&= \sum_{p'} (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} (-1)^{F_f - M_f} \begin{pmatrix} F_f & 1 & F_e \\ -M_f & p' & M_e \end{pmatrix} \langle (J_f I) F_f | |\mathbf{D}| | (J_e I) F_e \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we further reduce the matrix elements $\langle (J' I) F' | \mathcal{O} | (J I) F \rangle$, introducing the 6j-symbol:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (J_e I) F_e | |\mathbf{D}| | (J_i I) F_i \rangle &= (-1)^{J_e + I + F_i + 1} \sqrt{(2F_e + 1)(2F_i + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} J_e & F_e & I \\ F_i & J_i & 1 \end{Bmatrix} \langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| | J_i \rangle \\
&= (-1)^{J_e + I + F_i + 1} \sqrt{(2F_e + 1)(2F_i + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & F_i & 1 \\ J_i & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| | J_i \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used symmetry relations of the 6j-symbol on the last line. Similarly, we find that

$$\langle (J_i I) F_i | |\mathbf{D}| | (J_e I) F_e' \rangle = (-1)^{J_i + I + F_e' + 1} \sqrt{(2F_i + 1)(2F_e' + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F_i & F_e' & 1 \\ J_e & J_i & I \end{Bmatrix} \langle J_i | |\mathbf{D}| | J_e \rangle.$$

$$\langle (J_e I) F_e' | |\mathbf{D}| | (J_f I) F_f \rangle = (-1)^{J_e + I + F_f + 1} \sqrt{(2F_e' + 1)(2F_f + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e' & F_f & 1 \\ J_f & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| | J_f \rangle.$$

$$\langle (J_f I) F_f | |\mathbf{D}| | (J_e I) F_e \rangle = (-1)^{J_f + I + F_e + 1} \sqrt{(2F_f + 1)(2F_e + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F_f & F_e & 1 \\ J_e & J_f & I \end{Bmatrix} \langle J_f | |\mathbf{D}| | J_e \rangle.$$

Putting these together, we can write the signal $I(t)$ as

$$I(t) \propto \sum_{\substack{F_e, F_e' \\ p p', p_0 p_0' \\ F_i, F_f}} (-1)^{p_0 + p_0' + p + p' + F_e + F_e' + F_i + F_f} (2F_e + 1)(2F_e' + 1)(2F_i + 1)(2F_f + 1) \quad (7)$$

$$\times (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p_0'} (\mathbf{e}_d)_{p'} (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} \exp[-(i\omega_{F_e F_e'} + \Gamma_e)t] |\langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| | J_i \rangle|^2 |\langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| | J_f \rangle|^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\times \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & F_i & 1 \\ J_i & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F_i & F_e' & 1 \\ J_e & J_i & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e' & F_f & 1 \\ J_f & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F_f & F_e & 1 \\ J_e & J_f & I \end{Bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{M_e M_e' \\ M_i M_f}} (-1)^{F_e - M_e + F_e' - M_e' + F_i - M_i + F_f - M_f} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 1 & F_e' \\ -M_i & p_0' & M_e' \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} F_e' & 1 & F_f \\ -M_e' & p & M_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_f & 1 & F_e \\ -M_f & p' & M_e \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
X(F_e, F_e', F_i, F_f; p_0, p_0', p, p') &= \sum_{\substack{M_e M_e' \\ M_i M_f}} (-1)^{F_e - M_e + F_e' - M_e' + F_i - M_i + F_f - M_f} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix} \\
&\times \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 1 & F_e' \\ -M_i & p_0' & M_e' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_e' & 1 & F_f \\ -M_e' & p & M_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_f & 1 & F_e \\ -M_f & p' & M_e \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will simplify this expression, using graphical methods for angular-momentum theory from [3]. Some of the rules used in the following calculation are summarized in Appendix C. From Appendix C.3, we find

$$X(F_e, F'_e, F_i, F_f; p_0, p'_0, p, p') = \sum_{kq} (2k+1) (-1)^{q+2F_f-F_e-F'_e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p_0 & p'_0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p & p' & -q \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_i & F_e & 1 \\ k & 1 & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & k & 1 \\ F_e & F_f & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where q are the z -projected quantum numbers of k . Plugging this expression into Eq. 7 we find

$$I(t) \propto \sum_{\substack{F_e, F'_e \\ pp', p_0 p'_0}} \sum_{\substack{F_i, F_f \\ kq}} (-1)^{q+2F_f+p_0+p'_0+p+p'+F_i+F_f} (2k+1) (2F_e+1) (2F'_e+1) \\ \times (2F_i+1) (2F_f+1) (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} (\mathbf{e}_d)_p (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} \exp[-(i\omega_{F_e F'_e} + \Gamma_e)t] |\langle J_e | \mathbf{D} | J_i \rangle|^2 |\langle J_e | \mathbf{D} | J_f \rangle|^2 \\ \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_e & F_i & 1 \\ J_i & J_e & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_i & F'_e & 1 \\ J_e & J_i & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F'_e & F_f & 1 \\ J_f & J_e & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_f & F_e & 1 \\ J_e & J_f & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_i & F_e & 1 \\ k & 1 & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & k & 1 \\ F_e & F_f & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p_0 & p'_0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p & p' & -q \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can simplify this. Notice that the selection rules on the $3j$ -symbols require that $p_0 + p'_0 + q = 0 = p + p' - q$. This means that

$$p_0 + p'_0 + p + p' = 0.$$

Moreover, let

$$Y(F_e, F'_e; k) = \sum_{F_i} (2F_i+1) (-1)^{2J_e+k+F_e+F'_e+I+J_i+F_i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_e & F_i & 1 \\ J_i & J_e & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F'_e & F_i & 1 \\ 1 & k & F_e \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} I & F_i & J_i \\ 1 & J_e & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

and

$$Z(F_e, F'_e; k) = \sum_{F_f} (2F_f+1) (-1)^{2J_e+k+F_e+F'_e+I+J_f+F_f} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F'_e & F_f & 1 \\ J_f & J_e & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_e & F_f & 1 \\ 1 & k & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} I & F_f & J_f \\ 1 & J_e & F_e \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

To write $I(t)$ in terms of Y, Z , we must perform some permutations within the $6j$ -symbols. This brings out some phase factors ([I will skip the details here](#)). After multiple simplifications we find

$$I(t) \propto \sum_{\substack{F_e, F'_e \\ pp', p_0 p'_0}} \sum_{kq} (-1)^{q-J_i+J_f} (2k+1) |\langle J_e | \mathbf{D} | J_i \rangle|^2 |\langle J_e | \mathbf{D} | J_f \rangle|^2 \exp[-(i\omega_{F_e F'_e} + \Gamma_e)t] (2F_e+1) \\ \times (2F'_e+1) (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} (\mathbf{e}_d)_p (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p_0 & p'_0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p & p' & -q \end{pmatrix} Y(F_e, F'_e; k) Z(F_e, F'_e; k). \quad (12)$$

The next step is to calculate $Y(F_e, F'_e; k)$ and $Z(F_e, F'_e; k)$, again using graphical methods (see Appendix C.4). Once that is done, we find

$$Y(F_e, F'_e; k) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ I & F_e & F'_e \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ J_i & 1 & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_e & F'_e & k \\ J_e & J_e & I \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ J_e & J_e & J_i \end{matrix} \right\}$$

and

$$Z(F_e, F'_e, k) = \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ I & F_e & F'_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ J_f & 1 & 1 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} F'_e & F_e & k \\ J_e & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ J_e & J_e & J_f \end{Bmatrix}.$$

Plugging these results back into Eq. 12 we get

$$I(t) \propto (-1)^{J_i - J_f} |\langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| |J_i\rangle|^2 |\langle J_e | |\mathbf{D}| |J_f\rangle|^2 \times \sum_{\substack{kq \\ F_e F'_e}} (-1)^q E_q^k U_{-q}^k A^k(F_e F'_e) B^k(F'_e F_e) \exp[-(i\omega_{F_e F'_e} + \Gamma_e)t] \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_q^k &= \sqrt{2k+1} \sum_{p_0 p'_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p_0 & p'_0 & q \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{e}_e)_{p_0} (\mathbf{e}_e^*)_{p'_0} \\ U_{-q}^k &= \sqrt{2k+1} \sum_{pp'} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p & p' & -q \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{e}_d)_p (\mathbf{e}_d^*)_{p'} \\ A^k(F_e F'_e) &= \sqrt{(2F_e+1)(2F'_e+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & F'_e & k \\ J_e & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ J_e & J_e & J_i \end{Bmatrix} \\ B^k(F'_e F_e) &= \sqrt{(2F_e+1)(2F'_e+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} F'_e & F_e & k \\ J_e & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ J_e & J_e & J_f \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Eq. 13 has all irrelevant quantum number eliminated. All of the excitation and detection polarization characteristics are contained in the terms E_q^k and U_{-q}^k . The terms $A^k(F_e F'_e)$ and $B^k(F'_e F_e)$ depend on the atomic quantum numbers and are transition-specific. The exponential term represents quantum beats. We will see that not all transition $J_i - J_e - J_f$ will exhibit quantum beats because $A^k(F_e F'_e)$ and/or $B^k(F'_e F_e)$ might vanish.

5 Example: Linearly-polarized excitation and detection

Now we are ready to consider the excitation/detection angle dependence in the experimental geometry given in Figure 3. Let the z -axis be defined by the polarization of the detection pulse \mathbf{e}_d . The direction of the detection polarization \mathbf{e}_e is defined by the polar angles θ and ϕ . Since we're dealing with on linearly polarized light and a linear polarizer, $p = p' = 0$. Thus, the detection-polarization dependent factor U_{-q}^k takes the form

$$U_{-q}^k = \sqrt{2k+1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $U_{-q}^k = 0$ unless $q = 0$. So, it suffices to find what E_0^k is.

6 Spatial Anisotropy of the Emitted Radiation




Figure 3: Excitation-detection geometry using linear polarizers [1].

A Quantum Beat Theory in the Density Matrix Formalism [4]

The density matrix formulation greatly simplifies many quantum-beat calculations. This is because the optical signals in a fluorescence experiment turn out to be proportional to the mean value of some atomic observable in the excited state e , which can be very easily expressed as a combination of components of the density matrix $\rho_e(t)$ of this state. The evolution of $\rho_e(t)$ due to the light excitation process, to the precession of the coherences in the atomic excited state and to spontaneous emission is adequately described by a set of linear differential equations, whose solution yields $\rho_e(t)$ and allows the explicit calculation of the atomic fluorescence signal as a function of time. Furthermore, the atomic density matrix $\rho_e(t)$ may be represented as an expansion over a set of spherical tensor operators among which only the scalar, dipolar and quadrupolar terms affect the fluorescence light.

Let us begin from the QED derivation of the quantum beat signal for a single-atom system. At $t = 0$, we assume that the system is prepared by the light pulse in the state

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |e_i, 0\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $|e_i, 0\rangle$ represents the atom in substate $|e_i\rangle$ with no photon present. α_i are of course the amplitudes, which depend on the characteristics of the pulse. At time t , we have

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i e^{-iE_i t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2} |e_i, 0\rangle + \sum_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon} C_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon}(t) |f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon\rangle.$$

This says that the initial states $|e_i, 0\rangle$ have been damped at the rate $\Gamma = 1/\tau$ of spontaneous emission (τ is a common decay rate for all of the e_i substates). $C_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon}(t)$ is the probability amplitude to find at time t the atom in the final state f with a photon of wave vector \mathbf{k} and polarization ϵ . From the **Wigner-Weisskopf theory of spontaneous emission**, one finds

$$C_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon} = \sum_i C_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{(i)}(t)$$

where

$$C_{f, \mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{(i)}(t) = \alpha_i E_{\mathbf{k}} \langle f | \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{-i(E_f + \hbar c k)t/\hbar} - e^{-iE_i t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2}}{\hbar c k - (E_i - E_f) + i\hbar\Gamma/2}. \quad (14)$$

This is obtained by plugging $|\psi(t)\rangle$ into the Schrödinger equation and solving for $C_{f,\mathbf{k},\epsilon}$ in a system of coupled differential equations (I will fill in the details shortly). But in any case, $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the electric field of a photon at frequency $\hbar ck$ and \mathbf{D} is the electric dipole operator of the atom. When $i = \{1, 2\}$, we find that $C_{f,\mathbf{k},\epsilon}$ is a sum of two terms, each corresponding to the emission from a given excited state $|e_i\rangle$. Each of these terms exhibits a resonance center around $\hbar ck = E_i - E_j$ with a width $\hbar\Gamma$. At resonance, each amplitude $C_{f,\mathbf{k},\epsilon}^{(i)}(t)$ is modulated at the Bohr frequency E_i/\hbar if to corresponding excited state.

The average photon counting rate of the detector located at point \mathbf{r} is equal to the expectation value at that point of the operator $E_{\mathbf{d}}^-(\mathbf{r})E_{\mathbf{d}}^+(\mathbf{r})$, which is the product of the positive and negative frequency parts of the electric field component along the direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}}$. So, this quantity is given by

$$S(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \psi(t) | E_{\mathbf{d}}^-(\mathbf{r}) E_{\mathbf{d}}^+(\mathbf{r}) | \psi(t) \rangle.$$

Now, writing $E_{\mathbf{d}}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r})$ in terms of the normal modes of the electro magnetic field (this is where QED comes it)

$$E_{\mathbf{d}}^+(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} E_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{d}} a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}},$$

$$E_{\mathbf{d}}^-(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'} E_{\mathbf{k}'} \epsilon'_{\mathbf{d}} a_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}^{\dagger} e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

where of course $a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{\dagger}$ are annihilation and creation operators in mode $\mathbf{k}\epsilon$. With this, we find an expression for the signal:

$$S(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \epsilon, \epsilon'} \sum_f \sum_{i,j} E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}'} C_{f,\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{(i)}(t) C_{f,\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}^{(j)*}(t) \epsilon_{\mathbf{d}} \epsilon'_{\mathbf{d}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{r}}.$$

Finally, plugging Eq. 14 into this expression yields

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{r}, t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \epsilon, \epsilon'} \sum_f \sum_{i,j} E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}'} \alpha_i E_{\mathbf{k}} \langle f | \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{-i(E_f + \hbar ck)t/\hbar} - e^{-iE_i t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2}}{\hbar ck - (E_i - E_f) + i\hbar\Gamma/2} \\ &\times \left[\alpha_j E_{\mathbf{k}'} \langle f | \epsilon' \cdot \mathbf{D} | e_j \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{-i(E_f + \hbar ck')t/\hbar} - e^{-iE_j t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2}}{\hbar ck' - (E_j - E_f) + i\hbar\Gamma/2} \right]^* \epsilon_{\mathbf{d}} \epsilon'_{\mathbf{d}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{r}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \epsilon, \epsilon'} \sum_f \sum_{i,j} E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 E_{\mathbf{k}'}^2 \langle f | \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle \alpha_i \alpha_j^* \langle e_j | \epsilon' \cdot \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \epsilon_{\mathbf{d}} \epsilon'_{\mathbf{d}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})} \\ &\times \frac{e^{-i(E_f + \hbar ck)t/\hbar} - e^{-iE_i t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2}}{\hbar ck - (E_i - E_f) + i\hbar\Gamma/2} \frac{e^{i(E_f + \hbar ck')t/\hbar} - e^{iE_j t/\hbar} e^{-\Gamma t/2}}{\hbar ck' - (E_j - E_f) - i\hbar\Gamma/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let $r_0 = |\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}|$ denote the distance between the atom and the detector, $k_0 = (E_e - E_f)/\hbar c$ is the average wavenumber of the detected optical transition, and $\omega_{ij} = (E_i - E_j)/\hbar$ is the Bohr frequency corresponding to the splitting between the states e_i and e_j , and $\theta(t - r_0/c)$ is the ordinary Heaviside function, equal to 1 if $t < r_0/c$ and to 0 otherwise, which allows for the propagation between the emitter and the detector. After **some non-trivial summing over all angular and energy parts which I have a vague idea of how to do...**, we find

$$S(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2} \frac{k_0^4}{r_0^2} \sum_f \sum_{i,j} \langle f | \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle \alpha_i \alpha_j^* \langle e_j | \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \theta\left(t - \frac{r_0}{c}\right) e^{-i\omega_{ij}(t - \frac{r_0}{c})} e^{-\Gamma(t - \frac{r_0}{c})}. \quad (15)$$

Notice the inverse square law that arises. Now, the product of the amplitudes $\alpha_i \alpha_j^*$ are the matrix elements between the states $|e_i\rangle$ and $|e_j\rangle$ of the excited state density matrix $\rho_e(t)$ evaluated at time $t = 0$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j^* = \langle e_i | \rho_e(0) | e_j \rangle.$$

So, assuming that r is fixed and that the retardation $r_0/c \approx 0$, we find that Eq. 15 becomes

$$S(\mathbf{e}_d, t) = C \sum_{i,j} (e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} \langle e_i | \rho_e(0) | e_j \rangle e^{-\Gamma t}) \sum_f \langle e_j | \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle \quad (16)$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2} \frac{k_0^4}{r_0^2}.$$

Notice further that the first term in the expression above for S is just the density matrix element of ρ_e at time t , i.e.,

$$e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} \langle e_i | \rho_e(0) | e_j \rangle e^{-\Gamma t} = \langle e_i | \rho_e(t) | e_j \rangle. \quad (17)$$

As a result, we find

$$S(\mathbf{e}_d, t) = \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i | \rho_e(t) | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_d) | e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle e_i | \rho_e(t) \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_d) | e_i \rangle = \boxed{\text{Tr}[\rho_e(t) \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_d)]} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_d) = C \sum_f \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \mathbf{D}.$$

So, we see that the fluorescence signal is the expectation value in the atomic excited states of a “detection” operator $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_d)$, which is proportional to the component corresponding to the $e - f$ transition of the square of the atomic dipole projected along the detection polarization \mathbf{e}_d . Finally, to calculate explicitly the quantum beat signal, one has to know $\rho_e(t)$, which implies that one must know $\rho_e(0)$ since these are related by Eq. 17.

Three time parameters are important for the description of the pulse: duration T (the pulse is assumed to interact with the atoms between time $t = -T$ and $t = 0$), its correlation time $\tau = 1/\Delta$, and its pumping time $T_p(t)$, which is inversely proportional to the instantaneous spectral density $u(\omega_0, t)$ of the pulse at the frequency ω_0 of the optical transition, and to the oscillation strength of the transition. It is defined as

$$\frac{1}{T_p(t)} = \frac{\pi}{\epsilon_0 \hbar^2} u(\omega_0, t) |\langle e | D | g \rangle|^2$$

where $\langle e | D | g \rangle$ is the radial part of the electric dipole matrix element between the states $|e\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$. $T_p(t)$ is the instantaneous average time between two successive photon absorptions from the pulse.

To derive rate equations for the evolution of the atomic system, we assume the [broadline condition](#) and the [weak coupling](#) condition given by

$$\Delta \gg \frac{1}{T_p(t)}.$$

We further assume that the following three conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{T} \gg \Gamma, \quad \frac{1}{T} \gg \omega_{ij}, \quad \Delta \gg \omega_{ij}.$$

The first says that we can ignore spontaneous emission during the pulse itself. The second says that the pulse is short enough so that the atomic coherences do not have the time to precess during the pulse excitation. The last says that the pulse bandwidth is large enough to entirely cover the structure of the studied excited state.

In addition, we assume the **Weak Pumping Limit**, i.e., $T \ll T_p(t)$. This says that the pulse used to excite the atoms is weak enough so that it interacts linearly with the atomic system. In terms of photon processes, this means that at most one photon from the pulse is absorbed during time T . **I believe that this condition is met in our experiment.** In this case, the evolution of the excited state density matrix is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_e(t) = \frac{1}{T_p(t)} \frac{1}{G_g} \mathbf{P}_e \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_g \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_e,$$

where \mathbf{e}_0 is the polarization of the pulse. $\mathbf{P}_e = \sum_e |e\rangle \langle e|$, $\mathbf{P}_g = \sum_g |g\rangle \langle g|$ are the projectors into the excited and ground states, respectively. G_g is the degeneracy of the ground state. Integrating this equation gives

$$\rho_e(0) = \frac{K_0}{G_g} \mathbf{P}_e \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_g \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_e \quad (19)$$

where

$$K_0 = \int_{-T}^0 \frac{dt}{T_p(t)},$$

is the time-integrated pumping rate. Eq. 19 is valid when the atomic ground state is not oriented prior to the pulse excitation (**which I believe aligns with our experimental setup**). It says that the atomic density matrix components in the excited states are obtained as products of two amplitudes proportional to the atomic dipole matrix elements between the ground state and the relevant excited substates. Putting the expression for $\rho_e(0)$ in Eq. 19 into Eq. 16, we get

$$S(\mathbf{e}_d, t) = \frac{CK_0}{G_g} \sum_f \sum_{i,j} \sum_g \langle e_i | \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} | g \rangle \langle g | \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathbf{e}_d \mathbf{D} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{e}_d^* \mathbf{D} | e_i \rangle e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i\omega_{ij}t}.$$

More generally, it is possible that the ground state has some anisotropy before the pulse excitation. This requires us to modify Eq. 19 to account for the anisotropy of the atom in the state g :

$$\rho_e(0) = K_0 \mathbf{P}_e \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_g \rho_g(-T) \mathbf{P}_g \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_e, \quad (20)$$

where $\rho_g(-T)$ is the density matrix in state g at time $-T$ before the pulse. To derive this, we can assume that the ground state has no time to evolve between the times $-T$ and 0, so that $\rho_g(-T)$ can be replaced by $\rho_g(0)$. In this case, the density matrix of the excited states $\rho_e(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_i | \rho_e(t) | e_j \rangle &= e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} \langle e_i | \rho_e(0) | e_j \rangle \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} \langle e_i | K_0 \mathbf{P}_e \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_g \rho_g(-T) \mathbf{P}_g \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}_e | e_j \rangle \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} K_0 \sum_{jj',gg'} \langle e_i | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} | g \rangle \langle g | \rho_g(-T) | g' \rangle \langle g' | \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} | e_{j'} \rangle \langle e_{j'} | e_j \rangle \\ &= e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} K_0 \sum_{gg'} \langle e_i | \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{D} | g \rangle \langle g | \rho_g(-T) | g' \rangle \langle g' | \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{D} | e_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into Eq. 18 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\mathbf{e_d}, t) &= \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i | \rho_e(t) | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e_d}) | e_i \rangle \\
&\propto \sum_{i,j} e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} \sum_{gg'} \langle e_i | \mathbf{e_0 D} | g \rangle \langle g | \rho_g(-T) | g' \rangle \langle g' | \mathbf{e_0^* D} | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e_d}) | e_i \rangle \\
&\propto \sum_{i,j,f,g,g'} e^{-i\omega_{ij}t} e^{-\Gamma t} \langle e_i | \mathbf{e_0 D} | g \rangle \langle g | \rho_g(-T) | g' \rangle \langle g' | \mathbf{e_0^* D} | e_j \rangle \langle e_j | \mathbf{e_d D} | f \rangle \langle f | \mathbf{e_d^* D} | e_i \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

This corresponds exactly to Eq. 4 in Section 3.

B Multipole Expansion of the Quantum Beat Signal

C Some angular-momentum graphical methods [3]

This is a very quick guide to the graphic methods for solving angular-momentum problems. As a result, most of the mathematics behind these rules will be neglected. For more details, please refer to [3].

C.1 The basics

First, the $3j$ -symbol can be represented by The symmetry relation

Figure 4: The graphical Wigner $3j$ -symbol [3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{a+b+c} \begin{pmatrix} a & c & b \\ \alpha & \gamma & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that The sign $+/-$ at the node denotes the counterclockwise/clockwise orientation. The

Figure 5: Symmetry relation for the graphical Wigner $3j$ -symbol [3]

associated $3j$ -symbol does not change under deformations of a diagram so long as such deformations do not alter the diagram's orientation. Next, sometimes we see various phase factors of the form $(-1)^{x+y+z+\dots}$. These are represented by arrows. In particular, More complicated diagrams maybe

$$\overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overleftarrow{a,-\alpha} = (-)^{a+\alpha} \quad \overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overrightarrow{a,-\alpha} = (-)^{a-\alpha}$$

Figure 6: Phase factor as an arrow [3]

constructed by putting these ingredients together. Two lines representing the same total angular momentum can be joined. Joining two lines implies that the z -components of the two angular momenta should be set equal and summed over. We will usually omit the z -components of angular momenta from diagrams.

With these rules we should be able to write down any Clebsch-Gordan coefficient in terms of $3j$ -symbols. This is easy, so I won't do an example (for more details refer to [3]). What we'll focus on is the Wigner $6j$ -symbol, which is given by Figure 7, where the sum is taken over all magnetic quantum numbers.


$$\begin{aligned} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & e \\ d & c & f \end{Bmatrix} &= \sum (-)^{a+e+c-\alpha-\epsilon-\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} a & f & c \\ \alpha & \phi-\gamma & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d & e \\ \gamma & \delta-\epsilon & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & b & a \\ \epsilon & \beta-\alpha & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & d & f \\ \beta & \delta & \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= + \end{aligned}$$


Figure 7: The graphical Wigner $6j$ -symbol [3]

C.2 Rules for transforming graphs

Often in calculations, we start with an algebraic expression and translate it into a rather complicated graphical representation. To continue with the calculation, we often must transform the complicated graph into products of more elementary ones before this simpler graph is converted back into a simplified algebraic expression. Here are some rules to perform the transformations.

First, we must know how to add/remove arrows or change their directions. There are **five** rules. The first four rules are best shown in diagrams (see Figures 8, 9, 10, 11). The fifth rule says that

$$\overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overleftarrow{a\alpha'} = \overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overrightarrow{a\alpha'}$$

Figure 8: Two opposite arrows “cancel” [3]

$$\overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overrightarrow{a\alpha'} = (-)^{2a} \overrightarrow{a\alpha} \overleftarrow{a\alpha'}$$

Figure 9: Two arrows in the same direction “cancel” and give a phase [3]

$$\overrightarrow{a\alpha} = \overrightarrow{a\alpha'} = (-)^{2a} \overleftarrow{a\alpha} = \overleftarrow{a\alpha'}$$

Figure 10: Changing the direction of an arrow gives a phase [3]




Figure 11: 3 arrows (*all* away from or towards the node) might be added to the diagram [3]

the direction of all arrows and the signs of all nodes may be changed simultaneously in a closed diagram without altering the value of the diagram. The proof for this rule is once again in [3].

Second, we must know how to “factor” a complicated diagram into smaller, more recognizable ones. This brings us to the the Three Theorems for Block Diagrams in [3], but we’ll just learn the rules by looking at some model diagrams. One important result is that if we denote a block F with n external lines by Figure 12, then Figure 13 follows.




Figure 12: From [3]




Figure 13: From [3]

We focus on the cases where there are two (Figure 14) and three (Figure 15) connecting lines between two blocks.

In the case where we have a single block with 2 or 3 external lines, we can simply call this block F and let the block G be blank. By doing so, we can treat the external lines of block F as connecting lines and apply the rules. For example, we can prove the relation

$$\sum_{\delta \epsilon \phi} \begin{pmatrix} d & e & c \\ -\delta & \epsilon & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & f & a \\ -\epsilon & \phi & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f & d & b \\ -\phi & \delta & \beta \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{d+e+f-\delta-\epsilon-\phi} = \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{Bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

graphically in Figure 16.




Figure 14: Two blocks with two connecting lines [3]




Figure 15: Two blocks with three connecting lines [3]




Figure 16: An example of using the block-diagram theorems [3]

Another interesting example is calculating the block in Figure 17, which can be transformed into Figure 18. Here, we have used the sequence of transformation shown in Figure 19.




Figure 17: From [3]

$$D = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diamond 1: Top } -, \text{ Bottom } -, \text{ Left } +, \text{ Right } + \\ \text{Edges: } a, b, d, c, h, e, g \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} \text{Diamond 2: Top } +, \text{ Bottom } +, \text{ Left } -, \text{ Right } - \\ \text{Edges: } i, b, g, f, h, c \end{array} \\ = \begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ a & e & d \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ b & f & c \end{Bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Figure 18: From [3]




Figure 19: From [3]

C.3 Calculating $X(F_e, F'_e, F_i, F_f; p_0, p'_0, p, p')$

We're now ready to calculate X . Recall that:

$$X(F_e, F'_e, F_i, F_f; p_0, p'_0, p, p') = \sum_{\substack{M_e M'_e \\ M_i M_f}} (-1)^{F_e - M_e + F'_e - M'_e + F_i - M_i + F_f - M_f} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 1 & F'_e \\ -M_i & p'_0 & M'_e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F'_e & 1 & F_f \\ -M'_e & p & M_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_f & 1 & F_e \\ -M_f & p' & M_e \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the graphical representations for the $3j$ -symbol and an arrow, the term

$$(-1)^{F_e - M_e} \begin{pmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ -M_e & p_0 & M_i \end{pmatrix}$$

is represented by the graph in Figure 20.




Figure 20

Putting the four terms in X together by joining lines we get to Figure 21. We can treat Figure 21 as a two-block diagram with two connecting lines. Applying the block-diagram theorem, we obtain Figure 22. To simplify Figure 22 further, we add three diverging arrows to the node $k - F_e - F'_e$ and invoke the “external line rule” used in Figure 16. This gives Figure 23. Next, to the left-most




Figure 21




Figure 22




Figure 23

term, add diverging arrows to the $F_i - F_e - 1$ node and converging arrows to the $1 - F'_e - F_i$ node.

This has no effect on the F_i line. However, the arrows on the F_e and F'_e lines get canceled, and we gain new arrows on the “outer” 1-lines. Repeat this process for the right-most term so that its “inner arrows” also get canceled. Notice further that switching arrow directions on the 1-line doesn’t do anything to the graph because the phase $(-1)^{2 \times 1} = 1$. Using this fact, we can also change the orientations of the nodes with the $-$ sign so that they become $+$. After some extra careful changing of signs and arrow directions, we will find the “triangular terms” in the correct form for the $6j$ -symbol. We also recognize that the term in the middle is just the product of two $3j$ -symbols. So, in the end, we write

$$X(F_e, F'_e, F_i, F_f; p_0, p'_0, p, p') = \sum_{kq} (2k+1)(-1)^{q+2F_f-F_e-F'_e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p_0 & p'_0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ p & p' & -q \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{Bmatrix} F_i & F_e & 1 \\ k & 1 & F'_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & k & 1 \\ F_e & F_f & F'_e \end{Bmatrix}.$$

C.4 Calculating $Y(F_e, F'_e; k)$ and $Z(F_e, F'_e; k)$

Recall their formulas from Section 3:

$$Y(F_e, F'_e; k) = \sum_{F_i} (2F_i + 1)(-1)^{2J_e+k+F_e+F'_e+I+J_i+F_i} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & F_i & 1 \\ J_i & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F'_e & F_i & 1 \\ 1 & k & F_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} I & F_i & J_i \\ 1 & J_e & F'_e \end{Bmatrix}.$$

and

$$Z(F_e, F'_e; k) = \sum_{F_f} (2F_f + 1)(-1)^{2J_e+k+F_e+F'_e+I+J_f+F_f} \begin{Bmatrix} F'_e & F_f & 1 \\ J_f & J_e & I \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & F_f & 1 \\ 1 & k & F'_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} I & F_f & J_f \\ 1 & J_e & F_e \end{Bmatrix}.$$

We will perform these calculations based on Example 7 of Chapter VII: Graphical Methods in Angular Momentum in [3]. It suffices to just do the Y calculation. The result for Z can be obtained by a simple change of variables. To start, we look at Example 7, which says that

$$\sum_x (2x+1)(-1)^{a+b+c+d+e+f+g+h+i+x} \begin{Bmatrix} e & f & x \\ b & a & i \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & x \\ c & d & h \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d & c & x \\ f & e & g \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ a & e & d \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ b & f & c \end{Bmatrix}.$$

We want to do some permutations to the $6j$ -symbols in Y so that it matches the expression above. We first recognize that F_i plays the role of x .

$$Y(F_e, F'_e; k) = \sum_{F_i} (2F_i + 1)(-1)^{2J_e+k+F_e+F'_e+I+J_i+F_i} \begin{Bmatrix} F_e & 1 & F_i \\ J_i & I & J_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} I & J_i & F_i \\ 1 & F'_e & J_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} F'_e & 1 & F_i \\ 1 & F_e & k \end{Bmatrix} \\ = \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ I & F_e & F'_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ J_i & 1 & 1 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

For Z , we observe that F_e and F'_e are exchanged, J_i is replaced by J_f , and that F_f plays the role of x . But since the $6j$ -symbol is invariant under permutations of columns, the $F_e - F'_e$ exchange in fact has no effect. So,

$$Z(F_e, F'_e; k) = \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ I & F'_e & F_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ J_f & 1 & 1 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ I & F_e & F'_e \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} k & J_e & J_e \\ J_f & 1 & 1 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

To see how one might do this graphically, we observe that

$$\sum_x (2x+1)(-1)^{a+b+c+d+e+f+g+h+i+x} \begin{Bmatrix} e & f & x \\ b & a & i \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & x \\ c & d & h \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d & c & x \\ f & e & g \end{Bmatrix}$$




Figure 24

can be written as Figure 24 [3]. To obtain this result, we just write out the $6j$ -symbols as the “triangular” graphs as before. Next, the phase factors will change some of the orientations, which convert from $+$ nodes to $-$ and move the “inner node” outside of the triangle, turning it into a square. That’s the idea. [I believe that this is good enough of an instruction. The rest is left to the reader.](#) Next, the graph in Figure 24 [3] can be “contracted” into the graph in Figure 26. To go from Figure 24 to Figure 26, we use the Block-Diagram Theorems and join the 3 graphs into the form in Figure 25 [3], which can then be separated on the lines (ghi) to give Figure 26. After some change of orientations at the nodes of Figure 26 we get the desired result:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ a & e & d \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} g & h & i \\ b & f & c \end{Bmatrix}.$$




Figure 25




Figure 26

References

- [1] R Luypaert and J Van Craen. Hyperfine-structure quantum beats: application of the graphical methods of angular-momentum theory to the calculation of intensity profiles. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics*, 10(18):3627–3636, dec 1977.
- [2] Kimball D. F. Budker, D. and D. P. DeMille. *Atomic physics: An exploration through problems and solutions*. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [3] Brink D. M. and Satchler G. R. *Angular Momentum*. Oxford: Clarendon, 1971.
- [4] S. Haroche and ed K. Shimoda. *Topics in Applied Physics, vol 13, High Resolution Laser Spectroscopy*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1976.