FINAL EXAM

Huan Q. Bui

MA434: Algebraic Geometry May, 2020

Problem	Earned	Total
1		20
5		20
6		20
8		20
10		20
11		20
Total	/100	120

Problem 1 (10 pts)

Show that the affine variety in \mathbb{A}^2 defined by xy = 1 is not isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 .

<u>Solution</u>: Let $V = V(xy-1) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ denote the affine variety defined by xy=1. We know that V is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 if and only if the coordinate rings k[V] and $k[\mathbb{A}^2] = k[t]$ are also isomorphic. It suffices to show $k[V] = k[x,y]/\langle xy-1\rangle$ is **not** isomorphic to k[t]. To this end, consider any ring homomorphism $\Phi: k[x,y] \to k[t]$ with $\ker \Phi = \langle xy-1\rangle$. This is possible because every ideal I of a ring R is the kernel of some ring homomorphism of R.

Let
$$\Phi(x) = \alpha(t) \in k[t]$$
 and $\Phi(y) = \beta(t) \in k[t]$, then
$$0 = \Phi(xy - 1) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y) - 1 = \alpha(t)\beta(t) - 1.$$

This means

$$\alpha(t)\beta(t) = 1.$$

Since $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ must be polynomials in t, the equation holds only if $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are elements of k, which are just constants. Now, since Φ is a ring homomorphism, for any $f \in k[V]$, $\Phi(f)$ must also be a constant (in k). So, Φ is not surjective, i.e., $\Phi(k[x,y])$ is not isomorphic to k[V].

The first isomorphism theorem for rings says $k[x,y]/\langle xy-1\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\Phi(k[x,y])$. But we just showed $\Phi(k[x,y])$ is not isomorphic to k[x], so k[V] is not isomorphic to k[x].

Problem 2 (20 pts)

Given two affine varieties V and W and a polynomial map $f:V\to W$, we get a ring homomorphism $f^*:k[W]\to k[V]$. When is f^* injective?

Solution:

Problem 4 (20 pts)

Suppose that f is a rational function on \mathbb{P}^1 .

- (a) Show that if f is regular at every point of \mathbb{P}^1 then it is constant. (Hint: consider two affine pieces $\mathbb{A}^1_{(0)}$ and $\mathbb{A}^1_{(1)}$.)
- (b) Show that there are no non-constant morphisms $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^m$.

Solution:

(a) We know that

$$\mathbb{P}^1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{1+1} \mathbb{A}^1_{(i)} = \mathbb{A}^1_{(0)} \cup \mathbb{A}^1_{(1)}$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}^{1}_{(i)} \equiv \{ P = [x_0 : x_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1 | x_i \neq 0 \}.$$

Let f a rational function on \mathbb{P}^1 be given such that it is regular at any $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e., Then we have

$$dom(f) = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1_{(0)} \cup \mathbb{A}^1_{(1)}.$$

Theorem 4.8. says that $dom(f) = V \iff f \in k[V]$ where V is an affine variety. We have \mathbb{P}^1 a projective variety, so we can't quite use this result yet. But since we can write \mathbb{P}^1 as a union of two copies of \mathbb{A}^1 which are affine varieties, this will be helpful. Indeed,

Problem 5 (20 pts)

Below are three formulas that possibly define rational maps $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Decided whether the formulas do define rational maps. If they do, determine dom(f) and decide whether f is birational.

- (i) f([x:y:z]) = [1/x:1/y:1/z]
- (ii) f[(x:y:z)] = [x:y:1]

(iii)
$$f([x:y:z]) = [(x^3 + y^3)/z^3: y^2/z^2:1].$$

Rationals maps must be ratio(s) of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. On first glance we see that (ii) does not define a rational map because there is no way to write its output into ratios of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree:

$$[x:y:1] = [1:y/x:1/x] = [x/y:1:1/y].$$

On the other hand, the outputs in (i) and (iii) can be written in the desired forms:

$$\left[\frac{1}{x}:\frac{1}{y}:\frac{1}{z}\right] = \left[1:\frac{x}{y}:\frac{x}{z}\right] = \left[\frac{y}{x}:1:\frac{y}{z}\right] = \left[\frac{z}{x}:\frac{z}{y}:1\right] = \dots$$

and

$$\left[\frac{x^3+y^3}{z^3}:\frac{y^2}{z^2}:1\right] = \left[\frac{x^3+y^3}{zy^2}:1:\frac{z^2}{y^2}\right] = \left[1:\frac{y^2z}{x^3+y^3}:\frac{z^3}{x^3+y^3}\right] = \dots$$

Now we want to find dom(f) for (i) and (iii). By definition,

$$dom(f) = \{P \in \mathbb{P}^2 | f \text{ is regular at } P\}.$$

Find the domain:

• For (i), clearly f is regular at all points with $x, y, z \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, suppose x = 0 and $y, z \neq 0$ then we write the output as [1 : x/y : x/z] = [1 : 0 : 0]. So f is also regular there. Similarly, we can see f is also regular at [x : y : z] where only z = 0 and only y = 0. However, when two of x, y, z are zero, f([x, y, z]) is no longer defined. So, for (i),

$$dom(f_{(i)}) = \mathbb{P}^2 - \{[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1]\}$$

• For (iii), we are interested in cases where z = 0, y = 0, and $x^3 + y^3 = 0$. By writing the output of f in different forms above, we see that f is still regular at [x : y : z] where only **one** of the possibilities z = 0, y = 0, or $x^3 + y^3 = 0$ occurs, or if only z = y = 0, $x = y = x^3 + y^3 = 0$ occurs. However, since we have the factor $[(x^3 + y^3)/z]^{\pm 1}$ in all of the three representations of the output of f, we see that f fails to be regular when z = 0 and $x^3 + y^3 = 0$. So, for (iii),

$$dom(f_{(iii)}) = \mathbb{P}^2 - \{[-1:1:0]\}$$

Birational? Next, $f: \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is *birational* if there exists a rational (inverse) map $g: \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}$ such that $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ and $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

• For (i), we consider the rational function $g : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by g([u : v : w]) = [1/u : 1/v : 1/w]. So, g is just f. For $[u : v : w] \in \text{dom}(g) = \text{dom}(f)$, we have

$$f \circ g([u:v:w]) = f([1/u:1/v:1/w]) = [u:v:w].$$

for all [u:v:w] in dom(g) = dom(f). Similarly, $g \circ f$ is also the identity function on dom(f) = dom(g). Finally, since f and g are really the same function, it remains to show f is dominant. By definition, f is dominant if f(dom(f)) is dense in \mathbb{P}^2 . This is equivalent to saying $f(dom(f)) \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$ for any nonempty open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. It is clear that the output of f is not only all tuples [1/x:1/y:1/z] with $x,y,z\neq 0$ but also [1:0:0],[0:1:0],[0:0:1]:

$$f([1:1:0]) = [0:0:1], f([1:0:1]) = [0:1:0], f([0:1:1]) = [1:0:0].$$

So, $f(\text{dom}(f)) = \mathbb{P}^2$. It follows that f is dominant. With the dominant rational inverse g (which is just f itself), we conclude that f is birational.

- (†) Alternatively, we can see that the induced k-algebra homomorphism $f^*: k[\mathbb{P}^2] \to k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ given by $g \mapsto g \circ f$ is an isomorphism. This (I believe) is easy to see because for any $g \in k[\mathbb{P}^2]$, if g([1/x:1/y:1/z]) = 0 then g = 0 necessarily, which shows f^* is injective. Further, any element of $k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (which has the form of a ratio of two homogeneous polynomials of the same degree) can be put into the form $g \circ f$ where $g \in k[\mathbb{P}^2]$. So f^* is an isomorphism. This combined with the fact that f is dominant is equivalent to f being birational.
- For (iii), we claim that f is not birational. This is because the induced k-algebra homomorphism $f^*: k[\mathbb{P}] \to k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is **not** onto (hence not an isomorphism). Consider the element $x/y \in k(\mathbb{P}^2)$. There is no $g \in k[\mathbb{P}^2]$ such that $g \circ f[x:y:z] = x/y$ because x always appears as x^3 in the output of f. We conclude f is not birational.

Problem 6 (10 pts)

Prove statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) from Example I from section 5.7 of *Undergraduate Algebraic Geometry*

Solution: Define $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^m$ by

$$[U:V] \mapsto [U^m:U^{m-1}V:\cdots:V^m]$$

(i) f is a rational map: We notice that while U^iV^j are it rational functions (since they are not given by a ratio of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree), we can re-write the definition of f as

$$[U:V] \stackrel{f}{\mapsto} \left[\frac{U^m}{V^m} : \frac{U^{m-1}}{V^{m-1}} : \cdots : 1 \right].$$

Now, each component f_i is a rational function, so we have a rational map.

(ii) f is a morphism: f is a morphism if we can show $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \text{dom}(f)$, i.e., f is regular at every point of \mathbb{P}^1 . If $V \neq 0$ then there's nothing to prove because of the formula we just wrote down. If $U \neq 0$ then we can just rewrite the definition of f as

$$[U:V] \stackrel{f}{\mapsto} \left[1: \frac{V}{U}: \cdots : \frac{V^m}{U^m}\right]$$

which shows that f is also regular at these points. When $U, V \neq 0$, there's nothing to worry about. So, f is indeed regular at every point in \mathbb{P} , i.e., $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^m$ is a morphism.

(iii) The image of f is the set of points $[X_0 : \cdots : X_m] \in \mathbb{P}^m$ such that

$$[X_0:X_1] = [X_1:X_2] = \cdots = [X_{m-1}:X_m]$$

that is

$$X_0X_2 = X_1^2$$
; $X_0X_3 = X_1X_2$; $X_0X_4 = X_1X_3$; etc

We notice that for every input [U:V], the output looks like

$$[X_0: X_1: \cdots: X_m] = [U^m: U^{m_1}V: \ldots V^m]$$

So, we have that

$$[X_0: X_1] = [U^m: U^{m-1}V] = [U:V]$$

 $[X_1: X_2] = [U^{m-1}V: U^{m-2}V^2] = [U:V]$

and so forth. So, we end up with

$$[X_0:X_1] = [X_1:X_2] = \cdots = [X_{m-1}:X_m]$$

From here it is not hard to generalize:

$$[X_0:X_1] = [X_{n-1}:X_n]$$

and so we have a chain of equalities $X_0X_n = X_1X_{n-1}$ for different values of n. This means any 2×2 matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 & X_{n-1} \\ X_1 & X_n \end{bmatrix}$$

has vanishing determinant. This leads to the condition

rank
$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_{m-1} \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 & \dots & X_m \end{bmatrix} \le 1.$$

This condition coincides exactly with the all-vanishing determinant condition above: If the matrix rank is zero, the matrix is the zero matrix, in which case there is nothing interesting (in fact this case won't happen because at least one X_i has to be nonzero $-[X_0:\cdots:X_m]\in\mathbb{P}^m$). If the matrix has rank one, then one row is a constant multiple of the other. After writing, say, the first row as some multiple of the second row, we see that any 2×2 minor has the form $a(X_nX_m-X_mX_n)$, which vanishes identically. When the matrix has rank 2, the both rows are linearly independent, and we no longer have the vanishing 2×2 minor condition.

(iv) There is an inverse morphism $g: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The inverse morphism takes a point of C into the common ratio:

$$[X_0:\cdots:X_m] \stackrel{g}{\mapsto} [X_0:X_1]$$

where $[X_0 : X_1]$ is "common" in the sense of the previous item. We want to check that this is actually a morphism, i.e., it is a rational map that is regular at every point in C. Clearly, we can write

$$[X_0:\cdots:X_m] \stackrel{g}{\mapsto} \left[1:\frac{X_1}{X_0}\right] \text{ or } \left[\frac{X_{m-1}}{X_m}:1\right]$$

depending on whether $X_1 = 0$ or $X_0 = 0$ (or both). In any case, we see that g is a rational function (as given by ratios of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree) that is regular at every point on C.

$Problem \ 7 \ {\tiny (20 \ pts)}$

Consider the rational map $f:\mathbb{P}^2 o \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by

$$f([u:v:w]) = [uw:w^2:vw].$$

Describe the domain and the image of f.

Solution:

Problem 11 (30 pts)

Given an invertible matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ with complex coefficients, define a function $f_A : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$f_A([u:v]) = [au + bv : cu + dv].$$

- (a) Show that f_A is a morphism.
- (b) How does f_{AB} relate to f_A and f_B ?
- (c) Show that f_A has an inverse morphism, so that f_A defines an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (d) If we identify \mathbb{C} with the standard $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $v \neq 0$, show that the restriction of f_A to \mathbb{C} is a rational function, and find its formula.

Solution:

- (a) f_A is a morphism if $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \text{dom}(f)$, i.e., f is regular at every point in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e., au + bv and cu + dv are never simultaneously zero for any u, v. Now, we don't have the possibility u = v = 0 because $[u : v] \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. So, au + bv = 0 = cu + dv if and only if $\det(A) = 0$. But this never happens because A is invertible. So, f is regular at every point $[u : v] \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, or f is a morphism.
- (b) We claim that $f_{AB} = f_A \circ f_B$. Let an invertible matrix B be given,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \implies AB = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aa' + bc' & ab' + bd' \\ ca' + dc' & cb' + dd' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$f_{AB}([u:v]) = [(aa' + bc')u + (ab' + bd')v : (ca' + dc')u + (cb' + dd')v]$$

$$= [a(a'u + b'v) + b(c'u + d'v) : c(a'u + b'v) + d(c'u + d'v)]$$

$$= f_A[a'u + b'v : c'u + d'v]$$

$$= f_A \circ f_B([u:v]).$$

(c) To show that f_A has an inverse morphism, it suffices to construct one. Consider $f_{A^{-1}}$ defined by A^{-1} , the matrix inverse of A:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

We know that $det(A) \neq 0$, so A^{-1} exists. Also, since the scaling factor $1/det(A) \neq 0$ appears at every entry of A^{-1} , we can ignore it in the definition of $f_{A^{-1}}$:

$$f_{A^{-1}}([u:v]) = \left[\frac{d}{\det(A)} u + \frac{-b}{\det(A)} v : \frac{-c}{\det(A)} u + \frac{a}{\det(A)} v \right]$$

= $[du - bv : -cu + av].$

Next we check that f_A and f_A^{-1} are inverses. By the previous item, we know that $f_{A^{-1}A} = f_{A^{-1}A} = f_I$ where I is the 2 × 2 identity matrix. Since

$$f_I([u:v]) = [u+0v:0u+v] = [u:v],$$

 f_A and $f_{A^{-1}}$ are inverses. So, f_A is an isomorphism from (the entire) $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ to itself. This makes f_A an automorphism.

(d) We want to look at $f_A|_{\mathbb{C}}$ where \mathbb{C} is identified with the standard $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $v \neq 0$. We want to show that $f_A|_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational function and find its formula. Now, when $v \neq 0$, we can write the input [u:v] as [u/v:1] = [t:1] where $t \in \mathbb{C}$. With this,

$$f_A|_{\mathbb{C}}([t:1]) = [at+b:ct+d] = \left[\frac{at+b}{ct+d}:1\right].$$

We can identify this as rational function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f(t) = \frac{at + b}{ct + d}.$$