

PY 711 Fall 2010
Homework 4: Due Tuesday, September 21

1. In class we defined ψ_L as the “left-handed” Weyl spinor formed by the upper two components of the Dirac bispinor in the Weyl representation,

$$\psi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_L(x) \\ \psi_R(x) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Let ψ_L^* be the complex conjugate of ψ_L . The Majorana equation is given by

$$i\bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) - im\sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

In our notation σ^2 is the second Pauli matrix,

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$\bar{\sigma}^\mu = (1, -\vec{\sigma})$, and m is known as a Majorana mass.

- (a) (7 points) Starting from the transformation properties of ψ_L under rotations, show explicitly that the Majorana equation is invariant under any infinitesimal rotation.
- (b) (8 points) Starting from the transformation properties of ψ_L under Lorentz boosts, show explicitly that the Majorana equation is invariant under any infinitesimal boost.

1. IN CLASS WE DEFINED ψ_L AS THE "LEFT-HANDED" WEYL SPINOR FORMED BY THE UPPER TWO COMPONENTS OF THE DIRAC BISPINOR IN THE WEYL REPRESENTATION,

$$\psi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_L(x) \\ \psi_R(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

LET ψ_L^* BE THE COMPLEX CONJUGATE OF ψ_L . THE MAJORANA EQUATION IS GIVEN BY

$$i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) = 0.$$

IN OUR NOTATION σ^2 IS THE SECOND PAULI MATRIX,

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\vec{\sigma} = (1, -\vec{\sigma})$, AND m IS THE MAJORANA MASS.

- a. STARTING FROM THE TRANSFORMATION PROPERTIES OF ψ_L UNDER ROTATIONS, SHOW EXPLICITLY THAT THE MAJORANA EQUATION IS INVARIANT UNDER ANY INFINITESIMAL ROTATION.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_L &\rightarrow (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} - \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \psi_L \\ \sigma^2 \psi_L^* &\rightarrow (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \end{aligned}$$

From our discussion in class about Lorentz invariance of the Dirac equation, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\rightarrow \Lambda_{1/2} \psi(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \\ \partial_\mu \psi(x) &\rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1}_4)^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \end{aligned}$$

For infinitesimal rotations,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_L(x) &\rightarrow (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \\ \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) &\rightarrow (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \end{aligned}$$

a. CONTINUED

$$-im\sigma^2\psi_L^*(x) \rightarrow (1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) (-im\sigma^2\psi_L^*(\Lambda_4^{-1}x))$$

Now, we need to show that $i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\partial\psi_L(x) \rightarrow (1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})(i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\partial\psi_L(\Lambda_4^{-1}x))$

$$\begin{aligned} i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\partial\psi_L(x) &\rightarrow i\vec{\sigma}^\mu (1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})(\Lambda_4^{-1})^\mu_\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda_4^{-1}x) \\ &= i \underbrace{(1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})(1+i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})}_{=1} \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})(\Lambda_4^{-1})^\mu_\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda_4^{-1}x) \end{aligned}$$

Look at

$$\begin{aligned} (1+i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\vec{\sigma}^\mu(1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) &= \vec{\sigma}^\mu + \frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\sigma}^\mu - \frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}^\mu\vec{\sigma} \\ &= \vec{\sigma}^\mu - \frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}[\vec{\sigma}^\mu, \vec{\sigma}] \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

To get a better idea what $[\vec{\sigma}^\mu, \vec{\sigma}]$ means, I'm going to look at $[\vec{\sigma}^\mu, \sigma^3]$.

$$[\vec{\sigma}^0, \sigma^3] = [1, \sigma^3] = 0$$

$$[\vec{\sigma}^1, \sigma^3] = [-\sigma^1, \sigma^3] = 2i\sigma^2 = -2i\vec{\sigma}^2$$

$$[\vec{\sigma}^2, \sigma^3] = [-\sigma^2, \sigma^3] = -2i\sigma^1 = 2i\vec{\sigma}^1$$

$$[\vec{\sigma}^3, \sigma^3] = [-\sigma^3, \sigma^3] = 0$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}^1)' = \vec{\sigma}^1 - \theta\vec{\sigma}^2 \quad \checkmark$$

$$(\sigma^2)' = \sigma^2 + \theta\sigma^1$$

Similarly for σ^1 and σ^2 .

$i, j = 1, 2, 3$ for rotations

$$\text{So, } \vec{\sigma}^\mu \rightarrow \vec{\sigma}^\mu - i\theta [J_{ij}^{\mu\nu}]^\mu_\nu \vec{\sigma}^\nu$$

$$[J_{ij}^{\mu\nu}]^\mu_\nu = i(g^{\mu i}\delta^j_\nu - g^{\mu j}\delta^i_\nu)$$

$$\text{or, } (1+i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\vec{\sigma}^\mu(1-i\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) = (\Lambda_4)^\mu_\nu \vec{\sigma}^\nu \quad \checkmark \quad \text{good}$$

$\vec{\sigma}^\mu$ transforms like a 4-vector

a CONTINUED

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) &\rightarrow i (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (1 + i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (\Lambda^{-1}_\mu)^\alpha{}_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x) \\ &= i (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (\Lambda_\mu)^\mu{}_\beta \vec{\sigma}^\beta (\Lambda^{-1}_\mu)^\alpha{}_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x) \\ &= (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) i \delta^\alpha{}_\beta \vec{\sigma}^\beta \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x) \\ &= (1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x)) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we find that the Majorana equation

$$i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) = 0$$

becomes in the new frame

$$(1 - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(\Lambda^{-1}_\mu x)) = 0.$$

So, the Majorana equation is invariant under infinitesimal rotation.

b. STARTING FROM THE TRANSFORMATION PROPERTIES OF ψ_L UNDER LORENTZ BOOSTS, SHOW EXPLICITLY THAT THE MAJORANA EQUATION IS INVARIANT UNDER ANY INFINITESIMAL BOOST.

$$-i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) \rightarrow (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) (-i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x))$$

Now, we need to show $i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) \rightarrow (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x))$

$$\begin{aligned} i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) &\rightarrow i \sigma^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) (\Lambda^{-1}_4)^\mu_\nu \partial_\nu \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \\ &= i (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) (\Lambda^{-1}_4)^\mu_\nu \partial_\nu \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \end{aligned}$$

Look at

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) &= \vec{\sigma}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \vec{\sigma}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^\mu \vec{\sigma} \\ &= \vec{\sigma}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\beta} \{ \vec{\sigma}^\mu, \vec{\sigma} \} \end{aligned}$$

Again, look at $\{ \vec{\sigma}^\mu, \sigma^3 \}$.

$$\{ \vec{\sigma}^0, \sigma^3 \} = 2 \sigma^3 = -2 \vec{\sigma}^3$$

$$\{ \vec{\sigma}^1, \sigma^3 \} = 0$$

$$\{ \vec{\sigma}^2, \sigma^3 \} = 0$$

$$\{ \vec{\sigma}^3, \sigma^3 \} = -2 \vec{\sigma}^0$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}^0)' = \vec{\sigma}^0 + \beta \vec{\sigma}^3$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}^3)' = \vec{\sigma}^3 + \beta \vec{\sigma}^0$$

So, $\vec{\sigma}^\mu \rightarrow \vec{\sigma}^\mu - i \vec{\beta} [J_4^{0\mu}]^\nu_\mu \vec{\sigma}^\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Check: } \vec{\sigma}^0 &\rightarrow \vec{\sigma}^0 - i \beta (i (g^{00} \sigma^3_\nu - g^{30} \sigma^0_\nu)) \vec{\sigma}^\nu \\ &= \vec{\sigma}^0 + i \beta \vec{\sigma}^3 \end{aligned}$$

So $(1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) = (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu \vec{\sigma}^\nu$

b CONTINUED

So now

$$\begin{aligned} i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) &\rightarrow i (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \vec{\sigma}^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (\Lambda^{-1}_4)^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i (\Lambda_4)^\mu_\nu \vec{\sigma}^\nu (\Lambda^{-1}_4)^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x)) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \delta^\alpha_\nu \vec{\sigma}^\nu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x)) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x)) \end{aligned}$$

We find now that the Majorana equation

$$i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) = 0$$

in the boosted frame is

$$(1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(\Lambda^{-1}_4 x)) = 0.$$

So, the Majorana equation is invariant under infinitesimal boosts.

b CONTINUED

So now

$$\begin{aligned} i \bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) &\rightarrow i (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \bar{\sigma}^\mu (1 - \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (\Lambda^{-1})^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1} x) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu \bar{\sigma}^\nu (\Lambda^{-1})^\alpha_\mu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1} x)) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \delta^\alpha_\nu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \partial_\alpha \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1} x)) \\ &= (1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1} x)) \end{aligned}$$

We find now that the Majorana equation

$$i \bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(x) = 0$$

in the boosted frame is

$$(1 + \vec{\beta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L(\Lambda^{-1} x) - i m \sigma^2 \psi_L^*(\Lambda^{-1} x)) = 0.$$

So, the Majorana equation is invariant under infinitesimal boosts.

PY 111 Solutions #4

1. (a) Under an infinitesimal rotation $\Lambda(\vec{\theta})$,

$$\Lambda: \vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{x}$$

For a scalar function $f(\vec{x})$

$$\Lambda: f|_{\vec{x}} \rightarrow f|_{\vec{x} + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{x}}$$

For the gradient of a function there is an extra term coming from the rotation of gradient components

$$\Lambda: \vec{\nabla} f|_{\vec{x}} \rightarrow \vec{\nabla} f|_{\vec{x} + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{x}} + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{\nabla} f|_{\vec{x}}$$

For this extra piece we use the notation $\Delta(\vec{\nabla}) = \vec{\theta} \times \vec{\nabla}$.

For a two-component spinor function $\chi(\vec{x})$ there is an extra term from the rotation of spinor components

$$\Lambda: \chi|_{\vec{x}} \rightarrow \chi|_{\vec{x} + \vec{\theta} \times \vec{x}} + (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \chi|_{\vec{x}}$$

For this extra piece we write $\Delta(\chi) = (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \chi$.

From here on we omit writing $|_{\vec{x}}$.

We now consider the transformation of

$$i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi = i\sigma_z \chi - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } i\sigma_z \chi \text{ we find } \Delta(i\sigma_z \chi) &= i\sigma_z (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \chi \\ &= (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i\sigma_z \chi) \end{aligned}$$

For $-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) &= -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \Delta(\vec{\nabla}) \chi - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} (\Delta \chi) \\ &= -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} \times \vec{\nabla}) \chi - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \chi \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\sigma^i \sigma^j = \delta^{ij} + [\sigma^i, \sigma^j] = \delta^{ij} + 2i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) &= -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} \times \vec{\nabla}) \chi + (-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi \\ &\quad + 2i(\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \chi \\ &= (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi \end{aligned}$$

So under rotations

$$\Delta(i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) = (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi$$

For $\text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*$ we have

$$\Delta(\text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*) = \text{im } \sigma^3 (i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \chi^* = (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) \text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*$$

using identity $\vec{\sigma} \vec{\sigma}^* = -\vec{\nabla} \sigma^2$

So then $i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi - \text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*$ transforms homogeneously,

$$\Delta(i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi - \text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*) = (-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi - \text{im } \sigma^3 \chi^*),$$

and thus the Majorana equation is invariant under rotations.

(b) Under an infinitesimal boost $\Lambda(\vec{\beta})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda: t &\rightarrow t + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{x} \\ \Lambda: \vec{x} &\rightarrow \vec{x} + \vec{\beta} t \end{aligned}$$

In this case we find (using same notation as before)

$$\Delta(\partial_0) = -\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}, \quad \Delta(\vec{\nabla}) = -\vec{p} \partial_0.$$

The minus signs are due to the fact that $\vec{\nabla} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}}$ is a lowered Lorentz index object.

For the left-handed spinor χ we found in lecture that

$$\Delta \chi = -\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \chi$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(i \partial_0 \chi) &= i(\Delta \partial_0) \chi + i \partial_0 (\Delta \chi) \\ &= i(-\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi + i \partial_0 (-\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \chi) \\ &= (-\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(i \partial_0 \chi) - i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) &= -(i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \Delta \vec{\nabla}) \chi + (-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \Delta(\chi) \\ &= -i \vec{\sigma} (-\vec{p} \partial_0) \chi + (-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) (-\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \chi) \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity $\sigma^i \sigma^j = -\delta^{ij} \mathbb{1} + 2\delta^{ij}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) &= \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} (i \partial_0 \chi) + (-i) (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}) (i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \chi + 2i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi \\ &= (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma})(i \partial_0 \chi) + (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) + i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \Delta(i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \chi) &= (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(i \partial_0 \chi) + (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi) \\ &= (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \chi). \end{aligned}$$

For $\text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger$ we have

$$\Delta(\text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger) = \text{im} \delta^2 (-\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \chi^\dagger) = + (\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(\text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger).$$

using identity
 $\sigma^i \sigma^j = -\delta^{ij}$

So $i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \chi - \text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger$ transforms homogeneously,

$$\Delta(i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \chi - \text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger) = (+\vec{p} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2})(i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \chi - \text{im} \delta^2 \chi^\dagger),$$

and thus the Majorana equation is invariant under boosts.