## Continuity: Exercises 4.11, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, Baby Rudin

April 12, 2020

## Huan Q. Bui

**4.11** *Proof.* Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a uniformly continuous map. Let a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  be given. To prove:  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is Cauchy in Y. Let  $\epsilon$  be given. We want to show that for sufficiently large m, n,  $d_Y(f(x_n) - f(x_m)) < \epsilon$ . Now, by uniform continuity of f, this holds whenever  $d_X(x_n, x_m) < \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . By the Cauchy-ness of  $\{x_n\}$ , this holds for any  $\delta > 0$ , provided sufficiently large m, n (which we assumed). So the claim is proven.

We want to use this to prove the following statement in Exercise 13: for E a dense subset of X and f a uniformly continuous real function defined on E, that f has a continuous extension from E to X. To do this, let  $E \subset X$  be given. E is dense in X.  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is a uniformly continuous function. E is dense in E so for every E there is a sequence E such that E such that E is dense in E such that E is Cauchy in E is Cauchy in E and so E such that E is dense in E such that E is Cauchy in E is Cauchy in E and so E such that E is dense in E is dense in E such that E is dense in E such that E is dense in E is dense in E in E such that E is dense in E in E in E is dense in E in E

We define the continuous extension as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in E \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n), & x \in X \setminus E, \{x_n\} \subset E \text{ s.t. } x_n \to x. \end{cases}$$

We claim that this is well-defined. To check this, we want to make sure  $f(x_n)$  and  $f(y_n)$  converge to the same value, provided the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  converge to the same value. For  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\} \subset E$  such that  $x_n, y_n \to x \in X \setminus E$ , we want to show  $f(x_n)$ ,  $f(y_n) \to f(x)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $d_X(x,y) < \delta$ . For sufficiently large n,  $d_X(x_n,y_n) \le d_X(x_n,x) + d(x,x) + d(x,y_n) < \delta$ , which implies  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| < \epsilon$ . And so  $f(x_n)$ ,  $f(y_n) \to f(x)$ .

Finally we want to show g(x) is continuous on X. To do this, we consider a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  in X that converges to some p in X. For every  $p_n \in X$  there is some  $q_n \in E$  such that  $d_X(p_nq_n) < d_X(p_n,p)$  (because E is dense in X) and  $\left|g(p_n) = g(q_n)\right| < 1/n$ . It follows that  $d_X(q_n,p) \le d_X(q_n,p_n) + d_X(p,p_n) < 2d_X(p_n,p) \to 0$  which means  $q_n \to p$  as well. Now, because  $\{q_n\} \subset E$  converges to  $p \in X$ , we have that  $g(q_n) \to g(p)$ . We want to show  $g(p_n) \to f(p)$ . Well,  $\left|g(p) - g(p_n)\right| \le \left|g(p) - g(q_n)\right| + \left|g(q_n) - g(p_n)\right| < \left|g(p) - g(q_n)\right| + 1/n$ . This goes to zero as  $n \to \infty$ . So,  $g(p_n) \to g(p)$  as desired. So, g is continuous in X.

**4.14** *Proof.* Let f be a continuous mapping from I into I where I = [0,1] is the closed unit interval. We want to show f(x) = x for at least one  $x \in I$ . Consider the function g(x) = f(x) - x. g is continuous because f and id are continuous functions.  $x, f(x) \in [0,1]$ , and so  $g(0) = f(0) - 0 \ge 0$  and  $g(1) = f(1) - 1 \le 0$ . If g(0) = 0 or g(1) = 1 then we have f(1) = 1 or f(0) = 0. Else, since g is continuous, g(1) < 0 < g(0) implies that there is some  $x \in [0,1]$  such that g(x) = f(x) - x = 0 (Theorem 4.23, aka IVT).

**4.17** *Proof.* Let f be a real function defined on (a, b). We want to show that the set of points at which f has a simple discontinuity is at most countable.

The first type of of simple discontinuity is where f(x-) < f(x+). Let E be the set on which f(x-) < f(x+). With each point  $x \in E$ , we associate a triple (p,q,r) of rational numbers such that

- 1. f(x-)
- $2. \ a < q < t < x \implies f(t) < p$
- 3.  $x < t < r < b \implies f(t) > p$

The first item is always possible be done because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The second item is possible because when f(x-) exists, let  $\epsilon = p - f(x-) > 0$  be given, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $x - t < \delta$ ,  $f(t) - f(x-) < \epsilon = p - f(x-)$ , which implies f(t) < p. Now, we can always find a rational  $q \in (x - \delta, x)$  such that for all q < t < x, f(t) < p. The third item follows from a similar argument.

Next we want to show the association is unique. Suppose we can also assign the same (p, q, r) to  $y \neq x$ :

- 1. f(y-)
- $2. \ a < q < t < y \implies f(t) < p$
- 3.  $y < t < r < b \implies f(t) > p$

We want to get to a contradiction. WLOG, assume y < x, then there is a number y < s < x, we have

- 1. From  $y: y < s < r < b \implies f(t) > p$
- 2. From x:  $a < q < s < x \implies f(t) < p$

which is a contradiction, since they cannot hold simultaneously. Thus, this association is unique. And because  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  is still countable, there are countable such unique associations, and thus there must be at most countable such simple discontinuities.

The simple discontinuity of type f(x-) > f(x+) can be dealt with in a similar manner. So, let's consider the third type where f(x-) = f(x+) = y. For this type, the number p in the association is no longer necessary, so we consider the following association with just two rational numbers (q, r) where:

1. 
$$a < q < t < x \implies |f(t) - z| > |f(x) - z|$$

2. 
$$x < t < r < b \implies |f(t) - z| > |f(x) - z|$$

Let's show this association is unique. Suppose x < y, then if we can have the same association for both x, y then we must have

1. 
$$a < q < y < x \implies |f(x) - z| > |f(x) - z|$$

2. 
$$x < y < r < b \implies |f(y) - z| > |f(x) - z|$$

which is a contradiction. So, the association is unique and thus the simple discontinuities of this type is at most countable.  $\Box$ 

**4.18** *Proof.* Let the function f defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \text{ irrational} \\ 1/n, & x = m/n \end{cases}$$

where x in the second case is rational, with m, n are integers with no nontrivial common divisor and n > 0. When x = 0, we take n = 1. We want to show that f is continuous at every irrational point, and that f has a simple discontinuity at every rational point.

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. We claim that  $\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) = 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Take  $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/q_0 < \epsilon$ . Now, for any interval  $(x_0 - x', x_0 + x')$  for any  $\infty > x' > 0$ , there are finitely rationals p/q with denominator  $q \in (0, q_0]$ . And so we can always find a  $\delta > 0$  such that any rational p/q in the interval  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$  has denominator  $q > q_0$ . Consider this  $\delta$ , then if  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$  is irrational then of course f(x) = 0, else if x is rational then  $f(x) = f(p/q) = 1/q < 1/q_0$ , which means  $|f(x) - 0| < 1/q_0 < \epsilon$  for any  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . So,  $\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) = 0$  for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

With this, if  $x_0$  is irrational then  $\lim_{x\to x_0} f(x) = 0 = f(x_0)$ , so f is continuous there. If  $x_0$  is rational, then  $\lim_{x\to x_0} f(x) = 0$  but  $f(x) \neq 0$ , which means f has a simple discontinuity there.

**4.20** *Proof.* If *E* is a nonempty subset of a metric space *X*, define the distance from  $x \in X$  to *E* by  $\rho_E(x) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z)$ .

- 1.  $\rho_E(x) = 0 \iff x \in \bar{E}$ . Suppose  $x \in \bar{E}$ , then  $x \in E \cup E'$ . If  $x \in E$  then obviously  $\rho_E(x) = d(x, x) = 0$ . If x is a limit point of E then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some  $q \in E$  such that  $d(x, q) < \epsilon$ . This means  $\rho_E(x) = 0$  as well. Suppose  $\rho_E(x) = 0$ . If  $x \notin \bar{E} = E \cup E'$  then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}(x)$  does not contain any point in E, which means  $d(x, z) \ge \epsilon$  for every  $z \in E$ . This is clearly a contradiction.
- 2. Prove that  $\rho_E$  is a uniformly continuous function on X, by showing that  $\left| \rho_E(x) \rho_E(y) \right| \le d(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ . Let  $x,y \in X$  be given. Let  $z \in E$  be given, then  $\rho_E(x) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z) \le$ . This holds for all z, so  $\rho_E(x) \le d(x,y) + \rho_E(y)$ . And so,  $\left| \rho_E(x) \rho_E(y) \right| \le d(x,y)$ . Thus,  $\rho_E$  is a uniformly continuous function on X because for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta = \varepsilon$  such that for any  $x,y \in X$ , whenever  $d(x,y) < \delta = \varepsilon$ ,  $\left| \rho_E(x) \rho_E(y) \right| \le d(x,y) < \delta = \varepsilon$ .

**4.21** *Proof.* Suppose K and F are disjoint sets in a metric space X and K is compact, F closed. We want to show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(p,q) > \delta$  if  $p \in K$ ,  $q \in F$ . Well, from problem 20,  $\rho_F(x) = 0 \iff x \in F$  since F is closed. Also, from problem 20, we have that  $d(p,q) \le |\rho_F(p) - \rho_F(q)| = |\rho_F(p)|$ . Now,  $\rho_F$  is a (uniformly) continuous function on the compact set K, so by Theorem 4.16 there is a point  $p_0$  such that  $\rho_F(p_0) = \inf_{t \in K} \rho_F(t)$ . And so we have  $d(p,q) \ge |\rho_F(p)| \ge |\rho_F(p_0)|$ . So, if we let  $\delta = |\rho_F(p_0)|/2$  then clearly,  $d(x,y) > \delta$ .

Suppose the "compactness" is dropped. Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \{n + 1/2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then obviously K, F are closed and disjoint, but some large elements on both sets can get arbitrarily close to each other, i.e.,  $d(n, n + 1/2^n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**4.22** *Proof.* Let disjoint nonempty closed sets A, B be given and define

$$f(p) = \frac{\rho_A(p)}{\rho_A(p) + \rho_B(p)}, \quad p \in X.$$

Obviously,  $0 \le f(p) \le 1$  for all p since it is a ratio of a nonnegative number to a larger positive number (which we know is positive because  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ).  $\rho_A(p) = 0 \iff x \in \bar{A} = A$  (problem 20), so  $f(p) = 0 \iff p \in A$ . The same argument goes for  $p \in B$ , except that  $p \in B \iff f(p) = \rho_A(p)/\rho_A(p) = 1$ . Note that because  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , this ratio is defined. We now want to show f is continuous on X. This is easy because it just follows from the fact that both  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  are continuous on X.

This establishes a converse of Exercise 3: Every closed set  $A \subset X$  is Z(f) for some continuous real f on X. Setting  $V = f^{-1}([0,1/2))$  and  $W = f^{-1}((1/2,1])$ . We want to show V, W are open and disjoint.

f is a continuous function  $X \to [0,1]$ . By Theorem 4.8, because [0,1/2) and (1/2,1] are open sets in [0,1], V, W must be open in X. Further,  $f(A) = \{0\} \subset [0,1/2)$  and  $f(B) = \{1\} \subset (1/2,1]$ , so  $A \subset V$  and  $B \subset W$ .

**4.23** *Proof.* A real-valued function f defined in (a, b) is *convex* if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

whenever  $x, y \in (a, b)$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . We first want to show that every convex function is continuous. Next, we want to show that every increasing convex function of a convex function is convex. Finally, if f is convex in (a, b) and if a < s < t < u < b, we want to show that

$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}$$

We will prove the last item first. Let  $s, t, u \in (a, b)$  such that s < t < u. Then we can put

$$t = \frac{t-s}{u-s}u + \frac{u-t}{u-s}s.$$

Obviously  $\frac{t-s}{u-s} + \frac{u-t}{u-s} = 1$  and both are greater than 0. f is convex, so

$$f(t) = f\left(\frac{t-s}{u-s}u + \frac{u-t}{u-s}s\right) \le \frac{t-s}{u-s}f(u) + \frac{u-t}{u-s}f(s) = \frac{t-s}{u-s}f(u) + \left[1 - \frac{t-s}{u-s}\right]f(s)$$

After some nontrivial rearranging (too much LATEX-ing here so I'll skip — sorry) we get

$$\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \le \frac{f(u)-f(s)}{u-s} \le \frac{f(u)-f(t)}{u-t}.$$

Now we prove that f is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. For any  $x > y \in [x_1, x_2]$ , there are also  $x_0, x_3$  such that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ . By the inequalities we have

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(y)}{x_3 - y} \le \frac{f(x_2) - f(y)}{x_2 - y}$$

and

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} \le \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \le \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}.$$

And so,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le |x - y| \max \left\{ \frac{|f(x_3) - f(x_2)|}{|x_3 - x_2|}, \frac{|f(x_1) - f(x_0)|}{|x_1 - x_0|} \right\} \equiv C|x - y|.$$

Let  $\delta = \min\{\epsilon/C, \frac{x_2 - x_1}{2}\}\$ , then we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le C \frac{\epsilon}{C} = \epsilon.$$

So f is continuous on (a, b).

Finally we want to show that every increasing convex function of a convex function is convex. Let h(x) = g(f(x)) where g is an increasing convex function and h is a convex function. For x,  $y \in (a, b)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we have that

$$\begin{split} h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= g(f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \\ &\leq g(\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)) \\ &\leq \lambda g(f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)g(f(y)) \\ &= \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y). \end{split}$$

So we're done.