PHYSICS at PERIMETER: Topics in Theoretical Physics & Quantum Simulation Research

- A Quick Guide -

Huan Q. Bui

Colby College

PHYSICS & MATHEMATICS Statistics

Class of 2021

May 19, 2020

Preface

Greetings,

This guide is my notes from Perimeter Institute of Theoretical Physics Summer School, 2020. Topics include quantum information, thermodynamics, numerical methods, condensed matter physics, path integrals, and symmetries.

Enjoy!

Contents

| | | Prefac | ce | 2 | | |
|---|---|--------|---|----|--|--|
| 1 | Quantum Information & Thermodynamics | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | Found | lations of Quantum Statistical Mechanics - Entanglement . | 6 | | |
| | 1.2 | | rce Theories and Quantum Information | 7 | | |
| | 1.3 | | tum Thermal Operations | 8 | | |
| | 1.4 | • | uation Theorems and Quantum Information | 9 | | |
| | 1.5 | | tum Thermal Machines | 10 | | |
| 2 | Nui | nerica | l Methods & Condensed Matter Physics | 11 | | |
| | 2.1 | Lectu | re 1 | 12 | | |
| | | 2.1.1 | Introduction to many-particle states and operators | 12 | | |
| | | 2.1.2 | Introduction to Ising and XXZ models | 13 | | |
| | | 2.1.3 | Programming basics | 14 | | |
| | | 2.1.4 | Finding expectation values | 15 | | |
| | 2.2 Lecture 2: Exact diagonalization part 1 | | | | | |
| | | 2.2.1 | Representing models | 16 | | |
| | | 2.2.2 | Finding eigenstates | 17 | | |
| | | 2.2.3 | Energy gaps | 18 | | |
| | | 2.2.4 | Phase transitions | 19 | | |
| | 2.3 | Lectu | re 3: Exact diagonalization part 2 | 20 | | |
| | | 2.3.1 | Limitations of the method | 20 | | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Using symmetries | 21 | | |
| | | 2.3.3 | Dynamics | 22 | | |
| | 2.4 | Lectu | re 4: Matrix product states part 1 | 23 | | |
| | | 2.4.1 | Entanglement and the singular value decomposition | 23 | | |
| | | 2.4.2 | What is a matrix product state and why is it useful | 24 | | |
| | 2.5 Lecture 5: Matrix product states part 2 | | | | | |
| | | 2.5.1 | Algorithms for finding ground states using matrix product states: iTEBD | 25 | | |
| | | 2.5.2 | Algorithms for finding ground states using matrix product states: DMRG | 26 | | |

4 CONTENTS

| 3 | Path Integrals | | | |
|----------|----------------|---|----|--|
| | 3.1 | Introduction to path integrals and the semi-classical limit | 28 | |
| | 3.2 | Propagator in real and imaginary time | 29 | |
| | 3.3 | Perturbation theory | 30 | |
| | 3.4 | Non-perturbative physics and quantum tunneling | 31 | |
| | 3.5 | Topology and path integrals | 32 | |
| 4 | Syn | nmetries | 33 | |
| | 4.1 | Lecture 1: Overview | 34 | |
| | | 4.1.1 Definition of symmetry | 34 | |
| | | 4.1.2 Elements of group theory | 35 | |
| | | 4.1.3 Examples | 36 | |
| | 4.2 | Lecture 2 | 37 | |
| | | 4.2.1 Continuous and discrete symmetries | 37 | |
| | | 4.2.2 Infinitesimal symmetries | 38 | |
| | | 4.2.3 Noether's theorem | 39 | |
| | 4.3 | Lecture 3: Lie groups and Lie algebras | 40 | |
| | 4.4 | Lecture 4: Symmetries in quantum mechanics | 41 | |
| | 4.5 | Representation theory | 42 | |
| 5 | Res | earch Project: Quantum Simulation | 43 | |
| | 5.1 | Review: The density operator | 44 | |
| | | 5.1.1 Ensembles of Quantum States | 44 | |
| | | 5.1.2 General Properties of the Density Operator | 45 | |
| | | 5.1.3 The Reduced Density Operator | 47 | |

Part 1

Quantum Information & Thermodynamics

The aim of this course is to understand the thermodynamics of quantum systems and in the process to learn some fundamental tools in Quantum Information. We will focus on the topics of foundations of quantum statistical mechanics, resource theories, entanglement, fluctuation theorems, and quantum machines.

1.2 Resource Theories and Quantum Information

1.3 Quantum Thermal Operations

1.4 Fluctuation Theorems and Quantum Information

1.5 Quantum Thermal Machines

Part 2

Numerical Methods & Condensed Matter Physics

This course has two main goals: (1) to introduce some key models from condensed matter physics; and (2) to introduce some numerical approaches to studying these (and other) models. As a precursor to these objectives, we will carefully understand many-body states and operators from the perspective of condensed matter theory. (However, I will cover only spin models. We will not discuss or use second quantization.)

Once this background is established, we will study the method of exact diagonalization and write simple python programs to find ground states, correlation functions, energy gaps, and other properties of the transverse-field Ising model and XXZ model. We will also discuss the computational limitations of exact diagonalization. Finally, I will introduce the concept of matrix product states, and we will see how these can be used with algorithms such as the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) to study ground state properties for much larger systems than can be studied with exact diagonalization.

2.1 Lecture 1

2.1.1 Introduction to many-particle states and operators

2.1. LECTURE 1 13

${\bf 2.1.2}\quad {\bf Introduction\ to\ Ising\ and\ XXZ\ models}$

$14PART\ 2.\ \ NUMERICAL\ METHODS\ \&\ CONDENSED\ MATTER\ PHYSICS$

2.1.3 Programming basics

2.1. LECTURE 1 15

2.1.4 Finding expectation values

- 2.2 Lecture 2: Exact diagonalization part 1
- 2.2.1 Representing models

${\bf 2.2.2} \quad {\bf Finding \ eigenstates}$

18PART 2. NUMERICAL METHODS & CONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS

2.2.3 Energy gaps

2.2.4 Phase transitions

- 2.3 Lecture 3: Exact diagonalization part 2
- 2.3.1 Limitations of the method

2.3.2 Using symmetries

$22PART\ 2.\ \ NUMERICAL\ METHODS\ \&\ CONDENSED\ MATTER\ PHYSICS$

2.3.3 Dynamics

- 2.4 Lecture 4: Matrix product states part 1
- 2.4.1 Entanglement and the singular value decomposition

24PART 2. NUMERICAL METHODS & CONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS

2.4.2 What is a matrix product state and why is it useful

- 2.5 Lecture 5: Matrix product states part 2
- 2.5.1 Algorithms for finding ground states using matrix product states: iTEBD

Part 3

Path Integrals

The goal of this course is to introduce the path integral formulation of quantum mechanics and a few of its applications. We will begin by motivating the path integral formulation and explaining its connections to other formulations of quantum mechanics and its relation to classical mechanics. We will then explore some applications of path integrals.

3.1 Introduction to path integrals and the semiclassical limit

3.2 Propagator in real and imaginary time

3.3 Perturbation theory

3.4 Non-perturbative physics and quantum tunneling

3.5 Topology and path integrals

Part 4

Symmetries

The aim of this course is to explore some of the many ways in which symmetries play a role in physics. Well start with an overview of the concept of symmetries and their description in the language of group theory. We will then discuss continuous symmetries and infinitesimal symmetries, their fundamental role in Noether's theorem, and their formalization in terms of Lie groups and Lie algebras. In the last part of the course we will focus on symmetries in quantum theory and introduce representations of (Lie) groups and Lie algebras.

- 4.1 Lecture 1: Overview
- 4.1.1 Definition of symmetry

4.1.2 Elements of group theory

4.1.3 Examples

4.2. LECTURE 2 37

4.2 Lecture 2

4.2.1 Continuous and discrete symmetries

4.2.2 Infinitesimal symmetries

4.2. LECTURE 2 39

4.2.3 Noether's theorem

4.3 Lecture 3: Lie groups and Lie algebras

4.4 Lecture 4: Symmetries in quantum mechanics

4.5 Representation theory

Part 5

Research Project: Quantum Simulation

Quantum many-body physics on quantum hardware

Project description: Recently, there have been significant advances in several quantum computing platforms, including superconducting qubits and trapped ions. At this point, nontrivial quantum operations can be implemented on tens of qubits, and the frontier continues to expand. This project will explore how these emerging technologies can assist the realization and understanding of complex many-body quantum systems, regarding both static aspects such as ground state properties and dynamical aspects such as quantum chaos and thermalization. What new physics can we learn from near-term quantum computers? The ideal outcome is an interesting and realistic proposal that can be carried out in one of the quantum platforms (see for example the interplay between theoretical proposals and experiment).

5.1 Review: The density operator

We often use the language of state vectors in quantum mechanics. An alternative language is that of *density matrices/density operators*. We will use this language extensively in quantum information/quantum computation. First, we will introduce the formulation. Second, we look at some properties of the density operator. Finally, we look at an application where the density operator really shines – as a tool for describing *individual subsystems* of a composite quantum system.

5.1.1 Ensembles of Quantum States

The density operator language provides a convenient means for describing quantum systems whose state is not completely known. Suppose a quantum system is in one of the states $|\psi_i\rangle$ where i is an index, with respective probabilities p_i . We call $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$ an ensemble of pure states.

Definition 5.1.1 (Density Operator).

$$\rho \equiv \sum_{i} p_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|$$
(5.1)

Suppose we let a unitary \mathcal{U} act on a closed system. If the system was initially in the state $|\psi_i\rangle$ with probability p_i then we get $\mathcal{U}|\psi_i\rangle$ with probability p_i . The density operator evolves as

$$\rho = \sum_{i} p_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| \stackrel{\mathcal{U}}{\to} \sum_{i} p_{i}\mathcal{U} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{U}\rho\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}.$$
 (5.2)

Suppose we have a measurement gate \mathcal{M}_m . If the initial state is $|\psi_i\rangle$ then the probability of getting result m is

$$p(m|i) = \langle \psi_i | \mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_m | \psi_i \rangle = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_m \langle \psi_i | \psi_i \rangle \right)$$
 (5.3)

where we have used the identity:

$$\operatorname{tr}(A|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \sum_{i} \langle i|A|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|i\rangle = \langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle. \tag{5.4}$$

With this, the total probability of measuring m is

$$p(m) = \sum_{i} p(m|i)p_{i} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} \rho \right). \quad (5.5)$$

The state after the measurement is thus

$$|\psi_i^m\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{M}_m |\psi_i\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\psi_i|\,\mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_m \,|\psi_i\rangle}}.$$
 (5.6)

The corresponding density operator for this state is thus

$$\rho_{m} = \sum_{i} p(i|m) |\psi_{i}^{m}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}^{m}| = \sum_{i} p(i|m) \frac{\mathcal{M}_{m} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| \mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}}{\langle \psi_{i}| \mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} |\psi_{i}\rangle}.$$
 (5.7)

From probability theory we have $p(i|m) = p(m|i)p_i/p(m)$, so:

$$\rho_{m} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{m} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}| \mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} \rho\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{m} \rho \mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} \rho\right)}.$$
 (5.8)

Finally, suppose we have a quantum system in state ρ_i with probability p_i . The system might be described by the density matrix

$$\rho = \sum_{i} p_i \rho_i. \tag{5.9}$$

Here's why:

$$\rho = \sum_{i,j} p_i p_{ij} |\psi_{ij}\rangle \langle \psi_{ij}| = \sum_i p_i \rho_i$$
 (5.10)

where we have used the definition

$$\rho_i = \sum_{j} p_{ij} |\psi_{ij}\rangle \langle \psi_{ij}|. \qquad (5.11)$$

We call ρ the *mixture* of the states ρ_i with probabilities p_i . This concept of mixture comes up up repeatedly in the analysis of problems like quantum noise, where the effect of the noise is to introduce ignorance into our knowledge of the quantum state. A simple example is provided by the measurement scenario. Imagine that for some reason out record of the result m of the measurement was lost. We would have a quantum system in the state ρ_m with probability p(m), but would no longer know the actual value of m. The state of such a quantum system would therefore by described by the density operator

$$\rho = \sum_{m} p(m)\rho_{m} = \sum_{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_{m}\rho\right) \frac{\mathcal{M}_{m}\rho\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_{m}\rho\right)} = \sum_{m} \mathcal{M}_{m}\rho\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger}. \quad (5.12)$$

5.1.2 General Properties of the Density Operator

Theorem 5.1.1 (Characterization of density operators). An operator ρ is the density operator to some ensemble $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$ if and only if it statisfies the conditions

- Trace condition: $tr(\rho) = 1$.
- **Positivity:** ρ is a positive operator, i.e., $\langle \varphi | \rho | \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \forall \varphi$.

With this definition we can reformulate the postulates of quantum mechanics in the language of density operators as

- Postulate 1: Associated to any isolated physical system is a Hilbert space known as the *state space* of the system. The system is completely described by its *density operator*, which is a positive operator ρ with trace one, acting on the state space of the system. If a quantum system is in the state ρ_i with probability p_i then the density operator for the system is $\sum_i p_i \rho_i$.
- **Postulate 2:** The evolution of a *closed* quantum system is described by a *unitary transformation*:

$$\rho' = \mathcal{U}\rho\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}. \tag{5.13}$$

• Postulate 3: Measurements are described by a collection $\{\mathcal{M}_m\}$ of measurement operators. The index m refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur. If the state of the quantum system is ρ immediately before the measurement then the probability that result m occurs is given by

$$p(m) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_m \rho_m\right) \tag{5.14}$$

and the state of the system after the measurement is

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_m \rho \mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{M}_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_m \rho\right)}.$$
 (5.15)

The measurement operators satisfy the completeness equation

$$\sum_{m} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{m} = \mathcal{I}. \tag{5.16}$$

• **Postulate 4:** The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. E.g. the joint state of the total system can be written as $\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_n$.

Theorem 5.1.2 (Pure states). $\operatorname{tr}(\rho^2) \leq 1$. Equality occurs if and only if ρ is a pure state.

Theorem 5.1.3 (Unitary freedom in the ensemble for density matrices). The sets $|\tilde{\varphi}_i\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\psi}_j\rangle$ generate the same density matrix if and only if they are unitarily similar, i.e.,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_i\rangle = \sum_j u_{ij} \left|\tilde{\psi}_j\right\rangle$$
 (5.17)

where the u_{ij} are entries of a unitary \mathcal{U} .

5.1.3 The Reduced Density Operator

Te deepest application of the density operator is as a descriptive tool for *sub-systems* of a composite quantum system. Such a description is given by the *reduced density operator*.

Suppose we have physical systems A and B whose state is described by the density operator ρ^{AB} . The reduced density operator for A is then given by

$$\rho^A = \operatorname{tr}_B\left(\rho^{AB}\right) \tag{5.18}$$

where tr_B is the partial trace over system B, defined by

$$\operatorname{tr}_{B}(|a_{1}\rangle\langle a_{2}|\otimes|b_{1}\rangle\langle b_{2}|) \equiv |a_{1}\rangle\langle a_{2}|\operatorname{tr}(|b_{1}\rangle\langle b_{2}|) = |a_{1}\rangle\langle a_{2}|\langle b_{2}|b_{1}\rangle$$
 (5.19)

where $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle$ are any two vectors in the state space of A, and $|b_1\rangle, |b_2\rangle$ are any two vectors in the state space of B.

Example 5.1.1. To understand this construction, consider an example where $\rho^{AB} = \rho \otimes \sigma$ where ρ, σ describe A, B respectively. Then

$$\rho^{A} = \operatorname{tr}_{B} \left(\rho \otimes \sigma \right) = \rho \operatorname{tr}(\sigma) = \rho \tag{5.20}$$

as expected.