

1 Introduction

The following introduction to Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT) is based on Stephen E. Harris' article in *PHYSICS TODAY*, 1997. [Link](#), and various other sources including this well-written [thesis](#) by Furman of Reed College, this [paper](#), and of course *Principles of Laser Spectroscopy and Quantum Optics* by Paul Berman.

In shortest possible terms, EIT is a technique which renders an otherwise opaque atomic medium transparent with electromagnetic radiation. The medium is typically a [three-level](#) atomic system, with specific requirements: two of the possible three transitions must be dipole-allowed (so transition rules satisfied) and one not dipole-allowed. The spectrum of the medium without (blue) and with (red) EIT is shown below:




Figure 1

Notice how there is no absorption at resonance frequency with EIT. Typically, in the presence of a near-resonance field, a two-level atom with ground state $|1\rangle$ and excited state $|2\rangle$ will interact with the field, resulting in a non-zero probability amplitude of the excited state, $|P_2|^2 = \langle 2|2\rangle > 0$. If $|P_2(\delta\omega)|^2$ is the population of the excited state as a function of the detuning, then it follows the blue, Lorentzian line in the above figure. In EIT where there are two radiation fields, though, the energy levels of a three-level atom are altered. This in turn creates a window of frequencies at which the medium is transparent.

2 Derivation: Static Dark State

The following derivation is (heavily) inspired by Furman's derivation and the Heidelberg paper, but roughly condensed and sprinkled with a bit of my own narratives and insights here and there.

Let's consider a Λ configuration:




Figure 2

Let the energy of each state $|n\rangle$, $n = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be

$$E_n = \langle n | \hat{H} | n \rangle = \hbar\omega_n. \quad (1)$$

where \hat{H} is the neutral atom Hamiltonian. Assume that the transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ is forbidden (just as shown in Figure 2). Since E_n and $|n\rangle$ are the eigenvalues and eigenstates of \hat{H} , respectively, we let the bare-atom Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 be:

$$\hat{H}_0 = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

We can do this because the eigenstates $|n\rangle$ form an orthonormal basis. Next, let the applied fields be

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= \vec{E}_p \cos(\omega_p t - \vec{k}_p \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{E}_c \cos(\omega_c t - \vec{k}_c \cdot \vec{r}) \\ &\approx \vec{E}_p \cos(\omega_p t) + \vec{E}_c \cos(\omega_c t) \\ &= \frac{\vec{E}_p}{2} (e^{i\omega_p t} - e^{-i\omega_p t}) + \frac{\vec{E}_c}{2} (e^{i\omega_c t} + e^{-i\omega_c t}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_p \approx \omega_{13} = \omega_3 - \omega_1$, and $\omega_c \approx \omega_{23} = \omega_3 - \omega_2$. The subscripts p and c means **probe** and **coupling**, respectively. We should also denote the relevant detuning $\delta_p = \omega_{13} - \omega_p$ and $\delta_c = \omega_{12} - \omega_c$. It makes sense to label our subscripts this way, because in the end we are interested in the probability amplitude of $|3\rangle$ as a function of the detuning δ_p of the probe beam from (bare atom) resonance.

With the perturbation from the radiation, the new Hamiltonian of the atom is:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1, \quad (4)$$

where, with $\hat{\rho} \equiv qd$ being the dipole moment operator:

$$\hat{H}_{1,ij} = -E\hat{\rho}_{ij}, \quad (5)$$

where E is the field strength. We will see how E relates to the Rabi rate Ω later. Now, a state does not dipole-interact with itself, hence $\rho_{ii} = 0$. In addition, since the transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ is forbidden, $\rho_{12} = \rho_{21} = 0$. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1 \\ &= \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_3 \end{pmatrix} - E \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, what we have been working with so far are the time-independent eigenstates $|n\rangle$. In the following steps we shall bring in the time-dependent parts. To do this, we invoke the unitary matrix $U(t)$, which transforms $|n\rangle$ into full time-dependent wavefunctions:

$$U(t) = e^{iH_0 t/\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega_1 t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\omega_2 t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\omega_3 t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Obviously, if we apply $\hat{U}(t)$ to \hat{H}_0 there wouldn't be anything interesting, since $\hat{U}(t) = d/dt \hat{H}_0$. But we can apply $\hat{U}(t)$ to \hat{H}_1 . The change of basis rule gives

$$\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = -E \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho_{13}e^{-i\omega_{13}t} \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{23}e^{-i\omega_{23}t} \\ \rho_{31}e^{i\omega_{13}t} & \rho_{32}e^{i\omega_{32}t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Multiplying E into \hat{H}_1 and applying rotating wave approximation to result gives the non-zero matrix elements:

$$\left(\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t)\right)_{13} = -\frac{1}{2}E_p\rho_{13}e^{i(\omega_p-\omega_{13})t} \quad (9)$$

$$\left(\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t)\right)_{23} = -\frac{1}{2}E_c\rho_{23}e^{i(\omega_c-\omega_{23})t} \quad (10)$$

$$\left(\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t)\right)_{31} = -\frac{1}{2}E_p\rho_{31}e^{i(\omega_p-\omega_{31})t} \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t)\right)_{32} = -\frac{1}{2}E_c\rho_{32}e^{i(\omega_c-\omega_{32})t} \quad (12)$$

Transforming \hat{H}_1 back to the vector space of $|n\rangle$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \left(\hat{U}(t)\hat{H}_1\hat{U}^\dagger(t) \right) \hat{U}(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & E_p\rho_{13}e^{i\omega_p t} \\ 0 & 0 & E_c\rho_{23}e^{i\omega_c t} \\ E_p\rho_{31}e^{-i\omega_p t} & E_c\rho_{32}e^{-i\omega_c t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now, to put the dipole moment in terms of the Rabi frequency Ω :

$$\Omega_p = \frac{E_p |\rho_{13}|}{\hbar} = \frac{E_p \rho_{13} e^{-i\phi_p}}{\hbar} \quad (14)$$

$$\Omega_c = \frac{E_c |\rho_{23}|}{\hbar} = \frac{E_c \rho_{23} e^{-i\phi_c}}{\hbar} \quad (15)$$

So the total Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_1 & 0 & -\Omega_p e^{i(\omega_p t + \phi_p)} \\ 0 & 2\omega_2 & -\Omega_c e^{i(\omega_c t + \phi_c)} \\ -\Omega_p e^{-i(\omega_p t + \phi_p)} & -\Omega_c e^{-i(\omega_c t + \phi_c)} & 2\omega_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Now, since we want our final result in terms of the frequencies only, we shall express \hat{H} in a new basis that is time and phase independent. Let a unitary matrix $\tilde{U}(t)$ be given such that it satisfies the required change of basis. It follows that the eigenstates transform as

$$|\tilde{n}\rangle = \tilde{U}(t) |n'\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Let the new Hamiltonian in this new basis be \tilde{H} . The new eigenstate also has to solve the Schrödinger equation in the new basis, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} |\tilde{n}\rangle &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\tilde{n}\rangle \\ &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\tilde{U} |n'\rangle) \\ &= i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} |n'\rangle + \tilde{U} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |n'\rangle \right) \\ &= i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} |n'\rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \tilde{U} \hat{H} |n'\rangle \right) \\ &= \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} \tilde{U}^\dagger + \tilde{U} \hat{H} \tilde{U}^\dagger \right) \tilde{U} |n'\rangle \\ &= \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} \tilde{U}^\dagger + \tilde{U} \hat{H} \tilde{U}^\dagger \right) |\tilde{n}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

So \tilde{H} can be readily computed:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} \tilde{U}^\dagger + \tilde{U} \hat{H} \tilde{U}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2(\omega_1 + \omega_p) & 0 & \Omega_p \\ 0 & 2(\omega_2 + \omega_c) & -\Omega_c \\ -\Omega_p & -\Omega_c & 2\omega_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that we can add $\hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_p)\hat{I}$ to \tilde{H} without changing the physical interpretation. In fact, the form of \tilde{H} now is a result of our definition of the ω 's. Now, let us define the detunings $\delta_c = \omega_{23} - \omega_c = \omega_3 - \omega_2 - \omega_c$ and $\delta_p = \omega_{13} - \omega_p = \omega_3 - \omega_1 - \omega_p$. So, the “better” Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}' &= \tilde{H} - \hbar(\omega_1 + \omega_p)\hat{I} \\ &= \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\Omega_p \\ 0 & 2(\delta_p - \delta_c) & -\Omega_c \\ -\Omega_p & -\Omega_c & 2\delta_p \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

Assuming $\delta_p \approx \delta_c$, the eigenvalues of \tilde{H}' are:

$$\begin{aligned}E^+ &= \hbar\omega^+ = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\delta_p + \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2} \right) \\ E^- &= \hbar\omega^- = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\delta_p - \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2} \right) \\ E^0 &= \hbar\omega = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

And the eigenstates are:

$$\begin{aligned}|a^0\rangle &= \frac{\Omega_c|1\rangle - \Omega_c|2\rangle}{\sqrt{\Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2}} = \cos\theta|1\rangle - \sin\theta|2\rangle \\ |a^-\rangle &= -\frac{\Omega_p|1\rangle + \Omega_c|2\rangle}{\delta_p - \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2}} + |3\rangle = \sin\theta\cos\phi|1\rangle + \cos\theta\cos\phi|2\rangle - \sin\phi|3\rangle \\ |a^+\rangle &= +\frac{\Omega_p|1\rangle + \Omega_c|2\rangle}{\delta_p + \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2}} - |3\rangle = \sin\theta\cos\phi|1\rangle + \cos\theta\cos\phi|2\rangle + \cos\phi|3\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

where

$$\tan\theta = \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega_c} \quad (23)$$

$$\tan\phi = \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2}}{\delta_p + \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \Omega_p^2 + \Omega_c^2}}. \quad (24)$$

Notice that the transition probability of $|a^0\rangle \rightarrow |3\rangle$ is 0, because:

$$\langle a^0 | \hat{H} | 3 \rangle = 0. \quad (25)$$

We can also see this because $|a^0\rangle$ is not expressed in terms of $|3\rangle$, i.e., it does not have $|3\rangle$ component. We call $|a^0\rangle$ the *dark state* because the transition to $|3\rangle$ is not possible.

Now, in the case where $\Omega_p \ll \Omega_c$, i.e., the probe beam is much weaker than the coupling beam, we get

$$\sin \theta \rightarrow 0, \cos \theta \rightarrow 1, |a^0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle, \quad (26)$$

which means that $|1\rangle$ becomes a dark state, hence this opens a window of frequency where $|1\rangle$ becomes transparent to previously resonance frequencies. This is exactly electromagnetically induced transparency.

3 Derivation: Dynamic EIT

We have derived and explained how $|1\rangle$ becomes a dark state when $\Omega_p \ll \Omega_c$. Now, in order to derive the absorption profile, we need a dynamic description of the three-level system where we also take into account spontaneous emission. We do this using the *density matrix formalism*. Let r be the density operator, defined as:

$$r = \sum_n P_n |n\rangle \langle n|, \quad (27)$$

where P_i is the probability of finding the atom in state i . Note that the definition is simply describing a statistical mixture of the n states. If given an operator \mathcal{O} , the expectation value of the measurement of a statistical mixture of states is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \sum_n P_n \langle n | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_n P_n \text{tr}(|n\rangle \langle n| \mathcal{O}) \\ &= \sum_n \text{tr}(P_n |n\rangle \langle n| \mathcal{O}) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_n P_n |n\rangle \langle n| \mathcal{O} \right) \\ &= \text{tr}(r\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Another way to derive the above equality is given in Furman's thesis:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= \sum_n P_n \langle n | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} P_n \langle n | \mathcal{O} | m \rangle \langle m | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \langle m | P_n | n \rangle \langle n | \mathcal{O} | m \rangle \\ &= \sum_m \langle m | r \mathcal{O} | m \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(r\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Note that for pure states:

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \langle n | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle. \quad (30)$$

To relate r to the Hamiltonian \hat{H} , we invoke the Von Neumann equation. The derivation of the Von Neumann equation begins with the Schrödinger equation and its adjoint:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |n\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} |n\rangle \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle n| = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle n| \hat{H}. \quad (32)$$

To get the von Neumann equation, we take the time derivative of r .

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r} &= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_n P_n |n\rangle \langle n| \\ &= \sum_n P_n (|\dot{n}\rangle \langle n| + |n\rangle \langle \dot{n}|) \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_n P_n (\hat{H} |n\rangle \langle n| - |n\rangle \langle n| \hat{H}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} (\hat{H} r - r \hat{H}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, r]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Note that r is an operator, so \dot{r} is expressed in terms of a commutator. The Von Neumann is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation. However, instead of describing the time evolution of a wavefunction, the Von Neumann equation describes how the density operator r evolves in time. Now, we have obtained the Von Neumann equation for an atom undergoing transitions due to excitations due to external radiation:

$$i\hbar \dot{r} = [\hat{H}, r]. \quad (34)$$

However, we are omitting the possibility of spontaneous emission from $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ (so leaving $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ only, not necessarily to $|1\rangle$). So, we include additional terms to account for this:

$$i\hbar \dot{r} = [\hat{H}, r] - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \{\Gamma, r\}, \quad (35)$$

where the curly brackets denote the anti-commutator, and Γ is a matrix defined by decay rates γ_n from state $|n\rangle$. Note that $\gamma_1 = 0$ because $n = 1$ denotes the ground state:

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

In index notation, our Von Neumann equation becomes:

$$i\hbar\dot{r}_{ij} = \left(\hat{H}_{ik}r_{kj} - r_{ik}\hat{H}_{kj} \right) - \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Gamma_{ik}r_{kj} + r_{ik}\Gamma_{kj}), \quad (37)$$

where the repeated index k denotes summing over k .