

Stack Overflow requires external JavaScript from another domain, which is blocked or failed to load. Retry using another source.

Can an application block calls to SendInput?

Asked 6 years, 10 months ago Active 4 years, 3 months ago Viewed 2k times



There's a game that I'm trying to automate some actions on.

1

I've used [SendInput](#) in the past very successfully. However, with this application I can't get the mouse click to work. I've tested it using other applications and it all works as expected.



Can applications block my use of SendInput? And if so, can I get around it somehow?



2

Side note: I'm writing code in C# and running on Windows 7 x64. The app I'm trying to interact with is x86. I don't know if this makes a difference? I've testing my code interacting with both x64 and x86 apps.



[winapi](#) [automation](#) [sendinput](#)

asked Oct 15 '13 at 13:44



[Will Calderwood](#)

3,658 2 29 52

1 Answer

Active	Oldest	Votes
--------	--------	-------



Short answer: No. (Not the call to `SendInput`, but the input can be filtered. See update below.)

3

If you look at the parameters for [SendInput](#) there is nothing that identifies a process. The input is sent to the system, not an application. An application has no way of telling the difference between real and synthesized input.



There are a number of reasons why an application will not respond to synthesized input. As explained in the documentation for `SendInput` this API is subject to UIPI. An application running at a higher integrity level than an application calling `SendInput` will not receive this input.



Although `SendInput` injects input at a lower level than `DirectInput` runs, `DirectInput` is apparently more susceptible to buggy code. See [Simulating Keyboard with SendInput API in DirectInput applications](#) for reference.

Update (2016-05-01):

Besides issues with UIPI, preventing input from reaching an application, it is also possible for a low-level keyboard/mouse hook to identify injected input. Both the [KBDLLHOOKSTRUCT](#) (passed to the [LowLevelKeyboardProc](#) callback) as well as the [MSLLHOOKSTRUCT](#) (passed to the [LowLevelMouseProc](#) callback) contain a *flags* member, that have the `LLKHF_INJECTED` or `LLMHF_INJECTED` flag set, in case the input is injected.

An application can thus install a low-level keyboard/mouse hook to filter out messages that are injected. If this is the case, a potential workaround (without writing a keyboard driver) is, to



Stack Overflow requires external JavaScript from another domain, which is blocked or failed to load. Retry using another source.

injected input, it may just as well guard against competing low level hooks by frequently re installing itself to the top of the hook chain, this rendering the workaround useless.

edited May 23 '17 at 12:07

Community ♦

11

answered Oct 15 '13 at 14:10

Inspectable

32.1k659132

Thanks for the response. I assume if I run as administrator, that would get round any UIPI problem? If that's the case, then I can discount that. – Will Calderwood Oct 15 '13 at 14:35