```
Huanya Li
 To prove preorder (A, <) and let x=4 iff
  x = y and y = x is an equivalence relation.
we need to prove it is reflexive, symmetric
 and transitive.
 o for each x & A. We know that
        X \leq X and X \leq X then we get X \equiv X
    so (A, =) is reflexive.
(5) - for each (X, 4) € (A. =)
 since X. = y we cant get X= y and y=x.
 as well as. it's obvious y \equiv x. \therefore (y, x) \in (A, \equiv)
    so (A.=) is symmetric.
 3 for each pair (X14), (4, 7) & (A,=)
   we know that x \equiv y and y \equiv z
    : X = y and Y = X
        y= 2 and 2 < 4
```

we can get $X \le Z$ and $Z \le X$ X = Z, $(X, Z) \in (A, \frac{S}{Z})$

-. (1).=) is an equivalence relation.

relationis: To prove [x] == [y] iff x ≤ y thrangu li is a partial order. We need to prove it is reflexive. curtisymmetre and transitive. Prove for each x & B X = X exists. So $[X] \leq = [X]$ this relation is reflexive. for each pairs. (x,y), (y,x) EB. it's [x] s= [y] => x sy $[y] \leq = [x] \implies y \leq x$ -`\ X=9 : This relation is antisymmetric. for each pairs (x,4), (y, z) & B. $[X] \leq [Y] \Rightarrow X \leq Y$ $[y] \leq = [Z] \Rightarrow y \leq Z$ ·. y≤Z. :- [Y] <= [Z] : (1), 2) EB : This relation is transitive. As a result. it is a poset

To prove [x] == [y] iff x = y is well defined. (which is not ambroguous). We need to make sure [x]=[x'] and [y]=[y'] then [x]==[y]: If [x']==[y'] Prove: $([x] \leq [y]) \Rightarrow [x'] \leq [y'])$. Based on the definition. We know that $[x] \leq = [y] \Rightarrow x \leq y$ let set A stand for Equivalence class of x and x' [x] = [x'] = Alet set 13 stand for equivalence class of yandy' for each a & A and b & B: Based on the relation $(A \leq \geq 1)$ $(X, \alpha) \in (A, \leq \geq 1) \Rightarrow X = \alpha \Rightarrow X \leq \alpha \text{ and } \alpha \leq X$ $(Y, b) \in (A, \leq \geq 1) \Rightarrow Y = b \Rightarrow Y \leq b \text{ and } b \leq Y$ Based on 0, 9, 3 we get $a \le x \le y \le b$ i.a $\le b$. Based on previous prove. We know that (A, ≤=) is reflexive. which means $(x', x') \in (A, \leq \leq) (9', 9') \in (A, \leq \geq)$ $-X' \in [X'] = A \qquad Y' \in [Y'] = B.$ We already get that for each at A. and b & B. Based on definition $[X] \subseteq [Y]$ iff $X \subseteq Y$.

We get $[X'] \subseteq [Y']$ {So $[X] \subseteq [Y'] \Rightarrow [X'] \subseteq [Y']$ is true