Algorithm Design and Analysis (Fall 2023) Assignment 6

Deadline: Jan 9, 2023 Yuqing Tu 522030910152

Choose two of the first four questions to submit. Question 5 is the bonus question.

- 1. Prove that the following problem is NP-complete. Given an undirected graph G and an undirected graph H, decide if H is a subgraph of G.
- 2. Prove that the following problem is NP-complete. Given an undirected graph G and a positive integer $k \geq 2$, decide if G contains a spanning tree with maximum degree at most k.

3. Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), prove that it is NP-complete to decide if G contains an independent set with size exactly |V|/3.

Solution:

1. Prove |V|/3 IndependentSet is in NP:

To verify whether a vertices set S is an independent set with size |V|/3, we just need to verify whether |S| = |V|/3, whose time complexity is O(|V|), and traverse E to verify whether there are some edge $e_i \in E$ that e_i 's two endpoints are both in S, whose time complexity is O(|E|) if we use a hash table to decide whether the endpoints is in S. So the total time complexity is O(|V| + |E|). so |V|/3 IndependentSet is in NP.

- 2. Prove |V|/3 IndependentSet is NP-hard:
 - In 3-CNF formula, for each clause, construct a triangle where there vertices represent there literals. Connect two vertices if one represents the negation of the other. Then we get a graph G.
 - This reduction's time complexity is O(|V| + |E|) because we just put each vertex and edge into G.
 - "yes to yes": If this 3SAT has a solution, then each clause has at least one literal which is true. For each triangle, put exactly one vertex representing a true literal into S. Then S is an independent set of G and |S| = |V|/3 because in each triangle, one and only one vertex is in S.
 - "no to no": Prove by contradiction. Assume that this 3SAT has no solution, but there is a vertices set S that is G's independent set and |S| = |V|/3. There are |V|/3 triangles in G totally, and in each triangle, one and only one vertex is in S. Otherwise there is at least one edge between some vertices in S. Then we assign true to all the literals representing the vertices in S. So each clause has one true literal, which means all clauses are true. Therefore, this 3SAT has a solution. There is a contradiction. "no to no" is true.

Because 3SAT is NP-complete and 3SAT $\leq_k |V|/3$ IndependentSet, so |V|/3 IndependentSet is NP-hard.

To sum up, |V|/3 IndependentSet is NP-complete.

4. Consider the decision version of Knapsack. Given a set of n items with weights $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and values $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, a capacity constraint $C \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and a positive integer $V \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, decide if there exists a subset of items with total weight at most C and total value at least V. Prove that this decision version of Knapsack is NP-complete.

Solution:

1. Prove Knapsack is in NP:

To verify whether a integer set S is a solution, we just need to compute $\sum_{i \in S} w_i$ and $\sum_{i \in S} v_i$. If $\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq C$ and $\sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq V$, S is a solution, else S is not. And the time complexity is obviously O(n). So Knapsack is in NP.

- 2. Prove Knapsack is HP-hard:
 - In a VectorSubsetSum problem, we construct n 2-dimensional vectors $x_i = (v_i, w_i)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. We also construct enough 2-dimensional vectors $x_{n+2i-1} = (v_{n+2i-1}, w_{n+2i-1}) = (-1, 0)$ and $x_{n+2i} = (v_{n+2i}, w_{n+2i}) = (0, 1)$ for i = 1, 2, ... Then we decide if there is a integer set S making $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = (\sum_{i \in S} v_i, \sum_{i \in S} w_i) = (V, C)$.

This reduction's time complexity is O(n).

- "yes to yes": If there is a integer set S making $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = (\sum_{i \in S} v_i, \sum_{i \in S} w_i) = (V, C)$, then we have $\sum_{i \in S, 1 \le i \le n} v_i \ge V$ and $\sum_{i \in S, 1 \le i \le n} w_i \le C$, which is a solution of Knapsack.
- "no to no": Prove by contradiction. Assume that there is not a integer set S' making $\sum_{i \in S'} x_i = (\sum_{i \in S'} v_i, \sum_{i \in S'} w_i) = (V, C)$, but Knapsack has a solution set S. Then we have $\sum_{i \in S} v_i = V' \ge V$ and $\sum_{i \in S} w_i = C' \le C$. But

$$\sum_{i \in S} x_i + \sum_{1 \le i \le V' - V} x_{n+2i-1} + \sum_{1 \le i \le C - C'} x_{n+2i}$$

$$= (\sum_{i \in S} v_i - (V' - V), \sum_{i \in S} w_i + (C - C'))$$

$$= (V' - (V' - V), C' + (C - C'))$$

$$= (V, C)$$

So there is a set S' = S + n + 2i - 1, n + 2j for i = 1, 2, ..., V' - V and j = 1, 2, ..., C - C' making $\sum_{i \in S'} x_i = (\sum_{i \in S'} v_i, \sum_{i \in S'} w_i) = (V, C)$. There is a contradiction. "no to no" is true.

Because VectorSubsetSum is NP-complete and VectorSubsetSum \leq_k Knapsack, so Knapsack is NP-hard.

To sum up, the decision version of Knapsack is NP-complete.

- 5. (Bonus) In the class, we have seen that 3SAT is NP-complete. In this question, we investigate the 2SAT problem and its variants. Similar to the 3SAT problem, in the 2SAT problem, we are given a 2-CNF Boolean formula (where each clause contains two literals) and we are to decide if this formula is satisfiable.
 - (a) Prove that 2SAT is in P. (Hint: a clause $(a_i \vee a_j)$ with two literals a_i and a_j can be represented as two logical implications: $\neg a_i \Longrightarrow a_j$ and $\neg a_j \Longrightarrow a_i$; you may want to construct a directed graph with 2n vertices corresponding to $x_1, \neg x_1, x_2, \neg x_2, \dots, x_n, \neg x_n$.)
 - (b) Consider this variant of the 2SAT problem: given a 2-CNF Boolean formula ϕ and a positive integer k, decide if there is a Boolean assignment to the variables such that at least k clauses of ϕ are satisfied. Notice that 2SAT is the special case of this problem with k equals to the number of the clauses. Prove that this problem is NP-complete.

Solution:

(a) Construct 2n vertices representing to all literals and their negation in the 2-CNF formula $x_1, \neg x_1, x_2, \neg x_2, \dots, x_n, \neg x_n$. And construct a directed edge from the vertex representing $\neg x_i$ to the vertex representing x_j and a directed edge from the vertex representing $\neg x_j$ to the vertex representing x_i if there is a clause $(x_i \lor x_j)$. Then we get a directed graph G. And this 2-CNF formula is not satisfiable iff there exists at least a pair of vertices representing x_i and $\neg x_i$ that can reach to each other.

Correctness proof:

Because $\neg a_i \Longrightarrow a_j$ and $\neg a_j \Longrightarrow a_i$ if there is a clause $(a_i \lor a_j)$, so if we start from one vertex representing x_i and assume x_i is true, then all literals represented by the vertices we can reach to are true.

- "if": Because there exists at least a pair of vertices representing x_i and $\neg x_i$ that can reach to each other, so x_i and $\neg x_i$ can't be true and false separately. Then this 2-CNF formula mustn't be satisfiable.
- "only if": Because this 2-CNF formula is not satisfiable, so there is at least a pair literals x_i and $\neg x_i$, if we want to make the formula true, x_i and $\neg x_i$ must be true at a same time. Then the pair of vertices representing x_i and $\neg x_i$ that can reach to each other.

Time complexity:

We just need to run DFS from each vertices x_i in G and decide whether we can reach to $\neg x_i$, so the total time complexity is $O(|V|(|V|+|E|)) = O(n^3)$ and it is a polynomial time algorithm.

So 2SAT is in P.

6.	How long does it take you to finish the assignment (including thinking and discussion)? Give a score (1,2,3,4,5) to the difficulty. Do you have any collaborators? Please write down their names here.
	It takes me about 2 hours to finish the assignment. I give 2 to the difficulty.