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Regression Analysis | Stata Annotated Output

This page shows an example regression analysis with footnotes explaining the output.  These data were collected on 200 high schools students and are scores on various tests, including science, math, reading and social studies (socst).  The variable female is a dichotomous variable coded 1 if the student was female and 0 if male.

use https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stat/stata/notes/hsb2

(highschool and beyond (200 cases))

regress science math female socst read

Source | SS df MS Number of obs = 200

-------------+------------------------------ F( 4, 195) = 46.69

Model | 9543.72074 4 2385.93019 Prob > F = 0.0000

Residual | 9963.77926 195 51.0963039 R-squared = 0.4892

-------------+------------------------------ Adj R-squared = 0.4788

Total | 19507.5 199 98.0276382 Root MSE = 7.1482

------------------------------------------------------------------------------

science | Coef. Std. Err. t P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]

-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------

math | .3893102 .0741243 5.25 0.000 .243122 .5354983

female | -2.009765 1.022717 -1.97 0.051 -4.026772 .0072428

socst | .0498443 .062232 0.80 0.424 -.0728899 .1725784

read | .3352998 .0727788 4.61 0.000 .1917651 .4788345

\_cons | 12.32529 3.193557 3.86 0.000 6.026943 18.62364

------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Anova Table

Source**a** | SS**b** df**c** MS**d**

-------------+------------------------------

Model | 9543.72074 4 2385.93019

Residual | 9963.77926 195 51.0963039

-------------+------------------------------

Total | 19507.5 199 98.0276382

a. Source – This is the source of variance, Model, Residual, and Total. The Total variance is partitioned into the variance which can be explained by the independent variables (Model) and the variance which is not explained by the independent variables (Residual, sometimes called Error). Note that the Sums of Squares for the Model and Residual add up to the Total Variance, reflecting the fact that the Total Variance is partitioned into Model and Residual variance.

b. SS – These are the Sum of Squares associated with the three sources of variance, Total, Model and Residual. These can be computed in many ways.  Conceptually, these formulas can be expressed as:  
    SSTotal     The total variability around the mean.  S(Y – Ybar)2.     
    SSResidual  The sum of squared errors in prediction.  S(Y – Ypredicted)2.   
    SSModel     The improvement in prediction by using the predicted value of Y over just using the mean of Y. Hence, this would be the squared differences between the predicted value of Y and the mean of Y, S(Ypredicted – Ybar)2. Another way to think of this is the SSModel is SSTotal – SSResidual. Note that the SSTotal = SSModel + SSResidual.  Note that SSModel / SSTotal is equal to .4892, the value of R-Square.  This is because R-Square is the proportion of the variance explained by the independent variables, hence can be computed by SSModel / SSTotal.

c. df – These are the degrees of freedom associated with the sources of variance.  The total variance has N-1 degrees of freedom.  In this case, there were N=200 students, so the DF for total is 199.  The model degrees of freedom corresponds to the number of predictors minus 1 (K-1).  You may think this would be 4-1 (since there were 4 independent variables in the model, math, female, socst and read).  But, the intercept is automatically included in the model (unless you explicitly omit the intercept).  Including the intercept, there are 5 predictors, so the model has 5-1=4 degrees of freedom.  The Residual degrees of freedom is the DF total minus the DF model, 199 – 4 is 195.

d. MS – These are the Mean Squares, the Sum of Squares divided by their respective DF.  For the Model,

9543.72074 / 4 = 2385.93019.  For the Residual, 9963.77926 / 195 =

51.0963039.  These are computed so you can compute the F ratio, dividing the Mean Square Model by the Mean Square Residual to test the significance of the predictors in the model.

## Overall Model Fit

Number of obs**e** = 200

F( 4, 195)**f** = 46.69

Prob > F**f** = 0.0000

R-squared**g** = 0.4892

Adj R-squared**h** = 0.4788

Root MSE**i** = 7.1482

e. Number of obs – This is the number of observations used in the regression analysis.

f. F and Prob > F – The F-value is the Mean Square Model (2385.93019) divided by the Mean Square Residual (51.0963039), yielding F=46.69.  The p-value associated with this F value is very small (0.0000).  These values are used to answer the question "Do the independent variables reliably predict the dependent variable?".  The p-value is compared to your alpha level (typically 0.05) and, if smaller, you can conclude "Yes, the independent variables reliably predict the dependent variable".  You could say that the group of variables math and female can be used to reliably predict science (the dependent variable).  If the p-value were greater than 0.05, you would say that the group of independent variables does not show a statistically significant relationship with the dependent variable, or that the group of independent variables does not reliably predict the dependent variable.  Note that this is an overall significance test assessing whether the group of independent variables when used together reliably predict the dependent variable, and does not address the ability of any of the particular independent variables to predict the dependent variable.  The ability of each individual independent variable to predict the dependent variable is addressed in the table below where each of the individual variables are listed.

g. R-squared – R-Squared is the proportion of variance in the dependent variable (science) which can be predicted from the independent variables (math, female, socst and read).  This value indicates that 48.92% of the variance in science scores can be predicted from the variables math, female, socst and read.  Note that this is an overall measure of the strength of association, and does not reflect the extent to which any particular independent variable is associated with the dependent variable.

h. Adj R-squared – Adjusted R-square.  As predictors are added to the model, each predictor will explain some of the variance in the dependent variable simply due to chance.  One could continue to add predictors to the model which would continue to improve the ability of the predictors to explain the dependent variable, although some of this increase in R-square would be simply due to chance variation in that particular sample.  The adjusted R-square attempts to yield a more honest value to estimate the R-squared for the population.   The value of R-square was .4892, while the value of Adjusted R-square was .4788  Adjusted R-squared is computed using the formula 1 – ((1 – Rsq)((N – 1) /( N – k – 1)).  From this formula, you can see that when the number of observations is small and the number of predictors is large, there will be a much greater difference between R-square and adjusted R-square (because the ratio of (N – 1) / (N – k – 1) will be much greater  than 1).  By contrast, when the number of observations is very large compared to the number of predictors, the value of R-square and adjusted R-square will be much closer because the ratio of (N – 1)/(N – k – 1) will approach 1.

i. Root MSE – Root MSE is the standard deviation of the error term, and is the square root of the Mean Square Residual (or Error).

## Parameter Estimates

------------------------------------------------------------------------------

science**j** | Coef.**k** Std. Err.**l** t**m** P>|t|**m** [95% Conf. Interval]**n**

-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------

math | .3893102 .0741243 5.25 0.000 .243122 .5354983

female | -2.009765 1.022717 -1.97 0.051 -4.026772 .0072428

socst | .0498443 .062232 0.80 0.424 -.0728899 .1725784

read | .3352998 .0727788 4.61 0.000 .1917651 .4788345

\_cons | 12.32529 3.193557 3.86 0.000 6.026943 18.62364

------------------------------------------------------------------------------

j. science – This column shows the dependent variable at the top (science) with the predictor variables below it (math, female, socst, read and \_cons).  The last variable (\_cons) represents the constant, also referred to in textbooks as the Y intercept, the height of the regression line when it crosses the Y axis.  In other words, this is the predicted value of science when all other variables are 0.

k. Coef. – These are the values for the regression equation for predicting the dependent variable from the independent variable. The regression equation is presented in many different ways, for example:

Ypredicted = b0 + b1\*x1 + b2\*x2 + b3\*x3 + b4\*x4

The column of estimates (coefficients or parameter estimates, from here on labeled coefficients) provides the values for b0, b1, b2, b3 and b4 for this equation.  Expressed in terms of the variables used in this example, the regression equation is

    sciencePredicted = 12.32529 + .3893102\*math + -2.009765\*female+.0498443\*socst+.3352998\*read

These estimates tell you about the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable.  These estimates tell the amount of increase in science scores that would be predicted by a 1 unit increase in the predictor.  Note: For the independent variables which are not significant, the coefficients are not significantly different from 0, which should be taken into account when interpreting the coefficients.  (See the columns with the t-value and p-value about testing whether the coefficients are significant).    
    math – The coefficient (parameter estimate) is

.3893102.  So, for every unit (i.e., point, since this is the metric in which the tests are measured)  increase in math, a .3893102 unit increase in science is predicted, holding all other variables constant. (It does not matter at what value you hold the other variables constant, because it is a linear model.)  Or, for every increase of one point on the math test, your science score is predicted to be higher by .3893102 points.  This is significantly different from 0.   
   female – For every unit increase in female, there is a

-2.009765 unit decrease in the predicted science score, holding all other variables constant.  Since female is coded 0/1 (0=male, 1=female) the interpretation can be put more simply.  For females the predicted science score would be 2 points lower than for males.  The variable female is technically not statistically significantly different from 0, because the p-value is greater than .05.  However, .051 is so close to .05 that some researchers would still consider it to be statistically significant.  
   socst – The coefficient for socst is .0498443.  This means that for a 1-unit increase in the social studies score, we expect an approximately .05 point increase in the science score.  This is not statistically significant; in other words, .0498443 is not different from 0.  
   read – The coefficient for read is .3352998.  Hence, for every unit increase in reading score we expect a .34 point increase in the science score.  This is statistically significant.

l. Std. Err. – These are the standard errors associated with the coefficients.  The standard error is used for testing whether the parameter is significantly different from 0 by dividing the parameter estimate by the standard error to obtain a t-value (see the column with t-values and p-values).  The standard errors can also be used to form a confidence interval for the parameter, as shown in the last two columns of this table.

m. t and P>|t| – These columns provide the t-value and 2-tailed p-value used in testing the null hypothesis that the coefficient (parameter) is 0.   If you use a 2-tailed test, then you would compare each p-value to your preselected value of alpha.  Coefficients having p-values less than alpha are statistically significant.  For example, if you chose alpha to be 0.05, coefficients having a p-value of 0.05 or less would be statistically significant (i.e., you can reject the null hypothesis and say that the coefficient is significantly different from 0).   If you use a 1-tailed test (i.e., you predict that the parameter will go in a particular direction), then you can divide the p-value by 2 before comparing it to your preselected alpha level.  With a 2-tailed test and alpha of 0.05, you may reject the null hypothesis that the coefficient for female is equal to 0.  The coefficient of -2.009765 is significantly greater than 0.   However, if you used a 2-tailed test and alpha of 0.01, the p-value of .0255 is greater than 0.01 and the coefficient for female would not be significant at the 0.01 level. Had you predicted that this coefficient would be positive (i.e., a one tail test), you would be able to divide the p-value by 2 before comparing it to alpha.  This would yield a 1-tailed p-value of 0.00945, which is less than 0.01 and then you could conclude that this coefficient is greater than 0 with a one tailed alpha of 0.01.   
   The coefficient for math is significantly different from 0 using alpha of 0.05 because its p-value is 0.000, which is smaller than 0.05.   
   The coefficient for socst (.0498443) is not statistically significantly different from 0 because its p-value is definitely larger than 0.05.   
   The coefficient for read (**.3352998) is** statistically **significant because its p-value of 0.000 is less than .05.  
   The constant (**\_cons**) is significantly different from 0 at the 0.05 alpha level. However, having a significant intercept is seldom interesting.**

**n.** [95% Conf. Interval] **– This shows a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient.  This is very useful as it helps you understand how high and how low the actual population value of the parameter might be.  The confidence intervals are related to the p-values such that the coefficient will not be statistically significant if the confidence interval includes 0.  If you look at the confidence interval for** female**, you will see that it just includes 0 (-4 to .007).  Because .007 is so close to 0, the p-value is close to .05.  If the upper confidence level had been a little smaller, such that it did not include 0, the coefficient for** female **would have been statistically significant.  Also, consider the coefficients for** female **(-2) and** read **(.34).  Immediately you see that the estimate for** female **is so much bigger, but examine the confidence interval for it (-4 to .007).  Now examine the confidence interval for** read **(.19 to .48).  Even though** female **has a bigger coefficient (in absolute terms) it could be as small as -4. By contrast, the lower confidence level for** read **is .19, which is still above 0.  So, even though** female **has a bigger coefficient,** read **is significant and even the smallest value in the confidence interval is still higher than 0.  The same cannot be said about the coefficient for** socst**.  Such confidence intervals help you to put the estimate from the coefficient into perspective by seeing how much the value could vary.**
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