

# Understanding Chicago's Vacant Lands: Patterns and Socioeconomic Associations

2024-12-07

**Group Member:** Section 3 - Liujun Hua (huaregina), Katika Klinkaew (katikaklinkaew), Liling Shen (SherlynLS)

## Research questions

- What patterns characterize the spatial distribution of vacant lands in Chicago?
- How do socioeconomic characteristics, population dynamics, and environmental factors interact in shaping the prevalence and impact of vacant lands in Chicago?

## Methodology and Data Source

This study employs a systematic workflow to analyze vacant lands in Chicago.

Primary data sources include 1) city-owned vacant lands data from [the City of Chicago \(COC\)](#), 2) parcel data from [the Cook County Assessor's Office \(CCAO\)](#), and 3) socioeconomic and demographic data from [the Chicago Data Portal](#) and related institutions. According to the COC, if a vacant lot is not listed in the portal, it can be assumed not to be owned by the City. After cross-referencing COC data (9,981 entries), CCAO data (40,036 entries), and other land data (such as those from [the Institute for Housing Studies at DePaul University](#), and [ChiBlockBuider](#)), we validated data accuracy and ensured consistency in the spatial distribution of different land types.

After merging and deduplicating the two primary land datasets, we performed unified data cleaning to prepare for subsequent analysis. This included geospatial and land status verification, formatting adjustments for key columns, and handling of NA values across different columns. To analyze the relationships between vacant lands and various socioeconomic and demographic factors, we harmonized geographic identifiers, such as zip codes and community area names, and conducted grouping operations. For efficient integration into the Shiny app and to optimize runtime performance, we standardized the data format to GeoJSON.

Geospatial analysis is performed using spatial mapping and clustering techniques to uncover patterns in the spatial distribution of vacant lands.

Finally, interactive visualization tools, including filters, tooltips, selectors, and toggles, are utilized to enhance data exploration and presentation.


## Obstacles

- **Data gaps:** The available open data on vacant lands is limited to recent records (July 2023) and primarily focuses on city-owned properties. Historical data was inaccessible despite attempts to obtain it via Chicago Cityscape and direct communication. This restriction prevented temporal analysis and the identification of long-term trends or patterns.
- **Inconsistent geographic identifiers:** Datasets varied significantly in their geographic references, including zip codes, community area names, districts, police precincts, and geometry formats. The lack of uniformity complicated data integration. Spatial joins were used to map appropriate zip codes or community areas, with community areas retained due to the absence of authoritative mapping between zip codes and community areas.


- **Common indicator alignment challenges:** Datasets with common indicators couldn't be found directly. To establish common metrics, we combined datasets through spatial joins or identified acceptable proxies. For example, crime rates were derived by merging crime reports with population data, and business density was approximated using the number of newly issued business licenses.
- **Data format and performance issues:** Raw data was provided in various formats (GeoJSON, CSV, shapefiles, and text files), with some datasets exceeding 1.3 GB, leading to slow loading times. To address this, we grouped data during analysis and converted final datasets into GeoJSON for optimized readability and visualization.
- **Socioeconomic data limitations:** The focus on a small geographic area, Chicago, and the use of fine-grained spatial divisions posed challenges in accessing corresponding socioeconomic data. For variables like housing prices, land values, and transaction activities, only aggregate data at the city or Illinois state level was available, limiting deeper exploration of the relationship between vacant lands and property values.

## Plotting and Policy Implications


### Static Plots



From the figures above, it is evident that vacant lots are predominantly concentrated in the west and south sides of Chicago. Analyzing ownership data reveals that 75% of these lots are privately owned, while the City of Chicago owns the remaining 25%. Furthermore, although vacant lots are primarily visible in the west and south, zip codes along CTA lines tend to have a higher density of vacant lots.




Englewood and West Englewood are the top two community areas in Chicago with the highest number of vacant lots, with Englewood having over 3,500 lots and West Englewood nearly 3,000. The bar chart on the right illustrates that in the 15 community areas with the most city-owned vacant lots, the average value of these lots is relatively low, with most valued below \$20,000.



The figure above reveals that 4 of the top 5 zip codes with the highest number of vacant lots are predominantly Black communities, with the remaining one being predominantly Latinx. This highlights a significant issue, as the concentration of vacant lots is disproportionately located in minority communities.

# Shiny App

## Vacant Land Distribution and Socioeconomic Features in Chicago



Our Shiny app features interactive choropleth maps displaying demographic and socioeconomic data, including crime rates, income levels, unemployment, business density, and population. Users can select the dataset to display using a drop-down menu. An additional key feature is a toggle that activates a scatterplot overlay of vacant lands. When enabled, users can adjust the scatter point size with a slider and choose to display

city-owned lots, non-city-owned lots, or both. The app's primary purpose is to visualize the relationship between socioeconomic factors and the distribution of vacant lots on a map.

### **Policy Implications**

1. The Shiny app analysis reveals that the presence of vacant lots is negatively correlated with income levels and business density, while showing a positive correlation with crime rates and unemployment. These findings indicate that communities with a high concentration of vacant lots face compounded socio-economic challenges, emphasizing the need for targeted urban planning and redevelopment initiatives to drive positive change.
2. Our analysis shows that the majority of Chicago's vacant lots are owned by entities other than the city. To address this, the city could consider introducing programs or incentives that encourage private owners to effectively utilize their vacant land, fostering community development and economic growth.

### **Future Work**