

**Problem 1.** (15 points [writing/solving recurrence relations])

Solve exactly (that is, without using any asymptotic notation) the following recurrence relation by iterative substitutions

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) + \sqrt{n} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

**Answer:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(n/9) + \sqrt{n} \\ &= T(n/9^2) + \sqrt{n} (1/3 + 1) \\ &= T(n/9^3) + \sqrt{n} (1/9 + 1/3 + 1) \\ &\dots \\ &= T(n/9^i) + \sqrt{n} (1/3^{i-1} + 1/3^{i-2} + \dots + 1/3^1 + 1/3^0) \\ &= T(n/9^i) + (3/2)\sqrt{n} (1 - 1/3^i) \end{aligned}$$

now we set  $n/9^i = 1$  which is  $i = \log_9 n$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(1) + (3/2)\sqrt{n} (1 - 1/3^{\log_9 n}) \\ &= 1 + (3/2)\sqrt{n} (1 - 1/\sqrt{n}) \\ &= \frac{3\sqrt{n} - 1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2.** (15 points [writing/solving recurrence relations])

Using the Master method, give an asymptotic tight bound for  $T(n)$  in the following recurrence relation

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \sqrt{n} \log n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

**Answer:** We have  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 4$ ,  $f(n) = \sqrt{n} \log n$ . We also have  $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_4 2} = \sqrt{n}$ . Clearly,  $f(n) \in \Theta(\sqrt{n} \log^k n)$  for  $k = 1$ . The second case of the Master Theorem applies, hence  $T(n) \in \Theta(\sqrt{n} \log^2 n)$ .

**Problem 3.** (15 points [writing/solving recurrence relations])

In the algorithm SELECT described in class (linear-time selection), the input elements are divided into  $\lceil n/5 \rceil$  groups of 5. Suppose you modify the algorithm to divide the input elements into  $\lceil n/3 \rceil$

groups of 3 instead. Let  $T(n)$  denote the worst-case running time of the modified algorithm as a function of the input size  $n$ . Write a recurrence relation for  $T(n)$ , but do NOT solve it.

**Answer:** For groups of 3, the number of elements greater than  $x$  (and the number of element less than  $x$ ) is at least

$$2 \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \right\rceil - 2 \right) \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4$$

and the recurrence relation becomes

$$T_3(n) \leq T_3(\lceil n/3 \rceil) + T_3(2n/3 + 4) + O(n)$$

**Problem 4.** (20 points [divide & conquer])

An array  $A$  is said to have a *majority element* if half or more than half of the entries in  $A$  are exactly the same. Given an unsorted array  $A[1 \dots n]$  of  $n$  items (where  $n$  is a power of two) we want to determine whether  $A$  has a majority element, and if so, return such an item. Consider the following divide and conquer algorithm for this problem.

**Algorithm** FIND-MAJORITY ( $A$  : array)

```

1   $n \leftarrow |A|$ 
2  if  $n \leq 1$  then return  $A[1]$ 
3  else
4     $a_1 \leftarrow \text{FIND-MAJORITY}(A[1, \dots, n/2])$ 
5     $a_2 \leftarrow \text{FIND-MAJORITY}(A[n/2 + 1, \dots, n])$ 
6    if the number of times  $a_1$  appears in  $A$  is  $\geq n/2$  then return  $a_1$ 
7    else if the number of times  $a_2$  appears in  $A$  is  $\geq n/2$  then return  $a_2$ 
8    else return NULL
```

Is this algorithm correct i.e., does FIND-MAJORITY always return the majority element, if  $A$  has one in it (or NULL otherwise)? Give a counterexample if your answer is “No”, a brief argument of correctness (e.g., proof by induction) if your answer is “Yes”. You can assume  $n$  to be a power of 2.

**Answer:** The algorithm is incorrect. The simplest counter-example is  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 2\}$ ,  $n = 4$ . From the recursive call on the first half of the array  $\{1, 2\}$ , we will get  $a_1 = 1$ . From the recursive call on the second half of the array  $\{3, 2\}$ , we will get  $a_2 = 3$ . Neither  $a_1$  or  $a_2$  occur at least  $n/2 = 2$  times, so the algorithm will return NULL which is incorrect, since number 2 is a majority element in  $A$ .

**Problem 5.** (15 points [divide & conquer])

Write the pseudo-code for the  $O(n \log n)$ -time algorithm for closest pair that we described in class.

**Answer:** See slides.

**Problem 6.** (20 points [divide & conquer])

Suppose you have  $k$  sorted arrays, each with  $n$  elements, and you want to combine them into a single sorted array of  $kn$  elements. Describe a divide-and-conquer algorithm that takes  $O(kn \log k)$  time. Make sure you explain why your algorithm runs in  $O(kn \log k)$  time.

**Hint:** Use as a sub-routine (“black-box”) the MERGE algorithm we described in class to merge two sorted arrays with  $n$  elements in  $O(n)$ -time.

1. Divide the arrays into two sets of  $k/2$  arrays, where each array is of size  $n$ .
2. Recursively merge the first set of arrays, giving an array of size  $nk/2$ .
3. Recursively merge the second set of arrays, giving another array of size  $nk/2$ .
4. Merge the two resulting arrays (of size  $nk/2$  each) to get the result.

The total time  $T(n, k)$  satisfies the recurrence  $T(n, k) = 2T(n, k/2) + nk$ , and  $T(n, 1) = 1$ .

In the recursive calls,  $n$  does not change.

At the  $i$ th level in the recursion tree, there are  $2^i$  subproblems, each with a set of  $k/2^i$  arrays, each taking time  $nk/2^i$ . The total time at level  $i$  is  $2^i nk/2^i = nk$ .

There are  $\log_2 k$  levels. Thus, the total time is  $O(nk \log k)$ .