EDS241: Assignment 3

Shale Hunter

02/18/2022

1 Load Data

```
smoke = read_csv(here("HW3/SMOKING_EDS241.csv"))
```

2 Questions

a) What is the unadjusted mean difference in birth weight of infants with smoking and non- smoking mothers? Under what hypothesis does this correspond to the average treatment effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on infant birth weight? Provide some simple empirical evidence for or against this hypothesis.

The unadjusted mean difference in birth weight of infants with smoking and non-smoking mothers is -244.5393875grams. This corresponds to the ATE under the assumption that mothers are assigned randomly to the smoking/non-smoking group. This is probably not a strong assumption because it is likely that there are external factors that effect a mother's likelihood to smoke during pregnancy, such as (only thinking about other variables in our dataset) whether or not this is the mother's first child (mothers might know less about the harmful effects of smoking when they are on their first child) or mother's education level (women with more education may be less likely to smoke because of a greater awareness of the harmful effects of smoking). Both these effects are shown to be significant in the models below, showing that smoking is not random across these other conditions.

```
summary(estimatr::lm_robust(data = smoke, tobacco ~ first))
##
## Call:
## estimatr::lm_robust(formula = tobacco ~ first, data = smoke)
##
## Standard error type:
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper
##
## (Intercept)
                                  120.98 0.000e+00 0.20858 0.21545 94171
                 0.2120
                          0.001752
## first
                -0.0456
                          0.002561
                                    -17.81 8.202e-71 -0.05062 -0.04058 94171
##
## Multiple R-squared: 0.003261, Adjusted R-squared: 0.00325
## F-statistic: 317.1 on 1 and 94171 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
summary(estimatr::lm_robust(data = smoke, tobacco ~ meduc))
##
## Call:
## estimatr::lm_robust(formula = tobacco ~ meduc, data = smoke)
```

```
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper
              0.78933 0.0077083 102.40
                                                 0 0.77423 0.80444 94171
##
  (Intercept)
              -0.04594 0.0005421
                                   -84.75
                                                 0 -0.04701 -0.04488 94171
##
## Multiple R-squared: 0.06057,
                                   Adjusted R-squared: 0.06056
## F-statistic: 7183 on 1 and 94171 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

b) Assume that maternal smoking is randomly assigned conditional on the observable covariates listed above. Estimate the effect of maternal smoking on birth weight using a linear regression. Report the estimated coefficient on tobacco and its standard error.

```
tbco_lm = summary(estimatr::lm_robust(data = smoke, birthwgt ~ .))
tbco_lm
##
## Call:
## estimatr::lm_robust(formula = birthwgt ~ ., data = smoke)
## Standard error type:
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value
                                              Pr(>|t|) CI Lower
                                                                   CI Upper
                                                                               DF
## (Intercept) 3362.258
                           12.0765 278.4133
                                             0.000e+00 3338.588 3385.92805 94164
## anemia
                 -4.796
                           17.8739
                                    -0.2683
                                             7.884e-01
                                                        -39.829
                                                                   30.23630 94164
## diabete
                 73.228
                           13.2355
                                     5.5327
                                             3.162e-08
                                                         47.286
                                                                   99.16895 94164
                            4.2768 -53.3282
                                             0.000e+00 -236.456 -219.69063 94164
## tobacco
               -228.073
## alcohol
                -77.350
                           14.0392
                                   -5.5096
                                             3.607e-08 -104.866
                                                                 -49.83312 94164
## mblack
               -240.030
                            5.3478 -44.8842 0.000e+00 -250.512 -229.54873 94164
## first
                -96.944
                            3.4880 -27.7934 2.528e-169 -103.781
                                                                 -90.10763 94164
                 -0.694
                            0.3682 -1.8849 5.944e-02
## mage
                                                         -1.416
                                                                    0.02764 94164
                 11.688
                            0.8618 13.5630 7.262e-42
                                                           9.999
                                                                   13.37742 94164
## meduc
##
## Multiple R-squared: 0.0717,
                                    Adjusted R-squared: 0.07162
## F-statistic: 877.6 on 8 and 94164 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The model above predicts a coefficient of -228.07 (grams) for tobacco, with a standard error of 4.27 grams.

c) Use the exact matching estimator to estimate the effect of maternal smoking on birth weight. For simplicity, consider the following covariates in your matching estimator: create a 0-1 indicator for mother's age (=1 if mage>=34), and a 0-1 indicator for mother's education (1 if meduc>=16), mother's race (mblack), and alcohol consumption indicator (alcohol). These 4 covariates will create 2*2*2*2 = 16 cells. Report the estimated average treatment effect of smoking on birthweight using the exact matching estimator and its linear regression analogue (Lecture 6, slides 12-14).

```
# dummy variables
age = as.numeric(as.logical(smoke$mage >= 34))
edu = as.numeric(as.logical(smoke$meduc >= 16))
blk = smoke$mblack
alc = smoke$alcohol

# grouped variable
grp = pasteO(age, edu, blk, alc)
```

```
smoke = smoke %>% mutate(grp = grp)
analogue_lm = estimatr::lm_robust(data = smoke, birthwgt ~ tobacco + factor(grp))
EMcompare = summary(analogue_lm)
```

2.0.1 Exact Matching

```
treatment_table <- smoke %>%
  group_by(grp,tobacco)%>%
  # Calculate number of observations and Y mean by X by treatment cells:
  summarise(n_{obs} = n(),
           Y_mean = mean(birthwgt, na.rm = T))%>%
#old way to pivot_longer: gather(variables, values, n_obs:Y_mean)
  pivot_longer(names_to = "variables", values_to = "values", n_obs:Y_mean) %>%
  # Combine the treatment and variables for re-reshaping
 mutate(variables = paste0(variables, "_", tobacco))%>%
  # Reshape data by treatment and X cell
  pivot_wider(id_cols = grp, names_from = variables, values_from = values)%>%
  ungroup()%>% #Ungroup from X values
  mutate(Y_diff = Y_mean_1 - Y_mean_0, #calculate Y_diff
         w_ATE = (n_obs_0+n_obs_1)/(sum(n_obs_0)+sum(n_obs_1)),
         w_ATT = n_obs_1/sum(n_obs_1))%>% #calculate weights
  mutate_if(is.numeric, round, 2) #Round data
stargazer(treatment_table, type= "text", summary = FALSE, digits = 2)
```

```
grp n_obs_0 Y_mean_0 n_obs_1 Y_mean_1 Y_diff w_ATE w_ATT
##
## -----
## 1 0000 44274 3445.69
                     13443 3220.25 -225.44 0.61 0.74
## 2 0001
         214
              3450.28
                      448
                            3124.25 -326.03 0.01 0.02
## 3 0010 7007
             3195.97 1980
                            3006.31 -189.66 0.1 0.11
                            2817.34 -302.73
## 4 0011
         71
              3120.07
                      226
                                          0
## 5 0100 13425 3483.02
                            3273.94 -209.08 0.15 0.03
                       535
## 6 0101
         130
              3510.95
                       29
                            3413.21 -97.74
                                           0
                                                0
## 7 0110
        625
             3319.22
                       61
                            3159.05 -160.17 0.01
## 8 0111
         4
              2983.5
                       10
                            3097.7
                                   114.2
                                          0
                                                0
## 9 1000 5115
              3467.41
                       976
                            3171.42 -295.98 0.06 0.05
## 10 1001
                       45
                            3097.73 -260.59
         56
              3358.32
                                          Ω
## 11 1010
         396
             3185.08
                       135
                            2994.67 -190.41 0.01 0.01
## 12 1011
         7
              2739.71
                       26
                            2846.38 106.67
                                           0
                                                0
## 13 1100 4492
              3487.19
                       201
                            3249.45 -237.74 0.05 0.01
## 14 1101
                            3037.47 -497.44 0
                                                0
        57
              3534.91
                       17
## 15 1110
        147
              3328.29
                       19
                            2852.16 -476.13 0
                                                0
                                                0
## 16 1111
                3459
                             2835
                                           0
          1
                       1
                                    -624
```

[1] -224.2583

```
ATT=sum((treatment_table$w_ATT)*(treatment_table$Y_diff))
ATT
```

```
## [1] -222.589
```

The estimated average treatment effect of smoking on birthweight using the exact matching estimator is -224.2583 grams, while the comparable linear regression gives an average treatment effect of c(tobacco = -226.245032864622) grams. These values are quite close, but not the same.

d) Estimate the propensity score for maternal smoking using a logit estimator and based on the following specification: mother's age, mother's age squared, mother's education, and indicators for mother's race, and alcohol consumption.

```
smoke = smoke %>% mutate(mage2 = (mage * mage))

ps_model = glm(data = smoke, formula = tobacco ~ mage + mage2 + meduc + mblack + alcohol, family = binor
propensity = predict(ps_model, type = "response")
```

e) Use the propensity score weighted regression (WLS) to estimate the effect of maternal smoking on birth weight (Lecture 7, slide 12).

```
ps_wt = (smoke$tobacco / propensity) + ((1-smoke$tobacco) / (1-propensity))
wps_model = estimatr::lm_robust(data = smoke, birthwgt ~ tobacco + mage + mage2 + meduc + mblack + alcolumnary(wps_model)$coefficients[2,1]
```

[1] -220.2328